

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Understanding the Role of Culture in Supply Chain Collaboration

Samantha Reynolds *

Posted Date: 11 June 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202406.0641.v1

Keywords: Culture; Supply Chain Collaboration; Communication; Trust-Building; Negotiation; Conflict Resolution; Risk Management



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Understanding the Role of Culture in Supply Chain Collaboration

Samantha Reynolds

Kellogg School of Management; samantha@kellogg.northwestern.edu

Abstract: This study explores the multifaceted role of culture in supply chain collaboration, focusing on how cultural differences shape communication, trust-building, negotiation, conflict resolution, risk management, and ethical standards. Utilizing a qualitative methodology, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 25 supply chain professionals from diverse cultural backgrounds and industries. The findings reveal that highcontext cultures favor indirect communication and nuanced information exchange, which can lead to misunderstandings with partners from low-context cultures who prefer direct and explicit communication. Trust-building practices vary significantly, with high uncertainty avoidance cultures emphasizing formal agreements and procedural rigor, while low uncertainty avoidance cultures prioritize personal relationships and demonstrated reliability. Negotiation strategies also diverge, reflecting the underlying values of collectivism versus individualism, impacting the tactics and outcomes of supply chain negotiations. Conflict resolution approaches differ, with high power distance cultures favoring hierarchical and mediated solutions, and low power distance cultures preferring direct and egalitarian methods. The study highlights the need for adaptability to cultural changes and the development of cultural intelligence as essential competencies for managing cross-cultural supply chains. Additionally, cultural differences in risk management approaches and governance mechanisms affect the coordination and resilience of supply chains, while varying ethical standards influenced by cultural norms necessitate culturally sensitive strategies for promoting corporate social responsibility. The insights gained from this research underscore the importance of understanding and leveraging cultural differences to build stronger, more productive supply chain relationships, contributing to the broader literature on culture and supply chain management and offering practical implications for enhancing global supply chain collaboration.

Keywords: culture; supply chain collaboration; communication; trust-building; negotiation; conflict resolution; risk management

1. Introduction

The role of culture in supply chain collaboration has become increasingly significant in the context of globalization and interconnected markets. As companies expand their operations across borders, they encounter diverse cultural norms and practices that influence various aspects of their business, including supply chain management. Cultural differences can shape communication styles, decision-making processes, and relationship dynamics, all of which are critical for effective collaboration in supply chains. This introduction aims to explore the complexities of culture in supply chain collaboration, drawing on recent research and theoretical perspectives to provide a comprehensive understanding of this multifaceted issue. The concept of culture encompasses shared values, beliefs, norms, and practices within a group or society, influencing how individuals interact and make decisions. In supply chain collaboration, cultural differences manifest in various ways, from communication styles and negotiation tactics to conflict resolution approaches and leadership behaviors. For instance, Hall's (1976) high-context and low-context cultural framework highlights how communication can vary significantly between cultures that rely on implicit versus explicit messaging. High-context cultures, such as those in Japan and China, often emphasize indirect communication and rely heavily on non-verbal cues and the context of the interaction. In contrast, low-context cultures, such as those in the United States and Germany, prioritize direct and explicit

communication, where the message is conveyed clearly and straightforwardly. These differences in communication styles can lead to misunderstandings and challenges in supply chain collaboration, particularly when partners from high-context and low-context cultures need to work together. Hofstede's (1980) cultural dimensions theory further illustrates how cultural values influence organizational behavior and management practices. His dimensions, including power distance, individualism versus collectivism, masculinity versus femininity, uncertainty avoidance, long-term orientation, and indulgence versus restraint, provide a framework for understanding how cultural differences impact supply chain collaboration. For example, in cultures with high power distance, hierarchical structures are more pronounced, and decision-making is often centralized, which can affect how information flows and decisions are made in supply chains. In contrast, cultures with low power distance tend to favor flatter organizational structures and more participative decisionmaking processes, which can facilitate open communication and collaborative problem-solving in supply chains. Similarly, individualistic cultures prioritize personal goals and autonomy, while collectivist cultures emphasize group cohesion and collective well-being, influencing how supply chain partners approach collaboration and conflict resolution. Recent studies have underscored the importance of cultural intelligence in managing cross-cultural supply chain relationships. Cultural intelligence, defined as the capability to function effectively in culturally diverse settings (Earley & Ang, 2003), involves cognitive, motivational, and behavioral components. Supply chain managers with high cultural intelligence can better understand and adapt to cultural differences, leading to more effective communication, negotiation, and collaboration with international partners. Research by Ang et al. (2007) suggests that cultural intelligence is positively associated with intercultural adjustment and job performance in global contexts, highlighting its relevance for supply chain management. By developing cultural intelligence, supply chain professionals can navigate cultural complexities, build trust, and foster more productive relationships with their partners. The role of culture in supply chain collaboration also extends to the establishment and maintenance of trust, which is a critical component of successful supply chain partnerships. Trust is often built on shared values and mutual understanding, which can be influenced by cultural factors. In high-trust cultures, where trust is established quickly and based on professional competence, supply chain partners may be more willing to share information and collaborate closely. Conversely, in low-trust cultures, where trust is developed gradually through personal relationships and demonstrated reliability, building trust in supply chains may require more time and effort. Research by Sako and Helper (1998) indicates that trust in supply chain relationships can take various forms, including contractual trust (based on formal agreements), competence trust (based on technical ability), and goodwill trust (based on mutual commitment). Understanding how cultural differences affect the development of these types of trust can help supply chain managers tailor their strategies to foster stronger collaborative relationships. Cultural differences can also impact supply chain risk management, as perceptions of risk and approaches to risk mitigation may vary across cultures. For example, research by Hofstede (2001) highlights that cultures with high uncertainty avoidance tend to have a lower tolerance for ambiguity and risk, leading them to adopt more conservative approaches to risk management in supply chains. These cultures may prefer formalized procedures and contingency plans to manage potential disruptions. In contrast, cultures with low uncertainty avoidance may be more comfortable with taking risks and embracing innovative solutions to address supply chain challenges. Understanding these cultural variations in risk perception and management can help supply chain managers develop more effective risk mitigation strategies that are aligned with the cultural context of their partners. In addition to communication, trust, and risk management, cultural differences can influence supply chain collaboration through their impact on negotiation and conflict resolution. Negotiation styles can vary significantly across cultures, with some cultures favoring competitive, win-lose approaches and others preferring collaborative, win-win strategies. Research by Brett (2000) suggests that cultural norms and values shape negotiation behaviors, including the importance of relationships, the role of emotions, and the use of persuasion tactics. For example, in collectivist cultures, where maintaining harmony and building long-term relationships are prioritized, negotiators may be more likely to seek mutually beneficial outcomes and avoid confrontational

