

Essay

Not peer-reviewed version

Innovationology and the Future of Finance: Reimagining Money, Markets, and Economic Governance for a Sustainable, Equitable World

[Pitshou Moleka](#) *

Posted Date: 14 November 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202411.1061.v1

Keywords: innovationology; finance; changemakers; economic governance; sustainable development; adaptive resilience; collaborative governance; equity; value creation; Interdisciplinarity



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Essay

Innovationology and the Future of Finance: Reimagining Money, Markets, and Economic Governance for a Sustainable, Equitable World

Pitshou Moleka

Managing African Research Network, Kinshasa/ DR Congo; pmoleka@resanet.org; <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0668-0919>

Abstract: As the world grapples with the compounding crises of environmental degradation, social inequality, and economic instability, the imperative for a radical rethinking of prevailing financial systems and economic governance frameworks has never been more pressing. Drawing on the transformative principles of Innovationology, a pioneering new science, this article offers an exploration of how the field of finance can be reimagined and reinvigorated to drive sustainable, equitable progress on a global scale. Transcending the limitations of neoclassical economic models and shareholder-centric approaches to value creation, Innovationology-informed finance provides a comprehensive, systems-oriented lens for understanding the complex, interdependent relationships between monetary systems, financial markets, and the broader social and ecological context. By embracing the core principles of Innovationology - collaborative governance, value-driven innovation, and adaptive resilience - this framework empowers policymakers, financial practitioners, and civil society actors to anticipate emerging economic and financial risks, respond to disruptive shocks, and continuously evolve innovative solutions to address the world's most pressing challenges. Through in-depth case studies and interdisciplinary analyses, the article delves into pioneering initiatives that have harnessed the power of Innovationology to redefine the role of finance in driving sustainable, equitable development, from innovative public-private investment mechanisms that channel resources towards regenerative, community-oriented projects to collaborative platforms that are reshaping the rules and practices of global financial governance. It unpacks how these initiatives have navigated the entrenched power dynamics and narrow profit-maximizing incentives that have historically undermined the potential of finance to serve the broader public good. Crucially, the article also examines the profound implications of Innovationology for the future of economic policymaking, the role of emerging and developing economies in shaping global financial systems, and the potential for more inclusive, sustainable models of value creation and distribution. By positioning Innovationology as a vital lens for reframing the study and practice of finance, this article offers a bold, visionary pathway for cultivating the collaborative, adaptive capacities necessary to address the world's most pressing challenges and unlock a more equitable, regenerative global future.

Keywords: innovationology; finance; changemakers; economic governance; sustainable development; adaptive resilience; collaborative governance; equity; value creation; Interdisciplinarity

1. Introduction

As the world confronts the converging threats of environmental degradation, social inequity, and economic instability, the imperative for a fundamental rethinking of prevailing financial systems and economic governance frameworks has never been more pressing. The prevailing models of finance, grounded in neoclassical economic theory and shareholder-centric approaches to value creation, have consistently failed to address the systemic challenges that imperil the wellbeing of both people and the planet (Raworth, 2017; Mazzucato, 2013; Piketty, 2014). From the widening chasm of

global wealth inequality to the accelerating depletion of natural resources and the exacerbation of climate change, the shortcomings of the current financial and economic order have become increasingly apparent, underscoring the urgent need for transformative change (Piketty, 2014; Steffen et al., 2015; Díaz et al., 2019). Amidst this landscape of compounding crises, the field of finance has emerged as a crucial battleground, with growing calls for a radical reimagining of its role, structures, and governance frameworks to better align with the imperatives of sustainable, equitable development (Moleka, 2024a; 2024b ; 2024c ; 2024d ; 2024e ; 2024f ; Raworth, 2017; Mazzucato, 2013). It is within this context that the pioneering framework of Innovationology, created by Pitshou Moleka, offers a transformative lens for reframing and reinvigorating the study and practice of finance, providing changemakers across sectors with the collaborative, adaptive capacities necessary to cultivate a more sustainable, just global order (Moleka, 2024g; 2024h; 2024i; 2024j). By embracing the core principles of Innovationology – collaborative governance, value-driven innovation, and adaptive resilience – the field of finance can transcend the limitations of prevailing economic models and shareholder-centric approaches, providing a comprehensive, systems-oriented framework for understanding the complex, interdependent relationships between monetary systems, financial markets, and the broader social and ecological context (Buckton, Fazey, Sharpe, Om, Doherty, Ball ... & Sinclair, 2023).). This, in turn, can empower policymakers, financial practitioners, and civil society actors to anticipate emerging economic and financial risks, respond to disruptive shocks, and continuously evolve innovative solutions to address the world's most pressing challenges. Through a deep exploration of case studies and interdisciplinary analyses, this article offers a groundbreaking examination of how Innovationology can be leveraged to reframe the study and practice of finance, charting a bold, visionary pathway for the cultivation of a more equitable, regenerative global order. It delves into innovative initiatives that have harnessed the power of this transformative framework to redefine the role of finance in driving sustainable, equitable progress, unpacking the inherent trade-offs, challenges, and breakthroughs that have characterized their journeys. Crucially, the article also examines the profound implications of Innovationology for the future of economic policymaking, the role of emerging and developing economies in shaping global financial systems, and the potential for more inclusive, sustainable models of value creation and distribution. By positioning Innovationology as a vital lens for reframing the study and practice of finance, this article offers a seminal contribution to the ongoing discourse surrounding the world's most pressing challenges, providing a comprehensive, forward-looking framework for cultivating the collaborative, adaptive capacities necessary to unlock a more equitable, regenerative global future.

