Article

Financial Literacy: The Case of Poland

Beata Swiecka¹ Eser Yeşildağ² Ercan Özen³ Simon Grima^{4*}

- ¹ University of Szczecin, Poland, beata.swiecka@usz.edu.pl
- ² The University of Usak, School of Applied Sciences, Turkey, eser.yesildag@usak.edu.tr
- ³ The University of Usak, School of Applied Sciences, Turkey, ercan.ozen@usak.edu.tr
- ⁴ The University of Malta, Faculty of Economics, Management & Accountancy, Malta, simon.grima@um.edu.mt
- * Correspondence: simon.grima@um.edu.mt

Abstract: Financial literacy is a path to sustainability and has an important role in ensuring the financial sustainability of individuals, families, enterprises and national economies. The level of these economic indicators such as debt, payment discipline, savings and financial management all translate into prosperity or insolvency and bankruptcy and result partially from financial literacy. The higher the level of financial literacy, especially of young people, the more favorable the level of economic indicators, which translates into the economy and sustainable development. However, despite many years of research, there is still a lack of a uniform and coherent definition of financial literacy, as well as methods for measuring it. This study offers a small step forward, presenting the authors' own view of the concept of financial literacy, the conceptualizations of financial literacy and methods used for investigating. Moreover, they present the results of a survey conducted on the financial behaviour, financial attitudes, and financial knowledge of the Polish youth and compare this to a PISA study on 15-year-old students. Results demonstrate a good, and partially very good, level of financial literacy among young Poles, showing that they are rational in their financial decision making.

Keywords: Financial Literacy; Financial Knowledge; Household Finance; Young people

1. Introduction

Financial literacy is important to ensure the sustainability development of individuals and society. According to Bryant (2013), economic growth and sustainability are rooted in the financial literacy of individuals. Rahmandoust et al. (2011) show the importance of financial literacy in entrepreneurs' success and then in the sustainable development of society. Financial literacy is important for the sustainability of both the consumers and the entrepreneurs and for people of all age. The earlier that financial literacy is acquired the greater the benefit for their development. Financial literacy. Therefore, to ensure the sustainability of the economy, research is needed to understand the impact it has.

During the past decade, research on financial literacy has increased. Definitions of financial literacy in the literature vary quite a bit. This shows that the problem of financial literacy has been studied for years by various scientists from different parts of the world. Moreover, it demonstrates the importance and timeliness of the problem and points to further deficiencies resulting from diverse attempts at developing a homogeneous, consistent tool for assessing financial literacy, which allows to assess it properly and to undertake international comparative studies. Therefore an urging need arises for further research and exploration along with the redevelopment of solutions adopted in this studied area.

Despite many years of research, there is still a lack of a uniform and coherent definition of financial literacy, as well as methods for measuring it. This study offers a small step forward,

2 of 15

presenting the authors' own view of the concept of financial literacy. First, the conceptualizations of financial literacy are reviewed and methods for investigating it are discussed. Next, the authors present the results of a survey conducted on the financial behaviour, financial attitudes, and financial knowledge of the Polish youth and then compare this to a PISA study on 15-year-old students. In addition, age is significant, as in some countries young people at this age complete their compulsory education and start a professional activity.

2. Conceptualization of Financial Literacy

Financial literacy is a significant element in understanding finances and rational financial decision making. It influences the quality of financial life and the proper rational decision making in the area of finances. Life's financial decisions depend on individuals' knowledge and understanding of personal finance (Lusardi et al., 2017). The three fundamental financial decisions, important at various stages of one's financial life, include savings, making debts, and consumption. The quality of financial decisions taken by individuals depends on their financial knowledge, abilities, and attitudes (Felipe et al., 2017). Agarwall et al. (2013) affirm that considering an environment where the scope and complexity of financial products and services keep increasing, it is necessary that the individuals develop a solid understanding on the word of finance to be able to become good decision-makers and use the right path to achieve their financial goals and needs.

Financial literacy is a broad concept, not explicitly defined by the authors. It can be defined as an ability to use knowledge and skills to manage one's financial resources effectively for lifetime financial security (Hastings et al., 2012). The dimension of financial literacy is a particular kind of human capital that is acquired throughout the life cycle, by learning various subjects that affect the ability to effectively manage revenue, expenses, and savings (Delavende et al., 2008; Potrich et al., 2015). OECD/INFE defines financial literacy as a combination of awareness, knowledge, skill, attitude and behaviour necessary to make sound financial decisions and ultimately achieve individual financial wellbeing (OECD/INFE 2011, OECD 2013).

According to Orton (2007), financial literacy can be divided into three dimensions; (i) financial knowledge and understanding, (ii) financial skills and competence, and (iii) financial responsibility. Widdowson and Kim (2007) identify the following dimensions of financial literacy: (i) basic numeracy skills and basic arithmetic ability, (ii) understanding of the benefits and risks associated with particular financial decisions, and (iii) capability to know where to seek professional advice. Remund (2010) groups financial literacy into five categories: (i) financial knowledge, knowledge about financial concepts and products; (ii) financial communication, communication aptitudes concerning financial concepts; (iii) financial ability, ability to use knowledge in order to take the necessary financial decisions; (iv) financial behaviour, real use of different financial instruments; (v) financial confidence people's confidence in their previous financial decisions and actions. Atkinson & Messy (2011) define financial literacy as awareness, knowledge, skills, attitude, and behaviours. The literature uses many different components of the concept of financial literacy. For the purposes of this article a Robson approach has been adopted (2012), according to which financial literacy has three dimensions: financial knowledge, financial attitude, and financial behaviour.