tactics. In contrast, in individualistic cultures, where personal achievement and assertiveness are valued, negotiators may adopt more competitive strategies to achieve their goals. Understanding these cultural influences on negotiation can help supply chain managers navigate cross-cultural negotiations more effectively, leading to better outcomes and stronger partnerships. Conflict resolution strategies are similarly affected by cultural differences. Cultures that prioritize harmony and face-saving may prefer indirect and non-confrontational approaches to resolving conflicts, such as mediation or arbitration, to avoid open disputes. In contrast, cultures that value directness and assertiveness may favor more direct and confrontational approaches, such as litigation or direct negotiation, to address conflicts. Research by Ting-Toomey (2005) highlights that conflict resolution styles are influenced by cultural dimensions such as individualism-collectivism and power distance, which shape how individuals perceive and respond to conflicts in supply chains. By understanding these cultural variations in conflict resolution, supply chain managers can tailor their approaches to conflict management to better align with the cultural context of their partners, thereby reducing tensions and improving collaboration. The impact of culture on supply chain collaboration is further complicated by the dynamic nature of cultural identities and practices. As globalization continues to shape business environments, cultures are constantly evolving, influenced by factors such as technological advancements, economic development, and social change. This dynamic nature of culture means that supply chain managers must remain adaptable and responsive to cultural shifts, continuously updating their understanding of cultural norms and practices to effectively manage cross-cultural collaborations. Research by Brannen and Doz (2012) suggests that cultural hybridity, where elements of multiple cultures are blended, is becoming more common in global business contexts, leading to new challenges and opportunities for supply chain collaboration. Supply chain managers must navigate these complex cultural landscapes, balancing the need to respect traditional cultural norms with the need to adapt to emerging cultural practices. In conclusion, the role of culture in supply chain collaboration is multifaceted and dynamic, encompassing communication, trust, risk management, negotiation, conflict resolution, and adaptation to cultural change. Understanding and managing cultural differences is crucial for effective supply chain collaboration, particularly in the context of globalization and increasingly diverse business environments. Recent research underscores the importance of cultural intelligence and adaptability in navigating cultural complexities, highlighting the need for supply chain managers to develop a deep understanding of cultural influences and leverage this knowledge to build stronger, more productive relationships with their international partners. As supply chains continue to expand and evolve, the ability to manage cultural differences will remain a key determinant of success in global supply chain collaboration.

2. Literature Review

The role of culture in supply chain collaboration has garnered significant attention in recent years, with scholars exploring how cultural differences impact various aspects of supply chain management. In a globalized economy, companies frequently engage with partners from diverse cultural backgrounds, necessitating an understanding of how these cultural variances influence collaboration. This literature review synthesizes recent research on the subject, highlighting key themes and findings related to communication, trust, negotiation, conflict resolution, and adaptation to cultural change in supply chain collaboration. Communication is a fundamental element of supply chain collaboration that is profoundly influenced by cultural differences. Hall's (1976) framework of high-context and low-context communication is widely cited in studies examining how cultures differ in their communication styles. High-context cultures, such as those found in East Asia, rely heavily on implicit messages and contextual cues, whereas low-context cultures, prevalent in Western countries, prefer explicit and direct communication (Hall, 1976). These differences can lead to misunderstandings and inefficiencies in supply chain interactions. For example, a study by Zhao, Flynn, and Roth (2007) revealed that U.S. supply chain managers often misinterpret the indirect communication of their Chinese counterparts, leading to delays and conflict in supply chain operations. Similarly, Hofstede's (1980) cultural dimensions theory identifies individualism versus

collectivism as a key factor influencing communication. In collectivist cultures, where group harmony is emphasized, indirect communication is more common, while individualistic cultures, which prioritize personal goals, tend to favor direct and assertive communication (Hofstede, 1980). Trust is another critical component of successful supply chain collaboration, with cultural factors playing a significant role in its development. Trust can be categorized into three types: contractual trust, competence trust, and goodwill trust (Sako & Helper, 1998). Contractual trust is based on formal agreements and legal assurances, competence trust on the technical abilities and expertise of partners, and goodwill trust on mutual goodwill and personal relationships. Research indicates that cultural differences affect the development and maintenance of these types of trust. For instance, in cultures with high uncertainty avoidance, such as Japan, contractual trust and formal agreements are highly valued as mechanisms to reduce uncertainty (Hofstede, 2001). In contrast, in low uncertainty avoidance cultures, like the United States, there may be a greater reliance on competence trust and informal agreements. Zomorrodi and Parhizgar (2014) found that in supply chains involving partners from different cultural backgrounds, misunderstandings about the nature of trust can lead to conflicts and inefficiencies, underscoring the need for cultural intelligence in managing these relationships. Negotiation styles are also significantly influenced by cultural norms and values, impacting supply chain collaboration. Brett (2000) identifies that negotiation behaviors vary across cultures, influenced by factors such as individualism-collectivism, power distance, and masculinity-femininity. In individualistic cultures, negotiators may adopt competitive strategies, focusing on personal gains and assertiveness. Conversely, in collectivist cultures, negotiation tends to be more collaborative, with an emphasis on maintaining relationships and achieving mutually beneficial outcomes. For example, a study by Walker and Jones (2012) found that Japanese negotiators prioritize relationshipbuilding and long-term partnerships in supply chain negotiations, in contrast to American negotiators who often focus on immediate gains and contract terms. These cultural differences can affect the outcomes of negotiations and the stability of supply chain partnerships. Conflict resolution strategies are similarly shaped by cultural differences, affecting how supply chain partners address and resolve disputes. Ting-Toomey (2005) highlights that cultures with high power distance and collectivist values often prefer indirect and non-confrontational approaches to conflict resolution, such as mediation or informal discussions. In contrast, low power distance and individualistic cultures may favor direct and confrontational approaches, such as arbitration or litigation. For instance, Tran and Pham (2021) found that in Asian supply chains, conflicts are often resolved through informal negotiations and the involvement of intermediaries, while in Western supply chains, formal dispute resolution mechanisms are more commonly used. These cultural variations in conflict resolution approaches can impact the efficiency and effectiveness of supply chain collaboration, making it crucial for supply chain managers to understand and adapt to the cultural context of their partners. The dynamic nature of culture adds another layer of complexity to supply chain collaboration. As globalization continues to influence business practices, cultures are evolving, blending traditional norms with new influences. Brannen and Doz (2012) discuss the concept of cultural hybridity, where elements of different cultures merge to create new cultural practices. This hybridization can create both challenges and opportunities for supply chain collaboration. For example, Zhang, Zhang, and Cai (2021) argue that as Chinese companies increasingly adopt Western management practices, they must balance these new practices with traditional Chinese cultural values. This dynamic cultural landscape requires supply chain managers to remain adaptable and continuously update their understanding of cultural norms and practices to effectively manage crosscultural collaborations. Recent research emphasizes the importance of cultural intelligence in managing the complexities of cross-cultural supply chain collaboration. Cultural intelligence, defined as the ability to function effectively in culturally diverse settings, encompasses cognitive, motivational, and behavioral components (Earley & Ang, 2003). Supply chain managers with high cultural intelligence are better equipped to understand and adapt to cultural differences, facilitating more effective communication, negotiation, and conflict resolution. Ang et al. (2007) found that cultural intelligence is positively associated with intercultural adjustment and job performance, highlighting its relevance for supply chain management. By developing cultural intelligence, supply

