

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Type of Attendant at Birth by Detailed Maternal Nativity Among US-Born, Latin American and Caribbean-Born, and Sub-Saharan African-Born Black Women

 $\frac{Farida\ N.\ Yada}{}^*\ , Candace\ S.\ Brown\ ,\ Larissa\ R.\ Brunner\ Huber\ ,\ \underline{Comfort\ Z.\ Olorunsaiye}}{Ndidiamaka\ Amutah-Onukhaga\ ,\ Tehia\ Starker\ Glass$

Posted Date: 24 February 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202502.1909.v1

Keywords: detailed maternal nativity; labor and delivery; birth attendant; Black women; US-born; Latin American and Caribbean-born; Sub-Saharan African-born



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Type of Attendant at Birth by Detailed Maternal Nativity Among US-Born, Latin American and Caribbean-Born, and Sub-Saharan African-Born Black Women

Farida N. YADA 1,2,*, Candace S. Brown 3, Larissa R. Brunner Huber 3, Comfort Z. Olorunsaiye 4, Ndidiamaka Amutah-Onukhaga 1,2 and Tehia Starker Glass 5

- Department of Public Health & Community Medicine, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02111, USA
- ² Center for Black Maternal Health and Reproductive Justice, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02111, USA
- ³ Department of Epidemiology and Community Health, College of Health and Human Services, UNC Charlotte, Charlotte, NC 28223, USA
- ⁴ Department of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, Arcadia University, Glenside, PA 19038, USA
- Department of Reading and Elementary Education, Cato College of Education, UNC Charlotte, NC 28223, USA
- * Correspondence: farida.yada@tufts.edu

Abstract: Approximately 10% of the US Black diaspora were born either in Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) or Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), projected to account for a third of the Black US diaspora by 2060. Yet little details on foreign-born Black women's labor and delivery (L&D) characteristics such as the type of birth attendant remain scarce. We used the National Center for Health Statistics 2016 to 2020 Natality data (n=2,041,880). The associations between detailed maternal nativity (DMN) and the type of attendant at birth (i.e., physician, certified nurse-midwife (CNM), certified professional midwife (CPM)) among US-born, LAC-born, and SSA-born Black women were examined using multivariate multinomial regression. The study revealed that LAC-born women were more likely to have a CNM during birth than US-born Black women, but Haitian-born and Jamaican-born women had lower odds of having a certified professional midwife (CPM) at birth. When compared to US-born Black women, Cameroonian-born women had decreased odds of having either a CNM or CPM during birth. Findings suggest the need for further investigation and comprehensive data collection methods for future research to understand the specific needs and preferences of different ethnocultural groups to improve maternity care and prevent adverse maternal health outcomes.

Keywords: detailed maternal nativity; labor and delivery; birth attendant; Black women; US-born; Latin American and Caribbean-born; Sub-Saharan African-born

1. Introduction

Between 2017-2019, 84% of pregnancy-related deaths in the US were preventable [1]. It is well documented that Black women in the US are three to four times more likely to die in childbirth than their non-Black counterparts, even after adjusting for relevant social determinants of health such as age, socioeconomic status, and parity [2]. A complex interplay of factors, including systemic racism, socioeconomic barriers, lack of access to quality healthcare [3] and immigration-related disadvantages often contribute to these disparities [3,4]. However, another factor that may also contribute to these disparities is maternal nativity.

Black people are not a monolith; therefore culture, ethnicity, and immigration characteristics must be considered in the study of Black people's reproductive health. In 2019, 47 million people in the US identified as Black, comprising 14% of the total US population among which 4.6 million were foreign-born [5]. Among Black immigrants in the US, those who identify as Caribbean (46%) are the largest group, while Sub-Saharan African (SSA) (42%) immigrants account for the fastest growth in the Black immigrant population [6–8]. Notwithstanding their contributions to the growing US Black Diaspora, Latin American and Caribbean, and SSA-born women are underrepresented in current maternity research and scientific literature.

The birthing process can involve a diverse group of maternity care providers including obstetricians, family physicians, nurse-midwives, and doulas [9,10]. Midwifery involves the provision of comprehensive care throughout pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum, including addressing sexual and reproductive health, gynecologic needs, preconception care, and family planning [11]. The American College of Nurse-Midwives (ACNM) defines a Certified Nurse Midwife (CNM) as a maternity care provider educated at the graduate-level accredited midwifery programs who are also active registered nurses at the time of their midwifery certification [12]. Both CNMs and Certified Midwives (CMs) have graduate-level education, receive certification through the ACNM, and their services include a full range of primary care services for women starting from adolescence to post menopause [13]. Certified professional midwife (CPM) certification is governed by the North American Registry of Midwives (NARM) and does not require an academic degree [13]. The scope of practice for CPMs includes education, support, counseling, assessment, diagnosis and treatment of abnormal or dangerous conditions during the perinatal period [13]. While physicians attend the majority of births, there is a notable state-by-state variation in the use of midwifery care [14]. Access to midwifery care is significantly lower in states with higher rates of Black births [14,15]. Various certifications and regulations exist for midwives, which affect their scope of practice, autonomy, and prescriptive authority. The Midwifery Integration Scoring System (MISS) quantifies the integration of midwifery care and its impact, with findings suggesting that higher integration correlates with better maternal and neonatal outcomes [13,14]. These maternal outcomes include higher rates of spontaneous vaginal delivery, vaginal birth after cesarean, and breastfeeding, and the neonatal outcomes include lower rates of cesarean deliveries, preterm birth, low birth weight infants and neonatal deaths [13,14]. Racism in midwifery is a significant factor to consider in addressing maternity care inequities. Black women in the US often served as midwives, delivering babies for both enslaved and White women, until the Sheppard-Towner Act of 1921 resulted in regulation and gradual elimination of Black midwives in the Southern US [15–17]. Due to higher rates of maternal mortality and morbidity and other adverse birth outcomes among women of color, Black women, in particular, have propelled interest in evidence-based models of care that can improve outcomes, that include access to midwifery care and preventive care programs developed and led by midwives [19,20].

The existing literature on associations between maternal nativity and labor and delivery (L&D) characteristics among Black women is limited. Most studies have dichotomized maternal nativity, i.e., US-born versus foreign-born, without examining associations by specific countries of birth [21–24]. Disparities in maternal health between US-born and foreign-born Black people are larger than nativity disparities among all other racialized populations (e.g., between US-born and foreign-born Asian populations) [26]. Additionally, previous research primarily focused on adverse birth outcomes such as preterm birth and low birth weight [26–28], while potential factors that may pertain to differences in L&D care within the Black Diaspora, such as detailed maternal nativity (DMN) and the type of attendant at birth, remain underexplored.

This study aimed to address the gaps in the existing literature by examining associations between DMN (i.e., mother's specific country of birth) and the type of attendant at birth among US-born, LAC-born, and SSA-born Black women in the US who had a birth between 2016 and 2020. We sought to assess differences in the type of attendant at birth between LAC-born and SSA-born Black mothers in comparison to US-born Black mothers. Due to the lack of access to midwifery care in states

with higher rates of Black births [15], it was hypothesized that LAC-born and Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA)-born women would have lower odds of being attended by midwives compared to their US-born counterparts.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Source

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) collaborates with states to collect and publish data on vital statistics, including all US live births, death certificates, and fetal death reports [30]. Birth certificate data, often referred to as Natality data, records births within the US, covering US citizens, residents, and non-residents. Public use Natality data, micro-data files, are available on the NCHS website [30]. However, due to confidentiality concerns, specific geographic data such as the state of residence or maternal country of birth are restricted [31]. To study the associations between DMN and the type of attendant at birth, access to the all-county restricted micro-data natality files for 2016 – 2020 was secured from NCHS.