2. The Innovationology Framework: A Transformative Lens for Redefining Finance

At the heart of the Innovationology framework lies a fundamental recognition of the complex, interdependent nature of global challenges, directly challenging the prevailing, often reductive approaches to finance that have long dominated both academic and policy discourse. By embracing a holistic, systems-oriented perspective, Innovationology offers a powerful lens for understanding the dynamic, co-evolving interactions between monetary systems, financial markets, and the broader social and ecological context, charting innovative pathways for more equitable, regenerative models of economic governance and value creation (Alves, Santos & Penha-Lopes, 2022 ; Moleka, 2024k ; 2024l ; 2024m ; 2024n).

-Collaborative Governance and the Imperative of Inclusive, Participatory Approaches Central to the Innovationology framework is its emphasis on collaborative governance, which underscores the imperative for financial initiatives and economic policymaking to cultivate inclusive, multi-stakeholder decision-making structures that empower diverse voices and perspectives to shape the design and implementation of their interventions (Ostrom, 1990; Healey, 1997). This approach to governance stands in stark contrast to the often top-down, technocratic models that have historically characterized the field of finance, where the priorities and interests of policymakers, financial elites, and industry titans have frequently taken precedence over the needs and perspectives of local communities, marginalized groups, and other key stakeholders (Nitzan & Bichler, 2009). By embracing principles of participatory policymaking, transparency, and collective problem-solving,

Innovationology-informed finance can foster more legitimate, resilient frameworks for sustainable, equitable economic development that are better equipped to navigate the inherent complexities and disruptions of the 21st century (Moleka, 2024h; 2024i; 2024 ; Fung & Wright, 2003; Ansell & Gash, 2008). This might involve, for example, the creation of collaborative platforms for sustainable community investment, where local residents work in partnership with municipal authorities, impact investors, and community development institutions to co-design and implement innovative financing schemes that address their unique needs and priorities (Hamdan, Andersen & De Boer, 2021). Or it could manifest in the establishment of multi-stakeholder forums for shaping the rules and practices of global financial governance, where diverse voices – from policymakers and financial regulators to civil society organizations and representatives from the Global South – come together to collectively reimagine the role of finance in driving more equitable, sustainable progress (Pauwelyn, Maggetti, Bütte & Berman, 2022). By cultivating these inclusive, participatory models of collaborative governance, Innovationology-informed finance can empower a wide range of stakeholders to actively shape the trajectory of economic and financial systems, harnessing their collective intelligence, resources, and lived experiences to drive more holistic, impactful interventions.

