

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Study on the Susceptibility of Some Almond (*Prunus dulcis*) Cultivars to the Pathogen *Diaporthe amygdali*

[Pompea Gabriella Lucchese](#)*, [Naïma Dlalal](#), [Amélie Buisine](#), [Franco Nigro](#), [Stefania Pollastro](#), [Henri Duval](#)
*

Posted Date: 24 October 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202510.1877.v1

Keywords: canker disease; field inoculation methods; phylogenetic analysis; *Phomopsis amygdali*



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Study on the Susceptibility of Some Almond (*Prunus dulcis*) Cultivars to the Pathogen *Diaporthe amygdali*

Pompea Gabriella Lucchese ^{1,2,*}, Naima Dlaloh ³, Amélie Buisine ³, Franco Nigro ^{1,2}, Stefania Pollastro ¹ and Henri Duval ^{3,*}

- ¹ Department of Soil, Plant and Food Sciences, University of Bari – Aldo Moro, Italy
- ² Centro di Ricerca, Sperimentazione e Formazione in Agricoltura Basilicata, Locorotondo (BA), Italy
- ³ INRAE, Unité de Génétique et Amélioration des Fruits et Légumes (GAFL), F-84143 Montfavet, France
- * Correspondence: pompea.lucchese@uniba.it (P.G.L.); henri.duval@inrae.fr (H.D.)

Abstract

Diaporthe amygdali (anamorph *Phomopsis amygdali*) is a phytopathogenic fungus of considerable agronomic importance, responsible for branch canker in almond (*Prunus dulcis*) and peach (*Prunus persica* L.) trees, representing a major phytosanitary threat to almond cultivation in Europe—particularly in Mediterranean regions—where almond has become one of the most dynamic crops, with cultivated areas expanding rapidly as a result of new varietal introductions and improved agronomic practices. The objectives of this study were to: (i) isolate and identify fungal pathogens from infected almond samples collected in France through multilocus phylogenetic analyses (ITS, *tef1-α*, *act*, *his3*, *tub2*, *cal* genes) combined with morphological characterization; (ii) evaluate the susceptibility of 18 almond genotypes, using ‘Ferragnès’ and ‘Texas’ as reference standards for susceptibility and tolerance, respectively; and (iii) compare three field inoculation methods. All isolates were identified as *D. amygdali*. The varietal screening revealed notable resistance in cultivars ‘Ferrastar’, ‘R1877’, ‘R1413’, and ‘R1542’, whereas ‘Tuono’, ‘Guara’, ‘Ferragnès’, and ‘R1568’ were classified as susceptible. Among the inoculation techniques tested, the mycelial plug method proved to be the most consistent and reliable. The results confirm the presence of resistant genotypes, which are useful for genetic improvement programs aimed at enhancing resistance to related canker pathogens.

Keywords: canker disease; field inoculation methods; phylogenetic analysis; *Phomopsis amygdali*

1. Introduction

Almond production (*Prunus dulcis* [Mill.] D.A. Webb) has experienced remarkable global growth in recent years, driven by both agronomic and economic factors. Over the past 15 years, the global context has remained favourable for almond cultivation [1]. The rising demand is largely attributed to the well-documented health benefits associated with almond consumption. Almonds are rich in vitamin E, protein, monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids, magnesium, potassium, and dietary fiber — nutrients linked to a reduced risk of cardiometabolic disorders [2]. In addition, expanding markets in Asia and other regions have further stimulated demand and elevated market prices [3].

As a consequence of these global trends, almond production systems are undergoing a fundamental transformation in the Mediterranean region. Once considered a marginal, rain-fed crop, almond is now cultivated under intensified and profitable systems, incorporating new varieties and improved management practices aimed at enhancing yield and profitability [4].

In France, although almond cultivation remains limited in extent, farmers’ interest is increasing, particularly in southern regions traditionally devoted to cereals, lavender, vegetables, and viticulture. As of 2023, France’s almond acreage has reached 2,330 hectares [5] — an infinitesimal share compared to the total almond-growing area in Europe, which spans approximately 915,561 hectares [5].