3. Methods Used in Financial Literacy

The largest international survey of young people (15-year old students) is the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA). It has been implemented every 3 years since 2000 in all the OECD countries, as well as in dozens of partner countries; however, the financial literacy research was carried out for the first time in 2012. Poland has participated in the PISA survey from the beginning, i.e. from 2000. In 2012 the financial literacy study was conducted on a sample of 29,000 15-year-old students from 13 OECD countries. The aim of this study was to check whether the 15-year-olds have enough knowledge and skills to further their livelihood.

In the PISA research conducted in 2015 (PISA, 2017) the Polish 15-years old students scored significantly poorer compared to the study carried out in 2012 (published in 2015). The PISA data

3 of 15

(2017) indicates to what extent the 15-years students are already using money and are involved in financial decisions. On average, in 10 participating OECD countries about six in ten students have a bank account and/or a prepaid card. More than half the students in Australia, the Flemish Community of Belgium, the Canadian provinces, Italy, the Netherlands, Spain, and the United States have a bank account and/or a prepaid card. Moreover, students also earn some money from small occasional jobs outside of school hours. In the PISA 2015 study (PISA, 2017), the Polish 15-year-olds scored 485 points as compared to the score of 510 points in PISA 2012. This was below the OECD average, which score was 489 points the PISA 2015 survey.

In 2015, the Chinese scored the most points, i.e. 566 as compared to their score of 603 in 2012 – 603 and the Belgians scored 541 in 2015 and 2012. In 2012, the USA, Russia, France, Slovenia, Spain, Croatia, Israel, Slovakia, Italy, and Colombia scored lower results than Poland (PISA 2014: 32). However, in the next survey, conducted in 2015, only Italy, Spain, Lithuania, Slovak Republic, Chile, Peru, and Brazil had lower results than Poland and with Russia with 512 points and the USA with 587 points exceeding Poland's score (PISA, 2017).

There are different factors that affect financial literacy. One of them is gender. In most studies reported in the literature, males have a higher level of financial literacy than females. In the studies on households (Chen and Volpe, 1998; Lusardi, 2008; Lusardi and Mitchell, 2011; Potrich et al. 2015; Drolet, 2016) and the studies on students (Luksander et al. 2014; Sarıgül, 2014; Kılıç et al, 2015), males exhibited higher level of financial literacy than females. Some studies on university students (Ludlum et al., 2012; Erdoğan and Erdoğan, 2018; Wagland and Taylor, 2009) have shown that gender has no effect on financial literacy. In a limited number of studies (Özen and Kaya, 2015), it is found that female students have a higher level of financial literacy than male students. Authors stated that this may be possible when women have equal education opportunity and engage with financial topics.

According to Mottola's study (2013), women tend to have lower income and financial literacy levels and they are less confident about their math skills. Therefore, they engage in more costly credit card behaviours than men. To solve the problem of financial literacy, gender equity must be addressed as a central issue that goes beyond the economics of personal finance (Pinto & Coulson, 2011). According to the authors, this issue should be considered as social justice.

Another factor influencing financial literacy is family and school. They play a key role in the education process, including the increase in financial knowledge. These are two basic environments where young people acquire knowledge in various areas among which finance. Family and school usually exert a crucial influence on the child's development (Grima et al., 2019) (Pavia, et al., 2019) (Woods, et al. 2019). They provide experiences and knowledge. Of particular significance is family, as it constitutes one of the significant links in the chain of education. A crucial role of the family in financial education could be clearly visible in the results of the primary research, which demonstrated that most of the participants stated they received information mainly from family (30.3%), internet (9.4%), and school (7.1%). Surprisingly low is the share of school in raising financial literacy, which, however, can be quite easily explained with the fact that schools only rarely include financial issues in the curricula of subjects they teach. The role of the family is also clearly demonstrated by the fact that young people in need to solve a financial problem most frequently (74%) turn to their family for advice.

4. Methodology

This section provides a description of the sampling and the methods used to collect data and interpret it.

The aim of the study was to collect information on financial literacy and financial education in Poland. The authors adopted the OECD (2011) methodology, which considers financial literacy as a combination of financial behaviour, financial attitude, and financial knowledge separately.

The research on financial literacy was conducted between October 2017 and January 2018 and conducted among young people attending high school, with an average age of 15 years in line with the PISA survey methodology. The study was administered in schools during the first weeks of

4 of 15

classes by their teachers. Therefore there were students who had not yet attained the age of 15 years (1.9%) or were slightly older than 15 years (0.4%).

The survey was carried out in Poland on a nationwide representative sample of 2070 respondents. Due to some missing information, the final sample included 1932 respondents (82.8% response rate). They were the students of three types of schools: 3 technical schools (17.6%), 6 high schools (35.4%), and 8 vocational schools (47%). The sample included also some younger or older subjects who started school a year earlier or were repeaters. A large majority (94%) of the surveyed students were born in 2001, 1.9% were born in 2000, and 0.4% in 2002, 3.7% of the subjects did not specify their date of birth, 52.7% of the participants were female, 46.6% male and 13 students (0.7%) did not mention their gender.

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the respondents and displays some detailed information concerning their financial habits and the sources of their financial knowledge. Particularly, it demonstrates the role of family and school in this regard.