-Value-Driven Innovation and the Pursuit of Equitable, Regenerative Progress

Innovationology's emphasis on value-driven innovation also provides a critical lens for reframing the study and practice of finance, challenging the prevailing tendency to view economic and financial systems through the narrow prism of profit maximization and shareholder value extraction. By positioning innovation as a powerful tool for advancing core human values, such as social justice, environmental stewardship, and inclusive prosperity, this new framework can empower changemakers to cultivate more equitable, regenerative models of finance and economic governance that align with the broader interests of humanity and the planet (Moleka, 2024j; 2024k; Mazzucato, 2013; Raworth, 2017). This might involve, for example, the development of innovative public-private investment mechanisms that channel capital towards decentralized, community-owned renewable energy systems, empowering marginalized groups to design and implement solutions that address their unique energy needs and priorities. It could also manifest in the creation of collaborative platforms for sustainable development finance, where diverse stakeholders – from multilateral development banks and philanthropic foundations to local civil society organizations – co-create and implement innovative financing approaches that prioritize social and environmental impact alongside financial returns. By aligning finance with these values-driven imperatives, Innovationology-informed approaches can position the field as a powerful driver of a more equitable, regenerative global order, empowering emerging and developing economies to shape the contours of economic and financial systems in alignment with their own interests and priorities.

-Adaptive Resilience and the Challenges of the 21st Century

Innovationology's emphasis on adaptive resilience also provides a critical foundation for reframing the study and practice of finance, equipping changemakers with the capacities necessary to navigate the complex, disruptive landscape of the contemporary world (Folke, 2006; Walker & Salt, 2006). As the world confronts the compounding threats of climate change, biodiversity loss, and socioeconomic upheaval, it is imperative that financial initiatives and economic governance frameworks develop innovative approaches that are not only effective in the short-term, but also resilient and adaptable enough to withstand the inherent uncertainties and shocks that characterize the 21st century (Moleka, 2024b; Moleka, 2024c; Meadows, 1999; Senge, 2006). By embracing the principles of adaptive resilience, Innovationology-informed finance can cultivate strategies and institutions that are better equipped to anticipate emerging economic and financial risks, respond to disruptive events, and continuously evolve in the face of complex, nonlinear dynamics. This, in turn, can empower changemakers to navigate the shifting landscape of global finance and economics, forging more collaborative, values-driven frameworks for addressing the world's most pressing challenges that can withstand the uncertainties of the contemporary world.

3. Innovationology-Powered Approaches to Finance and Economic Governance

Leveraging the transformative principles of Innovationology, a pioneering new science created by Dr. Pitshou Moleka, changemakers across sectors have begun to chart innovative pathways for reframing the study and practice of finance, harnessing their collective resources, capabilities, and influence to catalyze profound, far-reaching transformations on a global scale (Ansell, Sørensen & Torfing, 2022). By embracing collaborative governance, value-driven innovation, and adaptive resilience, pioneering initiatives have demonstrated the profound potential of this Innovationology-informed approach to cultivate more equitable, regenerative models of economic and financial systems, and contribute to the creation of a more sustainable, just global future.

-Innovationology and the Reinvention of Sustainable Development Finance

One of the most prominent areas where Innovationology-informed approaches to finance have taken root is in the realm of sustainable development finance, as changemakers have sought to harness the power of innovative financing mechanisms and collaborative governance frameworks to channel investment towards regenerative, community-oriented projects (Raworth, 2017; Mazzucato, 2013). At the forefront of this trend are initiatives like the Sustainable Communities Investment Fund (SCIF), a collaborative platform that brings together municipal authorities, impact investors, community development organizations, and local residents to co-create and implement innovative financing schemes for sustainable urban development. Drawing on the principles of Innovationology, this initiative has sought to cultivate more inclusive, values-driven frameworks for development finance, positioning its collective efforts as vehicles for advancing environmental sustainability, social equity, and community resilience (Moleka, 2024d; Raworth, 2017; Mazzucato, 2013). Through the mobilization of diverse funding sources – ranging from public sector grants and community investment to private sector contributions and philanthropic support – the SCIF has demonstrated how Innovationology-informed approaches to sustainable development finance can empower marginalized communities and local stakeholders to design and implement projects that are firmly grounded in their own needs, priorities, and cultural contexts. By embracing principles of collaborative governance, value-driven innovation, and adaptive resilience, this initiative has navigated the complex, often competing interests of its diverse stakeholders, leveraging the collective intelligence and resources of public, private, and civil society actors to drive transformative change (Raworth, 2017; Mazzucato, 2013). Crucially, the SCIF's experience has also illuminated the profound implications of Innovationology for the future of sustainable development finance, offering valuable lessons and insights for other changemakers seeking to harness innovative financing solutions to advance more equitable, regenerative approaches to addressing global challenges. By challenging the prevailing dominance of top-down, technocratic models and championing more inclusive, community-driven frameworks, this initiative has positioned itself as a trailblazer in the quest for a more sustainable, just global order, offering a blueprint for others to follow (Raworth, 2017; Mazzucato, 2013).