Nonetheless, the growing investment in almond orchards in southern France signals an important shift in agricultural priorities and potential for future expansion.

Globally, almond diseases have been extensively investigated [6–9][6–9]. Major diseases include red leaf blotch (*Polystigma amygdalinum* P.F. Cannon), shot hole (*Wilsonomyces carpophilus* [Lév.] Adask., J.M. Ogawa & E.E. Butler), brown rot and blossom blight (*Monilinia* spp.), and leaf curl (*Taphrina deformans* [Berk.] Tul.) [10,11]. Furthermore, an expansion of long-established diseases such as anthracnose (*Colletotrichum acutatum* J.H. Simmonds) [12] and the emergence of new trunk and branch canker pathogens [9,13,14] have been reported.

Among these, particular attention in recent decades has focused on fungal canker pathogens, owing to their capacity to substantially reduce orchard longevity and productivity [13–16]. The disease caused by *Diaporthe amygdali* is characterized by the rapid desiccation of shoots, flowers, and leaves following infections that typically occur between late winter and early spring [17–20]. Infected buds develop sunken, reddish-brown necrotic lesions that evolve into cankers exuding small quantities of gum [21]. As lesions expand, they girdle twigs, causing distal shoot dieback and general canopy wilting. The fungus overwinters in the bark of the cankers [17].

Although *D. amygdali* primarily infects members of the genus *Prunus* — including almond, peach, and nectarine — its pathogenicity has been widely documented worldwide [3,22–31]. The pathogen has also been reported from several unrelated hosts, such as grapevine in South Africa [32], *Pieris japonica* in the United States [33], Asian pear and walnut in China [34], and blueberry in Portugal [35], demonstrating its polyphagous nature.

Lalancette and co-authors [36,37] elucidated key aspects of disease development on peach plantations in New Jersey, showing that *D. amygdali* primarily penetrates through wounds formed during leaf abscission in autumn or shoot emergence and flower/fruit drop in spring. Infections can also arise directly through young shoots [38]. The incubation period from infection to visible canker development is approximately one month.

Climatic conditions strongly influence disease expression: in mild autumns and winters, cankers develop rapidly, leading to shoot dieback in early spring; conversely, cold winters delay symptom expression, resulting in shoot death during the following summer. Under favorable conditions, *D. amygdali* produces pycnidia within the cankers, releasing α -conidia — the predominant form found on almond — and occasionally β -conidia [19,38].

The formation and release of conidia, often extruded as cirri, are regulated by environmental parameters, particularly temperature and relative humidity [37][39]. Fungal development is slow at 5 °C but accelerates above 10 °C [21]; growth occurs across a broad temperature range (0–37 °C), with optimal growth around 19–20 °C. Maximum conidial production occurs between 22 °C and 23 °C under a relative humidity above 95% sustained for at least 16 hours [37]. These environmental conditions are critical to disease epidemiology, as they not only promote conidial growth and dispersal but also delay the natural healing of wounds caused by leaf abscission.

Rain events further facilitate pathogen dissemination, as conidia are dispersed by water splash to adjacent tissues and trees, where they germinate on moist surfaces at temperatures between 5 °C and 36 °C [21,39].

Over the past decade, research on the vulnerability of almond cultivars to fungal diseases has increased substantially compared with earlier years. In one of the first systematic studies conducted in Spain, Egea *et al.* [40] evaluated the susceptibility of 81 almond varieties to red leaf blotch. In California, Gradziel and Wang [41] investigated the susceptibility of several cultivars to *Aspergillus flavus* Link, while Diéguez-Urbeondo *et al.* [42] assessed the response of four cultivars to *Colletotrichum acutatum*. In Australia, field evaluations of 34 almond cultivars determined their susceptibility to *Tranzschelia discolor*, the causal agent of rust, under both natural and artificial inoculation conditions [43]. More recently, López-Moral *et al.* [12] examined 19 Spanish cultivars for their sensitivity to *C. acutatum* and *C. axcutiae* Neerg., and subsequent studies have evaluated the response of early- and late-flowering cultivars to leaf pathogens such as *Monilinia laxa*, *Polystigma amygdalinum*, *Taphrina deformans*, and *Wilsonomyces carpophilus* [10,11].