2.2. Ethics

The UNC Charlotte Institutional Review Board (IRB) deemed this study exempt from needing IRB approval as there was no human participation. Following the submission of a brief proposal of research objectives, and a data security plan, NCHS granted access to the datasets.

2.3. Study Design & Population

The initial sample included non-Hispanic Black adult women of reproductive age (20-49) [32], who had a singleton birth in the US between 2016 and 2020 (n=2,556,727). Women who had missing data on their nativity status (n=9,085), were non-US residents (n=2,558), were born in regions other than the US, the LAC, or SSA (n=31,696), or did not have a singleton delivery (n=108,428) were excluded. Women who had missing or incomplete information on the following independent variables were also excluded: US region of residence (n=91,063), marital status (n=80,157), mother's education (n=16,400), parity (n=7,582), BMI (n=67,788), method of delivery (n= 773), prenatal care adequacy (n=80,709), or previous cesarean (n= 1,206). Lastly, women whose place of delivery was unknown (n=1,674), and women whose attendant at birth was listed as "other" or "unknown" (n=15,728) were excluded. The final sample included 2,041,880 deliveries.

2.4. Study Variables

The main exposure in this study was DMN, i.e., the mother's region and country of birth (Table 1). The mother's detailed nativity was determined by the birth country variable available on the child's Birth Certificate Record. Black women who indicated being born in the LAC, or sub-Saharan Africa were compared to US-born Black women who were the reference group. Individual countries with lower than 5,000 observations were collapsed into two composite variables called "All Other" for the LAC and SSA respectively [32,33]. The outcome measure was the type of attendant at birth (i.e., physician, certified nurse midwife (CNM), or other midwife (CPM)).

The covariates included maternal age (20-29, 30-39, 40-49), mother's education (some high school, high school graduate, some college, college degree, or unknown), marital status (married or unmarried), parity $(1, 2, \ge 3)$, gestational weight gain (according to the Institute of Medicine guidelines) [35], adequacy of prenatal care (adequate, intermediate, or inadequate), previous cesarean delivery, insurance type, US region of residence (Northeast, Midwest, South or West), and location of residence (urban vs rural). Prenatal care adequacy was measured using the Adequacy of Prenatal Care Utilization Index [35,36]. The month prenatal care began and the number of prenatal care visits variables were used to create the following categories: Adequate = began care between 1-4 months, and had at least 15 prenatal visits at 40 weeks, Intermediate = began care between 1-4

months and had \leq 11 prenatal visits, and Inadequate = began care at or after the 5th month, and had \leq 6 prenatal visits [36].

Table 1. Frequencies of US-born, Latin American and Caribbean-born (LAC), and Sub-Saharan African (SSA)-born Black Women, 2016-2020 NCHS Natality Data.

Mother's Birth Country		
US	1,732,603	(84.85)
Latin America	a & Caribbean	
Anguilla	68	(0.00)
Antigua Barbuda	446	(0.02)
Aruba	20	(0.00)
Barbados	569	(0.03)
Belize	540	(0.03)
Brazil	1,005	(0.05)
Cayman Islands	78	(0.00)
Columbia	73	(0.00)
Cuba	80	(0.00)
Dominican Republic	1,070	(0.05)
Ecuador	43	(0.00)
El Salvador	150	(0.01)
French Guyana	13	(0.00)
Grenada	523	(0.02)
Guadeloupe	72	(0.00)
Guatemala	255	(0.01)
Guyana	3,347	(0.16)
Haiti	51,948	(2.54)
Jamaica	31,112	(1.52)
Martinique	28	(0.00)
Netherland Antilles	6	(0.00)
Nicaragua	49	(0.00)
Panama	315	(0.02)
Panama Canal Zone	5	(0.00)
Papua New Guinea	11	(0.00)
Paraguay	2	(0.00)
Peru	33	(0.00)
Saint Lucia	702	(0.03)
The Bahamas	2,908	(0.14)
Trinidad and Tobago	4,236	(0.21)

Uruguay 9 (0.00) Sub-Saharan Africa Angola 873 (0.04) Benin 860 (0.04) Botswana 118 (0.01) Burundi 1,476 (0.07) Cameroon 9,725 (0.48) Cape Verde 3,415 (0.16) Central African Republic 292 (0.01) Chad 269 (0.01) Comgo 3,347 (0.16) Congo (DRC) Côte D'Ivoire 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guirea 29,322 (1,46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Ethiopia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kenya 10,001 (0.0	Turks & Caicos Islands	233	(0.01)
Sub-Saharan Africa Angola 873 (0.04) Benin 860 (0.04) Botswana 118 (0.01) Burkina Faso 1,089 (0.05) Burundi 1,476 (0.07) Cameroon 9,725 (0.48) Cape Verde 3,415 (0.16) Central African Republic 292 (0.01) Chad 269 (0.01) Comgo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) 2,780 (0.14) Copic D'Ivoire 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) <t< td=""><td>Uruguay</td><td>9</td><td>(0.00)</td></t<>	Uruguay	9	(0.00)
Angola 873 (0.04) Benin 860 (0.04) Botswana 118 (0.01) Burkina Faso 1,089 (0.05) Burundi 1,476 (0.07) Cameroon 9,725 (0.48) Cape Verde 3,415 (0.16) Central African Republic 292 (0.01) Chad 269 (0.01) Comgo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) 2,780 (0.14) Côte D'Ivoire 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00)	Venezuela	92	(0.00)
Angola 873 (0.04) Benin 860 (0.04) Botswana 118 (0.01) Burkina Faso 1,089 (0.05) Burundi 1,476 (0.07) Cameroon 9,725 (0.48) Cape Verde 3,415 (0.16) Central African Republic 292 (0.01) Chad 269 (0.01) Comgo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) 2,780 (0.14) Côte D'Ivoire 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00)			
Benin 860 (0.04) Botswana 118 (0.01) Burkina Faso 1,089 (0.05) Burundi 1,476 (0.07) Cameroon 9,725 (0.48) Cape Verde 3,415 (0.16) Central African Republic 292 (0.01) Chad 269 (0.01) Comoros 14 (0.00) Congo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) 2,780 (0.14) Opibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Libe	Sub-Saharan	Africa	
Botswana 118 (0.01) Burkina Faso 1,089 (0.05) Burundi 1,476 (0.07) Cameroon 9,725 (0.48) Cape Verde 3,415 (0.16) Central African Republic 292 (0.01) Chad 269 (0.01) Comoros 14 (0.00) Congo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guirea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kenya 10,021 (0.49) <td>Angola</td> <td>873</td> <td>(0.04)</td>	Angola	873	(0.04)
Burkina Faso 1,089 (0.05) Burundi 1,476 (0.07) Cameroon 9,725 (0.48) Cape Verde 3,415 (0.16) Central African Republic 292 (0.01) Chad 269 (0.01) Comoros 14 (0.00) Congo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) Côte D'Ivoire 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Benin	860	(0.04)
Burundi 1,476 (0.07) Cameroon 9,725 (0.48) Cape Verde 3,415 (0.16) Central African Republic 292 (0.01) Chad 269 (0.01) Comoros 14 (0.00) Congo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) Côte D'Ivoire 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Maldives 1 (0.00) Macambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Botswana	118	(0.01)
Cameroon 9,725 (0.48) Cape Verde 3,415 (0.16) Central African Republic 292 (0.01) Chad 269 (0.01) Comoros 14 (0.00) Congo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) 2,780 (0.14) Côte D'Ivoire 2,780 (0.01) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Mau	Burkina Faso	1,089	(0.05)
Cape Verde 3,415 (0.16) Central African Republic 292 (0.01) Chad 269 (0.01) Comoros 14 (0.00) Congo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Malcives 1 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger	Burundi	1,476	(0.07)
Central African Republic 292 (0.01) Chad 269 (0.01) Comoros 14 (0.00) Congo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) Congo (DRC) Côte D'Ivoire Paper	Cameroon	9,725	(0.48)
Chad 269 (0.01) Comoros 14 (0.00) Congo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Malawi 328 (0.02) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Cape Verde	3,415	(0.16)
Comoros 14 (0.00) Congo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) Congo (DRC) Congo (DRC) Côte D'Ivoire 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Malawi 328 (0.02) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Central African Republic	292	(0.01)
Congo 3,347 (0.16) Democratic Republic of 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) Côte D'Ivoire 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00)	Chad	269	(0.01)
Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) 11,039 (0.52) Congo (DRC) 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Comoros	14	(0.00)
Congo (DRC) Côte D'Ivoire 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Congo	3,347	(0.16)
Côte D'Ivoire 2,780 (0.14) Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Democratic Republic of	11,039	(0.52)
Djibouti 479 (0.02) Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Congo (DRC)		
Equatorial Guinea 203 (0.01) Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Côte D'Ivoire	2,780	(0.14)
Eritrea 4,429 (0.23) Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Djibouti	479	(0.02)
Ethiopia 29,322 (1.46) Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Equatorial Guinea	203	(0.01)
Gabon 398 (0.02) Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Eritrea	4,429	(0.23)
Gambia 1,807 (0.09) Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Ethiopia	29,322	(1.46)
Ghana 17,233 (0.83) Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Gabon	398	(0.02)
Guinea-Bissau 68 (0.00) Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Gambia	1,807	(0.09)
Kenya 13,143 (0.64) Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Ghana	17,233	(0.83)
Kingdom of eSwatini 33 (0.00) Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Guinea-Bissau	68	(0.00)
Lesotho 27 (0.00) Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Kenya	13,143	(0.64)
Liberia 10,021 (0.49) Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Kingdom of eSwatini	33	(0.00)
Madagascar 79 (0.00) Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Lesotho	27	(0.00)
Malawi 328 (0.02) Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Liberia	10,021	(0.49)
Mali 1,177 (0.06) Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Madagascar	79	(0.00)
Maldives 1 (0.00) Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Malawi	328	(0.02)
Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Mali	1,177	(0.06)
Mauritius 15 (0.00) Mozambique 85 (0.00) Niger 530 (0.03)	Maldives	1	(0.00)
Niger 530 (0.03)	Mauritius	15	
Niger 530 (0.03)	Mozambique	85	
	Niger	530	(0.03)
	_	42,497	(2.11)