Table 1. Distribution and characteristics of the sample (N=1932)

Variable	Description	N	%
Date of birth	2000	37	1.9
	2001	1816	94.0
	2002	8	0.4
	Missing	71	3.7
	Total	1932	100
	Male	901	46.6
	Female	1018	52.7
Gender	Missing	13	0.7
	Total	1932	100
Parents education	Mother		
	primary school	259	13.4
	trade/technical/vocational training	613	31.7
	high school graduate	298	15.4
	bachelor degree	542	28.1
	master degree/engineer	57	3.0
	Father		
	primary school	64	3.3
	trade/technical/vocational training	604	31.3
	high school graduate	566	29.3
	bachelor degree	191	9.9
	master degree/engineer	412	21.3
	School	138	7.1
	Internet	182	9.4
TATE 1 (C. 1) (C. 1)	Family	585	30.3
Where do you find information about	Family, Internet and School	1013	52.4
financial matters?	Subtotal	1918	99.3
	Missing	14	0.7
	Total	1932	100
	I do not get (pocket) money regularly	762	39.4
Are you getting regularly (pocket)	From your mother/father/family	920	47.6
money?	I earn money by doing jobs	208	10.8
	Scholarship/Child allowance	31	1.6

5 of 15

	Subtotal	1921	99.4
	Missing	11	0.6
	Total	1932	100
	I have most of the time money	549	28.4
	problems	0.17	20.1
	I have to borrow money often	858	44.4
	only just as best as I can	390	20.2
How well, in your opinion, do you	in a good way	19	1.0
manage your own money?	in a very good way	110	5.7
	Subtotal	1926	99.7
	Missing	6	0.3
	Total	1932	100
	No, I do not spare. I think I do	137	7.1
	not need the savings		
	No, I do not save at all, I spend	214	11.1
	all my money		
	Yes, but very irregular	580	30.0
Are you regularly saving money?	Yes, for a specific target (e.g.	768	39.8
	bicycle, computer etc.)		
	Yes, I am saving regularly	208	10.8
	Subtotal	1907	98.7
	Missing	25	1.3
	Total	1932	100
	very spendthrift	80	4.1
What person do you consider yourself from the point of view of cash	I'm rather spendthrift person	245	12.7
	neither frugal nor extravagant	815	42.2
	rather frugal person	624	32.3
expenditures?	I am a very frugal person	161	8.3
experiances.	Subtotal	1925	99.6
	Missing	7	0.4
	Total	1932	100
	at the beginning of a month/after the first week	130	6.7
	midst of the month/after the second week	407	21.1
When in an average month you are	at the end of the month/after the	572	29.6
running so short of money that you	third week		
cannot anymore entertain something?	I have enough until the end of	802	41.5
	the month		
	Subtotal	1911	98.9
	Missing	21	1.1
	Total	1932	100
	I have debts form my mobile	20	1.0
	phone/for online shopping and		
	others		
Do you have at present any kind of	I owe money to my relatives	259	13.4
debts?	(e.g.		
acoto:	parents/brother/sister/grandpare		
	nts) and to my friends		
	No, I do not have debts	1611	83.4
	Subtotal	1890	97.8

6 of 15

	Missing	42	2.2
	Total	1932	100
	financial advisor, a	101	5.2
	teacher/school pedagogue,		
	others		
YATIO ON THE STATE OF THE STATE	Family and friend	1429	74.0
Whom would you ask for advice if you	nobody, would try to solve the	353	18.3
had financial problems?	problems by myself		
	Subtotal	1883	97.5
	Missing	49	2.5
	Total	1932	100
	I strongly disagree	120	6.2
	I rather disagree	477	24.7
In your opinion, the financial	I have no opinion	503	26.0
knowledge you get at home and school	I rather agree	686	35.5
is enough to manage your own	I strongly agree	137	7.1
finances?	Subtotal	1923	99.5
	Missing	9	0.5
	Total	1932	100
	theory/theoretical knowledge	54	2.8
	practical knowledge	1505	77.9
	practical and theory/theoretical	278	14.4
What would you avant from a finance	knowledge		
What would you expect from a finance lesson at school?	I do not need special finance	90	4.7
lesson at school:	knowledge/ lessons		
	Subtotal	1927	99.7
	Missing	5	0.3
	Total	1932	100

Source: Authors' computation

The study was completed using a PAPI method. A test questionnaire was used, which contained questions concerning financial knowledge, financial skills, financial attitude, financial behaviour, and financial information obtained by young people. Additional questions determined the demographic characteristics of the respondents. Financial knowledge was measured with true/false closed questions. With regards to financial attitude, respondents judged how much they agreed with various statements on a Likert-type scale. The part of the questionnaire concerning financial behaviour contained questions about savings, debt, consumption, and financial habits. Respondents choose from among several pre-existing answers. The total score could vary from 0 to 5 and the total amount scored was taken as the sum of the points obtained for each question.

In the area of financial knowledge, there were 12 true/false type questions. One point was assigned for a correct answer, 0 otherwise. Therefore, financial knowledge could assume a value from 0 to 12. Three levels of financial information were identified: low, average, and high, depending on the number of correct answers. The low level was assigned to participants who answered correctly from 0 to 4 questions, the average one to those who gave from 5 to 8 correct answers and the high one to those who gave from 9 to 12 correct answers.

