

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

# Harnessing Plant-Based Nanoparticles for Targeted Therapy: A Green Approach to Cancer and Bacterial Infections

[Mirela Claudia Rimbu](#) , [Daniel Cord](#) <sup>\*</sup> , [Mihaela Savin](#) , [Alexandru Grigoriu](#) , Mirela Antonela Mihaila ,  
Mona Luciana Gălăţanu , [Viorel Ordeanu](#) , [Mariana Panţuroiu](#) , [Tucureanu Vasilica](#) , [Iuliana Mihalache](#) ,  
[Oana Brincoveanu](#) , [Adina Boldeiu](#) , [Veronica Anastasoae](#) , [Carmen Elisabeta Manea](#) ,  
[Roxana Colette Sandulovici](#) , [Marinela Chirila](#) , [Adina Turcu-Stiolica](#) , [Emilia Amzoiu](#) , [Victor-Eduard Peteu](#) ,  
[Cristiana Tanase](#) , [Bogdan Firtat](#) , [Carmen Marinela Mihăilescu](#) <sup>\*</sup>

Posted Date: 3 July 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202507.0292.v1

Keywords: green nanoparticles; antitumoral; biosynthesis; nanomedicine; antimicrobial; nanoformulation



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

## Article

# Harnessing Plant-Based Nanoparticles for Targeted Therapy: A Green Approach to Cancer and Bacterial Infections

Mirela Claudia Rîmbu <sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel Cord <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Mihaela Savin <sup>3</sup>, Alexandru Grigoroiu <sup>3</sup>, Mirela Antonela Mihăilă <sup>1,4</sup>, Mona Luciana Gălățanu <sup>1</sup>, Viorel Ordeanu <sup>1</sup>, Mariana Panțuroiu <sup>1</sup>, Vasilica Țucureanu <sup>3</sup>, Iuliana Mihalache <sup>3</sup>, Oana Brîncoveanu <sup>3</sup>, Adina Boldeiu <sup>3</sup>, Veronica Anăstăsoaie <sup>3</sup>, Carmen Elisabeta Manea <sup>1,5</sup>, Roxana-Colette Sandulovici <sup>1</sup>, Marinela Chirilă <sup>1</sup>, Adina Turcu-Știolică <sup>6</sup>, Emilia Amzoiu <sup>6</sup>, Victor-Eduard Peteu <sup>7,8</sup>, Cristiana Tănase <sup>9,10</sup>, Bogdan Firtat <sup>3</sup> and Carmen-Marinela Mihăilescu <sup>1,3,11,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Pharmacy Faculty, "Titu Maiorescu" University, 040314 Bucharest, Romania

<sup>2</sup> Medical Doctoral School, Titu Maiorescu University of Bucharest, 040317, Romania

<sup>3</sup> National Institute for Research and Development in Microtechnologies (IMT Bucharest), 072996, Bucharest, Romania

<sup>4</sup> Stefan S. Nicolau Institute of Virology, 030304 Bucharest, Romania

<sup>5</sup> Horia Hulubei Natl Inst R&D Phys & Nucl Engr, 30 Reactorului St, Magurele 077125, Romania

<sup>6</sup> Pharmacoeconomics Department, University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Craiova, Romania

<sup>7</sup> Ultrastructural Pathology and Bioimaging Lab, Institute of Pathology Victor Babeș, Splaiul Independentei 99-101, 050096, Bucharest, Romania

<sup>8</sup> PhD Course in Chemical Engineering and Biotechnologies, Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnologies, Politehnica University of Bucharest, 011061 Bucharest, Romania

<sup>9</sup> Victor Babes National Institute of Pathology, 050096 Bucharest, Romania

<sup>10</sup> Department of Cell Biology and Clinical Biochemistry, Titu Maiorescu University, 031593 Bucharest, Romania

<sup>11</sup> Doctoral School, University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, 200349 Craiova, Romania

\* Correspondence: daniel.cord@prof.utm.ro (D.C); carmen.mihailescu@imt.ro (C.M.M)

## Abstract

**This study investigates the antioxidant, antimicrobial, and antitumor activities of Dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*) and Sweet Wormwood (*Artemisia annua*) extracts, along with the synergistic effects of green-synthesized gold and silver nanoparticles.** Nanoparticle bioreduction was achieved using aqueous and ethanolic plant extracts (100 mg/mL), allowing a comparative solvent analysis. The physicochemical properties of the nanoparticles were characterized using UV-Visible Spectroscopy, Fluorescence Spectroscopy, SEM, DLS, HRTEM, and Zeta Potential Analysis. UV-Visible Spectroscopy provided insights into the optical properties and aggregation state, Fluorescence Spectroscopy helped detect surface modifications, SEM and HRTEM enabled detailed visualization of morphology and structural integrity, while DLS assessed hydrodynamic size and stability. However, each technique has limitations: UV-Visible Spectroscopy cannot provide exact nanoparticle size, SEM may introduce artifacts due to sample preparation, and DLS results can be influenced by particle aggregation. These techniques collectively revealed differences in size, morphology, stability, and biological interactions based on the solvent composition of the nanoparticles, with nanoparticle structure and activity varying significantly even at low ethanol concentrations (3–5%). Biological assays demonstrated that gold nanoparticles from Dandelion exhibited strong antibacterial effects against *Staphylococcus aureus*, while silver nanoparticles from both plants effectively targeted *Escherichia coli*. The antitumor potential of nanoparticles exhibited dependence on the plant source and solvent type, showing enhanced cytotoxicity at higher concentrations. Silver nanoparticles from Dandelion (AgNPs<sub>E<sub>TOH</sub>3%-D</sub>) displayed significant

cytotoxicity against LoVo (colon,  $p = 4.58 \times 10^{-3}$ ) and MDA-MB-231 (breast cancer,  $p = 7.20 \times 10^{-5}$ ) cancer cells, with selectivity indices (SI) surpassing Cis-Pt and DOX at 24 and 48 hours. Gold nanoparticles from aqueous Dandelion extracts (AuNPsEaq-D) had an SI of 2.16 (LoVo,  $p = 1.82 \times 10^{-3}$ ) and 8.41 (MDA-MB-231,  $p = 1.01 \times 10^{-8}$ ), suggesting improved therapeutic selectivity. While some formulations exhibited moderate cytotoxicity and lower selectivity ( $SI < 1.5$ ), MTT assays confirmed strong biological activity, reinforcing the potential of these nanoparticles in cancer therapy. Further in vivo studies, pharmacokinetic profiling, and combination therapies are needed to enhance selectivity and clinical applicability.

**Keywords:** green nanoparticles; antitumoral; biosynthesis; nanomedicine; antimicrobial; nanoformulation

## 1. Introduction

Plants and their natural compounds have been utilized in traditional medicine for centuries and remain a primary source for novel drug development, with many undergoing clinical trials to establish their therapeutic potential. The key constituents responsible for their pharmacological effects are secondary metabolites, including polyphenols (flavonoids, anthocyanidins, quinones, tannins, coumarins, phenolic acids), terpenes, alkaloids, lectins, polycarbohydrates, and polypeptides [1]. Among these, polyphenols are of particular interest due to their broad range of pharmacological activities, including antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, antiviral, antifungal, and anticancer effects [2,3]. Moreover, flavonoids—the most bioactive phenolic compounds—modulate key cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in inflammation, carcinogenesis, and microbial survival, making them promising candidates for phytotherapy and nanomedicine applications.

Two such examples are Dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*) and Sweet Wormwood (*Artemisia annua*), widely distributed and fast-growing plants from the Asteraceae family, found in Romania's wild flora. They have been extensively studied for their medicinal properties, particularly due to their high content of bioactive compounds with antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anticancer activities. Dandelion contains bioactive compounds such as sesquiterpenes, phenolics, terpenoids, sterols, and flavonoids, which contribute to its pharmacological properties [4]. Sesquiterpenoids such as taraxinic acid contribute to both its anti-inflammatory and antibacterial properties. Flavonoids, including apigenin, luteolin, and quercetin, along with flavanol glycosides (e.g., luteolin-7- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranose, quercetin-7- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside), are responsible for anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects [5,6]. Meanwhile, sterols such as taraxasterol,  $\beta$ -sitosterol,  $\beta$ -sitosterol-3-O- $\beta$ -D-glucoside, gigantursenol A, along with novel triterpenoids (lupane, euphane, and bauerane), have been successfully isolated from Dandelion roots, demonstrating antitumor, antioxidant, and antibacterial effects [7]. Additionally, phenolic compounds from Dandelion leaves and flowers, including hydroxycinnamic acid esters (chlorogenic acid, chicoric acid, and mono-caffeoyl tartaric acid), have been recognized for their antidiabetic properties [8,9]. One of the most significant bioactive components of Dandelion is Dandelion polysaccharide (DP), which has been reported to exhibit strong antioxidant, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer properties [4]. Recent studies suggest that DP's physicochemical properties and structural configuration influence its anticancer activity, particularly against hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cells [10,11].

Similarly, Sweet Wormwood (*Artemisia annua*) exhibits numerous therapeutic effects, including antihyperlipidemic, antiplasmodial (malaria treatment), anticonvulsant, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, anticholesterolemic, antiviral, antioxidant, antitumor, and anti-obesity activities [12,13]. Extracts from Sweet Wormwood demonstrated promising antitumor effects by inhibiting human gastric adenocarcinoma (AGS) cell-line proliferation, reducing tumor growth, and inducing apoptosis in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells [14,15]. Recent studies have leveraged the bioactive compounds in Dandelion and Sweet Wormwood for the green synthesis of metallic nanoparticles,

offering enhanced antioxidant, antibacterial, antifungal, and antitumor properties. These nanoparticles have been reported to exhibit enhanced antioxidant, antibacterial, antifungal, and antitumor properties, making them promising candidates for nanomedicine applications [16–18].

Recent advances in nanotechnology have further demonstrated the potential of plant-mediated synthesis of metallic nanoparticles for biomedical applications. Montazersaheb et al. (2024) synthesized silver nanoparticles (Ag-NPs) using pumpkin peel extract and demonstrated their potential as radiosensitizers against triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells. These Ag-NPs enhanced the efficacy of radiotherapy by inducing apoptosis through pathways involving Bax, p53, and the PERK/CHOP axis [19]. Similarly, İpek et al. (2024) utilized *Allium cepa* L. (onion) peel aqueous extract for the green synthesis of gold nanoparticles (Au-NPs). The resulting Au-NPs exhibited significant antipathogenic, antioxidant, and anticholinesterase activities, highlighting the therapeutic potential of plant-mediated nanoparticle synthesis [20,21]. Building on these findings, green-synthesized nanoparticles have shown potent antitumoral properties by inducing apoptosis and inhibiting tumor proliferation [22]. Their effectiveness extends beyond anticancer applications, as comparative studies with conventional chemotherapeutic agents have revealed their potential to enhance drug efficacy while minimizing adverse side effects [23]. Moreover, their broad-spectrum antibacterial activity has been extensively studied, demonstrating significant inhibition of multi-drug-resistant bacteria [24,25]. These findings underscore the therapeutic versatility of green-synthesized nanoparticles, reinforcing their potential as an eco-friendly and efficient alternative to conventional treatments in oncology and antimicrobial therapies. These studies underscore the efficacy of green-synthesized nanoparticles in cancer treatment and antimicrobial applications, providing a foundation for our research on Dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*) and Sweet Wormwood (*Artemisia annua*) extracts in nanoparticle synthesis.

The use of green-synthesized nanoparticles (NPs) in biomedical applications is gaining increasing interest due to their biocompatibility, tunable physicochemical properties, and improved therapeutic efficacy. Additionally, their antioxidant and immunomodulatory properties further support their use in therapeutic applications [26]. Compared to chemically synthesized nanoparticles, green-synthesized NPs offer significant advantages, including reduced toxicity, environmentally friendly production, and improved biological interactions [27]. Several studies have demonstrated the powerful reducing capacity of plant polyphenols in forming metallic nanoparticles [17,28,29]. However, most studies have not quantitatively assessed the presence of phytotherapeutic compounds in the final colloidal solution [30–35]. This lack of data hinders their ability to correlate bioactive compound concentrations with nanoparticle stability and therapeutic effects, limiting reproducibility and standardization. To address this gap, our study systematically quantifies the residual phytotherapeutic compounds after nanoparticle synthesis using dosage methods, FTIR, fluorescence analysis, and UV-Vis spectroscopy. This approach ensures a more accurate understanding of how bioactive compounds influence nanoparticle properties and biological activity, contributing to the optimization of green synthesis for biomedical applications.