Specific studies on the susceptibility of almond cultivars to *Diaporthe amygdali* have also been conducted in several countries. In Chile, Besoain *et al.* [24] found that cultivars ‘Nonpareil’ and ‘Price’ were more susceptible than ‘Carmel’ under artificial inoculation. In Portugal, the local cultivar ‘Barrinho Grado’ showed greater tolerance than ‘Ferragnès’ [25]. In Spain, Vargas and Miarnau [44] evaluated more than 70 cultivars and 36 breeding selections under natural field conditions, revealing a high overall susceptibility to *Diaporthe* dieback. In Hungary, Varjas *et al.* [20] assessed 162 almond genotypes over four consecutive years, identifying 31 cultivars with very high levels of tolerance. In particular, ‘Budatétényi-70’ and ‘Tétényi keményhájú’ were significantly more tolerant than the other Hungarian genotypes, suggesting considerable genetic variability in disease resistance.

The objectives of the present study were to: (i) isolate and characterize fungal strains from naturally infected almond samples collected in France, using morphological characterization and multilocus phylogenetic analyses based on the *ITS*, *tef1-α*, *act*, *his3*, *tub2*, and *cal* gene regions; (ii) determine the susceptibility of 18 almond genotypes—both French and Italian—using ‘Ferragnès’ and ‘Texas’ as susceptible and tolerant controls, respectively; and (iii) compare three field inoculation methods.

This study differs from previous investigations in that it aimed to evaluate the performance of different almond genotypes against multiple pathogen isolates under field conditions closely resembling natural infection. The experimental design incorporated both commercial cultivars already reported in the literature and hybrid selections developed at the INRAE Research Centre.

2. Results

2.1. Isolation, Morphological and Molecular Characterization

A total of six isolates were obtained from one-year-old branches with obvious symptoms of cankers on the nodes (Table 1).

Table 1. Origin and host information for *Diaporthe amygdali* isolates recovered from infected almond orchards in France, along with two reference strains (M2 and M17) supplied by ANSES, Corsica.

ID	Variety	Orchard	Origin
M2	Unknown	ref 18-286	ANSES, Corsican
M17	Unknown	ref 18-286	ANSES, Corsican
LD	Lauranne	Doniat	Charolles, France
MD	Mandaline	Doniat	Charolles, France
FS	Ferragnès	Silvain	St Didier, France
FB	Ferragnès	Blonde	Manduel, France
FM	Ferragnès	Morin	Donzere, France
FJS	Ferragnès	Jean Silvain	St Didier, France

All isolates exhibited similar morphological characteristics when cultured on PDA, with the exception of isolate LD, which showed a slower growth rate. After incubation at approximately 25 °C for 4 days, isolate LD reached a mean colony diameter of 1.8 ± 0.003 cm (mean ± standard error), whereas the other isolates attained an average diameter of 2.82 ± 0.07 cm (mean ± standard error) (Figure 1). As illustrated in Figure 4, the differences in colony diameter among the isolates were statistically significant. Notably, isolates FS and M17 displayed the highest growth rates, with mean colony diameters of 3.30 ± 0.004 cm and 3.31 ± 0.003 cm (mean ± standard error), respectively.




Figure 1. Colony diameter of *Diaporthe amygdali* isolates after 4 days of incubation at 25 ± 1 °C. Statistically significant differences among isolates are indicated by different letters according to Tukey’s test ($p < 0.001$). Data represent mean ± standard error (n = 3).