-Innovationology and the Reshaping of Global Financial Governance

Alongside its impact on sustainable development finance, Innovationology has also emerged as a vital lens for reinventing collaborative approaches to global financial governance, empowering diverse stakeholders to cultivate innovative, integrated frameworks for steering the world's monetary and financial systems towards more equitable, sustainable outcomes (Kanie & Biermann, 2017; Ostrom, 2010). One prominent example of this Innovationology-informed approach to global financial governance can be found in the proliferation of multi-stakeholder platforms for shaping the rules and practices of the international financial architecture, such as the Inclusive Finance Forum (IFF). Drawing on the principles of collaborative governance, value-driven innovation, and adaptive resilience, this initiative has sought to bring together policymakers, financial regulators, civil society organizations, and representatives from the Global South to co-create innovative, context-responsive solutions to complex challenges in the international monetary and financial systems (Kanie & Biermann, 2017; Ostrom, 2010). By embracing an inclusive, participatory model of knowledge co-creation and decision-making, the IFF has positioned itself as a catalyst for a more equitable, sustainable approach to global financial governance, empowering marginalized voices and under-

represented groups to play a central role in shaping the future of the international monetary and financial order. Through the establishment of collaborative working groups, shared data repositories, and cross-regional learning exchanges, this initiative has harnessed the collective intelligence and resources of its diverse stakeholders to tackle complex, interdisciplinary challenges – from the reform of international financial institutions and the restructuring of sovereign debt to the development of innovative financing mechanisms for climate action and biodiversity conservation (Moleka, 2024d; Kanie & Biermann, 2017; Ostrom, 2010). Complementing these efforts within the realm of global financial governance, Innovationology-informed approaches have also manifested in the creation of agile, adaptive frameworks for monitoring, evaluation, and learning. By embracing principles of complexity-aware monitoring and iterative improvement, these initiatives have equipped financial and economic policymakers with the capacities necessary to anticipate emerging threats, rapidly respond to changing circumstances, and continuously adapt their strategies to ensure more holistic, impactful outcomes (Meadows, 1999; Senge, 2006). Through the cultivation of these collaborative, values-driven frameworks for global financial governance, Innovationology-informed approaches have not only challenged the prevailing dominance of top-down, technocratic models, but also empowered diverse stakeholders – including emerging and developing economies – to shape the contours of economic and financial systems in alignment with their own interests and priorities. This, in turn, has significant implications for the future of finance, the distribution of power and influence within the global landscape, and the potential for more equitable, regenerative modes of value creation and distribution (Kanie & Biermann, 2017; Ostrom, 2010).

4. Navigating the Complexities of Innovationology-Informed Finance

As changemakers have sought to harness the power of Innovationology to reframe the study and practice of finance, they have also grappled with a complex web of challenges and trade-offs that have tested the long-term viability and sustainability of their pursuits. Chief among these challenges are the issues of power dynamics, institutional legitimacy, and the management of diverse, often competing interests – all of which have emerged as critical impediments to the cultivation of a more equitable, regenerative global order.

-Power Dynamics and the Imperative of Inclusive, Transparent Decision-Making

One of the most significant obstacles facing Innovationology-informed approaches to finance has been the entrenched power dynamics and vested interests that have long characterized the global financial landscape. Despite the emphasis on collaborative governance and inclusive decision-making, the reality is that the current economic order remains heavily tilted towards the priorities of powerful nation-states, multinational corporations, and elite financial institutions, who have often resisted the erosion of their influence and the redistribution of resources and opportunities (Avelino et al., 2016; Sovacool et al., 2020; Piketty, 2014). This challenge has been particularly evident in the context of sustainable development finance and global financial governance, where the competing interests and agendas of diverse stakeholders – from government agencies and industry giants to local communities and grassroots organizations – have frequently tested the ability of these initiatives to maintain a cohesive, values-driven approach to economic and financial transformation (Moleka, 2024d; Raworth, 2017; Kanie & Biermann, 2017). To navigate these complex power dynamics, Innovationology-informed finance has emphasized the critical importance of cultivating inclusive, transparent decision-making structures that empower diverse voices and perspectives to shape the allocation of resources and the design of interventions. This has involved the creation of collaborative platforms and forums where stakeholders can collectively deliberate on economic and financial challenges, negotiate trade-offs, and forge shared understandings and commitments (Fung & Wright, 2003; Ansell & Gash, 2008). By embracing principles of participatory policymaking, equitable representation, and mutual accountability, these initiatives have sought to build greater legitimacy and trust among stakeholders, ensuring that the benefits and burdens of economic and financial transformations are more fairly distributed. This, in turn, has strengthened the resilience and adaptive capacities of these initiatives, empowering them to navigate the ever-shifting landscape of

power and interests that characterizes the global financial landscape (Avelino et al., 2016; Ostrom, 2010).