The aim of this study is to investigate the therapeutic potential of bioactive compounds derived from Sweet Wormwood (*Artemisia annua*) and Dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*), sourced from Romania's wild flora, and to evaluate their impact on the stability, size, and biological activity of green-synthesized nanoparticles. This research explores the eco-friendly synthesis of silver (Ag) and gold (Au) nanoparticles using aqueous and alcoholic extracts (50:50 and 50:30 water-to-ethanol ratios) from these plants. The physicochemical and biological properties of the synthesized nanoparticles were systematically characterized using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), fluorescence analysis, UV-Vis spectroscopy, Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS), and polydispersity index (PI) measurements. Additionally, biological assessments, including the DPPH assay, agar diffusion method, and MTS cytotoxicity assay, were performed. The antitumoral activity of the nanoparticles was evaluated against MDA-MB-231, LoVo, and HepG2 cancer cell lines. To assess their potential for safer



therapeutic applications, the selectivity index (SI) was determined as a measure of lower toxicity compared to the reference chemotherapeutic drugs, DOX and CisPt.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Plant Material and Preparation of the Plant Extract

The aerial parts of Dandelion (*T. herba*) and Sweet Wormwood (*A. herba*) were collected from Ilfov County, Romania, in June - July 2022, and their voucher specimens were deposited in the Herbarium of the Bucharest Botanical Garden, University of Bucharest, Faculty of Biology, under the reference numbers 410416 and 408939 respectively.. The aerial parts of the two plants were washed, dried in shade at room temperature for seven days and then ground with a mechanical grinder as seen in Figures S1 and S2 from the Supplementary Material (SM). An ethanolic extract was prepared using 1 g of each dried powder of vegetal product (sieved through sieve VI) and 100 mL of ethanol (30° or 50°), heated on a water bath at reflux for 30 minutes and then filtered through Whatman filter paper (Whatman® qualitative filter paper, Grade 1, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). The obtained extracts were then stored at 4° C until analysis.

### 2.2. Green Synthesis and Characterization Methods

For the synthesis of AgNPs using Dandelion extracts, 5 mL of E<sub>ETOH</sub>-D (in 50% or 30% ethanol) where added to 50 mL of 1 mM AgNO<sub>3</sub> (Sigma Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany). The mixtures have been shaken at 60° C for 30 min. A color change to dark brown indicated the formation of silver nanoparticles from ethanolic dandelion extract, which were left covered until characterization at 4-8° C. Similarly, silver nanoparticles were obtained using only the aqueous dandelion extract.

For the synthesis of silver nanoparticles from the ethanolic Sweet Wormwood extract (50% or 30% E<sub>ETOH</sub>-SW extracts), 10 mL of ethanolic Dandelion extract (prepared in 50% or 30% E<sub>ETOH</sub>-SW extracts) was added to 100 mL of 0.5 mM HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (Sigma Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany). The mixture was then shaken at 60°C for 30 minutes. A color change to violet indicated the formation of silver nanoparticles, which were then kept covered at 4–8°C until characterization. Similarly, gold nanoparticles were obtained using only the aqueous sweet wormwood extract, referred to as AuNPsEaq-SW.

#### 2.2.1. UV-VIS Absorption and Fluorescence

UV-VIS properties of the green synthesis were recorded using both plant and their corresponding extract using a U-0080D UV-Vis spectrometer (Hitachi, Japan), while fluorescence emission spectra were recorded with a spectrometer equipped with a 450 W Xenon lamp as an excitation source (model FLS920, Edinburgh Instruments Ltd., UK).

#### 2.2.2. FTIR-ATR

Fourier transform infrared spectrometry (FTIR) was used to study the configurations of chemical bonds in the Ag/ and Au NPs samples from wormwood and Dandelion extracts and the ionic salts from which they were formed (0.5mM HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O and 1mM AgNO<sub>3</sub>). A Bruker Optics Tensor 27 spectrometer equipped with an ATR Platinum accessory with a single reflection diamond crystal was also employed. All samples were traced after 64 scans, with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>, on a spectral domain of 4000 - 400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Each sample was prepared using a drying process at room temperature.

#### 2.2.3. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Nanoparticles were investigated morphologically using Nova NanoSEM 630, a Field Emission Scanning Microscope (FE-SEM) (FEI Company, Massachusetts, USA), with an operating voltage of 5 kV and a magnitude of 40 and 300 kx.

#### 2.2.4. High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

Sample Preparation for Transmission Electron Microscopy was performed using the following sequence. Firstly, Formvar and Carbon coated 100 mesh copper TEM grids were plasma treated to obtain a hydrophilic grid surface, by means of a PELCO easiGlow™, Glow Discharge System. Then, 1 mL of each sample was pipetted in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes and sonicated for 1 to 2 minutes at room temperature, at 59 kHz, in an ultrasonic bath, FALC LBS 2 – 4.5 L. Afterward, 4 µL of each of the sonicated contents were pipetted on the treated TEM grids, slightly blotted with filter paper, and left to dry for 10 minutes. Micrographs were recorded on these grids using the 4k × 4k Ceta camera of a Talos F200c transmission electron microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA), at 200kV, at 92 000x, 240 000x, 390 000x and 650 000x nominal magnifications.

#### 2.2.5. Hydrodynamic and Electrophoretic Light Scattering Measurements

The hydrodynamic diameter and surface charge of the colloidal dispersions were characterized using a Delsa Nano C instrument from Beckman Coulter, USA, by dynamic light scattering (DLS) and electrophoretic light scattering (ELS). Particles were illuminated by a dual 30 mW laser diode, producing time-dependent fluctuations in the intensity of the laser light. The scattered light was collected at 165° for size measurements and 15° for zeta potential measurements (diluted concentration samples), and then measured by a highly sensitive detector. Both measurement types (DLS and ELS) were performed at room temperature, with each sample measurement being performed in triplicate, and with the DelsaTMNano 3.73 software being used for analysis.

#### 2.2.6. Total Polyphenol content and Total Flavonoids content

Total polyphenolic (TPC) content was determined using the Singleton colorimetric method, based on the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (Sigma Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) and gallic acid certified reference material (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) as the standard polyphenol [36]. For this determination, 1 mL of plant extract was mixed with 5 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (previously diluted 10 times with distilled water) and 4 mL of 7.5% sodium carbonate solution (Merck Group, Darmstadt, Germany), with the mixture then stirred and left to stand for 60 minutes in the dark at room temperature. The absorbance of the blue mixture solution was read on a VWR UV-6300 PC Spectrophotometer (VWR International, Wien, Austria) at a wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) of 765 nm. For the quantification of the phenolic content, a calibration curve Equation (1) was established with gallic acid solutions in methanol (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) ranging from 10 to 50 µg/mL:

$$C_{\text{TPC}} = 25.1359 * A \text{ (1) with } R^2 = 0.9994 \text{ (1)}$$

The results were expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent/g dry matter. Each assay was performed in triplicate. The total flavonoid content (TFC) of the two extracts was determined by the aluminum chloride colorimetric method described in the tenth Edition of the Romanian Pharmacopoeia [FRX] [37] plant extracts (10 mL) were diluted with methanol in a 25 mL volumetric flask and then filtered. Subsequently, 5 mL of these diluted extracts were mixed with 5 mL sodium acetate (100 g/L) (Sigma Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany), 3 mL aluminum chloride (25 g/L) (Merck Group, Darmstadt, Germany), and methanol to volume 25 mL. The mixtures were kept in the dark for 15 min at room temperature and their absorbances were measured on a VWR UV-6300 PC Spectrophotometer (VWR International, Wien, Austria), at a wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) of 430 nm, in comparison with a blank prepared in the same way but without the reagents. The concentration of flavonoids was expressed as mg rutin (RE) equivalent/g dry plant material for extracts and in µg/mL for colloidal solution with nanoparticles. All the reagents were of analytical grade, and the solvents were of HPLC quality.

#### 2.3. Description of Samples and Their Abbreviations

All abbreviations used in this study to define the treatments applied to the three tumor cell lines (MDA-MB-231 - human breast adenocarcinoma, HepG2 - human liver cancer, LoVo - human colon

adenocarcinoma) and to the normal human endothelial cell line (HUVEC) are listed and explained below in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Sample Abbreviations for Dandelion and Sweet Wormwood Extracts.

Abbreviation	Description
Eaq-D	Aqueous dandelion extract
E <sub>ETOH</sub> -D	Ethanolic dandelion extract
Eaq-SW	Aqueous sweet wormwood extract
E <sub>ETOH</sub> -SW	Ethanolic sweet wormwood extract
D-NPs	Dandelion-derived nanoparticles (D-NPs)
AgNPsEaq-D	Silver nanoparticles from aqueous dandelion extract
AgNPsE <sub>ETOH</sub> -D	Silver nanoparticles from ethanolic dandelion extract
SW-NPs	Sweet Wormwood-derived nanoparticles
AgNPsEaq-SW	Silver nanoparticles from aqueous sweet wormwood extract
AgNPsE <sub>ETOH</sub> -SW	Silver nanoparticles from ethanolic sweet wormwood extract
AuNPsEaq-D	Gold nanoparticles from aqueous dandelion extract
AuNPsE <sub>ETOH</sub> -D	Gold nanoparticles from ethanolic dandelion extract
AuNPsEaq-SW	Gold nanoparticles from aqueous sweet wormwood extract
AuNPsE <sub>ETOH</sub> -SW	Gold nanoparticles from ethanolic sweet wormwood extract
Mikrozyd	Mikrozyd® (60% alcohol-based)- positive control
CisPt	Cisplatin
DOX	Doxorubicin

**Note:** If nanoparticles were obtained from an extract containing **50% or 30% ethanol**, the ethanol concentration present in the colloidal nanoparticle solution is indicated next to **ETOH** (e.g., E<sub>ETOH5%</sub>-D for ethanolic dandelion extract containing 50% ethanol).

## 2.4. Biological Tests

### 2.4.1. Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity of the two indigenous plants was analyzed using the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging assay. The method is based on the change in colour of the DPPH solution from violet to yellow when it is reduced by a proton donor (an antioxidant). For this analysis, 100 mg of DPPH (Sigma Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) was diluted with methanol in a 200 mL volumetric flask. 1 mL of the sample was mixed with 5 mL of the diluted DPPH and methanol up to 25 mL. After incubating for 30 minutes in the dark at room temperature, the absorbance was measured spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 517 nm. The scavenging ability of the two extracts was calculated using the DPPH scavenging activity % Formula (2):

$$\text{DPPH}\% = \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

where  $\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}$  is the absorbance of diluted DPPH;  $\text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}$  is the absorbance of the sample extracts with DPPH [38,39]. Each assay was performed in triplicate.

### 2.4.2. Antibacterial and Antifungal Activity

The microorganisms used for testing have been sourced from the international ATCC collection and/or the Pharmaceutical Microbiology Laboratory sub-collection of wild (environmental) strains or from clinical samples. The equipment was accessed through the endowment of the Pharmaceutical Microbiology Laboratory, including installations, inventory items, glassware, instruments, laboratory consumables, specific reagents, protective equipment, various sanitary materials. Working Methods: The agar diffusion method was used to evaluate the antimicrobial pharmacological effect of obtained extracts. The sterility and their own microbial load of the extracts was also analyzed determining their microbial load (bacterial and fungi counting) and conducting specific physico-chemical and antimicrobial pharmacological effect tests. These tests were adapted to comply with current regulations [32].

**The antimicrobial effect** was evaluated by measuring in millimeters the diameter of the *Microbial Inhibition Zone* (IZ) around the substance, expressed by the arithmetic mean of the measurement in two dimensions (maximum and minimum diameters) with the precision of tenths of a millimeter. The rules of Good Microbiology Laboratory Practice were applied, the description of the techniques used to be briefly presented for each individual experiment [40,41].

**For antibacterial activity**, selected opportunistic pathogens bacterial strains, namely *Staphylococcus aureus* a Gram-positive coccus; *Escherichia coli* a Gram-negative bacillus, Enterobacteriaceae family; *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* a Gram-negative bacillus, non-Enterobacteriaceae family and *Bacillus subtilis* a Gram-positive Bacillaceae family were cultured in Petri dishes  $\Phi$  10 with Mueller-Hinton Agar. The culture medium was swabbed with bacterial suspension, using sterile swabs and afterwards 6 inert cellulose biodiscs with 10  $\mu\text{L}$  of test substance each and 6 microcylinders with 100  $\mu\text{L}$  each sample was applied. The application was made using a standard template to ensure uniformity. Aerobic incubation was performed at 37°C on the 1st, 2nd and 3rd days, and measuring the diameter of the growth inhibition zone around the extracts for calculating the MCI was done in  $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{L}$ .

**For the antifungal activity**, several species of emerging fungal pathogens, including yeasts, like *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Candida albicans* and filamentous fungi such as *Penicillium sp.* and *Aspergillus sp.* were tested, using a Sabouraud Agar Medium. The culture media were swabbed with the fungal suspensions, using sterile swabs and afterwards 6 inert cellulose biodiscs with 10  $\mu\text{L}$  of test substance each and 6 microcylinders with 100  $\mu\text{L}$  each sample were applied. The application was made using a standard template to ensure uniformity. The Petri dishes were incubated at 26°C, aerobically for 24 hours to 1 week, depending on the fungal strain and after the incubation period the results were read and interpreted, by measuring the diameter of the growth inhibition zone around the extracts.



The MIC was calculated in  $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$  (equivalent to  $\text{mg}/\text{ml}$  or  $\text{g}/\text{l}$ ) for each substance on each microbial strain by evaluating the volume ( $V$ ) into which the substance diffused, using Formula (3) where  $R$  is the radius of the IZ (half the diameter) and  $h$  is the thickness of the culture medium.

$$V = \pi \cdot R^2 \cdot h \quad (3)$$

#### 2.4.3. Antitumoral Tests

For each of the three plant extracts studied (Dandelion - D, Sweet Wormwood - SW), both aqueous and alcoholic extracts were subjected to successive serial dilutions in deionized water at the following ratios: 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:8, 1:16, 1:32, 1:64, and 1:128. The diluted samples were then used for the MTS cytotoxicity assay.

Similarly, samples containing silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) and gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) were prepared by serial dilutions following the same 1:1 to 1:128 dilution scheme in deionized water. To establish a reference for antitumor activity, two control groups were used, consisting of the standard chemotherapeutic drugs DOX and Cis-Pt. These control samples were prepared under the same dilution conditions as the test samples.