However, by day 10, all isolates, including LD, reached a similar colony diameter of approximately 8.20 ± 0.025 cm (mean ± standard error), indicating that LD had recovered its growth rate despite the initial lag (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Colony morphology of *Diaporthe amygdali* isolates cultured on PDA after 10 days of incubation at 25 ± 1 °C. For each isolate, the left half of the plate shows the top view, and the right half shows the bottom view of the same colony. The panel includes two reference strains (M2 and M17) and six field isolates (LD, MD, FS, FB, FM, and FJS), all exhibiting similar morphological characteristics.




Figure 3. (a) Fifteen-day-old culture of *Diaporthe amygdali* grown on PDA at 25 ± 1 °C under a 12 h UV-light photoperiod; (b) Conidial masses exuding from pycnidia developed on PDA after 20 days of incubation under the same conditions.

No differences were observed among isolates based on α -conidial measurements. All isolates produced α -conidia with the same morphology—fusiform, hyaline, and biguttulate—measuring approximately $5.5\text{--}10.5 \times 2\text{--}3 \mu\text{m}$ (Figure 4). No β -conidia were detected. Approximately 10 conidia were measured per replicate, for a total of 30 conidia per isolate.




Figure 4. Microscopic view of α -conidia produced by different *Diaporthe amygdali* isolates (FB, FM, FS, FJS, LD, MD, M2, and M17) observed under 40 $\times$ magnification.

Phylogenetic analysis based on the Maximum Likelihood method revealed that all strains examined in this study (FB, FM, MD, LD, FS, FJS, and M2) clustered within a single clade together with *Diaporthe amygdali* CBS 126680, supported by a 60% bootstrap value. This clade was phylogenetically related to *D. mediterranea* DAL-34 and *D. sterilis* CBS 136969, with bootstrap support ranging from 63% to 76%. Other reference species, including *D. kadsurae*, *D. chongqingensis*, *D. acacigena*, *D. rudis*, *D. ambigua*, and *D. malorum*, formed distinct and well-supported clades (bootstrap 97–100%). The tree was rooted with *Diaporthella corylina* CBS 121124 as the outgroup (Figure 5).




Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree showing the relationships among *Diaporthe* species and *D. amygdali* isolates collected from different regions of southern France, based on concatenated sequences of five loci (*ITS*, *tef1- α* , *cal*, *his3*, and *tub2*). The tree was constructed using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) method under the Tamura–Nei substitution model, with a scale bar representing 0.050 nucleotide substitutions per site.

2.2. Inoculation Methods

Four *D. amygdali* isolates (FB, FJS, FS, and LD) were inoculated using a conidial suspension. The results presented in Figure 6a show the lesion lengths produced by the different isolates. The LD isolate exhibited consistently slower growth on PDA and lower pycnidia production, which was also reflected in reduced symptom development on inoculated tissues, with a mean lesion length of 0.35 ± 0.08 cm (mean $\pm$ standard error). Conversely, isolate FS produced statistically significantly longer lesions than all other isolates, with a mean length of 1.48 ± 0.19 cm (mean $\pm$ standard error). Isolates FJS and FB showed intermediate virulence, causing lesions of 1.04 ± 0.16 cm and 0.57 ± 0.11 cm, respectively (mean $\pm$ standard error). Regarding the inoculation methods, Figure 6b shows that the mycelial plug technique was the most effective, producing statistically significantly longer lesions

with a mean length of 3.52 ± 0.25 cm (mean $\pm$ standard error), compared to those obtained with the toothpick inoculation method (2.21 ± 0.40 cm) and the conidial suspension technique (1.33 ± 0.30 cm).




Figure 6. (a) Lesion length (cm) induced by different *Diaporthe amygdali* isolates using the conidial suspension method, 90 days after inoculation; (b) Lesion length (cm) induced by different inoculation methods, 90 days after inoculation. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences according to Tukey's test ($p < 0.0001$).