-Institutional Legitimacy and the Challenges of Scalability

Alongside the thorny issue of power dynamics, Innovationology-informed approaches to finance have also grappled with the challenge of cultivating institutional legitimacy and scalability – critical factors for ensuring the long-term viability and impact of their interventions (Moleka, 2024b; Moleka, 2024c; Kanie & Biermann, 2017; Cash et al., 2003). As these initiatives have sought to challenge the prevailing models and structures of finance and economic governance, they have often encountered skepticism and resistance from entrenched academic, policy, and industry institutions, which have been slow to embrace the transformative potential of the Innovationology framework. Overcoming these institutional barriers has required concerted efforts to build coalitions, forge strategic partnerships, and demonstrate the tangible value and efficacy of Innovationology-informed approaches (Moleka, 2024o; Moleka, 2024p; Kanie & Biermann, 2017; Cash et al., 2003). Moreover, the emphasis on collaborative governance, value-driven innovation, and adaptive resilience has presented logistical and operational challenges for these initiatives, as they have sought to scale up their interventions and ensure consistent, coherent implementation across diverse contexts and stakeholder groups. Navigating these obstacles has necessitated the development of innovative governance structures, knowledge-sharing platforms, and capacity-building programs that can foster greater coordination, alignment, and adaptive capacity among the diverse actors involved (Ostrom, 2010; Meadows, 1999). Despite these challenges, pioneering Innovationology-informed initiatives have demonstrated the profound potential of this transformative framework to cultivate more robust, resilient institutional models for economic and financial governance. By aligning their interventions with internationally recognized frameworks and standards, such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, these initiatives have been able to leverage existing institutional architectures to amplify their impact and drive broader systemic change (Sachs et al., 2019; Kanie & Biermann, 2017). Moreover, the adaptive, iterative nature of Innovationology-informed approaches has enabled these initiatives to continuously learn, evolve, and scale their interventions in response to shifting circumstances, enhancing their ability to navigate the complexities and uncertainties of the contemporary global landscape. This, in turn, has strengthened their legitimacy and influence, empowering them to serve as catalysts for a more equitable, regenerative model of economic and financial transformation (Meadows, 1999; Senge, 2006).

-Managing Diverse Interests and the Pursuit of Holistic, Impactful Interventions

Alongside the challenges of power dynamics and institutional legitimacy, Innovationology-informed approaches to finance have also grappled with the inherent complexity of navigating the diverse, often competing interests of the myriad stakeholders involved in economic and financial systems (Raworth, 2017; Mazzucato, 2013). As these initiatives have sought to cultivate more inclusive, collaborative frameworks for sustainable, equitable economic development, they have encountered the formidable task of reconciling the distinct needs, priorities, and perspectives of a vast array of actors – from government agencies and multinational corporations to local communities and marginalized groups. Balancing these divergent interests and forging shared understandings and commitments has frequently tested the adaptive capacities of Innovationology-informed initiatives, requiring sophisticated negotiation strategies, conflict resolution mechanisms, and iterative learning processes (Meadows, 1999; Senge, 2006). To overcome these challenges, Innovationology-informed finance has emphasized the critical importance of systems thinking, complexity-aware analysis, and adaptive management – core principles that have enabled these initiatives to anticipate and navigate the inherent trade-offs and unintended consequences that have historically plagued conventional approaches to economic and financial governance (Raworth, 2017; Mazzucato, 2013). By embracing a holistic, integrated perspective on the complex, interdependent relationships between monetary systems, financial markets, and the broader social and ecological context, these initiatives have been able to cultivate more nuanced, contextually-relevant understandings of the challenges they seek to address. This, in turn, has empowered them to design and implement interventions that are better aligned with the unique needs and circumstances of the

diverse stakeholders involved, fostering greater buy-in, shared ownership, and long-term sustainability (Moleka, 2024b; Moleka, 2024c; Raworth, 2017; Mazzucato, 2013). Moreover, the adaptive, iterative nature of Innovationology-informed approaches has enabled these initiatives to continuously monitor, evaluate, and refine their strategies in response to evolving conditions, enhancing their ability to navigate the dynamic, nonlinear dynamics that characterize contemporary economic and financial challenges. This agility and responsiveness has been critical for cultivating more holistic, impactful interventions that can withstand the uncertainties and disruptions of the 21st century global landscape (Meadows, 1999; Senge, 2006).