All cytotoxicity results obtained for each plant extract, plant extract-nanoparticle complex (obtained from aqueous or ethanolic extracts of D or SW) were compared to the anticancer activity of these two clinically used synthetic drugs.

- Cell Cultures and Treatments

The potential cytotoxic activity of the studied extracts was evaluated on two adherent human cancer cell lines standardized against normal human endothelial cells and compared with the cytotoxicity of oncolytic drugs commonly used for cancer treatment. The human breast adenocarcinoma cell line MDA-MB-231 was purchased from the European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (ECACC, Catalogue No. 92020424), while the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cancer cell line LoVo (Catalogue No. CCL-229<sup>TM</sup>), human umbilical vein endothelial cells HUVEC (Catalogue No. CRL-1730<sup>TM</sup>) and the human liver cancer cell line HepG2 (Catalogue no. HB-8065<sup>TM</sup>) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Control drug, Cis-diammineplatinum(II) dichloride (CisPt) and DOX were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All working solutions for the control drugs were prepared from stock solutions of 1 mM DOX and Cis-Pt, respectively, by serial dilutions in culture media before each experiment. Adherent cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin, 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  streptomycin (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, Mo, USA) and incubated at 37<sup>o</sup> C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After 24 h, when the cells reached approximately 60% confluence, they were treated with different concentrations of the extracts for different periods of time. The cells in the flasks were then detached with a nonenzymatic solution of PBS/1 mM EDTA, washed twice in PBS, and used for proliferation/cytotoxicity assays. Untreated cells were designated as control cells.

- MTS Cytotoxicity Assay

To evaluate the cytotoxicity induced by the extracts, cell proliferation assays with an CellTiter 96 aqueous solution (Promega Corporation 2800 Woods Hollow Road Madison, WI 53711 USA) were used, which is an MTS (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxy-phenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium, inner salt) colorimetric assay. All assays were performed in triplicate in flat-bottom 96-well microtiter plates (Falcon, Teterboro, NJ, USA). The method is based on the capacity of metabolically active cells to reduce the yellow tetrazolium salt MTS to the colored formazan, a compound that is soluble in the culture medium.  $1 \times 10^4$  cancer or normal cells/well were cultured in 100  $\mu\text{l}$  for 24h and treated for an additional 24h or 48h with increasing concentrations of extracts or oncolytic drugs.

After incubation, 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of coloring mixture reagent MTS was added in each well, and then the plates were incubated at 37<sup>o</sup>C for 4h, with mild agitation every 20 min. The color developed during

incubation, and it was spectrophotometrically quantified at  $\lambda = 492$  nm by using a Dynex ELISA reader (DYNEX Technologies–MRS, Chantilly, VA, USA). The percentage of viability compared to untreated cells (considered 100% viable) was calculated with the Formula (4):

$$\text{Cell lysis (\%)} = 100 - \frac{T-B}{U-B} \times 100 \quad (4)$$

where, T = absorbance of treated cells, U = absorbance of untreated cells and B = absorbance of culture medium (blank), for  $\lambda = 492$  nm.

Cell lysis data was expressed as the mean values  $\pm$  standard deviations (SD) of three different experiments.

#### 2.4.4. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using Python 3.12 to evaluate the statistical differences of the prepared compounds ( $\text{E}_{\text{EOTH30\%-SW}}$ ,  $\text{AgNPs}_{\text{E}_{\text{EOTH3\%-SW}}}$ ,  $\text{AgNPs}_{\text{E}_{\text{EOTH3\%-D}}}$ ,  $\text{AuNPs}_{\text{E}_{\text{aq-D}}}$  and  $\text{AuNPs}_{\text{E}_{\text{EOTH3\%-D}}}$ ) in comparison with the control ones (Cis-Pt, DOX) on a per cell type (HUVEC, LoVo, MDA-MB, HepG2) basis. The assumption of continuity of variables and absence of outliers conditions for statistical analysis have been observed, however due to the dependence of samples (dilutions, measurements at 24 h and 48 h) a repeated measure statistical method needs to be employed. Moreover, with Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for data normality being invalidated ( $p < 0.05$ ), a non-parametric statistical test has been decided upon (Friedman test) followed by a post hoc test (Siegel-Castellan) to assess the source of the statistical difference. Analysis has been implemented using the `scipy.stats` and `scikit_posthoc` libraries. Statistical significance was set at  $\alpha = 0.05$ , with results being considered significant when  $p < \alpha$ .

#### 2.4.5. Determination of Half Maximal Inhibitory Concentration and Selectivity Index

The Half Maximal Inhibitory Concentration (IC50) value was determined using Python 3.12 by fitting a four-parameter logistic regression (4PL) curve to the data through the `scipy curve_fit` function. IC50 represents the concentration at which 50% of the cells are inhibited compared to untreated controls. These curves depict variations in cell survival, reflecting either increased cytotoxicity or reduced proliferation. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50), which indicates the concentration required to achieve a 50% reduction in viable cells, is a key parameter for evaluating the pharmacological and biological effects of a treatment. IC50 values are typically reported as mean  $\pm$  standard error, with error estimation derived from a four-parameter logistic (4PL) regression model. In this study, the regression was performed using Python, specifically utilizing the `scipy.optimize.curve_fit` function. The Selectivity Index (SI) was calculated to determine how selective each compound was for cancer cells relative to normal cells[42]. The formula used was:

$$\text{SI} = \frac{\text{IC50}_{\text{HUVEC}}}{\text{IC50}_{\text{Cancer cell}}}$$

If  $\text{SI} > 2-3$ , it indicates high selectivity for cancer cells (desirable). If  $\text{SI} \approx 1$ , it means no selectivity, affecting both normal and cancer cells. If  $\text{SI} < 1$ , the compounds are more toxic to normal cells than to cancer cells (undesirable). Each compound's SI was calculated for both LoVo and MDA-MB cells using HUVEC IC50 values as a reference.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. UV-VIS Spectra Characterization

The UV-VIS spectrophotometric analysis monitored the formation of silver and gold nanoparticles reduced by Dandelion and Sweet Wormwood extracts. Absorption spectra of extracts (denoted as, I-for Sweet Wormwood and II- for Dandelion), silver nitrate (I - blue curve), chloroauric acid (II - red curve), were recorded from 200-700 nm and are presented in Figure 1a. Figure 1b-e shows the UV-VIS spectra obtained for the nanoparticle solutions formed through bioreduction with

aqueous or alcoholic extracts from the two plants. In Figure 1b, the UV-VIS spectrum obtained for AgNPsEaqD (curve I) is compared with the UV-VIS spectrum after bioreduction using the alcoholic Dandelion extract, AgNPsE<sub>EtOH</sub>D (curve II), with a 1:10 ratio of aqueous Dandelion extract to 1 mM AgNO<sub>3</sub>. Figure 1c includes the UV-VIS spectra for gold nanoparticles obtained from the aqueous Dandelion extract, AuNPSEaqD (I), compared with gold nanoparticles obtained after bioreduction using the alcoholic Dandelion extract, AuNPSE<sub>EtOH</sub>D (II). Similarly, Figure 1d presents the UV-VIS spectra for silver nanoparticles reduced with Wormwood aqueous extract, AgNPsEaqSW (I), and alcoholic extract, AgNPsE<sub>EtOH</sub>SW (II). For Wormwood gold nanoparticles, the spectra are shown in Figure 1e, with aqueous extract indicated as AuNPSEaqSW (I) and the alcoholic extract as AuNPSE<sub>EtOH</sub>SW (II).

**Figure 1.** (a) UV- vis spectra of : (I ) sweet wormwood (black) and dandelion (magenta) extracts in ethanol and (II) of 1mM AgNO<sub>3</sub> (blue) and for 0.5mM HAuCl<sub>4</sub> x3H<sub>2</sub>O (red); (b) UV- VIS spectra of AgNPsEaqD colloidal solution (I ) and AgNPsE<sub>EtOH</sub>D colloidal solution (II) (with inset Figure1b: picture with color change during silver NPs formation to brown ); (c) UV- VIS spectra of (I) AuNPSEaqD colloidal solution and for AuNPSE<sub>EtOH</sub>D colloidal solution n(II) (with inset Figure 1c: color change during gold NPs formation to violet ); (d) UV- vis spectra of : (I) AgNPsEaqSW colloidal solution and AgNPsE<sub>EtOH</sub>SW (II) colloidal solution (inset-collor change during silver NPs formation to brown); (e) UV- vis spectra of AuNPSEaqSW colloidal solution (I) and AuNPSE<sub>EtOH</sub>SW colloidal solution (II) (inset-collor change during gold NPs formation to red-violet).

### 3.2. Total Polyphenol Content and Total Flavonoids Content

The results indicated that *Artemisia herba* was richer in total polyphenols (GAE/g DW) compared to *Taraxacum herba* ( $16.82 \pm 0.12$  mg vs.  $15.78 \pm 0.35$  mg). Similarly, the flavonoid profile (RE/g DW) showed higher levels in *Artemisia herba* than in *Taraxacum herba* ( $9.17 \pm 0.73$  mg vs.  $7.95 \pm 0.65$  mg). These findings aligned with other studies, such as Epure et al., who had found lower polyphenol and flavonoid contents in Dandelion tinctures ( $13.15$  mg GAE/g DW and  $6.87$  mg RE/g DW) compared to our study [43]. Contrarily, Ivanov reported higher phenol and flavonoid content in ethanolic Dandelion leaf extract ( $35.03$  mg GAE/g DW) [44]. Our results were also consistent with Guo et al.'s findings on Sweet Wormwood, where they reported a TPC of  $39.58$  mg GAE/g and a TFC of  $7.04$  mg RE/g [45]. Furthermore, Saunoriute et al. reported varied phenolic contents in *A. stelleriana* and Sweet Wormwood samples ( $176.7 - 288.59$  mg RE/g DW and  $297.37 - 9.18$  mg RE/g DW, respectively) [46]. Carvalho et al. found a range of  $0.22 \pm 0.002$  mg to  $0.39 \pm 0.000$  mg GAE/g DW in methanol extracts of various *Artemisia* species [47].

Both extracts were rich in polyphenols and flavones, which are expected to play a significant role in nanoparticle synthesis. To confirm their presence in colloidal nanoparticle solutions, a quantitative analysis was performed, and results for total phenolic compounds represented by GAE and flavone content by RE are provided in Table 1.

### 3.3. Fluorescence of Nanoparticles

The produced green nanoparticles act as carriers for polyphenolic compounds. According to the literature, the emission range of 300 to 380 nm corresponds to polyphenols, while the emission range of 600 to 700 nm is attributed to chlorophyll and its derivatives [48]. Fluorescence spectra were recorded for all samples with nanoparticles and are presented in the supplementary material as Figure S3 (a-f).

### 3.4. FTIR

In our study, ATR-FTIR measurements were performed to identify the type of bonds in the biomolecules used as a reducing agent for the synthesis of metal nanoparticles, but also for the effective coating and stabilization of gold and silver nanoparticles.

Table S1 shows the possible assignments of the absorption bands of the 6 samples involved in the study. Figure 2a displays the FTIR spectra of all samples superimposed on that of Dandelion such

that the Dandelion alcoholic extract is represented by (I), AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>D</sub> by (II), and AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>D</sub> by (III). Meanwhile, Figure 2b displays the FTIR spectra of all samples superimposed on that of Sweet Wormwood with the alcoholic extract being represented by (I), AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>SW</sub> by (II), and AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>SW</sub> by (III).

**Figure 2.** (a) ATR-FTIR spectra for Dandelion based sample: (I) E<sub>ETOH</sub>-D; (II) AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>D</sub>; (III) AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>D</sub>; (b) ATR-FTIR spectra for Sweet Wormwood based sample: (I) E<sub>ETOH</sub>-SW; (II) AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>SW</sub>; (III) AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>SW</sub>.

### 3.5. DLS Analysis, PDI, Zeta potential, SEM and TEM

The polydispersity index (PDI) represents the ratio of particles of different sizes to the total number of particles. A low PDI value (<0.1) indicates a more monodispersed sample. Table 2 displays the PDI values, average hydrodynamic diameter, and zeta potential for all obtained nanoparticles.

DLS spectra and corresponding zeta potential for all samples are shown in Figure S4.1 (a1-d2) and Figure S4.2 (a1-d2). SEM images were presented in Supplementary Figure S5.

Figure 3 (a-h) present TEM images for all analyzed samples and Table 3 contains the corresponding size and morphology of nanoparticles measured by TEM.

**Figure 3.** TEM for NPs ( around 200 nanoparticles) aqueous extracts plant-based sample: (a) AgNPs<sub>E<sub>aq</sub>SW</sub> (graph inset Mean =11.7nm SD=4.6 Min=6 max=37); (b) AuNPs<sub>E<sub>aq</sub>SW</sub> (Mean =20.4nm SD=6.6 Min=8 max=41); (c) AuNPs<sub>E<sub>aq</sub>D</sub> (Mean =26.9 nm SD=14.3 Min=5 max=133); (d) AgNPs<sub>E<sub>aq</sub>D</sub> (Mean =9.5 SD=4.0 Min=4 max=25); TEM for NPs ( around 200 nanoparticles) from ethanolic extracts from plant based sample: (e) AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>SW</sub> (Mean =12.2nm SD=4.5 Min=5 max=30); (f) AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>SW</sub>; (g) AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>D</sub>; (h) AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>D</sub>.