Moreover, the experiment was easier to perform using a toothpick or a plug colonized by mycelium than with the conidial suspension, as the margin of error introduced by cutting could influence the final lesion size (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Lesions caused by *Diaporthe amygdali* using three different inoculation methods. (a) Negative control inoculated with sterile distilled water; (b) lesions observed in September following inoculation with a conidial suspension; (c) negative control inoculated with a sterile PDA plug; (d) lesions observed in September following inoculation with a mycelial plug; (e) negative control inoculated with a sterile toothpick; (f) lesions observed in September, respectively, following inoculation with a toothpick colonized by mycelium; (g,h) detail of gummy exudates on cankered shoots.

2.3. Varietal Susceptibility

After three months, the most tolerant cultivar was 'Hybride R1877', which developed a mean lesion length of 0.5 ± 0.25 cm (mean $\pm$ SE), similar to 'Ferrastar', 'Hybride R1413', and 'Hybride R1542' (≤ 0.65 cm). In contrast, 'Tuono', 'Guara', 'Ferragnès', and 'R1568' were highly susceptible, showing mean lesion lengths of 1.7 ± 0.63 cm, 1.4 ± 0.55 cm, 1.33 ± 0.36 cm, and 1.3 ± 0.24 cm, respectively (mean $\pm$ SE). Intermediate responses were observed in the cultivars 'Lauranne' and 'Le Plan 1', which exhibited mean lesion lengths of 1.28 ± 0.82 cm and 1.25 ± 0.96 cm (mean $\pm$ SE), respectively.

Individual analyses of variance (ANOVA) for each evaluation did not reveal any statistically significant differences among the varieties ($p > 0.05$) (Figure 8).




Figure 8. Mean lesion length (cm) recorded in three consecutive evaluations: 7 July (blue), 4 August (orange), and 2 September (grey). Data represent the mean $\pm$ standard error (SE) for each almond variety at each evaluation date.

However, clustering analyses (k-means, NbClust) and principal component analysis (PCA)-based dimensionality reduction revealed a clear pattern in the data, allowing the identification of three distinct groups of varieties with congruent symptomatic responses (Figure 9). The results highlighted the presence of three well-defined clusters of varieties, each exhibiting a consistent pattern of symptom expression. The mean lesion lengths for each cluster are presented in Table S1. Cluster 1 comprised varieties showing intermediate and stable behavior over time; Cluster 2 included tolerant varieties, characterized by low lesion values and limited progression; and Cluster 3 contained the most susceptible varieties, displaying larger lesions and a pronounced increase over time.




Figure 9. *k*-means cluster plot of 18 almond varieties based on lesion length measurements obtained from three disease evaluation surveys. The three clusters represent groups of varieties with different levels of susceptibility: Cluster 1 (green) – tolerant; Cluster 2 (red) – intermediate; and Cluster 3 (blue) – susceptible. Axes (Dim1 and Dim2) correspond to the first two principal components, which together explain approximately 96% of the total variance.

The mean lesion length showed a consistent increase over the three survey periods (Figure 10). Lesion lengths were comparatively short and not significantly different ($p > 0.05$) during the first and second evaluations (8 July and 4 August). However, a marked and statistically significant increase was observed in the third survey (2 September), as confirmed by one-way ANOVA ($p = 0.00063$) and post hoc tests ($p < 0.001$). These results indicate a clear temporal progression of the disease, with lesion development intensifying significantly during the latter part of the observation period.




Figure 10. Progression of lesion length across three evaluation periods (8 July, 4 August, and 2 September). Box plots display the median, interquartile range, and individual data points. One-way ANOVA ($p = 0.00063$) indicated significant differences among time points, with *** denoting $p < 0.001$, * denoting $p < 0.05$, and ns indicating non-significant comparisons.

3. Discussion

The morphological and molecular characterization of isolates obtained from naturally infected almond samples collected across various orchards in southern France confirmed the exclusive presence of *Diaporthe amygdali* on almond trees. Morphological observations revealed that one isolate (LD) exhibited noticeably slower growth than the other isolates, accompanied by reduced pycnidia production on PDA at 25 °C under a 12 h UV-light photoperiod. In contrast, isolates FJS and M17 showed markedly higher growth rates and abundant pycnidia formation.