Rwanda	1,480	(0.07)
Sao Tome & Principe	7	(0.00)
Senegal	2,800	(0.14)
Seychelles	7	(0.00)
Sierra Leone	3,938	(0.19)
Somalia	26,422	(1.29)
South Africa	941	(0.05)
South Africa SU Sudan	941 6,793	(0.05) (0.33)
		` ,
SU Sudan	6,793	(0.33)
SU Sudan Tanzania	6,793 1,843	(0.33) (0.09)
SU Sudan Tanzania Togo	6,793 1,843 3,066	(0.33) (0.09) (0.15)

2.5. Statistical Analysis

LAC-born and SSA-born women were compared to Black US-born Black women. Comparisons were also made between LAC-born and SSA-born Black women and by maternal country of birth within the LAC and within SSA countries (e.g., Haiti vs All Other LAC, Nigeria vs All Other SSA) [33]. To describe the sample, univariate analyses were conducted using frequencies for categorical variables and group differences were tested using Pearson Chi-square tests. Unadjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were calculated using multinomial regression to obtain the crude association between DMN and the type of attendant at birth. Multivariate multinomial regression models were used to obtain adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the association between DMN and the type of attendant at birth (Table 4) while controlling for potential confounders. Variables that changed the crude odds ratio estimates by a minimum of 10% were included in the multivariate models [38]. The final model was adjusted for age, marital status, education, insurance, and region of residence in the US; All analyses were conducted in SAS software version 9.4 [39].

3. Results

Approximately 85% of the Black women who had a singleton delivery between 2016 and 2020 were US-born and 15% were foreign-born (Table 1). Of the foreign-born women, 10.24% were from SSA, and 4.87% were from LAC (Tables 2.1a, 2.1b) with the highest number of births among them from women born in Nigeria and Haiti. The overall geographic distribution was predominantly in the Southern US. Most US-born Black women giving birth were aged 20-29 (55.50%), 44.78% had some level of higher education, and 63.80% were unmarried. Among foreign-born Black women, most were in the 30-39 age group (8.85%), 9.19% had some level of higher education, and 4.58% were unmarried. In the overall sample, 64.07% of the deliveries were covered by Medicaid. Ninety-one percent of all deliveries were attended by a physician, and less than 1% occurred in out-of-hospital settings.

Table 2.1a. Comparison of Demographic Characteristics of Latin American and Caribbean-born (LAC) Black women by country of birth, 2016-2020 NCHS Natality Data.

	Haiti	Jamaica	All Other	
			LAC	p value
	N= 51,948	N= 31,112	N= 16,981	
	(2.54)	(1.52)	(0.83)	
<u>Variables</u>				
Maternal Age				<.0001
20-29	17,907	11,768	5,840	
	(0.88)	(0.58)	(0.29)	
30-39	29,214	29,214	9,815	
	(1.43)	(0.82)	(0.48)	
4049	4,827	2,666	1,326	
	(0.24)	(0.13)	(0.06)	
Marital Status				<.0001
Married	34,402	17,037	10,112	
	(1.68)	(0.83)	(0.50)	
Not Married	17,546	14,075	6,869	
	(0.86)	(0.69)	(0.34)	
Mother's Education				<.0001
Less Than High School	7,754	1,370	986	
	(0.38)	(0.07)	(0.05)	
High School or GED	18,347	8,644	4,534	
	(0.90)	(0.42)	(0.22)	
Some College or College	23,614	17,609	8,966	
Degree	(1.16)	(0.86)	(0.44)	
Graduate Degree	2,233	3,489	2,495	
	(0.11)	(0.17)	(0.12)	
Parity				<.0001
1	17,242	11,889	6,363	
	(0.84)	(0.58)	(0.31)	
2	16,705	10,261	5,699	
	(0.82)	(0.50)	(0.28)	
≥3	18,001	8,962	4,919	
	(0.88)	(0.44)	(0.24)	
Gestational Weight Gain				<.0001
Met	15,749	9,202	5,169	
	(0.77)	(0.45)	(0.25)	
Below	16,178	6,885	4,126	
	(0.79)	(0.34)	(0.20)	
Exceeded	20,021	15,025	7,686	