The reliability of the scale of financial knowledge was assessed. Bayram (2009: 193) stated that the reliability analysis measures the internal consistency of a set of items and gives information about the relationship between the items within a scale. In this study. Cronbach's Alpha coefficient (α) was calculated, a measure widely used to assess the reliability of questionnaires. The Cronbach Alpha (α) reliability analysis results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Scale and reliability statistics

7 of 15

Scale Statistics			Reliability Statistics		
Mean	Std.	N of	Cronbach's	N of	
	Deviation	Items	Alpha	Items	
83.22	8.233	40	.750	40	

Source: Authors' computation

The statistics displayed in Table 2 show that the general average is 83.22, the standard deviation for the scale is 8.233, and the reliability coefficient, Cronbach Alpha, is 0.75. Since the scale of reliability is between 0.60 and 0.80, we considered this as reliable (Kalaycı, 2008: 405) and it can be said that the internal consistency of the data of this study is very good.

The primary survey was carried out in the fourth quarter of 2017. The questionnaires were sent to the teachers all over Poland, who distributed them to their students during the lessons and allowed the students an appropriate amount of time to complete them. In total 24 schools in 20 cities in 11 voivodeships participated in the survey. Part of the survey was also conducted in Germany, but in this article only the results concerning Poland are presented.

5. Results

Financial behaviour

Table 3 presents the results concerning financial behaviour, including savings, making debts, and consumption. The results show that more than 80% of young people are saving money. It should be noted, however, that this is a declarative answer, and that 30% are saving money irregularly, almost 40 per cent (39.8%) are saving for a specific target, and only about 11% (10.8%) are saving regularly. In our opinion saving money is a good financial behaviour, but at the age of 15 it doesn't predetermine anything. It is only natural that young people, having no financial liabilities and being provided for the necessities of life (board and lodging), save for a specific target (a new computer, a bicycle etc.). However, if a 15 year old is saving for a specific purpose or irregularly, it is not predetermined that he/she will continue this behaviour in the adult life. Of particular importance seems to be the result pointing to the regular saving of money, reported only by 10.8% of the respondents, as well as long-term saving, which was not addressed in this study, being more suitable for a study on the adults.

Table 3. Financial behaviour

Table 5. Financial behaviour							
Question	Answer	Frequency	%				
	No, I do not spare, I think I do not	137	7.1				
	need the savings						
	No, I do not save at all, I spend all	214	11.1				
Are you regularly saving	my money						
money?	Yes, but very irregular	580	30.0				
	Yes. for a specific target (e.g. bicycle.	768	39.8				
	computer etc.)						
	Yes, I am saving regularly	208	10.8				
	very spend thrift	80	4.1				
What person do you consider	I'm rather spendthrift person	245	12.7				
yourself from the point of	neither frugal nor extravagant	815	42.2				
view of cash expenditures?	rather frugal person	624	32.3				
	I am a very frugal person	161	8.3				
	I have debts for my mobile phone/for	20	1.0				
	online shopping and others						
Do you have at present any	I owe money to my relatives (e.g.	259	13.4				
kind of debts?	parents/brother/sister/grandparents)						
	and to my friends						
	No, I do not have debts	1611	83.4				

8 of 15

Subtotal	1890	97.8
Missing	42	2.2

Source: Authors' computation

Above 80% (83.3%) of the respondents have no debts. However, it is slightly surprising that more than 13% (13.4%) owe money to their family. This result is high for young students, assuming the expectations that in the future they will be taking credit card consumer loans and subsequently, mortgages and car credits. Also, the young generation tend to incur debts, they grow up in comfort and wealth, are used to quality products and prices are secondary for them. They are often impatient, they want to have everything immediately and therefore take loans from banks and use credit cards. Although their main life task is learning and they are dependent on their parents, they are very active consumers and they willingly spend money on their whims. 42.2 per cent of the subjects consider themselves neither frugal nor extravagant, but as much as 40.6 per cent judge themselves as frugal (a rather frugal person 32.3% I am a very frugal person 8.3%).

Financial Attitude

The results of the primary research show that the topic of money and talking about money is no taboo for the young Poles (Table 4). As many as 70% of the respondents claim that financial matters do not seem too complicated for them. They are generally not troubled by financial worries. However, despite the fact that because of their young age they perform rather simple financial operations, the same positive attitude to financial matters should be considered a good prognosis for the future. Of course, such an attitude may also result in reckless behaviour – It is simple, so I do not have to think more deeply about the financial aspects of the transaction. This approach may, with more complex operations such as credit, lead to imprudent financial decisions. On the basis of the conducted research, we cannot draw conclusions regarding such attitudes. However, the responsibility of adults (and of the educational system in the country) should – at the stage of education and upbringing – sensitize young people to financial matters and shape responsible attitudes. Young people should be aware that financial matters are of varying complexity and the more complex long-term ones require special attention and consideration when making financial decisions. However, young Poles declare awareness of the necessity of saving and as many as 76 % of the respondents understand that refraining from current consumption and postponing (saving) money in this way may mean a better future for them. If we confront these attitudes with declarations regarding real behaviour (30 % of the respondents declared that they regularly save and almost 40 % save from time to time). These attitudes indicate high awareness of saving. Young Poles show quite reasonable attitudes about their spending options and declare that during the purchase of various products they wonder if they can afford such a purchase. Over 77 % of the respondents declare that they do not buy things that they cannot afford. Only 17 % of the respondents said that if they have money, they should not hesitate to flaunt it. The vast majority of respondents did not want to show in public that they have money. Such a result is interesting because it is widely believed that the young generation is very susceptible to the so-called consumer symbols of social status and thus – de facto – to flaunting the fact of having money. The research results show that young people control their cash well, although the difference between the awareness of how much money is in their portfolio (84.5 % of the respondents declare that they know) and how much money they have in their account (66 % of the respondents declare that they know) is clearly visible. This difference may be due to the fact that many respondents do not have a bank account yet. In general, it should be stated that the respondents show great selfsatisfaction in terms of their ability to deal with their financial affairs. Over 83 % believe that they manage their money well (Swiecka et. al. 2019).