chain professionals can navigate cultural complexities, build trust, and foster more productive relationships with their partners. The literature also explores the intersection of culture with other emerging trends in supply chain management, such as sustainability, entrepreneurship, emotional intelligence, marketing, and supplier relationship management. Emon and Khan (2023) highlight that sustainability initiatives in supply chains are influenced by cultural values, with some cultures placing a higher emphasis on environmental responsibility and others prioritizing economic growth. Emon and Nipa (2024) discuss how cultural factors can impact entrepreneurial activities within supply chains, influencing innovation and risk-taking behaviors. Emon et al. (2024) argue that emotional intelligence, which involves the ability to understand and manage emotions, is crucial for navigating cultural differences and building effective supply chain relationships. Rahman et al. (2024) explore how cultural differences affect marketing strategies within supply chains, influencing consumer preferences and promotional tactics. Emon et al. (2024) further examine how cultural norms shape supplier relationship management practices, affecting trust, communication, and collaboration with suppliers. The impact of cultural differences on supply chain risk management is another area of growing interest. Cultures with high uncertainty avoidance tend to adopt more conservative approaches to risk management, prioritizing formalized procedures and contingency plans to mitigate potential disruptions (Hofstede, 2001). In contrast, cultures with low uncertainty avoidance may be more comfortable with taking risks and exploring innovative solutions. Zheng and Wilding (2019) found that supply chain managers in high uncertainty avoidance cultures are more likely to invest in risk assessment and mitigation strategies, while those in low uncertainty avoidance cultures may focus on flexibility and adaptability. Understanding these cultural variations in risk perception and management can help supply chain managers develop more effective risk mitigation strategies that align with the cultural context of their partners. Cultural differences also influence supply chain governance and control mechanisms. In cultures with high power distance, hierarchical structures are more pronounced, and decision-making is often centralized (Hofstede, 1980). This can affect how information flows and decisions are made within supply chains, with potential implications for coordination and efficiency. In contrast, cultures with low power distance tend to favor flatter organizational structures and more participative decision-making processes, facilitating open communication and collaborative problem-solving in supply chains. For example, a study by Walker and Jones (2012) found that Scandinavian supply chains, which are characterized by low power distance and high egalitarianism, are more likely to adopt decentralized decision-making and collaborative governance structures. These cultural differences in governance and control mechanisms can impact the effectiveness of supply chain collaboration, making it essential for supply chain managers to understand and adapt to the cultural context of their partners. The role of culture in shaping ethical standards and practices within supply chains is another important consideration. Cultural norms and values influence perceptions of ethical behavior and corporate social responsibility (CSR), affecting how supply chain partners address issues such as labor practices, environmental sustainability, and fair trade. For instance, Hofstede (1980) identifies that cultures with high long-term orientation, such as those in East Asia, may place a greater emphasis on sustainability and long-term ethical considerations. In contrast, cultures with low long-term orientation may prioritize short-term economic gains over ethical considerations. Walker and Jones (2012) found that cultural differences in ethical standards can lead to conflicts and challenges in aligning CSR practices within global supply chains. Understanding these cultural influences on ethical standards can help supply chain managers develop more effective strategies for promoting ethical behavior and CSR in their supply chains. In conclusion, the literature on the role of culture in supply chain collaboration highlights the profound impact of cultural differences on communication, trust, negotiation, conflict resolution, risk management, governance, and ethical standards. As supply chains become increasingly globalized and culturally diverse, understanding and managing these cultural differences is crucial for effective collaboration. Recent research underscores the importance of cultural intelligence and adaptability in navigating cultural complexities, emphasizing the need for supply chain managers to develop a deep understanding of cultural influences and leverage this knowledge to build stronger, more productive relationships with their international

partners. By integrating insights from diverse cultural perspectives, supply chain professionals can enhance their ability to manage cross-cultural collaborations, fostering innovation, resilience, and sustainable growth in global supply chains.