-	(0.00)	(0.74)	(0.20)	
D (-1 C A 1	(0.98)	(0.74)	(0.38)	z 0001
Prenatal Care Adequacy	22 140	20.029	11 115	<.0001
Adequate	32,140	20,928	11,115	
In (1	(1.57)	(1.02)	(0.54)	
Intermediate	6,672	3,602	2,063	
In a dominato	(0.33)	(0.18)	(0.10)	
Inadequate	13,136	6,582	3,803	
I T	(0.64)	(0.32)	(0.19)	z 0001
Insurance Type	20.047	10.700	()(1	<.0001
Medicaid	30,047	12,782	6,261	
D	(1.47)	(0.63)	(0.31)	
Private	14,690	13,867	7,971	
0.16 B	(0.72)	(0.68)	(0.39)	
Self-Pay	5,384	2,801	1,831	
	(0.26)	(0.14)	(0.09)	
Other	1,827	1,662	918	
	(0.09)	(0.08)	(0.04)	
Region of Residence				<.0001
Northeast	15,911	11,668	5,234	
	(0.78)	(0.57)	(0.26)	
Midwest	1,562	1,413	859	
	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.04)	
South	33,933	17,353	10,258	
	(1.66)	(0.85)	(0.50)	
West	542	678	630	
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	
Location of Residence				<.0001
Urban	51,938	17	16,946	
	(2.54)	(1.52)	(0.83)	
Rural	10	31,095	35	
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
Previous Cesarean				<.0001
Yes	10,201	4,802 (0.24)	3,010	
	(0.50)		(0.15)	
No	41,747	26,310	13,971	
	(2.04)	(1.29)	(0.68)	
Attendant Type				<.0001
Physician/Doctor	44,393	27,510	15,169	
	(2.17)	(1.35)	(0.74)	
Certified Nurse-Midwife	7,297	3,444	1,678	
(CNM)	(0.36)	(0.17)	(0.08)	
Other Midwife (CPM)	258	158	134	

	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	
Place of Birth				<.0001
Hospital	51,755	30,921	16,814	
	(0.82)	(2.53)	(2.53)	
Freestanding Birthing	86	94	95	
Center	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
Home (intended)	87	80	65	
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
Home (not intended)	20	17	7	
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
Method of Delivery				<.0001
Vaginal	30,667	19,256	10,437	
	(1.50)	(0.94)	(0.51)	
Cesarean	21,281	11,856	6,544	
	(1.04)	(0.58)	(0.32)	

^a p values refer to a Pearson Chi-square test for the differences by maternal country of birth.

Table 2.1b. Comparison of Demographic Characteristics of Sub-Saharan African (SSA)-born Black women by country of birth, 2016-2020 NCHS Natality Data.

	Cameroon	DRC	Ethiopia	Kenya	Liberia	Ghana	Nigeria	Sudan	Somalia	All Other SSA	p value ^a
	N= 9,725 (0.48)	N= 11,039 (0.54)	N= 29,322 (1.44)	N= 13,143 (0.64)	N= 10,021 (0.49)	N= 17,233 (0.84)	N= 42,497 (2.08)	N= 6,793 (0.33)	N= 26,422 (1.29)	N= 43,041 (2.11)	
<u>Variables</u> Maternal Age		(*** -)			()	(0.00 -)	(=000)	(0.00)	(=-=-)	(=)	<.0001
20-29	3,614 (0.18)	5,393 (0.26)	8.977 (0.44)	5,612 (0.27)	4,255 (0.21)	4,516 (0.22)	10,404 (0.51)	2,378 (0.12)	9,727 (0.48)	16,894 (0.83)	
30-39	5,568 (0.27)	5,090 (0.25)	18,261 (0.89)	6,507 (0.32)	5,286 (0.26)	11,414 (0.56)	29,109 (1.43)	3,870 (0.19)	15,223 (0.75)	23,130 (1.13)	
40-49	543 (0.03)	556 (0.03)	2,084 (0.10)	1,024 (0.05)	480 (0.02)	1,303 (0.06)	2,984 (0.15)	545 (0.03)	1,472 (0.07)	3,017 (0.15)	
Marital Status											<.0001
Married	7,204 (0.35)	8,358 (0.41)	20,726 (1.02)	9,304 (0.46)	5,283 (0.26)	12,860 (0.63)	36,809 (1.80)	5,568 (0.27)	20,147 (0.99)	30,207 (1.48)	
Not Married	2,521 (0.12)	2,681 (0.13)	8,596 (0.42)	3,839 (0.19)	4,738 (0.23)	4,373 (0.21)	5,688 (0.28	1,225 (0.06)	6,275 (0.31)	12,834 (0.63)	
Mother's Education											<.0001
Less Than High School	293 (0.01) 1,490	3,008 (0.15)	4,852 (0.24)	987 (0.05)	1,078 (0.05)	486 (0.02)	902 (0.04)	1,336 (0.07) 1,749	12,932 (0.63)	7,658 (0.38)	
High School or GED	(0.07)	4,040 (0.20)	9,131 (0.45)	2,568 (0.13)	3,585 (0.18)	3,402 (0.17)	4,678 (0.23)	(0.09)	7,075 (0.35)	11,224 (0.55)	
Some College or College Degree	6,101 (0.30)	3,696 (0.18)	13,661 (0.67)	7,946 (0.39)	4,865 (0.24)	10,376 (0.51)	26,415 (1.29)	3,232 (0.16)	6,084 (0.30)	19,885 (0.97)	
Graduate Degree Parity	1,841 (0.09)	295 (0.01)	1,678 (0.08)	1,642 (0.08)	493 (0.02)	2,969 (0.15)	10,502 (0.51)	476 (0.02)	331 (0.02)	4,274 (0.21)	<.0001
1	3,392 (0.17)	3,051 (0.15)	9,527 (0.47)	402 (0.23)	2,532 (0.12)	5,665 (0.28)	14,413 (0.71)	1,445 (0.07)	3,804 (0.19)	14,124 (0.69)	0001