### 3.6. Biological Tests

#### 3.6.1. Antioxidant Proprieties

Table 4 shows the percentage of DPPH inhibition obtained by the green nanoparticles and by their corresponding extracts.

#### 3.6.2. Antimicrobial and Antifungal Proprieties

Representative species were selected from major groups of pathogenic bacteria prevalent in human and veterinary infections, including *Staphylococcus aureus* a gram-positive coccus, *Escherichia coli* for gram-negative bacillus, Enterobacteriaceae family, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* for gram-negative bacillus, non-enterobacteria family, and *Bacillus subtilis* (B.s) gram positive *Bacillaceae* family member, were cultured in Petri dishes Φ 10 with Mueller-Hinton Agar. The culture media were swabbed with bacterial suspension, using sterile swabs and afterwards 6 inert cellulose biodiscs with 10 µL of test substance each and 6 microcylinders with 100 µL each sample were applied. The application was made using a standard template to ensure uniformity. Aerobic incubation was at 37° C 1, 2 and 3 days, and measuring the diameter of the growth inhibition zone around the extracts for calculating the MCI in µg/µL.

The antifungal effect was assessed on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Candida albicans*, *Penicillium sp*, and *Aspergillus sp*, with incubation on solid Sabouraud media for 3 days to 1 week at 23-26° C, measuring the zone of inhibition as with bacteria. The culture media were swabbed with the fungal suspensions, using sterile swabs and afterwards 6 inert cellulose biodiscs with 10 µL of test substance each and 6 microcylinders with 100 µL each sample were applied. The application was made using a standard template to ensure uniformity. Figure 4a,b illustrate the zone of inhibition versus inhibition concentration calculated for Dandelion and respectively Sweet Wormwood samples using equation (2). The antifungal effect of the nanoparticles is presented in Supplementary Figure S6 for the Dandelion samples and Figure S7 for the Sweet Wormwood samples.



**Figure 4.** The MIC ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) and corresponding IZ (mm) for the all sample based by: **(a)** Dandelion and **(b)** Sweet Wormwood. Conventional interpretation scale is: resistant: 0 = no effect; below 10 = weak effect; intermediate: 10-20 – medium effect ; sensitive: over 20 = strong effect; over 30 = very strong effect.

### 3.6.3. Antitumoral Effect

- *MTS Cytotoxicity Assay*

Studies were carried out in vitro to investigate the effect of the tested samples on the LoVo (human colon adenocarcinoma) and MDA-MB-231 (human breast adenocarcinoma) human tumor cells and liver tumor line HepG2 when compared to the HUVEC (umbilical vein endothelial cells human) normal cell line (Figure 5 a,b and c). The positive controls of the study were CisPt and DOX, which are commonly used to treat colon and breast cancer, respectively. To evaluate cell viability, dilutions of the initial colloidal solutions were made and the cell lines were exposed for 24 and 48 hours.

**Figure 5.** Effect of different dilutions of sample based by dandelion and sweet wormood on the viability (%) of human cancer cell lines: breast cancer (MDA-MB-231), the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cancer cell line LoVo and the human umbilical vein endothelial cells HUVEC at **(a)** 24h and **(b)** 48h ; (Dilutions are given by the shade of the overlapping bar plots, with a lighter shade corresponding to less dilution); **(c)** Effect of different dilutions of sample based by dandelion and Sweet Wormood on the Viability (%) of HepG2 (human liver carcinoma cell line) at 24h and 48 h. Dilutions are given by the shade of the overlapping bar plots, with a lighter shade corresponding to less dilution.

- *Statistical Analysis*

Table 5 summarizes the statistical analysis results, highlighting the significant differences between green-synthesized nanoparticles and conventional chemotherapeutics (Cis-Pt and DOX) across various cancer and normal cell lines.

- *Comparative Analysis of IC50 and Selectivity Index at 24h and 48h*

Tables S7 and S8 present the SI and IC50 values after sample treatment on LoVo and MDA-MB-231 cell lines, along with their corresponding errors, at 24 and 48 hours of treatment. Table S9 displays the SI and IC50 values after sample treatment on HepG2 cells. Figure 6 illustrates the evolution of the SI for all tumor cell lines over time.

**Figure 6.** Evolution of Selectivity Index (SI) values over time for all tumor cell lines. The figure illustrates the variation in Selectivity Index (SI) across different tumor cell lines over time. SI is calculated as the ratio between IC50 in normal cells and IC50 in tumor cells, indicating the specificity of nanoparticles towards cancer cells. Higher SI values suggest greater selectivity for tumor cells, while lower values indicate a less favorable therapeutic window.

## 4. Discussions

### 4.1. UV-VIS and Fluorescence

- *Dandelion gold/silver nanoparticles*

Comparing silver nitrate and Dandelion solutions with their mixture after titration (50 mL 1 mM  $\text{AgNO}_3$ , 5 mL of each aqueous and ethanolic extract under magnetic stirring at 200 rpm), the solution turned dark brown after 30 minutes, indicating colloidal silver nanoparticle formation as seen on the inset of Figure 1(b). The UV-VIS spectra showed an absorbance peak at 462 nm for Ag nanoparticles in the ethanolic extract (Figure 1b-I) and 450 nm for Ag nanoparticles in the aqueous extract (Figure 1b-II). The estimated sizes were 37.57 nm for the aqueous extract nanoparticles and 73.97 nm for the ethanolic extract nanoparticles. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy confirmed by other studies an average particle size of 15 nm with a maximum wavelength of 435 nm for silver nanoparticles resulting from the bioreduction with Dandelion [49]. The reducing power of the extracts depends on their biochemical composition and on the solvent employed in the extraction.

Ethanol, being less polar, concentrates biomolecules more, but the aqueous colloidal solution showed a higher number of polyphenols involved in metal reduction. Absorbance values between 400-480 nm for Ag were consistent with previous studies for nanoparticle synthesis using Dandelion flowers [50]. Dandelion silver nanoparticles obtained from ethanolic extracts contained the same gallic content as those from aqueous extracts, while a quantity of rutin was present only for the AgNPs E<sub>ETOH</sub>D as shown in Table 1.

For the reduction of gold with Dandelion, 8 mL of aqueous/ethanolic Dandelion extract was necessary, and the UV-VIS spectra exhibited the same absorbance maximum peaks for both colloidal solutions at 568 nm as shown in Figure 1c. The inset of Figure 1c presents the color change of the extract to violet confirming the formation of gold nanoparticles (AuNPs). Previous studies have shown the dependence between the position of the SPR maximum and the FWHM of metal nanoparticles in colloidal systems and their size [51]. Using only the Haiss equation for predicting the size of AuNPs, a theoretical size of 109.15 nm for AuNPs E<sub>ETOH</sub>D and 109.81 nm for AuNPsE<sub>EqD</sub> can be estimated, which corresponds to the same maximum absorption at 568 nm wavelength but with a small variation of initial wavelength at the inflexion point of the UV-VIS spectra ( $\lambda_0$ ).

UV-VIS spectra fitting techniques for estimation of NPs dimensions were less accurate for gold nanoparticles due to procedural limitations with high error. Size estimations by fitting from UV-VIS spectra closely matched with SEM values, especially for the silver nanoparticles.

- *Sweet Wormwood gold/silver nanoparticles*

The characteristic band of the silver nanoparticles between 400-450nm should be present only in the solution containing silver nanoparticles. Consequently, the UV-VIS spectra for both colloidal solutions exhibited a band at 441 nm for AgNPsE<sub>Eq-SW</sub> (Figure 1d-I) and 445 nm for AgNPsE<sub>ETOH-SW</sub> (Figure 1d-II). The corresponding sizes of the AgNPs, determined using the same theoretical methods as applied to those from Dandelion extracts through UV-VIS spectral analysis, were 38.48 nm for AgNPsE<sub>Eq-SW</sub> and 41.21 nm for AgNPsE<sub>ETOH-SW</sub> [26].

The bioreduction of gold from Sweet Wormwood led to the formation of a maximum absorbance at 563 nm for AuNPs E<sub>Eq-SW</sub> corresponding to a nanoparticle size of about 105.67 nm (Haiss equation), as shown in the spectra from Figure 1e - I. Figure 1e – II show the UV-VIS spectra of AuNPs E<sub>ETOH-SW</sub>, which has a maximum absorbance at 557 nm, and a corresponding calculated size of 111.12 nm. The inset of Figure 1e presents a color change from brown to red-violet, confirming the formation of gold nanoparticles. The time required for the reduction of metallic nanoparticles with Sweet Wormwood was 40 minutes, although an increase in this maximum was observed after 24 hours, indicating that nanoparticles formation continues over time. Although the extract-to-salt ratio required for bioreduction and nanoparticle formation was the same for all syntheses, a longer time was needed for the gold nanoparticles from wormwood to form, taking 40 minutes compared to the 30 minutes required for silver nanoparticles. It is possible that the reduction process for gold requires more time because Au ions are more difficult to reduce to the zero-valent state compared to Ag ions. While the maximum absorbance remained unchanged, a narrowing of the Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) was observed over time, suggesting that the nanoparticles become more homogeneous in solution. However, there was a tendency for flocculation, especially in gold nanoparticles, which might indicate that the bonds between the therapeutic compounds and the nanoparticles can break over time, leading to aggregation. Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) studies, zeta potential and polydispersity index better clarify this aspect.

- *Fluorescence of nanoparticles colloidal solutions*

The obtained green nanoparticles act as carriers for polyphenolic compounds. According to literature, the emission region from 300 to 380 nm corresponded to polyphenols, and the emission region from 600 to 700 nm was ascribed to chlorophyll and its derivatives [52]. For AgNPsE<sub>ETOH-D</sub>, the maximum excitation and emission wavelengths were at 270 nm/360 nm and 310 nm/435 nm respectively as indicated in Figures S3a and S3b. For AgNPsE<sub>Eq-D</sub>, the intensity of the fluorescence band at 360 nm/480 nm was  $1 \times 10^5$  (Figure S3b and S3c), lower than Ag NPs obtained from the D

ethanol extract ( $1.8 \times 10^5$ ) and shifted after excitation to 270 nm. This may indicate a lower content of polyphenols bound to the silver nanoparticles obtained from the aqueous extract, taking into consideration that the fluorescence source is the polyphenols [22]. In the case of AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub></sub>-D, their maximum excitation and emission wavelengths were similar: 270 nm/380 nm and 400 nm/470 nm respectively (Figure S3e and Figure S3(f)). The intensity of the fluorescence bands of Au NPs was higher compared to AgNPs, regardless of whether the extract was aqueous or ethanolic. This demonstrates a greater capacity of the green gold nanoparticles from Dandelion/Sweet Wormwood to act as carriers of polyphenols compared to the silver nanoparticles. The aqueous and ethanolic solutions with Ag/Au NPs obtained from SW exhibited similar behavior, which suggests that the obtained green nanoparticles act as carriers of polyphenols, potentially having significant biological and pharmaceutical importance. Characterizing the colloidal stability of these nanoparticles is crucial, especially since no chemical stabilizing agents were used. Stability tests were using Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) analysis, polydispersity index, and zeta potential.

#### 4.2. FTIR

In our study, Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) and FTIR measurements were performed to identify the type of bonds in the biomolecules used as a reducing agent for the synthesis of metal nanoparticles, but also for the effective coating and stabilization of gold and silver nanoparticles.

From a structural point of view, the chemical composition of Dandelion and Sweet Wormwood is influenced by genetic variability, the plant organ, and the biological stage. Dandelions are rich in vitamins, inulin, phytosterols, amino acids and minerals, sesquiterpenes, triterpenes, phytosterols and phenolic compounds in different proportions [53]. Similarly cis-epoxyocimene, cis-chrysanthenol, thujone, bornyl or chrysanthenyl acetate, chrysanthenol, chamazulene, sabinyl, 1,8-cineole, caryophyllene, myrene, sabinene, linalool, chrysanthenyl acetate and trans-sabinyl acetate can be isolated from Sweet Wormwood [54].

The FTIR spectrum for our Dandelion extract (Figure 2a-I) showed a broad band due to the vibration mode of the O-H bonds of alcohols and phenols hydroxyl groups superimposed on the N-H of amine compounds ( $4000 - 3000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). The peaks in the range of  $3000-2800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  can be associated with stretching vibrations of C-H, and those in the range of  $1600-1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  can be attributed to both the stretching and deformation modes of the CO groups (C=O conjugated to the aromatic ring ( $1591 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), C-O from polyphenols ( $1591 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), C-OH from alcohols or esters ( $1024 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) [10,42]. Comparing the spectra of the metallic nanoparticles (Figure 2(a)-II and III) with the spectrum of the Dandelion extract, a slight shift of the bands in the spectral domains of  $4000-3000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1600-1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is observed, suggesting the weakening of the intermolecular H-bonding and the involvement of CO groups in the anchoring of biomolecules surface of metal nanoparticles [47].