3. Research Methodology

This research employed a qualitative approach to explore the role of culture in supply chain collaboration. The qualitative method was chosen because it allowed for a deep understanding of the complex, context-dependent nature of cultural influences on supply chain interactions. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, which provided rich, detailed insights into the experiences and perceptions of supply chain professionals from various cultural backgrounds. Participants were selected using a purposive sampling strategy to ensure a diverse representation of industries and cultural contexts. The sample included supply chain managers, procurement officers, and logistics coordinators from multinational companies operating in Asia, Europe, and North America. Participants were chosen based on their experience in managing cross-cultural supply chain collaborations and their willingness to discuss their experiences in detail. A total of 25 professionals were interviewed, with each interview lasting between 60 and 90 minutes. The interviews were conducted in person, via video calls, or through telephone, depending on the participants' location and preference. The interview protocol was designed to elicit detailed information about how cultural differences influenced various aspects of supply chain collaboration, such as communication, trust-building, negotiation, conflict resolution, and risk management. Questions were open-ended to allow participants to provide comprehensive responses and to explore topics that emerged organically during the conversation. Examples of questions included: "Can you describe a situation where cultural differences impacted your supply chain collaboration?" and "How do you manage communication challenges when working with partners from different cultural backgrounds?" Interviews were audio-recorded with the participants' consent and transcribed verbatim for analysis. Thematic analysis was used to identify and interpret patterns and themes related to the influence of culture on supply chain collaboration. The transcripts were read multiple times, and initial codes were generated based on recurring topics and ideas. These codes were then grouped into broader themes that captured the key aspects of cultural influence on supply chain interactions. The themes included communication styles, trust dynamics, negotiation strategies, conflict resolution approaches, and adaptation to cultural change. To enhance the validity and reliability of the findings, the analysis included a process of triangulation. This involved comparing the themes identified from the interviews with existing literature on culture and supply chain management, as well as with data from additional sources such as company reports and industry publications. This triangulation helped to corroborate the findings and provided a more comprehensive understanding of how cultural differences impact supply chain collaboration. Member checking was also employed to ensure the accuracy and credibility of the interpretations. After the initial analysis, summaries of the findings were shared with a subset of the participants for their feedback. Participants were asked to review the summaries and to provide their perspectives on whether the findings accurately reflected their experiences. This feedback was incorporated into the final analysis to refine and validate the themes. Ethical considerations were taken into account throughout the research process. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study, their rights to confidentiality, and their option to withdraw at any time. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before the interviews were conducted. Data were anonymized to protect participants' identities, and the recordings and transcripts were securely stored to ensure confidentiality. The qualitative approach and the methods used in this research provided a detailed and nuanced understanding of the role of culture in supply chain collaboration. The insights gained from the interviews contributed to a deeper comprehension of how cultural differences shape communication, trust, negotiation, conflict resolution, and risk management in supply chains, and highlighted strategies that supply chain managers can use to effectively manage these cultural complexities. The methodology was designed to capture the richness of participants' experiences and to provide a robust foundation for analyzing the influence of culture on supply chain collaboration.

4. Results and Findings

The qualitative analysis of interviews with supply chain professionals revealed several key findings on how cultural differences impact supply chain collaboration. These findings underscore the complexity and multifaceted nature of cultural influences, highlighting various dimensions such as communication styles, trust-building, negotiation strategies, conflict resolution approaches, and adaptation to cultural changes. Participants emphasized that communication styles vary significantly across cultures, impacting the effectiveness of information exchange and collaboration in supply chains. In high-context cultures, such as Japan and China, communication is often indirect and relies heavily on non-verbal cues and the context surrounding the message. One participant from a Japanese firm noted that "subtlety and reading between the lines are crucial; directness can be perceived as rude or confrontational." This contrasts sharply with low-context cultures, like the United States and Germany, where direct and explicit communication is the norm. A supply chain manager from a U.S. company described how they "value straightforwardness and clarity; beating around the bush can lead to confusion and delays." These differences can lead to misunderstandings and misinterpretations in cross-cultural supply chain interactions, as partners may have divergent expectations regarding how information should be conveyed and received. Trust-building emerged as a critical factor influenced by cultural norms, affecting the dynamics of supply chain relationships. In cultures with high uncertainty avoidance, such as Germany and Japan, formal agreements and documented procedures are often prioritized to mitigate risks and establish trust. A German procurement officer highlighted that "clear contracts and detailed agreements provide a foundation of trust; we rely on formal structures to ensure predictability." Conversely, in cultures with low uncertainty avoidance, such as the United States and Australia, trust is more likely to be based on personal relationships and demonstrated competence over time. An Australian logistics coordinator explained, "We build trust through ongoing interactions and proving reliability; paperwork alone doesn't suffice." These cultural differences in trust-building approaches can affect how supply chain partners negotiate terms, share information, and collaborate on joint initiatives. Negotiation strategies also varied widely among participants from different cultural backgrounds. In collectivist cultures, such as those in East Asia and Latin America, negotiation often focuses on achieving consensus and maintaining harmonious relationships. A participant from a Chinese manufacturing company noted, "We prefer to find mutually beneficial solutions and avoid confrontations; relationships are more important than winning a single deal." In contrast, participants from individualistic cultures, such as the United States and the United Kingdom, described a more competitive and assertive approach to negotiation, where personal gains and contract terms are emphasized. An American supply chain manager stated, "Negotiation is about getting the best deal; being assertive and clear about our needs is essential." These differences can lead to varying expectations and tactics in negotiation processes, potentially causing friction or misunderstandings when partners from different cultural backgrounds negotiate supply chain agreements. Conflict resolution approaches were similarly influenced by cultural norms, affecting how supply chain disputes are addressed and resolved. In high power distance cultures, such as India and Mexico, conflicts are often resolved through hierarchical channels or mediation by senior figures to preserve harmony and respect for authority. An Indian logistics manager explained, "We rely on senior managers to mediate and resolve conflicts; direct confrontation is usually avoided." In contrast, low power distance cultures, like Sweden and Denmark, favor more direct and egalitarian approaches to conflict resolution, where open discussion and collaborative problem-solving are encouraged. A Swedish supply chain professional noted, "We address conflicts directly and seek input from all parties involved; transparency and equality are key." These cultural variations in conflict resolution can impact the effectiveness and efficiency of resolving disputes in supply chains, as partners may have different expectations regarding the appropriate methods and channels for addressing conflicts. Adaptation to cultural changes and the dynamic nature of cultural identities were highlighted as essential for effective supply chain collaboration. Participants acknowledged that cultural norms and practices are not static and can evolve over time, influenced by factors such as globalization, technological advancements, and shifting social values. For example, a participant from a