Table 4. Financial attitudes

		Freq.	%			Freq.	%
TA71	Strongly Agree	70	3.6	If today I	Strongly Agree	400	20.7
When we talk about	Agree	251	13.0	will	Agree	1065	55.1
taik about	Disagree	1116	57.8	withhold	Disagree	383	19.8

9 of 15

money I get	Strongly Disagree	485	25.1	some	Strongly Disagree	76	3.9
bad mood	Subtotal	1922	99.5	expenses	Subtotal	1924	99.6
	Missing	10	.5	and save, I	Missing	8	.4
	Total	1932	100	will be	Total	1932	100
				better off in			
				the future			
When I have	Strongly Agree	224	11.6		Strongly Agree	323	16.7
savings I	Agree	634	32.8	I spend	Agree	725	37.5
spend them	Disagree	736	38.1	often more	Disagree	700	36.2
soon to	Strongly Disagree	335	17.3	money than	Strongly Disagree	177	9.2
realize my	Subtotal	1929	99.8	I intended	Subtotal	1925	99.6
wishes	Missing	3	.2	too	Missing	7	.4
Wishes	Total	1932	100		Total	1932	100
I have the	Strongly Agree	98	5.1	I often buy	Strongly Agree	99	5.1
feeling that	Agree	477	24.7	things	Agree	341	17.7
money and	Disagree	1031	53.4	without	Disagree	975	50.5
finances are	Do not agree at all	325	16.8	thinking	Do not agree at all	513	26.6
too	Subtotal	1931	99.9	whether I	Subtotal	1928	99.8
complicated	Missing	1	.1	can afford	Missing	4	.2
for me	Total	1932	100	them or not	Total	1932	100
	Strongly Agree	653	33.8	I know at	Strongly Agree	886	45.9
	Agree	639	33.1	the moment	Agree	746	38.6
I attach a lot	Disagree	357	18.5	how much	Disagree	216	11.2
of	Strongly Disagree	257	13.3	money I	Strongly Disagree	81	4.2
importance	Subtotal	1906	98.7	have got in	Subtotal	1929	99.8
to thrift	Missing	26	1.3	my	Missing	3	.2
	Total	1932	100	wallet/on my account	Total	1932	100
	Strongly Agree	105	5.4		Strongly Agree	45	2.3
When I have	Agree	218	11.3	T.1. 1 T	Agree	270	14.0
money. I	Disagree	898	46.5	I think I am	Disagree	1209	62.6
should not	Strongly Disagree	698	36.1	capable of	Strongly Disagree	402	20.8
hesitate to	Subtotal	1919	99.3	managing	Subtotal	1926	99.7
flaunt it	Missing	13	.7	my money	Missing	6	.3
	Total	1932	100		Total	1932	100
	Strongly Agree	554	28.7		Strongly Agree	113	5.8
In my life	Agree	970	50.2	I am	Agree	439	22.7
everything	Disagree	224	11.6	(actually)	Disagree	943	48.8
will be	Strongly Disagree	174	9.0	troubled by	Strongly Disagree	430	22.3
somehow	Subtotal	1922	99.5	financial	Subtotal	1925	99.6
put in order	Missing	10	.5	worries	Missing	7	.4
	Total	1932	100		Total	1932	100

Source: Authors' computation

Financial Knowledge

Last but not least, one of the most important elements of financial literacy is financial knowledge. In the primary research, 12 questions concerning the objective financial knowledge were used. That is not an opinion of one's level of knowledge, but the real knowledge of some issues concerning personal finances to which participants were required to answer true/false question. The analysis of financial knowledge demonstrated that there were much more correct answers than incorrect ones. The number of all the correct answers exceeded 50 % and in some subjects, this even reached 90%.

10 of 15

The incorrect answers comprised both false answers and the answers "I don't know" (Table 5). Both these types of incorrect answers demonstrated that the respondents had no knowledge on a given subject. It is worth noting that the number of answers "I don't know" is quite considerable – from 8.5% to the question "if one can pay for goods and services by mobile phone" to 25% to the question on the debit and credit payment cards to 37.6% to the question concerning bitcoin. Young people have some basic knowledge of personal finances, but when it comes to the more advanced services and instruments, they need further education. The results confirm the necessity to elaborate on the subject and provide them with proper education. It should be emphasized that possessing knowledge not always translates into proper financial behaviour and attitudes towards money and therefore, besides financial knowledge, a significant role should be assigned to emotions, environment in which the youth is brought up, their own experiences and those of their parents and family, as well as the place where they live in.