2	3,123	2,686	9,968 (0.49)	4 426 (0 22)	2,934	5,734	13,700	1,532	4,145	13,237	
2	(0.15)	(0.13)	9,968 (0.49)	4,436 (0.22)	(0.14)	(0.28)	(0.67)	(0.08)	(0.20)	(0.65)	
≥3	3,210	5,302	9,827 (0.48)	4,005 (0.20)	4,555	5,834	14,384	3,816	18,473	15,680	
23	(0.16)	(0.26)	9,027 (0.46)	4,003 (0.20)	(0.22)	(0.29)	(0.70)	(0.19)	(0.90)	(0.77)	
Gestational Weight Gain											<.0001
	2,769	3,399	10 102 (0 50)	4 210 (0 21)	2,898	5,374	13,504	2,049	7,915	13,287	
Met	(0.14)	(0.17)	10,192 (0.50)	4,318 (0.21)	(0.14)	(0.26)	(0.66)	(0.10)	(0.39)	(0.65)	
D 1	1,826	3,785	0.722 (0.42)	4 157 (0 20)	2,579	4,349	10,610	2,623	11,451	13,300	
Below	(0.09)	(0.19)	8,733 (0.43)	4,157 (0.20)	(0.13)	(0.21)	(0.52)	(0.13)	(0.56)	(0.65)	
E	5,130	3,855	10 207 (0 51)	4 ((0 (0 22)	4,544	7,510	18,383	2,121	7,056	16,454	
Exceeded	(0.25)	(0.19)	10,397 (0.51)	4,668 (0.23)	(0.22)	(0.37)	(0.90)	(0.10)	(0.35)	(0.81)	
Prenatal Care											<.0001
Adequacy											<.0001
Adequate	6,298	6,178	18,056 (0.88)	8,271 (0.41)	6,629	11,939	21,994	4,098	15,000	26,387	
Auequate	(0.31)	(0.30)	10,000 (0.00)	0,271 (0.41)	(0.32)	(0.58)	(1.08)	(0.20)	(0.73)	(1.29)	
Intermediate	1,001	1,025	3,637 (0.18)	1,645 (0.08)	1,255	1,788	3,747	820	4,508	4,557	
memeance	(0.05)	(0.05)	0,007 (0.10)	1,010 (0.00)	(0.06)	(0.09)	(0.18)	(0.04)	(0.22)	(0.22)	
Inadequate	2,426	3,836	7,629 (0.37)	3,227 (0.16)	2,137	3,506	16,756	1,875	6,914	12,097	
•	(0.12)	(0.19)	7,025 (0.07)	0,227 (0.10)	(0.10)	(0.17)	(0.82)	(0.09)	(0.34)	(0.59)	
Insurance											<.0001
Type	4.4.5	.					40 ***	4 4 5 0	04 =0 :	00 :	
Medicaid	4,662	7,409	16,647 (0.82)	5,837 (0.29)	5,442	6,786	13,668	4,138	21,734	22,650	
	(0.23)	(0.36)			(0.27)	(0.33)	(0.67)	(0.20)	(1.06)	(1.11)	
Private	3,994	2,440	10,729 (0.53)	6,030 (0.30)	3,718	8,385	14,307	2,090	430	15,320	
	(0.20)	(0.12)	000	705	(0.18)	(0.41)	(0.70)	(0.10)	(0.19)	(0.75)	
Self-Pay	545	926	989	705	436	1,139	12,501	369	3,830	3,005	
	(0.03) 524	(0.05) 264	(0.05) 957	(0.03) 571	(0.02) 425	(0.06) 923	(0.61) 2,021	(0.02) 196	(0.02) 428	(0.15) 2,066	
Other					(0.02)	(0.05)	(0.10)	(0.01)			
Region of	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.10)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.10)	
Residence											<.0001
	1,170	1,522			2,737	5,365	6,106	947	2,009	10,911	
Northeast	(0.06)	(0.07)	2,865 (0.14)	2,223 (0.11)	(0.13)	(0.26)	(0.30)	(0.05)	(0.10)	(0.53)	
	1,670	3,397			3,970	3,382	6,709	2,332	18,126	10,143	
Midwest	(0.08)	(0.17)	7,803 (0.38)	4,250 (0.21)	(0.19)	(0.17)	(0.33)	(0.11)	(0.89)	(0.50)	
	6,392	4,376			2,726	7,548	27,830	2,540	2,713	17,704	
South	(0.31)	(0.21)	12,800 (0.63)	4,852 (0.24)	(0.13)	(0.37)	(1.36)	(0.12)	(0.13)	(0.87)	
***	493	1,744			588	938	1,852	974	3,574	4,283	
West	(0.02)	(0.09)	5,854 (0.29)	1,818 (0.09)	(0.03)	(0.05)	(0.09)	(0.05)	(0.18)	(0.21)	
Location of	, ,	, ,			, ,	,	` ,	, ,	` ,	` /	± 0001
Residence											<.0001
Urban	9,724	11,010	20 212 (1 44)	12 120 (0 (4)	10,013	17,221	42,481	6,791	26,419	43,006	
Orban	(0.48)	(0.54)	29,312 (1.44)	13,129 (0.64)	(0.49)	(0.84)	(2.08)	(0.33)	(1.29)	(2.11)	
Rural	1	29	10	14	8	12	16	2	3	35	
Kurai	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
Previous											<.0001
Cesarean											.5501
Yes	1,895	1,872	6,893 (0.34)	2,543 (0.12)	2,069	4,156	9,124	1,573	6,121	8,046	
	(0.09)	(0.09)	2,212 (3.01)	, = == (3.1 =)	(0.10)	(0.20)	(0.45)	(0.08)	(0.30)	(0.39)	
No	7,830	9,167	22,429 (1.10)	10,600 (0.52)	7,952	13,077	33,373	5,220	20,301	34,995	
	(0.38)	(0.45)	. (-/	,	(0.39)	(0.64)	(1.63)	(0.26)	(0.99)	(1.71)	
Attendant											<.0001
Type	9,084	9,968			8,978	15,880	39,851	6,191	21,844	38,365	
Doctor	(0.44)	(0.49)	26,583 (1.30)	11,728 (0.57)	(0.44)	(0.78)	(1.95)	(0.30	(1.07)	(1.88)	
	613	1024	2690		1014	1316	2425	578	4545	4398	
CNM	(0.03)	(0.05)	(0.13)	1354 (0.07)	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.12)	(0.03)	(0.22)	(0.22)	
	28	47	49	61	29	37	221	24	33	278	
CPM	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)	
Place of Birth	(=.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(3.50)	(0.01)	(3.50)	(0.00)	(3.01)	<.0001
	9,703	11,026	00.0=+./:	40.001 /	10,001	17,186	42,236	6,776	26,387	42,886	
Hospital	(0.48)	(0.54)	29,274 (1.43)	13,081 (0.64)	(0.49	(0.84)	(2.07)	(0.33)	(1.29)	(2.10)	
Freestanding		` ,	22	22				` ′	, ,	, ,	
Birthing	12	(0.00)	23	32	12	20	181	8	(0.00)	68	
Center	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	

Home	7	3	10	23	6	11	66	4	7	58	
(intended)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
Home (not	3	6	15	7		16	14	5	14	29	
intended)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
Method of											<.0001
Delivery											<.0001
Vacinal	5,944	7,601	17,293 (0.85)	8,151 (0.40)	6,229	9,892	24,684	4,626	19,940	28,260	
Vaginal	(0.29)	(0.37)	17,293 (0.83)	6,131 (0.40)	(0.31)	(0.48)	(1.21)	(0.23)	(0.98)	(1.38)	
Соложова	3,781	3,438	12,029 (0.59)	4 002 (0 24)	3,792	7,341	17,813	2,167	6,482	14,781	
Cesarean	(0.19)	(0.17)	12,029 (0.39)	4,992 (0.24)	(0.19)	(0.36)	(0.87)	(0.11)	(0.32)	(0.72)	

^a p values refer to a Pearson Chi-square test for the differences by maternal country of birth.

Overall, LAC-born Black women had increased odds of having either a CNM or a CPM at delivery compared to women who were attended by physicians. and these findings were statistically significant (ORs ranged from 1.30 - 2.31) (Table 3). Among SSA-born women, Cameroonian-born Black women had decreased odds of having either a CNM (OR= 0.80; 95% CI: 0.73-0.86), or a CPM (OR= 0.80; 95% CI: 0.55-1.17), compared to US-born Black women. Ghanaian-born and Nigerian-born women had increased odds of having a CNM at delivery (OR(G)= 1.19; 95% CI: 1.15-1.24) and OR(N)=1.33; 95% CI: 1.25-1.42) respectively but decreased odds of having a CPM (OR(G)= 0.48; 95% CI: 0.36-0.64) and OR(N)=0.84; 95% CI: 0.58-1.21) respectively compared to US-born Black women.

After adjusting for age, marital status, education, insurance, and region of residence in the US, LAC-born women continued to have increased odds of having a CNM at delivery at a reduced magnitude compared to women who had a physician-attended birth (Table 4). However, Haitian-born women now had 40% reduced odds of having a CPM at delivery (OR= 0.60; 95% CI: 0.53-0.69), and Jamaican-born women had 34% reduced odds of having a CPM at delivery (OR= 0.66; 95% CI: 0.56-0.77). The women in All Other LAC countries also had decreased odds of having a CPM at delivery but this finding was no longer statistically significant after adjustment (OR= 0.88; 95% CI: 0.74-1.05). The associations observed among Cameroonian-born women continued to demonstrate statistically significantly decreased odds of having either a CNM or CPM at delivery compared to women who had physician-attended births; however, findings were attenuated after adjustment. After adjustment, Ghanaian-born and Nigerian-born women now had significantly decreased odds of having a CNM at delivery (OR(G)= 0.90; 95% CI: 0.85-0.95) and OR(N)= 0.67; 95% CI: 0.64-0.70; respectively) and decreased odds of having a CPM (OR(G)= 0.25; 95% CI: 0.18-0.34) and OR(N)= 0.15; 95% CI: 0.13-0.18; respectively) compared to women who were attended by physicians. and these findings were statistically significant.