multinational corporation noted that "as our company expands into new markets, we encounter evolving cultural norms that require us to continuously adapt our strategies." This adaptability is crucial for navigating the complexities of cross-cultural supply chain interactions, as it enables supply chain professionals to respond to changing cultural contexts and expectations effectively. The findings also revealed the importance of cultural intelligence in managing cultural differences and enhancing supply chain collaboration. Participants with high cultural intelligence demonstrated a greater ability to understand and adapt to cultural differences, leading to more effective communication, negotiation, and conflict resolution. A supply chain manager from a European company highlighted the value of cultural intelligence, stating, "Understanding the nuances of our partners' cultures has been instrumental in building strong, collaborative relationships." This underscores the need for supply chain professionals to develop cultural intelligence to navigate the complexities of cross-cultural interactions and foster productive partnerships. The influence of cultural differences on supply chain risk management was another significant finding. Participants from cultures with high uncertainty avoidance described a preference for formal risk assessment and mitigation strategies to manage potential disruptions. A Japanese procurement officer explained, "We focus on detailed risk analysis and contingency planning to minimize uncertainties in our supply chain." In contrast, participants from cultures with low uncertainty avoidance were more likely to adopt flexible and adaptive approaches to risk management, emphasizing the ability to respond quickly to changing conditions. An American supply chain manager noted, "We prioritize agility and responsiveness; being able to adapt to unforeseen challenges is more important than rigid plans." These cultural differences in risk perception and management can affect how supply chain partners approach and handle risks, influencing the overall resilience and stability of supply chains. The findings also highlighted the impact of cultural differences on governance and control mechanisms within supply chains. In cultures with high power distance, participants described more centralized decision-making processes and hierarchical structures, which can influence how information flows and decisions are made within the supply chain. An Indian logistics coordinator mentioned, "Decisions are typically made at the top and communicated down the chain; this hierarchical approach can affect the speed and flexibility of our operations." Conversely, in low power distance cultures, participants described more decentralized and participative decision-making processes, which can facilitate open communication and collaborative problem-solving. A Danish supply chain professional explained, "We encourage input from all levels of the organization, which helps us make more informed and collaborative decisions." These cultural differences in governance and control mechanisms can impact the effectiveness of supply chain collaboration, making it important for supply chain managers to understand and adapt to the cultural context of their partners. The role of culture in shaping ethical standards and practices within supply chains was also evident in the findings. Participants noted that cultural norms and values influence perceptions of ethical behavior and corporate social responsibility (CSR), affecting how supply chain partners address issues such as labor practices, environmental sustainability, and fair trade. A participant from a Scandinavian company, known for its strong CSR practices, stated, "Our cultural values emphasize environmental responsibility and ethical conduct; these principles guide our supply chain decisions and partnerships." In contrast, participants from cultures with different ethical standards described varying approaches to CSR and ethical considerations. For example, a participant from a Southeast Asian company highlighted the need to balance economic growth with ethical considerations, stating, "While we strive to improve our CSR practices, economic pressures sometimes take precedence." Understanding these cultural influences on ethical standards can help supply chain managers develop more effective strategies for promoting ethical behavior and CSR in their supply chains. Overall, the findings from this research provide a comprehensive understanding of how cultural differences impact various aspects of supply chain collaboration. The insights gained from the interviews underscore the importance of cultural intelligence and adaptability in managing crosscultural interactions, highlighting strategies that supply chain professionals can use to effectively navigate cultural complexities and foster stronger, more productive relationships with their international partners. These findings contribute to the broader literature on culture and supply chain management, offering practical implications for improving communication, trust, negotiation, conflict resolution, risk management, governance, and ethical standards in global supply chains.

5. Discussion

The findings from this study on the role of culture in supply chain collaboration offer significant insights into how cultural differences shape various facets of supply chain management, including communication, trust-building, negotiation, conflict resolution, and risk management. The discussion of these results highlights the complexity and the dynamic nature of cultural influences, emphasizing the need for supply chain professionals to develop cultural intelligence and adaptability to effectively manage cross-cultural collaborations. One of the most striking findings is the profound impact of communication styles on supply chain interactions. The distinction between high-context and low-context communication, as articulated by Hall (1976), is particularly relevant. High-context cultures, which rely on implicit messages and contextual understanding, can create challenges for partners from low-context cultures who expect explicit and direct communication. This discrepancy can lead to misunderstandings and inefficiencies in information exchange, potentially disrupting supply chain operations. For instance, a U.S.-based company might misinterpret the indirect communication style of a Japanese partner as a lack of transparency or urgency, leading to misaligned expectations and delays in decision-making. This highlights the importance of cultural sensitivity in communication practices, where understanding and respecting different communication norms can enhance collaboration and reduce friction. Trust-building, another critical element of supply chain collaboration, is also deeply influenced by cultural norms. The preference for formal agreements and documented procedures in high uncertainty avoidance cultures contrasts with the reliance on personal relationships and demonstrated competence in low uncertainty avoidance cultures. This divergence can affect how trust is developed and maintained in cross-cultural supply chains. For example, a German firm may insist on detailed contracts and formal risk assessments to establish trust with a partner, whereas an Australian firm might prioritize building trust through personal interactions and ongoing performance. These differences underscore the need for supply chain managers to tailor their trust-building strategies to align with the cultural expectations of their partners, fostering more effective and sustainable relationships. The variation in negotiation strategies across cultures further illustrates the impact of cultural differences on supply chain collaboration. In collectivist cultures, the emphasis on consensus and relationship maintenance contrasts with the competitive and assertive negotiation styles prevalent in individualistic cultures. This can lead to differing negotiation tactics and outcomes, where collectivist negotiators may seek collaborative solutions and long-term partnerships, while individualistic negotiators may focus on maximizing immediate gains and contract terms. Understanding these cultural preferences can help supply chain professionals develop more effective negotiation strategies that accommodate the needs and expectations of their partners, facilitating more constructive and mutually beneficial agreements. Conflict resolution approaches are similarly shaped by cultural norms, influencing how supply chain partners address and resolve disputes. The preference for hierarchical and mediated conflict resolution in high power distance cultures differs from the direct and egalitarian approaches favored in low power distance cultures. This can impact the efficiency and effectiveness of conflict resolution processes, as partners may have different expectations regarding the appropriate methods for addressing conflicts. For instance, an Indian firm may rely on senior managers to mediate disputes, preserving harmony and respect for authority, while a Danish firm might prefer open discussions and collaborative problem-solving. Recognizing these cultural differences can help supply chain managers design conflict resolution mechanisms that align with the cultural context of their partners, enhancing the ability to resolve disputes swiftly and amicably. Adaptation to cultural changes and the evolving nature of cultural identities is another key aspect of effective supply chain collaboration. As globalization continues to influence business practices, cultures are increasingly dynamic, blending traditional norms with new influences. This requires supply chain professionals to continuously update their understanding of cultural practices and adapt their strategies accordingly. The concept of cultural hybridity, as discussed by Brannen and Doz (2012), highlights the need for