Regarding conidial morphology, only α -type conidia were observed, with no detectable variation among isolates, consistent with previous reports [45–47]. These morphological findings, together with multilocus sequence data (ITS, *tef1- α* , *cal*, *his3*, and *tub2*), confirmed the identity of all isolates as *D. amygdali*, in full agreement with the results of Gusella et al. (2023) [45], who also found that almond-derived isolates clustered closely with reference strains of this species.

With respect to inoculation techniques, the mycelial plug method proved to be the most effective, as it produced rapid symptom development and facilitated detection. This observation aligns with previous studies reporting similar outcomes [3,18,28,45]. The toothpick inoculation method, in which the toothpick is colonized by fungal mycelium, was moderately effective, whereas the conidial suspension technique, although simpler to perform, was the least efficient. In the latter case, lesion size could be affected by the dimensions of the incision, and symptom expression was delayed (approximately 60 days post-inoculation).

Comparative analyses of *D. amygdali* isolates revealed significant differences in pathogenicity. The LD isolate produced statistically smaller lesions than the FS isolate (Figure 9a), consistent with its slower growth and lower pycnidia and conidia production in vitro. Conversely, isolate FS was characterized as the most aggressive, producing the largest lesions and exhibiting the fastest mycelial growth on PDA, followed closely by M17.

Regarding cultivar susceptibility, all tested varieties developed cankers at the inoculation point, although lesion length varied. While these differences were not statistically significant, symptom onset occurred earlier in some cultivars, whereas others maintained smaller, more stable cankers, indicating greater tolerance to the pathogen. This pattern is illustrated in Figure 12: varieties belonging to Cluster 1 (green)— ‘Ardècheoise’, ‘Ferrastar’, ‘Hybride R1877’, ‘Filippo Ceo’, ‘Hybride R1413’, ‘Le Plan 2’, and ‘Princesse-JR’—displayed smaller, stable lesions throughout the experiment.

The result for ‘Filippo Ceo’ is consistent with the findings of Catalano et al. (2025) [48], who also reported this cultivar among those developing the shortest cankers (Supplementary Table).

Cluster 2 included ‘Hybride R1542’, ‘Hybride R993’, ‘Mandaline’, ‘Marcona’, and ‘Texas’, which showed intermediate lesion lengths that remained stable over time. The performance of ‘Texas’ corroborates the results of Catalano et al. (2025) [48], while ‘Marcona’ showed an intermediate susceptibility pattern similar to that described by Beluzán et al. (2022) [3]. Cluster 3 comprised ‘Ferragnès’, ‘Guara’, ‘Hybride R1568’, ‘Lauranne’, ‘Le Plan 1’, and ‘Tuono’, which developed longer and more rapidly expanding cankers, indicating higher susceptibility.

Overall, this study provides valuable insights into the responses of different almond cultivars to *D. amygdali*, a pathogen that has re-emerged in recent years and poses a growing threat to almond production in the Mediterranean region. The identification of potentially tolerant cultivars under Mediterranean conditions—corroborating findings from southern Italy and Spain—highlights the importance of these genotypes as potential sources of resistance in future breeding and selection programs. Although inoculation with conidial suspensions proved less effective in symptom onset, this method most closely mimics natural infection processes in the field. Therefore, it remains a valuable approach for assessing cultivar responses under conditions approximating natural pathogen–host interactions.