Table 5. Financial knowledge

Knowledge statements	Correct	Incorrect	Do not know
Knowledge statements	answer	answer	(%)
			(/0)
	(%)	(%)	
Balance sheet is a statement of costs and revenues	73.6	26.4	20.3
for a given period.	70.0	20.1	20.0
Budget is a financial plan containing a statement	59.8	40.2	141
of income and expenditure.	39.8	40.2	14.1
The debit card works just like a credit card.	64.0	36.0	24.9
Income levels affect future retirement pension.	78.2	21.8	9.5
Bank accounts may only be owned by an adult.	80.8	19.2	8.9
Financial planning is finding the best ways to use	(0.6	21.4	0.0
your money.	68.6	31.4	9.8
Financial knowledge is only needed for those who	77.0	22.7	14.0
work in financial institutions.	77.3	22.7	14.0
Overdraft is the amount that an account holder	F0.2	40.7	20.5
can debit at a given bank.	59.3	40.7	29.5
Visa/MasterCard are only for withdrawing cash	75.4	24.6	15.0
from an ATM.	75.4	24.6	15.3
Only adults can use the online banking services.	57.1	42.9	22.5
You can pay for goods and services by mobile	0E 7	14.2	8.5
phone.	85.7	14.3	6.3
Bitcoin is money you can use to pay online.	55.7	44.3	37.6

Source: Authors' computation

At first sight, it is clear from Table 5 that the students knew the best correct answer to the eleventh question. On the other hand, it is also clear that the largest number (94.4%) of wrong answers was given to the first question. Apart from this, half of the students solved correctly eleven of the twelve problems.

Table 6 highlights the score levels of financial literacy obtained from the survey.

Table 6. Levels of financial knowledge

Levels	Level of financial	Number of correct	N	%
	knowledge	answers		
Level 1	Low level	0 - 4	209	10.8
Level 2	Average level	5 - 8	876	45.3
Level 3	High level	9 - 12	847	43.8

11 of 15



Source: Authors' computation

Accordingly, 209 students answered correctly up to 4 questions, 876 students answered from 5 to 8 questions correctly and 847 students answered from 9 to 12 questions correctly. The largest number of students were scored at level 2, although the number of students scoring at level 3 is very close to those who scored level 2. Therefore it can be said that the majority of students reached a level score which was between average and high.

Table 7 presents more detailed information on the scores achieved by the participants according to gender.

Table 7. Average achievement scores according to gender

1 able 7. Average achievement scores acco	Gen		General
Questions	Female	Male	Scores
1. Balance sheet is a statement of costs and revenues for a	4.5 %	6.5%	5.5%
given period	(46/1018)	(59/901)	(105/1919)
2. Budget is a financial plan containing a statement of	62.9%	55.9%	59.6%
revenue and expenditure	(640/1018)	(504/901)	(1144/1919)
2. The debit card works just like a gradit card	64.1%	63.5%	63.8%
3. The debit card works just like a credit card	(653/1018)	(572/901)	(1225/1919)
4. Income levels affect future retirement pension	79.2%	76.7%	78.0%
4. Income levels affect future retirement pension	(806/1018)	(691/901)	(1497/1919)
5. Bank accounts may only be owned by an adult	81.7%	79.5%	80.7%
3. Dank accounts may only be owned by an addit	(832/1018)	(716/901)	(1548/1919)
6. Financial planning is finding the best ways to use your	70.5%	66.4%	68.6%
money	(718/1018)	(598/901)	(1316/1919)
7. Financial knowledge is only needed for those who work in	79.5%	74.8%	77.3%
financial institutions	(809/1018)	(674/901)	(1483/1919)
8. Overdraft is the amount that an account holder can debit at	61.9%	55.8%	59.0%
a given bank	(630/1018)	(503/901)	(1133/1919)
O Vice/MesterCand are only for with descript and at an ATM	74.7%	75.9%	75.2%
9. Visa/MasterCard are only for withdrawing cash at an ATM	(760/1018)	(684/901)	(1444/1919)
10. Only adults can use the online hanking convices	58.5%	55.3%	57.0%
10. Only adults can use the online banking services	(596/1018)	(498/901)	(1094/1919)
11 You can pay for goods and convices by mobile whom	87.7%	83.1%	85.6%
11. You can pay for goods and services by mobile phone	(893/1018)	(749/901)	(1642/1919)
10 Pitasia is managana and to managalia s	42.3%	70.5%	55.5%
12. Bitcoin is money you can use to pay online	(431/1018)	(635/901)	(1066/1919)
Mean	64.0%	63.7%	63.8%

Source: Authors' computation

According to the results of the primary research, financial knowledge of the female and male participants is similar, although on average women scored higher (64%) than men (63.7%). Women proved to have better financial knowledge on the issues asked in 9 out of 12 questions, while men were better informed only on 3 of them. That is balance sheets, payment cards and bitcoins.

As highlighted in Table 7, the overall score of students was 63.8 %. Students gave the best answers to question 11 (85.6%) and the poorest answers to question 1 (5.5 %). Therefore, it can be said that there are little differences between genders in terms of the financial information level, although in general, men or women are better informed on particular subjects.

The independent t-tests were used to determine whether there is a significant difference between gender and the levels of financial knowledge, financial behaviours and the ability to use financial instruments. Before conducting these tests, the normality of the distribution of the data was assessed.

12 of 15

To this end, the skewness and kurtosis were evaluated. The values of the skewness and kurtosis are presented in Table 8.