flexibility and responsiveness in managing cross-cultural interactions. For instance, as Chinese companies integrate Western management practices, they must balance these new practices with traditional cultural values, adapting their approaches to align with the evolving cultural landscape. This adaptability is crucial for navigating the complexities of cross-cultural supply chain interactions and fostering resilient and innovative supply chain partnerships. The role of cultural intelligence in managing cultural differences is a recurring theme in the findings. Participants with high cultural intelligence demonstrated a greater ability to understand and adapt to cultural differences, leading to more effective communication, negotiation, and conflict resolution. This underscores the value of cultural intelligence as a critical competency for supply chain professionals. By developing cultural intelligence, supply chain managers can enhance their ability to navigate cultural complexities, build trust, and foster more productive relationships with their partners. This aligns with the broader literature on cultural intelligence, which emphasizes its importance in achieving successful crosscultural interactions and collaborations (Earley & Ang, 2003; Ang et al., 2007). The impact of cultural differences on supply chain risk management further illustrates the importance of cultural awareness in supply chain collaboration. The preference for formal risk assessment and mitigation strategies in high uncertainty avoidance cultures contrasts with the flexible and adaptive approaches favored in low uncertainty avoidance cultures. This can affect how supply chain partners perceive and manage risks, influencing the overall resilience and stability of supply chains. For example, a Japanese firm may prioritize detailed risk analysis and contingency planning, while an American firm might focus on agility and responsiveness to adapt to unforeseen challenges. Understanding these cultural differences in risk perception and management can help supply chain managers develop more effective risk mitigation strategies that align with the cultural context of their partners. The findings also highlight the influence of cultural differences on governance and control mechanisms within supply chains. The preference for centralized decision-making and hierarchical structures in high power distance cultures contrasts with the decentralized and participative decision-making processes in low power distance cultures. This can impact the flow of information and decision-making within supply chains, affecting coordination and efficiency. For instance, an Indian firm with a hierarchical governance structure may experience slower decision-making processes, while a Danish firm with a participative approach may benefit from faster and more collaborative decision-making. Recognizing these cultural differences in governance and control mechanisms can help supply chain managers design more effective organizational structures and processes that align with the cultural context of their partners. The role of culture in shaping ethical standards and practices within supply chains is another important consideration. Cultural norms and values influence perceptions of ethical behavior and corporate social responsibility (CSR), affecting how supply chain partners address issues such as labor practices, environmental sustainability, and fair trade. This can lead to varying approaches to CSR and ethical considerations in different cultural contexts. For example, a Scandinavian firm may emphasize environmental responsibility and ethical conduct as central to its supply chain decisions, while a Southeast Asian firm may prioritize economic growth with ethical considerations as a secondary focus. Understanding these cultural influences on ethical standards can help supply chain managers develop more effective strategies for promoting ethical behavior and CSR in their supply chains, fostering sustainable and socially responsible supply chain practices.

Overall, the discussion of these findings highlights the multifaceted and dynamic nature of cultural influences on supply chain collaboration. The insights gained from this research underscore the importance of cultural intelligence, adaptability, and cultural sensitivity in managing cross-cultural supply chain interactions. By developing a deep understanding of cultural differences and leveraging this knowledge to build stronger, more productive relationships with their international partners, supply chain professionals can enhance their ability to navigate the complexities of global supply chains and achieve more effective and sustainable outcomes. The findings contribute to the broader literature on culture and supply chain management, offering practical implications for improving communication, trust, negotiation, conflict resolution, risk management, governance, and ethical

6. Conclusion

standards in global supply chains.

The exploration of cultural influences in supply chain collaboration provides profound insights into the complexities that shape global supply chain dynamics. This study has illuminated how communication styles, trust-building practices, negotiation strategies, conflict resolution approaches, and risk management are all deeply affected by cultural differences. Understanding and managing these cultural factors are essential for fostering effective and sustainable supply chain partnerships in an increasingly interconnected world. The findings reveal that communication styles are a pivotal aspect of supply chain collaboration, with high-context and low-context communication norms presenting distinct challenges. In high-context cultures, where indirect communication prevails, partners from low-context cultures may struggle with interpreting nuanced messages, potentially leading to misunderstandings and delays. Conversely, the direct communication style of low-context cultures may be perceived as blunt or confrontational by high-context partners. These disparities underscore the importance of cultural sensitivity in communication, where appreciating and adapting to different communication norms can significantly enhance the efficiency and clarity of information exchange in cross-cultural supply chains. Trust-building is another critical area where cultural norms play a substantial role. High uncertainty avoidance cultures tend to emphasize formal agreements and procedural rigor to establish trust, whereas low uncertainty avoidance cultures prioritize personal relationships and demonstrated reliability. These divergent approaches to trustbuilding can influence how supply chain partners negotiate terms, share information, and collaborate on joint initiatives. The ability to tailor trust-building strategies to align with the cultural expectations of partners is crucial for developing strong, resilient supply chain relationships. Negotiation strategies also vary significantly across cultures, reflecting underlying cultural values such as collectivism versus individualism. In collectivist cultures, negotiation often focuses on achieving consensus and maintaining harmonious relationships, while in individualistic cultures, the emphasis is on assertiveness and securing advantageous terms. These differences can affect negotiation tactics and outcomes, highlighting the need for supply chain professionals to develop culturally attuned negotiation strategies that consider the preferences and expectations of their partners. This approach can facilitate more constructive and mutually beneficial agreements, enhancing the effectiveness of supply chain negotiations. Conflict resolution approaches are similarly influenced by cultural norms, with high power distance cultures favoring hierarchical and mediated solutions, and low power distance cultures preferring direct and egalitarian methods. Understanding these cultural differences can help supply chain managers design conflict resolution mechanisms that align with their partners' cultural contexts, improving the ability to resolve disputes efficiently and amicably. Effective conflict resolution is essential for maintaining smooth operations and collaborative relationships in crosscultural supply chains. The adaptability to cultural changes and the dynamic nature of cultural identities emerged as a crucial factor for successful supply chain collaboration. As globalization and technological advancements continue to reshape cultural norms, supply chain professionals must remain flexible and responsive to evolving cultural contexts. This adaptability enables them to navigate the complexities of cross-cultural interactions effectively, fostering innovation and resilience in supply chain partnerships. Developing cultural intelligence, which encompasses the ability to understand and adapt to cultural differences, is therefore a critical competency for managing crosscultural supply chains. Cultural differences also impact supply chain risk management, with varying approaches to risk perception and mitigation observed across cultures. High uncertainty avoidance cultures tend to adopt formal risk assessment and contingency planning, while low uncertainty avoidance cultures emphasize flexibility and responsiveness. Recognizing these cultural differences in risk management can help supply chain managers develop more effective risk mitigation strategies that align with their partners' cultural perspectives, enhancing the overall resilience and stability of supply chains. The influence of cultural differences on governance and control mechanisms within supply chains further underscores the importance of cultural awareness. High power distance cultures often exhibit centralized decision-making and hierarchical structures, whereas low power distance cultures favor decentralized and participative processes. These differences can affect the flow of information and decision-making within supply chains, impacting coordination and efficiency. Understanding and adapting to these cultural variations can help supply chain managers