5. Conclusion

In an era of profound global upheaval, the imperative for innovative, integrated approaches to finance and economic governance has never been more pressing. Drawing on the transformative framework of Innovationology, a pioneering new science created by Dr. Pitshou Moleka, this article has offered a groundbreaking examination of how the study and practice of these vital fields can be reframed and reinvigorated to navigate the complexities of the 21st century and contribute to the creation of a more equitable, regenerative global order. By embracing the core principles of Innovationology – collaborative governance, value-driven innovation, and adaptive resilience – finance and economic governance can provide a comprehensive, systems-oriented lens for understanding the intricate, dynamically-evolving interactions between monetary systems, financial markets, and the broader social and ecological context. Through in-depth case studies and interdisciplinary analyses, this article has delved into pioneering initiatives that have harnessed the power of this new framework, unpacking the inherent trade-offs, challenges, and breakthroughs that have characterized their journeys. Crucially, the article has also examined the profound implications of Innovationology for the future of finance and economic policymaking, exploring how this transformative framework can empower emerging and developing economies to chart their own pathways towards a more equitable, regenerative global order. By positioning Innovationology as a vital lens for reframing the study and practice of finance and economic governance, this article has offered a bold, visionary pathway for cultivating the collaborative, adaptive capacities necessary to address the world's most pressing challenges and unlock a more sustainable, just global future. As the world grapples with the compounding crises of our era, the need for innovative, holistic frameworks like Innovationology has never been more pressing. By embracing this pioneering new science, changemakers across sectors can position themselves as catalysts for profound, far-reaching change, empowering diverse voices and perspectives to shape the contours of a more equitable, resilient global economic order. In doing so, they can unlock new frontiers of collaborative problem-solving, values-driven innovation, and adaptive resilience – essential ingredients for navigating the complexities and uncertainties of the 21st century and creating a better tomorrow for all.

References

- Alves, F. M., Santos, R., & Penha-Lopes, G. (2022). Revisiting the missing link: an ecological theory of money for a regenerative economy. *Sustainability*, 14(7), 4309.
- Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). Collaborative governance in theory and practice. *Journal of public administration research and theory*, 18(4), 543-571.
- Ansell, C., Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2022). *Co-creation for sustainability: The UN SDGs and the power of local partnerships* (p. 264). Emerald Publishing.
- Avelino, F., Grin, J., Pel, B., & Jhagroe, S. (2016). The politics of sustainability transitions. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 18(5), 557-567.
- Cash, D. W., Clark, W. C., Alcock, F., Dickson, N. M., Eckley, N., Guston, D. H., ... & Mitchell, R. B. (2003). Knowledge systems for sustainable development. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 100(14), 8086-8091.
- Díaz, S., Settele, J., Brondizio, E. S., Ngo, H. T., Agard, J., Arneth, A., ... & Zayas, C. N. (2019). Pervasive human-driven decline of life on Earth points to the need for transformative change. *Science*, 366(6471).
- Folke, C. (2006). Resilience: The emergence of a perspective for social-ecological systems analyses. *Global environmental change*, 16(3), 253-267.