4. Material and Methods

4.1. Isolation, Morphological and Molecular Characterization

Branches showing symptoms indicative of *Diaporthe amygdali* infection—such as shoot blight, cankers, and gum exudation—were collected from almond orchards located in the Provence region of southern France during April and May. Samples were taken from various positions within the canopy, focusing on areas where symptoms were most evident. Each specimen was placed in a humid chamber and incubated at ambient temperature for approximately two weeks to promote the development of cirri. Once cirrus formation was observed, exudates were aseptically collected using a sterile needle loop and transferred onto Petri dishes containing potato dextrose agar (PDA, Difco) supplemented with 0.5 g/L streptomycin sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich) to inhibit bacterial growth. Plates were incubated at 25 °C for up to two weeks and monitored regularly for fungal development and possible contamination.

Fungal colonies exhibiting morphological characteristics consistent with *Diaporthe* spp. were subcultured onto fresh PDA plates to obtain pure cultures. Single-spore isolation was performed by transferring individual conidia onto new PDA plates under a stereomicroscope in sterile conditions. The purified isolates were incubated at 25 °C in the dark for 7–10 days and subsequently stored at 4 °C for further morphological and molecular analyses.

Following purification, the isolates were subjected to detailed morphological characterization. To stimulate sporulation and allow examination and measurement of reproductive structures, each isolate was cultured on PDA and incubated at 25 °C under a 12 h light/dark photoperiod with UV illumination for 15 days. Conidial measurements were conducted by mounting spores in sterile water on microscope slides after growth on PDA. Observations and measurements were performed using an Olympus BH2 BHS-312 trinocular microscope at 40× magnification. Colony growth was assessed by measuring the radial expansion of the mycelium on PDA after 4 and 10 days of incubation. For accuracy and reproducibility, all measurements were performed in triplicate for each isolate.

A total of eight isolates were used for molecular characterization, including six isolates obtained in this study and two reference isolates from Spain. Genomic DNA was extracted according to the protocol described by Mishra et al. (2008). PCR amplification of seven conserved genomic regions was carried out using primer sets (Table S2).

Each 50 µL PCR reaction contained 10 µL of 5× Green GoTaq® Flexi Buffer, 3 µL of 25 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 µL of GoTaq® DNA Polymerase (Promega), 1 µL of each primer at 20 µM (except for the

his3 primers, for which 0.5 µL of each was used), and 4 µL of genomic DNA at dilutions ranging from 1:800 to 1:20. The final reaction volume was adjusted with nuclease-free water.

PCR amplifications were performed in an Eppendorf 5341 Mastercycler epGradient thermal cycler under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min; followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing for 30 s at primer-specific temperatures (55 °C for ITS1-4 and *tefl-α*, 58 °C for *cal*, 50 °C for BtCadF–BtCadR, and 59 °C for *his3*), and extension at 72 °C for 40 s; with a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min.

Amplified products (10 µL) were separated by electrophoresis on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gels and visualized under UV illumination.

Raw sequence data for each isolate were analyzed using CLC Main Workbench v8 (QIAGEN). Sequence quality was assessed, and consensus sequences were generated by assembling forward and reverse reads. Taxonomic identification of isolates was performed through nucleotide BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) comparisons against the NCBI GenBank database.

Subsequent analyses were conducted using MEGA v12 [54]. Multiple sequence alignments for each gene region were generated with the CLUSTAL algorithm [55], incorporating both newly obtained sequences and relevant reference sequences retrieved from GenBank (Table S3). For multi-locus phylogenetic analysis, the aligned sequences were concatenated into a single dataset. Phylogenetic inference was carried out using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) method based on the Tamura–Nei model of nucleotide substitution [56]. The tree with the highest log-likelihood value (–5033.69) is presented.

Branch support was assessed through bootstrap analysis with 1,000 replicates [57], and the resulting bootstrap values are shown at the corresponding nodes. The initial tree for the heuristic search was selected by comparing the log-likelihood scores of a Neighbor-Joining (NJ) tree [58] and a Maximum Parsimony (MP) tree. The NJ tree was generated from a pairwise distance matrix computed using the Tamura–Nei model, whereas the MP tree represented the shortest topology among 10 replicates, each initiated from a randomly generated starting tree.