Table 8. Descriptive statistics

	Financial knowledge	Financial behaviour	Financial attitudes
N	1932	1932	1931
Mean	.6399	2.9233	2.9161
Median	.6667	2.9091	2.9982
Skewness	-1.091	-0.920	-0.138
Kurtosis	-0.095	0.177	0.040

Source: Authors' computation

Results show that the skewness values are -1.091 for financial knowledge, -0.92 for financial behaviour and -1.138 for financial attitudes. The kurtosis values are -0.095 for financial knowledge, 0.177 for financial behaviour and 0.040 for financial attitudes. Since all the skewness and kurtosis values are between +1.50 and -1.50, it can be said that the data is normally distributed.

The results of the t test for financial information are shown in Table 9.

Table 9. Financial information according to gender

Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	F	P
Female	1018	.6397	.19044	16.620	.746
Male	901	.6366	.22065		

Source: Authors' computation

Results show that the average financial knowledge score of 1018 female students is 0.6397 and that of 901 male students is 0.6366. However, although the achievement level of female students is slightly higher than that of male students, the difference is not significant (p = 0.746 > 0.05).

The results of the t-test for financial behaviour are presented in Table 10.

Table 10. Financial behaviour according to gender

Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	F	P
Female	1018	2.8722	.38602	2.603	.000
Male	900	2.9841	.36804		

Source: Authors' computation

In table 10 we show the results that the average financial behaviour score of 1018 female students is 2.8722 and that of 900 male students is 2.9841 and that this difference is statistically significant (p = 0.000 < 0.05).

The results of the t-test for the use of financial tools are presented in Table 11.

Table 11. Use of financial tools according to gender

Gender		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	F	P
	Female	1015	1.7189	.29989	6.517	.000
	Male	888	1.8026	.27596		

Source: Authors' computation

Accordingly, results show that the average use of financial technology for 1015 female students is 1.7189 and that of 888 male students is 1.8026 and this difference is statistically significant (p = 0.000 < 0.05).

13 of 15

6. Discussions and Conclusions

This section may be divided by subheadings. It should provide a concise and precise description of the experimental results, their interpretation as well as the experimental conclusions that can be drawn.

The results of the primary research demonstrated a good and partially very good, level of financial knowledge of the young people in Poland. 45.3 % obtained an average level score and 43.8 % achieved a high-level score in financial knowledge. This result shows that they are rational in their financial decision making. However, knowledge is only one of the components required to ensure future financial livelihood.

A high level of financial knowledge not always translates into the proper financial behaviour on financial markets. We can use the analogy of commonly used diets to explain this. We might know a lot about diets, but it doesn't necessarily mean that we can apply this knowledge and achieve the desired results. Undoubtedly, however, knowledge lays a necessary foundation. When supplemented with the experience – one's own and that of the parents, family and the closest environment; it should bring positive results in financial life and livelihood. This, however, is just the base that should be developed so as to know how to plan a budget, to save, to invest or to know what loans to take and when, how to manage debt, how to protect oneself for the future, etc.

The appropriate way to acquire financial knowledge that will translate into proper behaviour is financial education. Financial education should be carefully thought of and well adapted to the age of a student. It shouldn't be uniform for all since the needs of young people are different from those of adults. The language and terminology should also be adequately adapted so that the message could reach its recipient. Young people are in many ways privileged since they have access to the world wide web of the internet, online books, articles, blogs, and social media, etc. where they can find a lot of information on financial matters, which of course might not have been available to their parents and grandparents. Financial literacy is of great importance not only for the individuals but also for the state economy. The more financial awareness individuals have, the more they expect from the financial institutions, the authorities and the employers.

Author Contributions: All authors have contributed similarly to all sections of this article. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding

Acknowledgments: Before restructuring, this paper was presented during the 22th Finance Symposium in Mersin, Turkey, on 12-15th October 2018.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