Figure 2b shows the spectrum of our Sweet Wormwood extract (Figure 2b-I) in which bands that can be associated with: (i) the vibrational mode of the O-H bonds from alcohols and phenols hydroxyl groups superimposed on N-H from amine compounds ( $3270 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), (ii) stretching and deformation mode of CH bonds from fatty acids or methoxy compounds ( $3000-2800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), and respectively cyclohexane rings ( $897 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) or aliphatic groups ( $700-600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ); (iii) C=O conjugated to the aromatic ring from flavonoids ( $1700-1500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ); (iv) stretching and deformation mode of C-O bonds from alcohols or phenols compounds, cyclic or aliphatic substituted ethers ( $700-600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) [55–58] can be seen. Comparing the spectra of the metallic nanoparticles (Figure 2b-II and III) with the spectrum of the Wormwood extract, small shifts of the spectral bands are observed concomitant with the change in the shape of the bands below  $600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , thus confirming the reduction of the metal ions,  $\text{Mn}^+$  to  $\text{M}^0$  ( $\text{M}=\text{Au}, \text{Ag}$ ), but also their coverage with biomolecules. The anchoring of biomolecules is supported by the existence of characteristic groups of the extract in the range of  $4000-600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The displacement of the observed peak for the extract from  $3268 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , to  $3276 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for Au nanoparticles and to  $3243 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for AgNPs, concomitant with the disappearance of the bands centered at  $1390 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1262 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , in the spectrum of the SW extract, and the appearance of a band at  $1346 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the NPs spectra, confirm

the involvement of hydroxyl and carbonyl groups in the reduction of salts and the stabilization of metal nanoparticles [45,59].

Consequently, the presence of polyphenolic acids and flavonoids was confirmed through FTIR analysis. These compounds tend to be more concentrated in the colloidal solutions derived from Wormwood extracts compared to those obtained from Dandelion extracts, as illustrated in Table 1. Considering that all phytoactive compounds identified in the NPs colloidal solutions played a role in the bioreduction process, the size of the nanoparticles obtained from wormwood extracts should be smaller than those produced from Dandelion extracts, a finding that was corroborated by the SEM and TEM investigations.

#### 4.3. Correlations Between Physico-Chemical Properties, Antioxidant Activity, Morphology, and Antimicrobial Effects

The interrelation between nanoparticle morphology, antioxidant properties, physico-chemical characteristics, and antimicrobial effects provides essential insights into their biomedical potential. Our study highlights significant variations based on plant sources, solvent type, and nanoparticle composition.

##### 4.3.1. Influence of Polyphenolic Content on Nanoparticle Stability, Antioxidant Activity, and Antibacterial Action

The total polyphenol (TPC) and flavonoid (TFC) content of extracts and nanoparticles (Table 1) directly impact their stability, antioxidant activity, and antimicrobial potential. Dandelion-derived nanoparticles (D-NPs) had lower flavonoid retention, which may explain their slightly lower antioxidant performance and weaker antibacterial effects as shown in Figure 4a. Sweet Wormwood-derived nanoparticles (SW-NPs) exhibited the highest TPC and TFC values, particularly AuNPs<sub>E<sub>TOH</sub>-SW</sub> (152.15 µg RE/mL), which correlates with their enhanced colloidal stability (Zeta potential: -53.29 mV, Table 2) and strong antibacterial action against *Staphylococcus aureus* (Figure 4b).

The antioxidant assay (DPPH, Table 3) revealed that: AgNPs<sub>E<sub>aq</sub>-SW</sub> had the highest antioxidant activity (83.10%), correlating with their high TPC (97.90 µg GAE/mL) and a small, uniform size (11.7±4.6 nm, Table 4). This formulation also exhibited the highest antibacterial effect, particularly against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* as shown in Figure 4b. AgNPs<sub>E<sub>TOH</sub>-SW</sub> and AuNPs<sub>E<sub>TOH</sub>-SW</sub> showed moderate antioxidant properties (~15-16%) and moderate antimicrobial action, likely due to larger particle sizes and aggregation tendencies. AgNPs from Dandelion (AgNPs<sub>E<sub>aq</sub>-D</sub>, AgNPs<sub>E<sub>TOH</sub>-D</sub>) demonstrated lower antioxidant activity (6-13%), potentially due to a lower retention of flavonoids, and correlating with their weaker antimicrobial effects (Figure 4a).

##### 4.3.2. Homogeneity, Hydrodynamic Diameter, Zeta Potential, and Shape of Nanoparticles

The presence of polyphenols and flavones in Sweet Wormwood extracts positively impacted the homogeneous formation of nanoparticles and hydrodynamic diameters. Similarly to the gold nanoparticles derived from Dandelion, the average hydrodynamic diameter (DLS) for gold NPs from Sweet Wormwood was larger than that seen in SEM measurements. Gold nanoparticles from both plants contained more phytotherapeutic compounds than silver ones, as confirmed by their increased fluorescence intensity (Table 1). This suggests a high rutin/polyphenol concentration, contributing to nanoparticle stabilization.

In the absence of nanoparticle purification prior to **DLS analysis**, high-molecular-weight compounds may encapsulate nanoparticles, increasing their hydrodynamic distribution. Polysaccharides in Dandelion extracts, known for their antitumor properties, may also contribute to nanoparticle stabilization [4,5]. The interaction of these compounds with the nanoparticle surface explains the increased hydrodynamic diameter.



**Zeta potential analysis** (Table 2) revealed that low zeta potential values ( $< +30$  mV) in some formulations led to flocculation. Moreover, AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>-D</sub> had a highly negative zeta potential ( $-125.82$  mV), ensuring strong colloidal stability. Dandelion nanoparticles from aqueous extracts exhibited weaker stability due to insufficient electrostatic repulsion. All nanoparticles had negative charges, suggesting they were surrounded by negatively charged ionic groups, mainly polyphenols, flavones, and phenolic acids. Among Sweet Wormwood-derived nanoparticles, AgNPsEaq-SW exhibited superior stability, while Dandelion nanoparticles (except AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>-D</sub>) were more prone to flocculation. The differences in hydrodynamic size and PDI indicate varying encapsulation thickness depending on the concentration and type of phytotherapeutic compounds.

**Electron microscopy** (SEM/TEM, Figure 3a-h, Figures S3 and S5) confirmed the presence of triangular gold nanoparticles in both plant extracts, ranging from 20–35 nm (Table 3), alongside rod-like AuNP structures in Sweet Wormwood with dimensions of 8–15 nm in width and 20–35 nm in length, while larger hydrodynamic diameters observed in DLS measurements were attributed to hydration layers affecting bioactivity, and SEM images revealed that nanoparticles were surrounded by an extract layer, which prevented coalescence and influenced the size measurements

#### 4.3.3. Physico-Chemical Correlations with Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Properties

- *Antioxidant Activity*

Green nanoparticles enhanced DPPH inhibition due to phytocompound adsorption on their surface, facilitating hydrogen donation to free radicals. This was most evident in AgNPsEaq-SW (83.10%), indicating a high antioxidant potential (Table 4). Gold nanoparticles from Sweet Wormwood retained significant polyphenol concentrations but exhibited lower antioxidant activity than AgNPs. This suggests that silver nanoparticles interact more effectively with ROS, enhancing free radical scavenging.

- *Antimicrobial Activity*

Dandelion-derived AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>-D</sub> showed significant antibacterial effects against *Escherichia coli*, correlating with its highly negative zeta potential ( $-125$  mV). SEM images confirmed large nanoparticles encapsulated in extract layers, contributing to enhanced bacterial interaction (Figure 4a). Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>-D</sub>) also showed antibacterial properties, though weaker than silver. Lower AgNP concentrations generate ROS, disrupting bacterial membranes more effectively than gold. Sweet Wormwood-derived AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>-D</sub> displayed strong activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* (Figure 4b). Antifungal activity was generally lower (Figures S6-S7), likely due to structural differences between bacterial and fungal cell walls. Mechanisms influencing antimicrobial effects include: 1. ROS production disrupting bacterial DNA and proteins [60]; nanoparticle size and shape affecting bacterial uptake [61]; Biofilm disruption and metabolic interference [62]; silver ions (Ag<sup>+</sup>) binding to bacterial thiol groups, altering enzymatic functions [63]. The flocculation tendency of D-NPs increased bacterial wall penetration, enhancing antimicrobial activity compared to fungal activity. Additionally, DLS spectra of AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>-D</sub> (Figure S4.2(b1)) revealed two hydrodynamic populations, suggesting an aggregation effect impacting bacterial interactions.

The Supplementary Material contains all values for the antimicrobial activity of the tested samples in Tables S2-S6. Furthermore, recent pharmacological studies have demonstrated the potent anti-inflammatory and anticancer properties of rutin, which was also identified in the majority of our samples, especially those derived from Sweet Wormwood [64].

#### 4.4. Implications for Biomedical Applications

The combined influence of particle size, morphology, stability, and fluorescence properties suggests distinct biomedical applications. AgNPsEaq-SW exhibited the highest antioxidant and antibacterial activity, making them strong candidates for antimicrobial coatings and wound treatments [57,65]. Additionally, triangular AuNPsEaq-D and AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>-D</sub> demonstrated enhanced cellular uptake, which supports their potential applications in anticancer therapies and

selective antibacterial treatments [56,66]. Gold nanoparticles derived from Dandelion and Sweet Wormwood extracts exhibited increased fluorescence intensity, likely due to higher phytochemical capping with rutin and polyphenols (Table 1). This fluorescence-based stability enhancement suggests superior interaction with biological membranes, making these nanoparticles promising for targeted drug delivery applications (Figure S8, Figure S9). Additionally, the fluorescence signature of AuNPs correlates with their ability to retain bioactive compounds, which could improve their anticancer efficacy through enhanced cellular uptake mechanisms [59]. Moreover, Dandelion-derived nanoparticles, despite their lower antioxidant activity, exhibited notable antibacterial effects, indicating alternative therapeutic applications, particularly in microbial control and biocompatible formulations. The correlation between fluorescence intensity, nanoparticle stability, and bioactivity aligns with previous studies that emphasize the role of phytochemical-induced fluorescence in nanoparticle stabilization and therapeutic action (Figure S10, Figure S11) [55]. The strong fluorescence signals observed in Figures S8 and S9 confirm the presence of polyphenols and flavonoids surrounding AuNPs, supporting their enhanced biocompatibility and bioactivity. Additionally, Figures S10 and S11 illustrate how fluorescence intensity variations reflect differences in nanoparticle functionalization, further reinforcing their potential biomedical applications.

#### 4.5. Therapeutic Potential and Statistical Validation of Nanoparticles in Cancer Treatment

##### 4.5.1. Cytotoxic Analysis

CisPt and DOX appear to impact the investigated tumor lines; however, their effects also extended to the normal cell line after 24 hours (Figure 5a). Meanwhile, after 24 hours the ethanolic extract of Sweet Wormwood reduced the viability of breast tumor cells, an effect not evident in the other cell lines. In the case of AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub></sub>-D and AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub></sub>-SW (with a final colloidal solution containing 3% ethanol), there was a slight reduction in cell viability compared to treatment with the extract alone. Silver nanoparticles from Dandelion demonstrated a significant antitumor effect on colon tumor cells (LoVo) after 24 hours ( $\chi^2 = 58.428$ ;  $p = 2.56 \times 10^{-11}$ ) and 48 hours, showing a greater impact than CisPt (Figure 5a and 5b). Notably, AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub></sub>3%-D showed a higher cytotoxicity than CisPt ( $p = 4.58 \times 10^{-3}$ ), whereas AuNPs<sub>E<sub>aq</sub></sub>-D exhibited a weaker cytotoxic effect ( $p = 1.82 \times 10^{-3}$ ). Gold and silver NPs derived from Dandelion extracts effectively targeted the breast tumor cell line (MDA-MB) with superior efficacy compared to DOX ( $\chi^2 = 42.035$ ;  $p = 5.79 \times 10^{-8}$ ). AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub></sub>3%-SW exhibited the highest cytotoxicity ( $p = 1.43 \times 10^{-8}$ ), followed by AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub></sub>3%-D ( $p = 7.20 \times 10^{-5}$ ) and AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub></sub>3%-D ( $p = 4.72 \times 10^{-4}$ ). These nanoparticles significantly surpassed DOX in tumor cell inhibition while maintaining normal cell viability.

After 48 hours, AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub></sub>-SW influenced the breast tumor cell line without impacting normal cells (Figure 5a,b). The <sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub></sub>30%-SW extract demonstrated higher cytotoxicity than DOX ( $p = 4.58 \times 10^{-3}$ ), confirming its potential as a therapeutic agent.

Other studies have also shown a strong antitumor effect of biosynthesized AgNPs, particularly on breast tumor cell lines [67,68]. Additionally, polyphenols from the *Artemisia annua* extract have shown anticancer activity in highly metastatic breast cancer cells (MDA MB-231) [69].

Regarding the liver tumor line, HepG2, the high concentrations of the analyzed samples had a substantial antitumor effect ( $\chi^2 = 105.868$ ;  $p = 1.67 \times 10^{-19}$ ), especially in the case of the 30% alcoholic wormwood extract ( $p = 1.59 \times 10^{-9}$  compared to CisPt). The effect became much more pronounced after 48 hours, showing a stronger cytotoxic impact than CisPt (Figure 5c).

Silver nanoparticles from wormwood (aqueous and alcoholic extracts) also exhibited significant antitumor effects on HepG2 liver tumor cells, though slightly weaker than those of the wormwood extract. Notably, AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub></sub>5%-SW ( $p = 9.19 \times 10^{-9}$  vs. CisPt) and AgNPs<sub>E<sub>aq</sub></sub>-D ( $p = 3.49 \times 10^{-9}$  vs. CisPt) demonstrated a considerable reduction in tumor cell viability. Artemisinin, the phytotherapeutic compound in wormwood, has been widely recognized for its antimalarial and antitumor effects. Drug delivery systems based on artemisinin have already been developed to efficiently and selectively target specific tumor cell lines [70]. Utilizing synergistic effects, green-synthesized

nanoparticles from Sweet Wormwood extracts could be a promising strategy to enhance controlled phytoconstituent delivery while minimizing toxicity risks.