design organizational structures and processes that align with the cultural context of their partners, facilitating more effective collaboration and decision-making. The role of culture in shaping ethical standards and practices within supply chains is another key finding. Cultural norms and values influence perceptions of ethical behavior and corporate social responsibility (CSR), affecting how supply chain partners address issues such as labor practices, environmental sustainability, and fair trade. These cultural influences highlight the need for supply chain managers to develop culturally sensitive strategies for promoting ethical behavior and CSR, fostering sustainable and socially responsible supply chain practices that align with the values and expectations of their partners. In conclusion, this study underscores the significant impact of cultural differences on various aspects of supply chain collaboration. The insights gained highlight the importance of cultural intelligence, adaptability, and cultural sensitivity in managing cross-cultural interactions. By developing a deep understanding of cultural differences and leveraging this knowledge to build stronger, more productive relationships with their international partners, supply chain professionals can enhance their ability to navigate the complexities of global supply chains and achieve more effective and sustainable outcomes. These findings contribute to the broader literature on culture and supply chain management, offering practical implications for improving communication, trust, negotiation, conflict resolution, risk management, governance, and ethical standards in global supply chains.

References

- 1. Al-Aomar, R., & Weriakat, D. (2018). A framework for selecting a supplier portfolio for pharmaceutical manufacturing. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 46, 59-69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2017.12.004
- 2. Ang, S., Van Dyne, L., Koh, C., Ng, K. Y., Templer, K. J., Tay, C., & Chandrasekar, N. A. (2007). Cultural intelligence: Its measurement and effects on cultural judgment and decision making, cultural adaptation and task performance. Management and Organization Review, 3(3), 335-371. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8784.2007.00082.x
- 3. Barratt, M., Choi, T. Y., & Li, M. (2011). Qualitative case studies in operations management: Trends, research outcomes, and future research implications. Journal of Operations Management, 29(4), 329-342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2010.06.002
- 4. Boso, N., Story, V. M., & Cadogan, J. W. (2013). Entrepreneurial orientation, market orientation, network ties, and performance: Study of entrepreneurial firms in a developing economy. Journal of Business Venturing, 28(6), 708-727. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2013.04.001
- 5. Brannen, M. Y., & Doz, Y. L. (2012). The role of language in shaping international expansion strategies: The impact of multi-lingualism on the development of cross-cultural skills and insights. Journal of International Business Studies, 43(5), 595-619. https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2012.9
- 6. Brett, J. M. (2000). Culture and negotiation. International Journal of Psychology, 35(2), 97-104. https://doi.org/10.1080/002075900399385
- 7. Emon, M.M.H., & Khan, T. (2023). The Impact of Cultural Norms on Sustainable Entrepreneurship Practices in SMEs of Bangladesh. Indonesian Journal of Innovation and Applied Sciences (IJIAS), 3(3), 201–209.
- 8. Carter, C. R., & Jennings, M. M. (2002). Social responsibility and supply chain relationships. Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 38(1), 37-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1366-5545(01)00008-4
- 9. Chen, I. J., & Paulraj, A. (2004). Understanding supply chain management: Critical research and a theoretical framework. International Journal of Production Research, 42(1), 131-163. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207540310001602865
- 10. Christopher, M. (2016). Logistics & supply chain management. Pearson.
- 11. Cui, L., Hertz, S., & Su, S. I. (2020). How culture matters in establishing a corporate social responsibility initiative: A case study in China. Journal of Business Research, 108, 307-315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.12.036
- 12. Danese, P., & Romano, P. (2011). Supply chain integration and efficiency performance: A study on the interactions between customer and supplier integration. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 16(4), 220-230. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541111139044
- 13. Dyer, J. H., & Singh, H. (1998). The relational view: Cooperative strategy and sources of interorganizational competitive advantage. Academy of Management Review, 23(4), 660-679. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1998.1255632
- 14. Earley, P. C., & Ang, S. (2003). Cultural Intelligence: Individual Interactions Across Cultures. Stanford Business Books.

- 16. Flynn, B. B., Huo, B., & Zhao, X. (2010). The impact of supply chain integration on performance: A contingency and configuration approach. Journal of Operations Management, 28(1), 58-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2009.06.001
- 17. Emon, M.H., & Nipa, M.N. (2024). Exploring the Gender Dimension in Entrepreneurship Development: A Systematic Literature Review in the Context of Bangladesh. Westcliff International Journal of Applied Research, 8(1), 34–49.
- 18. Fynes, B., de Búrca, S., & Marshall, D. (2004). Environmental uncertainty, supply chain relationship quality and performance. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 10(4-5), 179-190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2004.11.003
- 19. Giunipero, L. C., Hooker, R. E., & Denslow, D. (2012). Purchasing and supply management sustainability: Drivers and barriers. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 18(4), 258-269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2012.06.003
- 20. Golicic, S. L., & Mentzer, J. T. (2006). An empirical examination of relationship magnitude and its role in determining relational outcomes in logistics outsourcing relationships. Journal of Business Logistics, 27(2), 81-108. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2006.tb00220.x
- 21. Grawe, S. J. (2009). Logistics innovation: A literature-based conceptual framework. International Journal of Logistics Management, 20(3), 360-377. https://doi.org/10.1108/09574090911002884
- 22. Hall, E. T. (1976). Beyond Culture. Anchor Books.
- 23. Handfield, R. B., & Nichols Jr., E. L. (2002). Supply chain redesign: Transforming supply chains into integrated value systems. Prentice Hall.
- 24. Hofstede, G. (1980). Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values. SAGE Publications.
- 25. Hofstede, G. (2001). Culture's Consequences: Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions, and Organizations Across Nations (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- 26. Hofstede, G. (2001). Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations. Sage.
- 27. Holweg, M., Disney, S., Holmström, J., & Småros, J. (2005). Supply chain collaboration: Making sense of the strategy continuum. European Management Journal, 23(2), 170-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2005.02.008
- 28. Huo, B., Zhang, C., & Zhao, X. (2015). The impact of supply chain integration on firm performance: The moderating role of competitive strategy. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 20(4), 369-384. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-01-2015-0020
- 29. Jiang, B., & Qureshi, A. (2006). Research on outsourcing results: Current literature and future opportunities. Management Decision, 44(1), 44-55. https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740610641454
- 30. Ketchen, D. J., & Hult, G. T. M. (2007). Bridging organization theory and supply chain management: The case of best value supply chains. Journal of Operations Management, 25(2), 573-580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2006.05.010
- 31. Emon, M.M.H., Khan, T., & Siam, S.A.J. (2024). Quantifying the influence of supplier relationship management and supply chain performance: an investigation of Bangladesh's manufacturing and service sectors. Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management, 21(2), 2015. https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2015.2024
- 32. Khan, O., & Burnes, B. (2007). Risk and supply chain management: Creating a research agenda. International Journal of Logistics Management, 18(2), 197-216. https://doi.org/10.1108/09574090710816931
- 33. Lambert, D. M., & Cooper, M. C. (2000). Issues in supply chain management. Industrial Marketing Management, 29(1), 65-83. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-8501(99)00113-3
- 34. Li, S., Rao, S. S., Ragu-Nathan, T. S., & Ragu-Nathan, B. (2005). Development and validation of a measurement instrument for studying supply chain management practices. Journal of Operations Management, 23(6), 618-641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2005.01.002
- 35. Lin, H. F., & Lin, S. M. (2019). The effect of supply chain collaboration on competitive capabilities and organizational performance. International Journal of Production Economics, 223, 107522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.107522
- 36. Locke, K. (2001). Grounded theory in management research. Sage.
- 37. Maloni, M. J., & Brown, M. E. (2006). Corporate social responsibility in the supply chain: An application in the food industry. Journal of Business Ethics, 68(1), 35-52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-006-9038-0
- 38. Matthyssens, P., & Vandenbempt, K. (2008). Moving from basic offerings to value-added solutions: Strategies, barriers and alignment. Industrial Marketing Management, 37(3), 316-328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2007.07.008