14 of 15

References

- (Agarwalla et al. 2013). Agarwalla. S.. Barua S.. Jacob J.. and Varma J. (2013). Financial literacy among working young in urban India. Indian Institute of Management Ahmeda AHMEDABAD – 380015. No 2013-10-02. India
- (Atkinson, et al. 2012). Atkinson. A.and Messy F. (2012). Measuring financial literacy. Results of the OECD / International Network on Financial Education (INFE) Pilot Study. OECD Working Papers on Finance. Insurance and Private Pensions. No. 15. OECD Publishing.
- 3. (Bayram, 2009). Bayram. N. (2009). Data analysis with SPSS in social sciences. Review. Expanded 2nd Edition. Ezgi Bookstore. Bursa.
- 4. (Delavende, et al. 2008). Delavende, A. Rohwedder S. and Willis J. (2008). Preparation for retirement. financial literacy and cognitive resource. Working Paper no. 2008-190. Michigan Research Center.
- (Erdoğan, et al. 2018) Erdoğan. D. C.. and Erdoğan. S. (2018). Measuring the level of financial literacy and its impact on financial literacy level: The case of Şırnak University. Al-Farabi International Journal of Social Sciences).2(1). 138-159.
- 6. (Felipe, et al. 2017). Felipe I.. Ceribeli H.. and Lana T. (2017). Investigating the level of financial literacy of university students. RACE. Jocacaba. vol. 16. no 3.
- (Gustman, et al., 2010). Gustman A.. Steimeier T.. and Tabatabai (2010). Financial knowledge and financial literacy at the household level. Working Paper 16500. National Bureau of Economic Research. Cambridge.
- 8. (Grima et al., 2019). Grima, S. and Pavia, S., 2019. Retirement Planning by Maltese Nationals: A Study of Influential Factors. Contemporary Issues in Behavioural Finance. Contemporary Studies in Economic and Financial Analysis, Volume 101 Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Chp 16, pp 227-259
- 9. (Hasting, et al., 2012). Hastings J.. Madrian B.. and Skimmyhorn W. (2012). Financial literacy. financial education and economic outcomes. Working Paper 18412. National Bureau of Economic Research. Cambridge.
- 10. (Hilgert, et al., 2003). Hilgert. M.. Hogarth. J.. and Beverley. S. (2003). Household financial management: The connection between knowledge and behaviour. Technical report 309-322. Federal Reserve Bulletin.
- 11. (Hung, et al., 2009). Hung A., Parker A. and Yoong J.K. (2009). Defining and measuring financial literacy. Rand Working Paper WR–708. RAND Corporation.
- 12. (Huston, 2010). Huston S., (2010). Measuring financial literacy. The Journal of Consumer Affairs". 44(2). 296-316
- 13. (Kalaycı, 2008). Kalaycı. Ş., (2008). SPSS applied multivariate statistical techniques. 3rd Edition. Asil Publishing. Ankara.
- (Karakoç et al., 2017). Karakoç. M. and Yeşildağ. E. (2017). The financial literacy levels of the students taking finance based lectures at the school of applied science. Bilici. N.. Akgül. B. and Pehlivanlı. R. (Ed.). Global Issues in Social Sciences Different Perspectives Multidisciplinary Approaches. (pp. 191-205). Peter Lang Publishing.
- 15. (Ludlum et al., 2012). Ludlum. M. Tilker. K. Ritter. D. Cowart. T. Xu. W. and Smith B.C. (2012). Financial literacy and credit cards: A multi campus survey. International Journal of Business and Social Science. 3(7). 25-33.
- 16. (Luksander et al., 2014). Luksander. A. Béres. D. Huzdik. K. and Németh E. (2014). Analysis of the factors that influence the financial literacy of young people studying in higher education. Public Finance Quarterly. 2. 220-241.
- 17. (Lusardi et al., 2011). Lusardi. A. and Mitchell. O.S. (2011). Financial literacy around the world: An overview. Journal of Pension Economics and Finance. 10. November. 497-508. doi:10.1017/S1474747211000448.
- 18. (Lusardi et al., 2017). Lusardi A.. Oggero N. and Yakoboski P.. (2017). The TIAA Institute-GFLEC Personal Finance Index: A new measure of financial literacy. The George Washington University of Business and Global Financial Literacy Excellence Center. The TIAA Institute. New York.

15 of 15

- (Lusardi, et al., 2009). Lusardi A.. Mitchell O..and Curto V. (2009). Financial literacy among the young. Evidence and implication for consumers policy. Working Paper 15352. National Bureau of Economic Research. Cambridge.
- 20. (Mandell, et al., 2009). Mandell. L. and Klein. L. (2009). The impact of financial literacy education on subsequent financial behaviour. Journal of Financial Counselling and Planning. 20 (1). 15-24.
- (OECD/INFE, 2011). OECD/INFE (2011). Measuring financial literacy. Core questionnaire in measuring financial literacy: Questionnaire and guidance notes for conducting an internationally comparable survey of financial literacy. Paris. OECD.
- 22. (Özen, et al., 2015). Özen. E. and Kaya. Z. (2015). Measuring the level of financial literacy: A research on university students. 19th Finance Symposium. 21-24 October 2015. Çorum-Turkey.
- 23. (Pavia, et al. 2019). Pavia,S. and Grima,S., 2019. Retirement Planning: A Literature Review. Contemporary Issues in Behavioural Finance. Contemporary Studies in Economic and Financial Analysis, Volume 101 Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Chp 8, pp 97-138
- 24. (PISA, 2017). PISA (2017). PISA 2015 Results. Students financial literacy (Volume IV). Programme for International Student Assessment. OECD Publishing.
- 25. (PISA, 2014). PISA (2014). PISA 2012 Results: students and money: financial literacy skills for the 21st century (Volume VI). Programme for International Student Assessment. OECD Publishing.
- 26. (Potocki, 2016). Potocki T. (2016). Poziom wiedzy finansowej wśród osób podatnych na ubóstwo aspekty metodyczne i wyniki badań własnych (Level of financial knowledge among people susceptible to poverty methodological aspects and results of own research). Acta Univesitatis Lodziensis. Folia Oeconomica 6 (326).
- 27. (Potrich et al., 2015). Potrich A., Vieira K. and Kirch G. (2015). Determinants of financial literacy: Analysis of the influence of socioeconomic and demographic variables. Accounting and Finance Review. 26(69). 362-377.
- 28. (Sevin et al., 2016). Sevin. H. D. and Küçük. S. (2016). A study intended to determine the relationship between the recreational activity participation levels of employees and their work performances. Journal of Recreation and Tourism Research. 3 (1). pp. 24-31.
- 29. (Świecka et al., 2019). Świecka B., Grzesiuk A., Korczak D. Wyszkowska-Kaniewska O. (2019). Financial literacy and financial education. Theory and survey. De Gruyter. Berlin, Boston.
- 30. (Woods et al., 2019). Wood, J.J., Seychell, S., Ozen, E., Spiteri, J., Suban, R. 2019. Explaining Heterogeneity in Risk Appetite and Tolerance: The Turkish Case. Chapter 8, pp. 111-130. Contemporary Issues in Audit Management and Forensic Accounting. Contemporary Studies in Economic and Financial Analysis, Volume 102 Emerald Group Publishing Limited.