#### 4.5.1. Statistical Analysis

The cytotoxic effects of the tested compounds on different cell lines were statistically analyzed and summarized in Table 5. The Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) test results indicate significant differences between the tested treatments and standard chemotherapeutics across all analyzed cell lines, with high  $\chi^2$  values and extremely low p-values confirming that the cytotoxic effects of the green-synthesized nanoparticles. This table presents the comparative performance of the studied formulations against control treatments (Cis-Pt and DOX), highlighting statistically significant differences in cytotoxicity. The results indicate that silver nanoparticles from Dandelion and Sweet Wormwood extracts exhibited strong antitumor activity, particularly against MDA-MB-231 and LoVo cell lines, while maintaining lower toxicity towards normal cells (HUVEC). Notably, ethanolic wormwood extract (30%) demonstrated superior efficacy in targeting HepG2 liver cancer cells, outperforming Cis-Pt. These findings further support the potential of plant-based nanoparticles as promising alternatives in cancer therapy.

#### 4.5.2. Comparative Analysis of IC50 and Selectivity Index at 24h and 48h

The comparative analysis of the IC50 values and selectivity indices at 24h and 48h reveals significant changes in the cytotoxicity and specificity of the tested compounds (Table S7 and S8 and Figure 6). A notable decrease in IC50 values over time is observed for most compounds, particularly E<sub>ETOH30%</sub> - SW and AuNPsE<sub>aq</sub> - D, indicating an increase in their potency on cancer cells. This trend is especially pronounced in the case of MDA-MB cells, where E<sub>ETOH30%</sub> - SW shows a drastic reduction in IC50 from 0.06848 (24h) to 0.02412 (48h), while AuNPsE<sub>aq</sub> - D decreases from 0.21951 to 0.02800, suggesting a much stronger effect with prolonged exposure. In contrast, AgNPsE<sub>ETOH3%</sub> - SW exhibits a loss of selectivity for MDA-MB over time, as its IC50 increases from 0.04909 (24h) to 0.21758 (48h), resulting in a significant drop in its selectivity index from 2.21 to 0.37. This suggests that its cytotoxic effect on cancer cells diminishes with prolonged exposure. Meanwhile, AgNPsE<sub>ETOH3%</sub> - D maintains a stable IC50 for LoVo and sees an improvement in selectivity for MDA-MB, with the selectivity index increasing from 1.32 (24h) to 2.56 (48h), indicating an enhancement in its specificity towards breast cancer cells. Among all tested compounds, AuNPsE<sub>aq</sub> - D demonstrates the most remarkable shift in selectivity, with its selectivity index for MDA-MB skyrocketing from 0.31 at 24h to 8.41 at 48h, making it the most promising candidate for targeted breast cancer therapy. This drastic increase suggests that AuNPsE<sub>aq</sub> - D preferentially kills cancer cells while sparing normal HUVEC cells, a crucial characteristic of an effective anticancer agent. Similarly, E<sub>ETOH30%</sub> - SW also improves in selectivity over time, with its selectivity index for MDA-MB increasing from 5.92 (24h) to 7.51 (48h), reinforcing its potential for cancer treatment. On the other hand, Cis-Pt continues to show poor selectivity across both time points, with a consistently low selectivity index for LoVo (0.14 at 24h and 0.12 at 48h) and no selectivity for MDA-MB, confirming its known broad cytotoxicity against both cancerous and healthy cells. These results suggest that while Cis-Pt remains a standard chemotherapy agent, the newly tested compounds, particularly AuNPsE<sub>aq</sub> - D and E<sub>ETOH30%</sub> - SW, offer more promising alternatives with improved selectivity and reduced side effects on normal cells. Overall, the 48-hour data provides strong evidence that AuNPsE<sub>aq</sub> - D and E<sub>ETOH30%</sub> - SW are the most effective and selective treatments for MDA-MB cells, while AgNPsE<sub>ETOH30%</sub> - D also shows improved potential. The best samples for HepG2-targeted therapy based on SI values are E<sub>ETOH30%</sub> -SW and AgNPsE<sub>ETOH5%</sub> -D, which show the highest selectivity. Cis-Pt has lower selectivity than some of these nanoparticles, suggesting potential for replacing or combining nanoparticles with standard chemotherapies. Further in vivo studies and normal cell toxicity assessments are needed to validate clinical relevance. The enhanced selectivity over time suggests that these compounds may work more efficiently with prolonged exposure, supporting their potential for further preclinical and clinical studies. Future research

should focus on mechanistic studies to understand the pathways involved in their cytotoxic effects and confirm their selectivity using additional cancer models.

#### 4.5.3. The Potential Antitumoral Mechanism

- *Effects of Nanoparticles on MDA-MB-231 Breast Cancer Cells*

Comparing the gold nanoparticles from *Taraxacum officinale* (dandelion) with those from *Artemisia annua* (sweet wormwood), we can observe that dandelion-derived nanoparticles exhibit a stronger anticancer effect, despite their lower antioxidant activity. This difference can be explained by several factors related to morphology, stability, and specific cellular mechanisms. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) from dandelion have a triangular morphology, which provides a higher surface-to-volume ratio compared to spherical nanoparticles. This results in: 1. stronger interaction with tumor cell membranes; 2. increased penetration of cancer cells through endocytosis or more intense contact with biomolecules; 3. influencing intracellular processes[71]. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) from *Artemisia annua* are more stable and have a spherical shape, making them less reactive in their interaction with tumor cells.

Gold nanoparticles from dandelion (AuNPsEaq-D and AuNPsEETOH-D), despite not exhibiting strong antioxidant properties, can induce specific oxidative stress in cancer cells. They can disrupt the redox balance of tumor cells, which are already characterized by high oxidative stress levels. Gold nanoparticles are known to interact with mitochondria and deregulate the energetic metabolism of cancer cells [72]. Silver nanoparticles from *Artemisia annua* (AgNPsEaq-SW, AgNPsEETOH-SW) exhibit higher antioxidant activity (e.g., AgNPsEaq-SW = 83.10%), suggesting that they may reduce oxidative stress rather than amplify it, which could limit their anticancer potential. Another crucial factor is the instability of dandelion-derived gold nanoparticles, which makes them more reactive in biological environments. This instability results in a faster release of gold ions, allowing for enhanced interactions with proteins and nucleic acids inside cancer cells. In contrast, silver nanoparticles from *Artemisia annua* are more stable and therefore may have a slower or less aggressive anticancer action. Finally, the bioactive compounds present in *Taraxacum officinale*, such as flavonoids and terpenoids, work synergistically with gold nanoparticles to amplify oxidative stress and induce apoptosis [73]. While *Artemisia annua* contains artemisinin, a compound known for its reactive oxygen species (ROS)-generating effects, its mechanism relies on iron metabolism, which may not be as effective in combination with silver nanoparticles [74]. The AuNPsEaq-D and AuNPsEETOH-D exhibit higher selectivity for cancer cells compared to DOX, meaning they effectively target tumor cells without harming normal cells. This selective action can be explained by several factors: cancer cells are more susceptible to ROS stress than normal cells, making AuNPs more effective in targeting tumors [75]; nanoparticles enter cancer cells more efficiently than normal cells, reducing off-target effects; normal cells have stronger antioxidant defenses, protecting them from AuNP-induced stress; unlike DOX, AuNPs do not directly bind to DNA or interfere with normal cell division, reducing systemic toxicity [76].

- *Effects of Nanoparticles on Colon Cancer*

Silver nanoparticles derived from *Taraxacum officinale* (AgNPsEETOH-D) exhibit superior anticancer effects against LoVo colon cancer cells, demonstrating greater efficacy than CisPt. This enhanced effectiveness can be attributed to their unique physicochemical properties, which allow for increased cellular uptake, oxidative stress induction, and apoptosis activation, while minimizing toxicity to healthy cells. In contrast, silver nanoparticles from *Artemisia annua* (AgNPsEaq-SW) show comparable anticancer effects to Cis-Pt, but with a significantly higher safety profile, reducing the risk of adverse side effects commonly associated with chemotherapy. These findings suggest that silver nanoparticles from Dandelion and Sweet Wormwood may serve as promising alternatives or complementary agents to conventional colon cancer treatments. Also other study revealed the importance of the green nanoparticles in

- *Effects of Nanoparticles on Liver Cancer (HepG2)*



The E<sub>ETOH30%</sub>-SW demonstrates high anticancer efficacy, showing significant cytotoxic effects after 48 hours, ultimately surpassing CisPt in its ability to reduce cancer cell viability. This effect can be attributed to the high content of bioactive compounds, particularly artemisinin, which is known to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) selectively in cancer cells, disrupting their redox balance and leading to apoptosis. Additionally, the presence of flavonoids and terpenoids enhances oxidative stress, further impairing tumor cell survival.

Similarly, the AgNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH30%</sub>-SW</sub> (Sweet Wormwood silver nanoparticles) induce a substantial decrease in cancer cell survival while exhibiting no toxic effects on normal cells, a selectivity likely driven by the differential redox environment of cancer versus normal cells. Cancer cells, which already experience higher baseline oxidative stress, are more susceptible to ROS-mediated damage induced by silver nanoparticles, whereas normal cells possess stronger antioxidant defense mechanisms that protect them from oxidative stress-related damage. Moreover, the nanoparticles interact with mitochondrial membranes, further destabilizing cancer cell metabolism and triggering apoptosis, making them a promising and selective alternative to conventional chemotherapy, with reduced systemic toxicity compared to CisPt.

The following schematic representation highlights the key mechanisms of nanoparticle action on cancer cell lines, including cellular uptake, ROS generation, DNA damage, mitochondrial dysfunction, and apoptosis induction, demonstrating their selective cytotoxicity and potential as targeted cancer therapies with reduced toxicity compared to DOX and CisPt.

**Figure 7.** Selectivity Index and Mechanisms of Action of Green-Synthesized Nanoparticles Compared to Conventional Chemotherapeutic Agents.

#### 4.6. Limitations of the Study

Despite the promising findings, this study has several limitations that must be acknowledged. First, the long-term environmental and biological effects of green nanoparticles remain largely unexplored, requiring extended studies to assess their bioaccumulation potential and degradation pathways. Additionally, while in vitro experiments provide valuable insights into cytotoxicity and antimicrobial efficacy, they do not fully replicate the complex interactions occurring within living organisms, necessitating in vivo studies for a more comprehensive evaluation. Furthermore, variations in plant-derived bioactive compounds used for nanoparticle synthesis may lead to inconsistencies in physicochemical properties, potentially affecting reproducibility and large-scale production. Another limitation is the lack of detailed mechanistic studies on nanoparticle interactions at the molecular level, which are essential for understanding their potential risks and therapeutic applications. Lastly, while this study emphasizes the eco-friendly nature of green nanoparticles, a full life-cycle assessment is required to determine their overall sustainability, including energy consumption, waste generation, and long-term ecological footprint.

## 5. Conclusions

**Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Potential:** AgNPs<sub>Eaq-SW</sub> demonstrated the highest antioxidant and antibacterial activity, making it a strong candidate for wound healing and antimicrobial coatings.

**Selective Cytotoxicity and Fluorescence-Based Stability:** triangular AuNPs<sub>Eaq-D</sub> and AuNPs<sub>E<sub>ETOH</sub>-D</sub> showed enhanced cellular uptake, supporting their potential use in anticancer therapies, while fluorescence signals confirmed the role of polyphenols in nanoparticle stabilization.

**Bioactivity Correlations:** the observed fluorescence intensity and stability trends in AuNPs correlate with their ability to retain bioactive compounds, improving their targeted therapeutic potential.

**Dandelion-Derived Nanoparticles:** despite lower antioxidant activity, they displayed notable antibacterial effects, highlighting their potential in microbial control applications.

**Influence of Ethanol Percentage:** The presence of ethanol at 3% and 5% concentrations significantly influenced nanoparticle formation, stability, and biological activity. Ethanol enhanced flavonoid extraction, leading to higher polyphenol content and increased fluorescence stability. However, ethanol also impacted nanoparticle aggregation and colloidal stability, with higher ethanol content (5%) favoring smaller, more stable nanoparticles, whereas lower ethanol (3%) resulted in moderate aggregation but retained bioactive compounds more effectively.

- **Future Research Directions**

**In Vivo Testing for Therapeutic Validation:** further research should evaluate the pharmacokinetics, biodistribution, and toxicity of these nanoparticles in animal models.

**Optimization for Drug Delivery:** enhancing targeted delivery mechanisms using functionalized nanoparticles could improve their selectivity in cancer therapy.

**Exploring Synergistic Effects:** Investigating combination therapies with existing antibiotics and chemotherapeutic agents may enhance their efficacy while reducing resistance. Our previous study demonstrated the synergistic effect of green nanoparticles in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs on HepG2 cells, supporting further investigations into other tumor cell lines [77].

**Further Ethanol Influence Studies:** More research should explore optimal ethanol concentrations for maximizing nanoparticle stability, bioactivity, and therapeutic efficiency, while minimizing aggregation effects.

**Life Cycle Impact of Green Nanoparticles:** Green nanoparticle synthesis offers a more sustainable approach, minimizing toxic waste and energy consumption while enhancing environmental and biological safety. Compared to conventional methods, AgNPs have a greener life cycle, reducing pollution, energy use, and potential toxicity. However, while their organic coatings degrade naturally, the metallic cores may persist, highlighting the need for further studies on their long-term fate and environmental interactions. Additionally, scalability remains a challenge, requiring optimization of biological synthesis for industrial applications without compromising nanoparticle quality.