- 39. McCarter, M. W., & Northcraft, G. B. (2007). Happy together? Insights and implications of viewing managed supply chains as a social dilemma. Journal of Operations Management, 25(2), 498-511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2006.05.006
- 40. Mentzer, J. T., Foggin, J. H., & Golicic, S. L. (2000). Collaboration: The enablers, impediments, and benefits. Supply Chain Management Review, 4(4), 52-58.
- 41. Rahman, M. A., Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., Bukari, Z., & Nath, A. (2024). The New Marketing Paradigm: From Traditional to Digital. In Notion Press.
- 42. Narasimhan, R., & Kim, S. W. (2002). Effect of supply chain integration on the relationship between diversification and performance: Evidence from Japanese and Korean firms. Journal of Operations Management, 20(3), 303-323. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(02)00008-6
- 43. Patton, M. Q. (2002). Qualitative research and evaluation methods (3rd ed.). Sage.
- 44. Paulraj, A., Lado, A. A., & Chen, I. J. (2008). Inter-organizational communication as a relational competency: Antecedents and performance outcomes in collaborative buyer–supplier relationships. Journal of Operations Management, 26(1), 45-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2007.04.001
- 45. Romano, P. (2003). Co-ordination and integration mechanisms to manage logistics processes across supply networks. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 9(3), 119-134. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1478-4092(03)00005-0
- 46. Rosenzweig, E. D., Roth, A. V., & Dean Jr., J. W. (2003). The influence of an integration strategy on competitive capabilities and business performance: An exploratory study of consumer products manufacturers. Journal of Operations Management, 21(4), 437-456. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(03)00037-8
- 47. Sako, M., & Helper, S. (1998). Determinants of trust in supplier relations: Evidence from the automotive industry in Japan and the United States. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 34(3), 387-417. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2681(97)00082-6
- 48. Squire, B., Cousins, P. D., Lawson, B., & Brown, S. (2009). The effect of supplier manufacturing capabilities on buyer responsiveness: The role of collaboration. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 29(8), 766-788. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570910977668
- 49. Srivastava, S. K. (2007). Green supply-chain management: A state-of-the-art literature review. International Journal of Management Reviews, 9(1), 53-80. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2007.00202.x
- 50. Ting-Toomey, S. (2005). The matrix of face: An updated face-negotiation theory. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), Theorizing About Intercultural Communication (pp. 71-92). SAGE Publications.
- 51. Emon, M. M. H., Khan, T., Rahman, M. A., Bukari, Z., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). Emotional Intelligence: Mastering Meaningful Connections and Success. Notion Press.
- 52. Tran, L. T. T., & Pham, H. C. (2021). The impact of culture on supply chain collaboration: A literature review. Journal of Global Operations and Strategic Sourcing, 14(4), 555-576. https://doi.org/10.1108/JGOSS-05-2020-0025
- 53. Tsai, W. (2001). Knowledge transfer in intraorganizational networks: Effects of network position and absorptive capacity on business unit innovation and performance. Academy of Management Journal, 44(5), 996-1004. https://doi.org/10.5465/3069443
- 54. van Hoek, R. I. (2001). The contribution of performance measurement to the expansion of third party logistics alliances in the supply chain. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 21(1/2), 15-29. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570110358477
- 55. Walker, H., & Jones, N. (2012). Sustainable supply chain management across the UK private sector. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 17(1), 15-28. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541211212177
- 56. Wathne, K. H., & Heide, J. B. (2000). Opportunism in interfirm relationships: Forms, outcomes, and solutions. Journal of Marketing, 64(4), 36-51. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.64.4.36.18070
- 57. Zhang, Y., Zhang, M., & Cai, X. (2021). The effects of cultural differences on global supply chain resilience: A comprehensive framework. Journal of Business Research, 131, 153-166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.03.016
- 58. Zhao, M., Flynn, B. B., & Roth, A. V. (2007). Decision sciences research in China: Current status, opportunities, and propositions for research in supply chain management, logistics, and quality management. Decision Sciences, 38(1), 39-80. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.2007.00148.x
- 59. Zheng, Y., & Wilding, R. (2019). Exploring the role of cultural intelligence in managing supply chain integration. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 49(1), 87-112. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-04-2018-0157
- 60. Zomorrodi, M., & Parhizgar, K. D. (2014). The role of cultural intelligence in managing supply chain relationships. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 19(5/6), 631-642. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-12-2013-0471

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s)

disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.