Table 3. Unadjusted Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals for the Association between detailed maternal nativity and attendant at birth.

	Attendant at Birth (Ref. Physician)				
	Unadjusted ORs				
	Certified Nurse-Midwife	Other Midwife			
	(CNM)	(CPM)			
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)			
<u>Variables</u>					
Mothers' Nativity					
Born in US	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)			
Foreign-Born	1.39 (1.37-1.41)	1.29 (1.22-1.37)			
Mother's Birth Cou	ntry				
US	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)			
	Latin America & Carib	bean			
Haiti	1.94 (1.89-1.99)	1.52 (1.34-1.72)			
Jamaica	1.47 (1.42-1.53)	1.50 (1.28-1.75)			

All Other LAC	1.30 (1.24-1.37)	2.31 (1.94-2.74)
	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Cameroon	0.80 (0.73-0.86)	0.80 (0.55-1.17)
DRC	1.21 (1.13-1.30)	1.23 (0.92-1.64)
Ethiopia	1.21 (1.13-1.30)	1.23 (0.92-1.64)
Ghana	1.19 (1.15-1.24)	0.48 (0.36-0.64)
Kenya	0.98 (0.92-1.03)	0.61 (0.44-0.84)
Liberia	1.36 (1.29-1.44)	1.36 (1.05-1.75)
Nigeria	1.33 (1.25-1.42)	0.84 (0.58-1.21)
Somalia	0.72 (0.69-0.75)	1.45 (1.26-1.65)
Sudan	2.45 (2.37-2.53)	0.39 (0.28-0.56)
All Other SSA	1.09 (1.01-1.19)	1.01 (0.68-1.51)
Maternal Age	1.05 (1.01 1.15)	1.01 (0.00 1.01)
20-29	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)
30-39	0.85 (0.84-0.86)	1.19 (1.14-1.25)
40-49	0.65 (0.62-0.67)	0.80 (0.69-0.93)
Marital Status	0.03 (0.02-0.07)	0.80 (0.09-0.93)
Married	1.17 (1.16-1.18)	2.46 (2.35-2.57)
Not Married	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)
Mother's Education	· ·	,
Less Than High School	1.07 (1.05-1.09)	0.34 (0.30-0.38)
High School or GED	1.01 (1.00-1.10)	0.48 (0.45-0.50)
Some College or	,	
College Degree	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)
Graduate Degree	0.87 (0.85-0.89)	1.41 (1.31-1.51)
Parity		
1	0.99 (0.98-1.00)	1.05 (1.00-1.11)
2	1.01 (1.00-1.02)	0.99 (0.93-1.04)
≥3	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)
Gestational Weight		
Gain		
Met	1.01 (1.09-1.12)	1.14 (1.08-1.20)
Below	1.07 (1.06-1.08)	0.85 (0.80-0.90)
Exceeded	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)
Prenatal Care		
Adequacy		
Adequate	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)
Intermediate	1.14 (1.12-1.16)	0.89 (0.83-0.96)
Inadequate	1.07 (1.06-1.08)	1.12 (1.07-1.19)
Insurance Type		
Medicaid	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)
Private	0.92 (0.91-0.93)	1.18 (1.11-1.25)
Self-Pay	1.21 (1.18-1.25)	14.15 (13.36-14.98)
Other	1.70 (1.65-1.73)	2.42 (2.19-2.68)
Region of Residence	,	,
Northeast	1.61 (1.59-1.63)	0.58 (0.54-0.63)
Midwest	1.20 (1.18-1.21)	0.37 (0.34-0.40)
West	1.77 (1.73-1.81)	1.08 (0.98-1.19)
South	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)
Location of Residence	,	/
Urban	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)
Rural	0.60 (0.55-0.65)	0.37 (0.22-0.63)
	- (/	(

Previous Cesarean

 Yes
 0.16 (0.16-0.17)
 0.18 (0.16-0.20)

 No
 1.00 (Referent)
 1.00 (Referent)

p value <0.05.

Table 4. Adjusted Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals for the association between detailed maternal nativity and attendant at birth.

	Attendant at Birth (Ref. Phy	ysician)
	Certified Nurse-Midwife	Other Midwife (CPM)
	OR 95% CI	OR 95% CI
Variables		
Mother's Birth		
Country		
US	1.00 (Referent)	1.00 (Referent)
	Latin America & Caribbo	ean
Haiti	1.86 (1.81-1.91)	0.60 (0.53-0.69)
Jamaica	1.38 (1.33-1.43)	0.66 (0.56-0.77)
All Other LAC	1.24 (1.18-1.31)	0.88 (0.74-1.05)
	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Cameroon	0.77 (0.71-0.84)	0.31 (0.22-0.46)
DRC	1.05 (0.98-1.12)	0.54 (0.40-0.72)
Ethiopia	1.11 (1.07-1.16)	0.31 (0.23-0.41)
Ghana	0.90 (0.85-0.95)	0.25 (0.18-0.34)
Kenya	1.22 (1.15-1.29)	0.67 (0.52-0.87)
Liberia	1.20 (1.12-1.28)	0.64 (0.44-0.92)
Nigeria	0.67 (0.64-0.70)	0.15 (0.13-0.18)
Somalia	2.20 (2.12-2.28)	0.53 (0.38-0.75)
Sudan	0.99 (0.91-1.08)	0.49 (0.33-0.74)
All Other SSA	1.20 (1.16-1.24)	0.88 (0.78-1.00)

Model adjusted for age, marital status, education, insurance, and region of residence in the US. p value <0.05.

4. Discussion

This study explored the associations between DMN and the type of attendant at birth among US-born, LAC-born, and SSA-born Black women who had a delivery in the US between 2016 and 2020. Contrary to the initial hypotheses, findings revealed that after adjusting for age, marital status, education, and insurance status, LAC-born women generally had increased odds of being attended by a CNM at delivery compared to U.S.-born women. In contrast, all foreign-born women had decreased odds of being attended by a CPM supporting our hypotheses. SSA-born women, particularly women from Cameroon, Ghana, Kenya, Liberia, Nigeria, and Somalia exhibited varying associations for the odds of being attended by a CNM. Cameroonian, Ghanaian, and Nigerian-born women had decreased odds of having a CNM at delivery. In contrast, Kenyan, Liberian, and Somalian-born women had increased odds of being attended by a CNM. These findings align with

previous research which showed notable differences in L&D characteristics including the place and method of delivery by DMN across the US Black diaspora [40].

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the association between DMN and the type of attendant at birth among a nationally representative sample of US-born, SSA-born, and LAC-born Black mothers. However, previous research which can help contextualize the current findings has examined associations between maternal nativity and L&D practices [41], as well as the association between racial segregation and adverse birth outcomes including preterm birth and low birth weight [42]. In a 2022 study of Black mothers in New York City (n=135,701), authors found differences in the incidence of low birthweight and preterm births with the lowest rates among African-born and Latin American and LAC-born Black mothers when compared to US-born Black mothers. It is possible that the mechanisms influencing differences in birth outcomes by nativity such as cultural norms could also influence the association between DMN and the type of attendant at birth [33,42].