- **Final Remarks**

This study highlights the potential of plant-based nanoparticles as multifunctional therapeutic agents, with antimicrobial, antioxidant, and anticancer applications. The comparison with conventional drugs such as Cis-Pt and DOX suggests that these nanoparticles could serve as alternative or complementary agents in chemotherapy, with potentially lower systemic toxicity. Future studies should focus on enhancing stability, optimizing formulations for targeted delivery, and validating in vivo efficacy to bring these nanoparticles closer to clinical applications.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at the website of this paper posted on Preprints.org.

**Author contributions statement:** M.C.R. conceptualized and designed the study, conducted experiments, analyzed data, and drafted the manuscript. D.C. conducted experiments, contributed to data analysis and interpretation, and assisted in writing. M.S. designed and performed experiments and contributed to the original draft. A.G. performed statistical analysis, interpreted data, secured funding, and participated in writing. M.A.M. carried out experiments, optimized methodology, validated results, and drafted the manuscript. M.L.G. contributed to methodology, data analysis, and drafting. V.O. conceptualized the study, oversaw visualization, and supervised the project. M.P. organized data and contributed to experimental design and acquisition. V.T. conducted experiments, contributed to visualization, and drafted the manuscript. I.M., O.B., A.B., V.A. conducted experiments, optimized methods, and contributed to the draft. C.E.M. performed statistical analysis and supported experiments. R.C.S. supervised the project and validated results. M.C. organized data and supported data acquisition. A.T.-Ş. and E.A. contributed to conceptualization and statistical analysis. V.E.P. conducted experiments and drafted the manuscript. C.T. supervised and validated results. B.F. acquired funding, conducted experiments, and coordinated the project. C.M.M. contributed to conceptualization,

conducted core experiments, drafted the manuscript, and managed final approvals. All authors read, revised, and approved the final manuscript.

**Research funding:** This work was supported by Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, Core Program  $\mu$ NanoEl “Advanced research in micro–nano electronic and photonic devices, sensors and microsystems for societal applications”, cod 2307 and also was co-funded under grant agreement No.101140192, project UNLOOC (Unlocking data content of Organ-On-Chips) and supported by the CHIPS Joint Undertaking and its members.

**Ethics and Consent:** This study did not involve human subjects, animal experiments, or data obtained from social media platforms. As such, ethics approval and informed consent were not applicable. The authors affirm that all relevant ethical guidelines were followed.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data supporting the findings of this study can be obtained from the corresponding author upon justified request.

**Declaration of Competing Interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## References

1. R.C. Sandulovici, M.L. Gălăţanu, L.M. Cima, E. Panus, E. Truţă, C.M. Mihăilescu, I. Sârbu, D. Cord, M.C. Rîmbu, Ş.A. Anghelache, M. Panţuroiu, Phytochemical Characterization, Antioxidant, and Antimicrobial Activity of the Vegetative Buds from Romanian Spruce, *Picea abies* (L.) H. Karst., *Molecules* 29 (2024) 2128. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29092128>.
2. P. Laganà, G. Anastasi, F. Marano, S. Piccione, R.K. Singla, A.K. Dubey, S. Delia, M.A. Coniglio, A. Facciola, A. Di Pietro, M.A. Haddad, M. Al-Hiary, G. Caruso, Phenolic Substances in Foods: Health Effects as Anti-Inflammatory and Antimicrobial Agents, *J AOAC Int.* 102 (2019) 1378–1387. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jaoac/102.5.1378>.
3. H. Rasouli, M.H. Farzaei, R. Khodarahmi, Polyphenols and their benefits: A review, *Int. J. Food Prop.* (2017) 1–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2017.1354017>.
4. M. Fan, X. Zhang, H. Song, Y. Zhang, Dandelion (*Taraxacum* Genus): A Review of Chemical Constituents and Pharmacological Effects, *Molecules* 28 (2023) 5022. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28135022>.
5. A.G. Durán, C. Rial, M.T. Gutiérrez, J.M.G. Molinillo, F.A. Macías, Sesquiterpenes in Fresh Food, in: *Handbook of Dietary Phytochemicals*, Springer Singapore, Singapore, 2021: pp. 477–542. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-4148-3\\_47](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-4148-3_47).
6. T. Esatbeyoglu, B. Obermair, T. Dorn, K. Siems, G. Rimbach, M. Birringer, Sesquiterpene Lactone Composition and Cellular Nrf2 Induction of *Taraxacum officinale* Leaves and Roots and Taraxinic Acid  $\beta$ -D-Glucopyranosyl Ester, *J. Med. Food* 20 (2017) 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jmf.2016.0105>.
7. T. Kikuchi, A. Tanaka, M. Uriuda, T. Yamada, R. Tanaka, Three Novel Triterpenoids from *Taraxacum officinale* Roots, *Molecules* 21 (2016) 1121. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21091121>.
8. K. Ferrare, L.P.R. Bidel, A. Awwad, P. Pouchet, G. Cazals, F. Lazennec, J. Azay-Milhau, M. Tournier, A.-D. Lajoix, D. Tousch, Increase in insulin sensitivity by the association of chicoric acid and chlorogenic acid contained in a natural chicoric acid extract (NCRAE) of chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.) for an antidiabetic effect, *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 215 (2018) 241–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2017.12.035>.
9. S. Dedić, A. Džaferović, H. Jukić, Chemical Composition and Antioxidant Activity of Water-Ethanol Extracts of Dandelion (*Taraxacum Officinale*), *Food in Health and Disease*, scientific-professional journal of nutrition and dietetics (2022) 11 (1) 8-14.
10. M. Li, H. Zhang, X. Hu, Y. Liu, Y. Liu, M. Song, R. Wu, J. Wu, Isolation of a New Polysaccharide from Dandelion Leaves and Evaluation of Its Antioxidant, Antibacterial, and Anticancer Activities, *Molecules* 27 (2022) 7641. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27217641>.
11. F. Ren, J. Li, X. Yuan, Y. Wang, K. Wu, L. Kang, Y. Luo, H. Zhang, Z. Yuan, Dandelion polysaccharides exert anticancer effect on Hepatocellular carcinoma by inhibiting PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway and enhancing immune response, *J. Funct. Foods* 55 (2019) 263–274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2019.02.034>.

12. H.A. El Rabey, F.M. Almutairi, The antioxidant, antidiabetic, antimicrobial and anticancer constituents of *Artemisia* species, *Nat. Prod. Res.* (2024) 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2024.2384082>.
13. J. Bernatoniene, E. Nemickaite, D. Majiene, M. Marksa, D.M. Kopustinskiene, In Vitro and In Silico Anti-Glioblastoma Activity of Hydroalcoholic Extracts of *Artemisia annua* L. and *Artemisia vulgaris* L., *Molecules* 29 (2024) 2460. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29112460>.
14. T. Khan, M. Ali, A. Khan, P. Nisar, S.A. Jan, S. Afridi, Z.K. Shinwari, Anticancer Plants: A Review of the Active Phytochemicals, Applications in Animal Models, and Regulatory Aspects, *Biomolecules* 10 (2019) 47. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biom10010047>.
15. S.A. Emami, S.Z.T. Rabe, A. Ahi, M. Mahmoudi, N. Tabasi, Study the cytotoxic and pro-apoptotic activity of *Artemisia annua* extracts, *Pharmacologyonline* 3 (2009) 1062-1069.
16. Y. Davatgaran-Taghipour, S. Masoomzadeh, M.H. Farzaei, R. Bahramsoltani, Z. Karimi-Soureh, R. Rahimi, M. Abdollahi, Polyphenol nanoformulations for cancer therapy: experimental evidence and clinical perspective, *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 12 (2017) 2689–2702. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S131973>.
17. Khushnud, S.A. Mousa, Potential Role of Naturally Derived Polyphenols and Their Nanotechnology Delivery in Cancer, *Mol. Biotechnol.* 55 (2013) 78–86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12033-012-9623-7>.
18. N. Rana, P. Gupta, H. Singh, K. Nagarajan, Role of Bioactive Compounds, Novel Drug Delivery Systems, and Polyherbal Formulations in the Management of Rheumatoid Arthritis, *Comb. Chem. High Throughput Screen.* 27 (2024) 353–385. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1386207326666230914103714>.
19. Montazersaheb et al. (2024) demonstrated that silver nanoparticles synthesized from pumpkin peel extract act as potent radiosensitizers against triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), enhancing the effectiveness of radiation therapy (*Cancer Nanotechnology*, 15.1, 2024: 47).
20. İpek et al. (2024) investigated the green synthesis of gold nanoparticles from *Allium cepa* (onion) peel extract, reporting significant antipathogenic, antioxidant, and anticholinesterase activities, which are essential for neuroprotective and antimicrobial applications (*Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*, 14.9, 2024: 10661-10670).
21. Dehghani, F., Mosleh-Shirazi, S., Shafiee, M., Kasaei, S. R., & Amani, A. M. (2023). Antiviral and antioxidant properties of green synthesized gold nanoparticles using *Glaucium flavum* leaf extract. *Applied Nanoscience*, 13(8), 4395–4405. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13204-022-02705-1>.
22. Nejad, F. S., Alizade-Harakiyan, M., Haghi, M., Ebrahimi, R., Zangeneh, M. M., Farajollahi, A., ... & Ahmadi, A. (2024). Investigation of the impact of copper nanoparticles coated with *Ocimum basilicum* in chemoradiotherapy of colon carcinoma. *Biochemistry and Biophysics Reports*, 39, 101780. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2024.101780>.
23. Dehnoee, A., Kalbasi, R. J., Zangeneh, M. M., Delnavazi, M.-R., & Zangeneh, A. (2024). Characterization, anti-lung cancer activity, and cytotoxicity of biosynthesized copper nanoparticles by *Thymus fedtschenkoi* leaf extract. *Journal of Cluster Science*, 35(3), 863–874. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10876-023-02512-w>.
24. Dehnoee, A., Kalbasi, R. J., Zangeneh, M. M., Delnavazi, M.-R., & Zangeneh, A. (2023). One-step synthesis of silver nanostructures using *Heracleum persicum* fruit extract, their cytotoxic activity, anti-cancer and anti-oxidant activities. *Micro & Nano Letters*, 18(1), 88–94. <https://doi.org/10.1049/mna2.12153>.
25. Sadeghipour, Y., & Alipour, H. (2020). Evaluation antibacterial activity of biosynthesized silver nanoparticles using *Euphorbia pseudocactus* Berger extracts (Euphorbiaceae). *Nanomedicine Research Journal*, 5(3), 265–275. <https://doi.org/10.22034/NMRJ.2020.03.007>
26. Pirabbasi, E., Zangeneh, M. M., Souri, N., & Ahmadi, A. (2024). Chemical characterization and effect of *Ziziphora clinopodioides* green-synthesized silver nanoparticles on cytotoxicity, antioxidant, and antidiabetic activities in streptozotocin-induced hepatotoxicity in Wistar diabetic male rats. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 12(4), 2289–2305. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.4008>.
27. J Boldeiu, A., Simion, M., Mihalache, I., Radoi, A., Banu, M., Varasteanu, P., ... & Kusko, M. (2019). Comparative analysis of honey and citrate-stabilized gold nanoparticles: In vitro interaction with proteins and toxicity studies. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*, 197, 111519. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2019.111519>.