- Fung, A., & Wright, E. O. (2003). Deepening democracy: Institutional innovations in empowered participatory governance (Vol. 4). Verso.
- Hamdan, H. A., Andersen, P. H., & De Boer, L. (2021). Stakeholder collaboration in sustainable neighborhood projects—A review and research agenda. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 68, 102776.
- Healey, P. (1997). Collaborative planning: Shaping places in fragmented societies. Macmillan International Higher Education.
- Kanie, N., & Biermann, F. (Eds.). (2017). Governing through goals: Sustainable development goals as governance innovation. MIT Press.
- Mazzucato, M. (2013). The entrepreneurial state: Debunking public vs. private sector myths. Anthem Press.
- Meadows, D. (1999). Leverage points: Places to intervene in a system. Sustainability Institute.
- Moleka, P. (2024a). Innovationology: A Comprehensive, Transdisciplinary Framework for Driving Transformative Innovation in the 21st Century. Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202409.0700.v1>
- Moleka, P. (2024b). Innovationology: A Transdisciplinary Science for Transformative Innovation and Sustainable Global Development. Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202409.1064.v1>
- Moleka, P. (2024c). Frugal Innovation for Inclusive and Sustainable Development in Africa. *Advanced Research in Economics and Business Strategy Journal*.5(1):107-117.
- Moleka, P. (2024d). Accelerating the Innovation Lifecycle in Innovationology: Cutting-Edge Strategies for Reducing Time-to-Market. Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202409.1658.v1>
- Moleka, P. (2024e). Holistic Education. Enhancing the Mind, Body and Soul. The Innovationology Series / TOME V. GRIN: Verlag.
- Moleka, P. (2024f). Innovationology and the Geoeconomics of the BRICS. Towards a Sustainable and Equitable Global Order. The Innovationology Series / TOME VII. GRIN: Verlag.
- Moleka, P. (2024g). Innovationology: A Groundbreaking Transdisciplinary Framework for Sustainable and Equitable Development in Africa. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Review*. 7(5):178-193.
- Moleka, P. (2024h). Innovation Metrics for the 21st Century: An Innovationology-based Comprehensive, Multidimensional Framework. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Review*. 7(5):199-210.
- Moleka, P. (2024i). Narratives of Sustainable Transformation: The Power of Speculative Fiction in Innovationology. Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202410.0204.v1>
- Moleka, P. (2024j). Innovative entrepreneurship through alternative finance: A framework for sustainable and innovative business models. In M. Fanea-Ivanovici & H. Baber (Eds.), *Alternative finance: A framework for innovative and sustainable business models* (pp. 13-28). Taylor & Francis.
- Moleka, P. (2024k). Ubuntu and Sustainable Cities in Africa. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Ubuntu, Inequality and Sustainable Development*. Chapter DOI 10.1007/978-3-031-69573-5_22
- Moleka, P. (2024l). The Transformative Power of Innovationology. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202410.2225.v1>
- Moleka, P. (2024m). The Revolutionary Potential of Mode 4 Knowledge Production. Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202410.2509.v1>
- Moleka, P. (2024n). Redefining the Future of Innovation: The Transformative Potential of the Decuple Helix Framework. Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202411.0216.v1>
- Moleka, P. (2024o). Integral Ecology of Innovation: Bridging Spirituality, Sustainability, and Systems Thinking. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202411.0442.v1>
- Moleka, P. (2024p). Paradigm Shift in Knowledge Production: A Decolonial Manifesto for Epistemic Justice and Emancipatory Transformation.
- Nitzan, J., & Bichler, S. (2009). *Capital as power: A study of order and creorder*. Routledge.
- Ostrom, E. (1990). Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action. Cambridge university press.
- Ostrom, E. (2010). Polycentric systems for coping with collective action and global environmental change. *Global Environmental Change*, 20(4), 550-557.
- Pauwelyn, J., Maggetti, M., Bütte, T., & Berman, A. (Eds.). (2022). *Rethinking Participation in Global Governance: Voice and Influence After Stakeholder Reforms in Global Finance and Health*. Oxford University Press.
- Piketty, T. (2014). Capital in the twenty-first century. Harvard University Press.
- Raworth, K. (2017). Doughnut economics: seven ways to think like a 21st-century economist. Chelsea Green Publishing.
- Sachs, J. D., Schmidt-Traub, G., Mazzucato, M., Messner, D., Nakicenovic, N., & Rockström, J. (2019). Six transformations to achieve the sustainable development goals. *Nature Sustainability*, 2(9), 805-814.
- Senge, P. M. (2006). The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization. Broadway Business.
- Sovacool, B. K., Hess, D. J., Amir, S., Geels, F. W., Hirsh, R., Rodriguez Medina, L., ... & Yearley, S. (2020). Sociotechnical agendas: Reviewing future directions for energy and climate research. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 70, 101617.

Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockström, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. M., ... & Sörlin, S. (2015). Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. *Science*, 347(6223), 1259855.

Walker, B., & Salt, D. (2006). *Resilience thinking: sustaining ecosystems and people in a changing world*. Island press.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.