4.1. Limitations and Strengths

This study has several limitations. One limitation is the potential for nondifferential misclassification, particularly regarding the type of birth attendant. Previous research suggests that midwife-attended birth numbers might be underreported on birth certificates, especially when multiple providers are present or when hospitals mandate listing a physician as the primary attendant regardless of their physical presence at midwife-attended births [42–44]. Thus, findings may be biased toward the null. Findings may have also been influenced by health selection bias. Health selection refers to the degree to which potential immigrants migrate or not based on their health status [45]. Specifically, Sub-Saharan African women might be more likely to migrate to the U.S. based on their positive health status, complicating interpretations of our findings. Previous research has shown that African immigrants were 81% more likely to report having excellent health prior to immigrating to the US [45]. Additionally, this secondary data analysis was limited by the data collected on the birth certificates. Thus, confounding due to a variable not collected on birth certificates is possible.

Despite these limitations, this study had several strengths. First, the impact of DMN on the type of attendant at birth is under-researched and previous studies have typically dichotomized nativity status (US vs foreign-born). One prospective antenatal survey study published in 2010 assessed preferences in L&D practices (including method of delivery, choice of pain relief, place of delivery, position, and mobility in labor) between pregnant Somali and Sudanese immigrants and US-born women (N=93), receiving care at a family practice in New York.[40] The results indicated differences in L&D preferences between US-Born and foreign-born women, however, most were not statistically significant and the authors did not differentiate between White and Black US-born women [40]. In contrast, this study used a race-concordant sample in addition to the granular approach to nativity status and examined specific maternal countries of origin within the two regions contributing the highest number of Black immigrants in the US. This level of detail allows for more nuanced insights and helps to reduce overgeneralizations since Black women are not a monolith. Another of the study's strengths is our use of Natality U.S. Birth Certificate Data across all 50 states, enhancing the generalizability of the findings to U.S.-born, SSA-born, and LAC-born Black mothers who delivered in the U.S. between 2016 and 2020. The study's large sample size also addresses the limitations of previous studies that were limited by small samples.

4.2. Implications

These findings highlight the need for more nuanced research and healthcare policies that consider the specific needs and preferences of different groups of pregnant women based on their ethnocultural origins. The significant changes in some associations after adjustment suggest that public health interventions may need to account for these factors to be effective. For example, efforts to increase access to midwifery care should include support for building and diversifying the midwifery workforce, especially given that states with higher proportions of Black births have the

lowest midwifery integration scores in the country [15]. Such efforts should also include providing education about the history, and benefits of midwifery care and considering cost coverage for US-born and foreign-born Black women. Different cultural backgrounds might contribute to the differences in the type of attendant at birth characteristics we observed. Understanding the unique needs and experiences of US-born and foreign-born Black women can lead to improved quality of maternity care, patient satisfaction, and potentially eradicate preventable adverse maternal health outcomes. It is therefore crucial for providers to be able to consider nativity to assess, recognize, and respect Black women's L&D preferences and needs.

5. Conclusions

This study underscores the necessity of considering maternal nativity in healthcare planning, especially in the context of L&D services among the growing US Black Diaspora. While we observed some trends consistent with our hypotheses, there were notable exceptions that warrant further investigation. Future studies should focus on more comprehensive data collection methods such as qualitative and mixed-methods research to delve deeper into the underlying mechanisms for the observed differences in the type of attendant at birth among US-born, LAC-born, and SSA-born Black women.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at the website of this paper posted on Preprints.org. Table S1: Comparison of Demographic Characteristics of US-born, Latin American and Caribbean-born (LAC), and Sub-Saharan-born (SSA) Black Women, 2016-2020 NCHS Natality Data; Table S2: Comparison of Demographic Characteristics of Latin American and Caribbean-born (LAC) Black women by country of birth, 2016-2020 NCHS Natality Data; Table S3: Comparison of Demographic Characteristics of Sub-Saharan African (SSA)-born Black women by country of birth, 2016-2020 NCHS Natality Data.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, FNY, CSB, LBH, CZO; methodology, FNY, LBH, CZO; software, FNY.; formal analysis, FNY.; investigation, FNY; data curation, FNY; writing—original draft preparation, FNY, CSB, LBH, CZO, TSG.; writing—review and editing, NAO.; supervision, CSB, LBH project administration, FNY; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical review and approval were waived for this study, due to this study utilized restricted-access natality data, which includes de-identified birth records and does not contain personally identifiable information. Access to the dataset was granted under a data use agreement (DUA) that ensures compliance with confidentiality protections and prohibits any attempts at re-identification.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was not required because the research involved secondary analysis of existing data without identifiable private information.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets presented in this article are not readily available because they contain restricted geographic variables governed by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). Requests to access the datasets should be directed to https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/dvs_data_release.htm.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and National Center for Health Statistics for providing the data used in this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

ACNM	American College of Nurse-Midwives
CNM	Certified Nurse Midwife
CPM	Certified Professional Midwife / Other Midwife
L&D	Labor and Delivery
NCHS	National Center for Health Statistics
SSA	Sub-Saharan Africa
DRC	Democratic Republic of Congo

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Four in 5 pregnancy-related deaths in the U.S. are preventable," CDC. Accessed: Oct. 03, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2022/p0919-pregnancy-related-deaths.html
- Black Mamas Matter Alliance, "Issue Brief: Black Maternal Health," 2022. Accessed: Sep. 19, 2022. [Online].
 Available: https://blackmamasmatter.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/0322_BMHStatisticalBrief_Final.pdf
- 3. A. Njoku, M. Evans, L. Nimo-Sefah, and J. Bailey, "Listen to the Whispers before They Become Screams: Addressing Black Maternal Morbidity and Mortality in the United States," *Healthcare (Basel)*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 438, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.3390/healthcare11030438.
- 4. Penn State Journal of Law & International Affairs, "Exploring Anti-Blackness in U.S. Immigration Policy: Why Are Cuban And Haitian Immigrants Treated Differently?" Accessed: Nov. 30, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://sites.psu.edu/jlia/exploring-anti-blackness-in-u-s-immigration-policy-why-are-cuban-and-haitian-immigrants-treated-differently/
- C. Tamir, "Key findings about Black immigrants in the U.S.," Pew Research Center. Accessed: Sep. 06, 2022.
 [Online]. Available: https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2022/01/27/key-findings-about-black-immigrants-in-the-u-s/
- 6. J. B. J. L. and J. Batalova, "Caribbean Immigrants in the United States," migrationpolicy.org. Accessed: Oct. 04, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/caribbean-immigrants-united-states
- 7. C. Tamir and M. Anderson, "One-in-ten Black people living in the U.S. are immigrants.," Pew Research Center Race & Ethnicity. Accessed: Sep. 06, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.pewresearch.org/race-ethnicity/2022/01/20/one-in-ten-black-people-living-in-the-u-s-are-immigrants/
- 8. J. Lorenzi and J. Batalova, "Sub-Saharan African immigrants in the United States.," migrationpolicy.org. Accessed: Oct. 25, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/sub-saharan-african-immigrants-united-states-2019
- 9. G. Joseph, I. C. M. da Silva, F. C. Wehrmeister, A. J. D. Barros, and C. G. Victora, "Inequalities in the coverage of place of delivery and skilled birth attendance: analyses of cross-sectional surveys in 80 low and middle-income countries," *Reprod Health*, vol. 13, p. 77, Jun. 2016, doi: 10.1186/s12978-016-0192-2.
- World Health Organization [WHO], "Definition of skilled health personnel providing care during childbirth: the 2018 joint statement by WHO, UNFPA, UNICEF, ICM, ICN, FIGO and IPA." Accessed: Oct. 04, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272818/WHO-RHR-18.14eng.pdf