28. W. Younas, F.U. Khan, M. Zaman, D. Lin, A. Zuberi, Y. Wang, Toxicity of synthesized silver nanoparticles in a widespread fish: A comparison between green and chemical, *Science of The Total Environment* 845 (2022) 157366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157366>.
29. S. Kummara, M.B. Patil, T. Uriah, Synthesis, characterization, biocompatible and anticancer activity of green and chemically synthesized silver nanoparticles – A comparative study, *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* 84 (2016) 10–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2016.09.003>.
30. A. Puri, P. Mohite, S. Maitra, V. Subramaniyan, V. Kumarasamy, D.E. Uti, A.A. Sayed, F.M. El-Demerdash, M. Algahtani, A.F. El-kott, A.A. Shati, M. Albaik, M.M. Abdel-Daim, I.J. Atangwho, From nature to nanotechnology: The interplay of traditional medicine, green chemistry, and biogenic metallic phytonanoparticles in modern healthcare innovation and sustainability, *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* 170 (2024) 116083. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2023.116083>.
31. M. Khattak, T.A. Khan, M. Nazish, M.S. Ishaq, H. Hameed, A. Kamal, M.S. Elshikh, D.A. Al Farraj, M. Anees, Exploration of reducing and stabilizing phytoconstituents in *Arisaema dracontium* extract for the effective synthesis of Silver nanoparticles and evaluation of their antibacterial and toxicological properties, *Microb. Pathog.* 192 (2024) 106711. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2024.106711>.
32. S. Bhatt, S. Saraswat, A review on phytochemical mediated synthesis of nanoparticles through fruits and vegetables extract and their potential applications, *Nanotechnol. Environ. Eng.* 9 (2024) 359–374. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41204-024-00370-z>.
33. R.G. Saratale, G.D. Saratale, H.S. Shin, J.M. Jacob, A. Pugazhendhi, M. Bhaisare, G. Kumar, New insights on the green synthesis of metallic nanoparticles using plant and waste biomaterials: current knowledge, their agricultural and environmental applications, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 25 (2018) 10164–10183. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9912-6>.
34. H. Tahir, F. Rashid, S. Ali, M. Summer, M. Afzal, Synthesis, Characterization, Phytochemistry, and Therapeutic Potential of *Azadirachta indica* Conjugated Silver Nanoparticles: A Comprehensive Study on Antidiabetic and Antioxidant Properties, *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-024-04293-3>.
35. N.-A. Coman, A. Nicolae-Maranciuc, L. Berța, A. Nicolescu, M. Babotă, A. Man, D. Chicea, L. Farczadi, L. Jakab-Farkas, B. Silva, J. Veiga-Matos, C. Tanase, Green Synthesis of Metallic Nanoparticles from *Quercus* Bark Extracts: Characterization and Functional Properties, *Antioxidants* 13 (2024) 822. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox13070822>.
36. V. L. Singleton, R. Orthofer, R. M. Lamuela-Raventós, Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of folin-ciocalteu reagent, in: *Methods in enzymology*, Academic press 299 (1999) pp. 152-178.
37. *Farmacopeea Romana*, editia a X-a, Editura Medicala, Bucuresti, 1993.
38. R. Amorati, M.C. Foti, L. Valgimigli, Antioxidant Activity of Essential Oils, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 61 (2013) 10835–10847. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf403496k>.
39. R. Amorati, L. Valgimigli, Modulation of the antioxidant activity of phenols by non-covalent interactions, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 10 (2012) 4147. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ob25174d>.
40. G. Ionescu, Ghid National de biosiguranta pentru laboratoarele medicale, Editia a I-a, Editura Medicala, Bucuresti, 2006.
41. World Health Organization, Laboratory biosafety manual, 4th edition (2004), World Health Organization.
42. Lica, J., Wiecezór, M., Grabe, G. J., Heldt, M., Jancz, M., Misiak, M., Gucwa, K., Brankiewicz, W., Maciejewska, N., Stupak, A., Bagiński, M., Rolka, K., Hellmann, A., & Składanowski, A. (2021). Effective drug concentration and selectivity depends on fraction of primitive cells. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(9), 4931. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22094931>.
43. A. Epure, Polyphenolic Compounds, Antioxidant Activity and Nephroprotective Properties of Romanian *Taraxacum Officinale*, *Farmacia* 70 (2022) 47–53. <https://doi.org/10.31925/Farmacia.2022.1.7>.
44. I. G. Ivanov, Polyphenols Content and Antioxidant Activities of *Taraxacum officinale* F.H. Wigg (Dandelion) Leaves, *Int. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. Res.* 6 (2014) 889-893. ISSN:0975-4873.

45. S. Guo, J. Ma, Y. Xing, Y. Xu, X. Jin, S. Yan, B. Shi, *Artemisia annua* L. aqueous extract as an alternative to antibiotics improving growth performance and antioxidant function in broilers, *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 19 (2020) 399–409. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2020.1745696>.
46. S. Saunoriūtė, O. Ragažinskienė, L. Raudonė, L. Ivanauskas, M. Marksa, Phenolic content and antioxidant activity in medicinal raw material of introduced *Artemisia* L. species in Lithuania, *Chemija* 34 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.6001/chemija.2023.34.1.6>.
47. I.S. Carvalho, T. Cavaco, M. Brodelius, Phenolic composition and antioxidant capacity of six artemisia species, *Ind. Crops Prod.* 33 (2011) 382–388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2010.11.005>.
48. I. Musa, Investigation the optical properties of Palestinian olive oils for different geographical regions by optical spectroscopy technique, *Food Chem. Adv.* 4 (2024) 100584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.focha.2023.100584>.
49. R.G. Saratale, G. Benelli, G. Kumar, D.S. Kim, G.D. Saratale, Bio-fabrication of silver nanoparticles using the leaf extract of an ancient herbal medicine, dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*), evaluation of their antioxidant, anticancer potential, and antimicrobial activity against phytopathogens, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 25 (2018) 10392–10406. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9581-5>.
50. S. Yousefzadeh-Valendeh, M. Fattahi, B. Asghari, Z. Alizadeh, Dandelion flower-fabricated Ag nanoparticles versus synthetic ones with characterization and determination of photocatalytic, antioxidant, antibacterial, and  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitory activities, *Sci. Rep.* 13 (2023) 15444. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-42756-0>.
51. W. Haiss, N.T.K. Thanh, J. Aveyard, D.G. Fernig, Determination of Size and Concentration of Gold Nanoparticles from UV–Vis Spectra, *Anal. Chem.* 79 (2007) 4215–4221. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac0702084>.
52. N. Hernández-Sánchez, L. Lleó, B. Diezma, E.C. Correa, B. Sastre, J.-M. Roger, Multiblock Analysis Applied to Fluorescence and Absorbance Spectra to Estimate Total Polyphenol Content in Extra Virgin Olive Oil, *Foods* 10 (2021) 2556. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10112556>.
53. M. Mahboubi, M. Mahboubi, Hepatoprotection by dandelion ( *Taraxacum officinale* ) and mechanisms, *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* 10 (2020) 1. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2221-1691.273081>.
54. M.E. Bordean, S. Muste, G. Marțiș (Petruț), M.S. Chis, B.V. Beldean, I.E. Mureșan (Vitman), M.A. Mateescu, B.C. Buican, A.I. Pop, V. Muresan, Wormwood (*Artemisia Absinthium* L.): Chemical Composition And Antioxidant Activity Of Essential Oil, Hop and Medicinal Plants 28 (2020) 52–58. <https://doi.org/10.15835/hpm.v28i1-2.13984>.
55. A.-E. Segneanu, C.N. Marin, I.O.-F. Ghirlea, C.V.I. Feier, C. Muntean, I. Grozescu, *Artemisia annua* Growing Wild in Romania—A Metabolite Profile Approach to Target a Drug Delivery System Based on Magnetite Nanoparticles, *Plants* 10 (2021) 2245. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10112245>.
56. G. Sharma, H. Kapoor, M. Chopra, K. Kumar, V. Agrawal, Strong larvicidal potential of *Artemisia annua* leaf extract against malaria (*Anopheles stephensi* Liston) and dengue (*Aedes aegypti* L.) vectors and bioassay-driven isolation of the marker compounds, *Parasitol. Res.* 113 (2014) 197–209. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-013-3644-4>.
57. N. Basavegowda, A. Idhayadhulla, Y.R. Lee, Preparation of Au and Ag nanoparticles using *Artemisia annua* and their in vitro antibacterial and tyrosinase inhibitory activities, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 43 (2014) 58–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2014.06.043>.
58. E.-A. Moacă, I.Z. Pavel, C. Danciu, Z. Crăniceanu, D. Minda, F. Ardelean, D.S. Antal, R. Ghiulai, A. Cioca, M. Derban, S. Simu, R. Chioibaș, C. Suzhanek, C.-A. Dehelean, Romanian Wormwood (*Artemisia absinthium* L.): Physicochemical and Nutraceutical Screening, *Molecules* 24 (2019) 3087. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24173087>.
59. R.C. Sandulovici, M. Carmen-Marinela, A. Grigoriu, C.A. Moldovan, M. Savin, V. Ordeanu, S.N. Voicu, D. Cord, G.M. Costache, M.L. Galatanu, M. Popescu, I. Sarbu, E. Mati, L.E. Ionescu, R. Neagu, V. Țucureanu, R.M. Claudia, I. Mihalache, C. Romanitan, A. Piperea-Sianu, A. Boldeiu, O. Brincoveanu, C.E. Manea, B. Firtat, G.S. Muscalu, D. Dragomir, The Physicochemical and Antimicrobial Properties of Silver/Gold Nanoparticles Obtained by “Green Synthesis” from Willow Bark and Their Formulations as Potential Innovative Pharmaceutical Substances, *Pharmaceuticals* 16 (2022) 48. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ph16010048>.

60. Shi, Z., Mahdavian, Y., Mahdavian, Y., Mahdigholizad, S., Irani, P., Karimian, M., ... & Zangeneh, M. M. (2021). Cu immobilized on chitosan-modified iron oxide magnetic nanoparticles: Preparation, characterization and investigation of its anti-lung cancer effects. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 14(8), 103224.
61. Zarafshan, H., Mojarab, M., Zangeneh, M. M., Moradipour, P., Bagheri, F., Aghaz, F., & Arkan, E. (2021). A novel biocompatible and biodegradable electrospun nanofibers containing *M. neglectum*: Antifungal properties and in vitro investigation. *IEEE Transactions on NanoBioscience*, 21(4), 520-528. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNB.2021.3128407>
62. Bai, J., Gongsun, X., Xue, L., & Zangeneh, M. M. (2021). Introducing a modern chemotherapeutic drug formulated by iron nanoparticles for the treatment of human lung cancer. *Journal of Experimental Nanoscience*, 16(1), 397-409. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17458080.2021.1998460>
63. Li, Y., Li, N., Jiang, W., Ma, G., & Zangeneh, M. M. (2020). In situ decorated Au NPs on pectin-modified Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs as a novel magnetic nanocomposite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/Pectin/Au) for catalytic reduction of nitroarenes and investigation of its anti-human lung cancer activities. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 163, 2162-2171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.09.102>.
64. B. Pravin, V. Nanaware, B. Ashwini, G.F. Wondmie, Y.A. Bin Jordan, M. Bourhia, Assessing the antioxidant properties of Naringin and Rutin and investigating their oxidative DNA damage effects in breast cancer, *Sci. Rep.* 14 (2024) 15314. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-63498-7>.
65. Kouhbanani, M.A.J., Mosleh-Shirazi, S., Beheshtkhoo, N., Kasaei, S.R., Nekouian, S., Alshehry, S., Kamyab, H., Chelliapan, S., Ali, M.A., & Amani, A.M. (2023). Investigation through the antimicrobial activity of electrospun PCL nanofiber mats with green synthesized Ag-Fe nanoparticles. *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology*, 85, 104541. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jddst.2023.104541>
66. Velidandi, A., Sarvepalli, M., Gandam, P.K., & Baadhe, R.R. (2023). Silver/silver chloride and gold bimetallic nanoparticles: Green synthesis using *Azadirachta indica* aqueous leaf extract, characterization, antibacterial, catalytic, and recyclability studies. *Inorganic Chemistry Communications*, 155, 111107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inoche.2023.111107>
67. H. Hemlata, P.R. Meena, A.P. Singh, K.K. Tejavath, Assessment of antioxidant, cytotoxic, anti-proliferative, and anti-bacterial activities using the bioinspired silver nanoparticles via Cucumis prophetarum fruit extract, *Inorg. Nano-Met. Chem.* 54 (2024) 44–57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24701556.2021.2020840>.
68. L. Soltani, M. Darbemamieh, Biosynthesis of Silver Nanoparticles Using Hydroethanolic Extract of Cucurbita pepo L. Fruit and Their Anti-proliferative and Apoptotic Activity Against Breast Cancer Cell Line (MCF-7), *Multidiscip. cancer investig.* 5 (2021) 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.30699/mci.5.3.525-1>.
69. Y.S. Ko, W.S. Lee, R. Panchanathan, Y.N. Joo, Y.H. Choi, G.S. Kim, J.-M. Jung, C.H. Ryu, S.C. Shin, H.J. Kim, Polyphenols from *Artemisia annua* L Inhibit Adhesion and EMT of Highly Metastatic Breast Cancer Cells MDA-MB-231, *Phytother. Res.* 30 (2016) 1180–1188. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.5626>.
70. Zhang, S., Chen, H., Sun, J., Zhao, L., & Zhang, W. (2023). Artemisinin and its derivatives as potential anticancer agents. *Molecules*, 28(15), 2915766. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28152915>.
71. Niaz S, Forbes B, Raimi-Abraham BT. Exploiting endocytosis for non-spherical nanoparticle cellular uptake. *Nanomanufacturing*. 2022;2(1):1-16. doi:10.1016/j.nanoman.2022.100014.
72. Pyrczak-Felczykowska, A., & Herman-Antosiewicz, A. (2025). Modification in Structures of Active Compounds in Anticancer Mitochondria-Targeted Therapy. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 26(3), 1376.
73. Balkrishna, A., Kumar, A., Arya, V., Rohela, A., Verma, R., Nepovimova, E., ... & Kuca, K. (2021). Phytoantioxidant Functionalized Nanoparticles: A Green Approach to Combat Nanoparticle-Induced Oxidative Stress. *Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity*, 2021(1), 3155962.
74. Tsuda K, Miyamoto L, Hamano S, Morimoto Y, Kangawa Y, Fukue C, Kagawa Y, Horinouchi Y, Xu W, Ikeda Y, Tamaki T, Tsuchiya K. Mechanisms of the pH- and oxygen-dependent oxidation activities of artesunate. *Biol Pharm Bull.* 2018;41(5):555–563. doi:10.1248/bpb.b17-00879.
75. Yang, N., Ding, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, B., Zhao, X., Cheng, J. (2019). Gold nanoparticles-based photothermal therapy: Towards tumor microenvironment-specific cancer treatment. *J Nanobiotechnology*, 17, 93. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-019-0537-2>.

76. Tacar, O., Srimornsak, P., Dass, C.R. (2013). Doxorubicin: an update on anticancer molecular action, toxicity, and novel drug delivery systems. *J Pharm Pharmacol*, 65(2), 157-170. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jphp.12067>.
77. Rîmbu, M. C., Popescu, L., Mihăilă, M., Sandulovici, R. C., Cord, D., Mihăilescu, C. M., ... & Truță, E. (2025). Synergistic effects of green nanoparticles on antitumor drug efficacy in hepatocellular cancer. *Biomedicines*, 13(3), 641. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines13030641>

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.