- 11. American College of Nurse-Midwives, "Definition of midwifery and scope of practice of certified nurse-midwives and certified midwives." 2021. Accessed: May 14, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.midwife.org/acnm/files/cclibraryfiles/filename/000000007476/Definition%20Midwifery%20S cope%20of%20Practice_2021.pdf
- 12. American College of Nurse-Midwives, "ACNM About the Midwifery Profession." Accessed: Oct. 28, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.midwife.org/About-the-Midwifery-Profession
- 13. American College of Nurse-Midwives, "Comparison of Certified Nurse-Midwives, Certified Midwives, Certified Professional Midwives Clarifying the Distinctions Among Professional Midwifery Credentials in the U.S." 2022. Accessed: Sep. 24, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.midwife.org/acnm/files/ccLibraryFiles/FILENAME/000000006807/FINAL-ComparisonChart-Oct2017.pdf
- 14. March of Dimes, "Maternity Care Desert Report," 2020. Accessed: Jun. 12, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.marchofdimes.org/materials/2020-Maternity-Care-Report.pdf?utm_source=newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=newsletter_axioslocal_charlott e&stream=top
- 15. S. Vedam *et al.*, "Mapping integration of midwives across the United States: Impact on access, equity, and outcomes.," *PLoS One*, vol. 13, no. 2, p. e0192523, 2018, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0192523.
- 16. J. A. Martin, "Births in the United States, 2018," no. 346, p. 8, 2019.
- 17. N. Devitt, "The Statistical Case for Elimination of the Midwife: Fact versus Prejudice, 1890-1935 (Part 1)," Women & Health, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 81–96, May 1979, doi: 10.1300/J013v04n01_05.
- 18. E. C. Tandy, "Infant and Maternal Mortality Among Negroes," *The Journal of Negro Education*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 322–349, 1937, doi: 10.2307/2292281.
- H. Yoder and L. R. Hardy, "Midwifery and Antenatal Care for Black Women: A Narrative Review," SAGE Open, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 2158244017752220, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1177/2158244017752220.
- 20. M. MacDorman and E. Declercq, "Trends and State Variations in Out-of-Hospital Births in the United States, 2004-2017," *Birth*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 279–288, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1111/birt.12411.
- 21. M. F. MacDorman, R. Barnard-Mayers, and E. Declercq, "United States community births increased by 20% from 2019 to 2020," *Birth*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 559–568, 2022, doi: 10.1111/birt.12627.
- 22. S. M. Ogunwole *et al.*, "Disparities in cardiometabolic risk profiles and gestational diabetes mellitus by nativity and acculturation: findings from 2016–2017 National Health Interview Survey," *BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. e002329, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2021-002329.
- 23. Y. A. Kwapong *et al.*, "Nativity-Related Disparities in Preterm Birth and Cardiovascular Risk in a Multiracial U.S. Cohort," *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 885–894, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2021.12.027.
- 24. E. Boakye *et al.*, "Nativity-Related Disparities in Preeclampsia and Cardiovascular Disease Risk Among a Racially Diverse Cohort of US Women," *JAMA Network Open*, vol. 4, no. 12, p. e2139564, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.39564.
- 25. K. A. Scott, B. D. Chambers, R. J. Baer, K. K. Ryckman, M. R. McLemore, and L. L. Jelliffe-Pawlowski, "Preterm birth and nativity among Black women with gestational diabetes in California, 2013–2017: a population-based retrospective cohort study," *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 593, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.1186/s12884-020-03290-3.
- 26. M. A. Ifatunji, Y. Faustin, W. Lee, and D. Wallace, "Black Nativity and Health Disparities: A Research Paradigm for Understanding the Social Determinants of Health," *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 15, p. 9166, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.3390/ijerph19159166.

- 27. D. Acevedo-Garcia, M.-J. Soobader, and L. F. Berkman, "The differential effect of foreign-born status on low birth weight by race/ethnicity and education," *Pediatrics*, vol. 115, no. 1, pp. e20-30, Jan. 2005, doi: 10.1542/peds.2004-1306.
- 28. D. L. Howard, S. S. Marshall, J. S. Kaufman, and D. A. Savitz, "Variations in Low Birth Weight and Preterm Delivery Among Blacks in Relation to Ancestry and Nativity: New York City, 1998–2002," *Pediatrics*, vol. 118, no. 5, pp. e1399–e1405, Nov. 2006, doi: 10.1542/peds.2006-0665.
- 29. H. Cabral, L. E. Fried, S. Levenson, H. Amaro, and B. Zuckerman, "Foreign-born and US-born black women: differences in health behaviors and birth outcomes," *Am J Public Health*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 70–72, Jan. 1990, doi: 10.2105/ajph.80.1.70.
- 30. National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), "NVSS Revisions of the U.S. Standard Certificates and Reports." Accessed: Oct. 10, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/revisions-of-the-us-standard-certificates-and-reports.htm
- 31. National Center for Health Statistics, "NVSS Data Release Policy for Vital Statistics." Accessed: Oct. 17, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/dvs_data_release.htm
- 32. G. K. Singh, M. Siahpush, L. Liu, and M. Allender, "Racial/ethnic, nativity, and sociodemographic disparities in maternal hypertension in the United States, 2014-2015.," *International Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 2018, p. e7897189, May 2018, doi: 10.1155/2018/7897189.
- 33. I. T. Elo, Z. M. Vang, and J. F. Culhane, "Variation in birth outcomes by mother's country of birth among non-Hispanic black women in the United States.," *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 2371–2381, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.1007/s10995-014-1477-0.
- 34. G. K. Singh, "Trends and social inequalities in maternal mortality in the United States, 1969-2018.," *Int J MCH AIDS*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 29–42, 2021, doi: 10.21106/ijma.444.
- 35. Institute of Medicine (US) and National Research Council (US) Committee to Reexamine IOM Pregnancy Weight Guidelines, Weight Gain During Pregnancy: Reexamining the Guidelines. in The National Academies Collection: Reports funded by National Institutes of Health. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US), 2009. Accessed: Oct. 05, 2023. [Online]. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK32813/
- 36. G. R. Alexander and M. Kotelchuck, "Quantifying the adequacy of prenatal care: a comparison of indices.," *Public Health Rep*, vol. 111, no. 5, pp. 408–419, 1996, Accessed: Apr. 06, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1381783/
- 37. M. Kotelchuck, "An evaluation of the Kessner Adequacy of Prenatal Care Index and a proposed Adequacy of Prenatal Care Utilization Index.," *Am J Public Health*, vol. 84, no. 9, pp. 1414–1420, Sep. 1994, Accessed: Oct. 22, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1615177/
- 38. G. Maldonado and S. Greenland, "Simulation Study of Confounder-Selection Strategies," *American journal of epidemiology*, vol. 138, pp. 923–36, Jan. 1994, doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116813.
- 39. SAS Institute Inc., *Editorial Guidelines*. (2023). Accessed: Feb. 15, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.sas.com/en_us/legal/editorial-guidelines.html
- 40. F. N. YADA, L. R. Huber, C. S. Brown, C. Z. Olorunsaiye, T. Starker Glass, and N. Amutah-Onukagha, "Labor and Delivery Characteristics by Detailed Maternal Nativity Across the Black Diaspora: Place and Method of Delivery," *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*, 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40615-024-02120-y.

- 41. Ogunleye O, Shelton JA, Ireland A, Glick M, and Yeh J, "Preferences for labor and delivery practices between pregnant immigrants and U.S.-born patients: a comparative prospective antenatal survey study.," *Journal of the National Medical Association*, vol. 102, no. 6, pp. 481–484, Jun. 2010, [Online]. Available: https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=ccm&AN=105036662&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s5822979
- 42. A. M. Planey, S. C. Grady, R. Fetaw, and S. L. McLafferty, "Spaces of Segregation and Health: Complex Associations for Black Immigrant and US-Born Mothers in New York City," *J Urban Health*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 469–481, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s11524-022-00634-6.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.