Variation in evolutionary rates among sites was modelled using a discrete Gamma distribution with eight categories (+G) and a shape parameter of 0.3287. The analysis included 14 nucleotide sequences, and positions with less than 95% site coverage were excluded using the partial deletion option, resulting in a final alignment of 1,487 positions. All evolutionary analyses were performed in MEGA12, employing up to six parallel computing threads to optimize computational efficiency.

4.2. Plant Materials and Experimental Sites and Plot Design

This study was conducted on 18 almond cultivars (Table 2), all grown under uniform agronomic and environmental conditions in the experimental orchard of INRAE, Domaine des Garrigues (9004 Allée des Chênes, Avignon, France). The trees were planted in 2012 at a spacing of 5 × 2 m, with one replicate tree per cultivar. No fungicide treatments were applied throughout the study period, allowing for natural disease development and controlled inoculation experiments.

Table 2. Origin and main characteristics of the 18 almond (*Prunus dulcis*) cultivars included in the study. Cultivars were grown under uniform conditions at INRAE–Domaine des Garrigues (Avignon, France). Susceptibility levels are based on disease response to *Diaporthe amygdali*: **S** = susceptible, **T** = tolerant, **I** = intermediate. “X” indicates cultivars selected for testing the respective inoculation method (conidial suspension, mycelial plug, or toothpick).

Code No.	Variety	Country*	Early flowering**	Susceptibility	Inoculum method***		
					A	B	C
R486	Ferragnès	FRA	4	S	X	X	X
R1877	Hybride R1877	FRA	5		X		
R916	Lauranne	FRA	5		X		
R800	Ferrastar	FRA	5	T	X	X	X

R61	Ardècheoise	FRA	3	T	X	X	X
R185	Marcona	ESP	2		X		
R1046	Princesse-JR	FRA	2		X		
R934	Guara	ITA	5		X		
R998	Mandaline	FRA	5		X		
R219	Tuono	ITA	4	T	X	X	X
R993	Hybride R993	FRA	5		X		
R1590	Le plan1	FRA	4		X		
R1568	Hybride R1568	FRA	5		X		
R270	Texas	USA	4	T	X	X	X
R1413	Hybride R1413	FRA	7		X		
R1542	Hybride R1542	FRA	5		X		
R860	Filippo Ceo	ITA	4	I	X		
R1591	Le plan2	FRA	5		X		

*Country: FRA= France; ITA=Italy; ESP= Spain; USA= United State of America. **Flowering season: 1= early; 2= medium-early; 3= intermediate; 4= medium-late; 5=late. ***Inoculation made using: A, Conidial suspension; B, Mycelial plug; C, Toothpick.

Inocula were prepared according to the specific inoculation technique employed. For the conidial suspension technique, fungal isolates were grown on PDA plates at 25 °C under a 12-hour light/dark photoperiod to induce pycnidia development. After 15 days of incubation, mature pycnidia were scraped from the agar surface with a sterile loop and transferred into sterile beakers containing distilled water. The conidia were released using a handheld electric homogenizer, and the resulting suspension was filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filter (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to remove mycelial debris. Serial dilutions were then performed to obtain a final concentration of approximately 1 × 10⁵ conidia mL⁻¹.

For the mycelial plug technique, isolates were cultured on PDA plates in complete darkness at 25 °C for 7 days to promote vegetative mycelial growth while preventing sporulation. Agar plugs (0.5 cm in diameter) were excised from the actively growing colony margins and used for inoculation.

For the toothpick technique, sterile wooden toothpicks (≈ 2 cm long) were inserted into PDA plates previously inoculated with the respective fungal isolates and incubated in darkness at 25 °C for 10 days to allow complete colonization by the mycelium.

Three inoculation methods were applied in this study: conidial suspension, mycelial plug, and colonized toothpick. All 18 almond cultivars were inoculated using the FS isolate via the conidial suspension method. The suspension (1 × 10⁵ conidia mL⁻¹) was applied as a single drop onto a superficial wound on the shoot surface, which was then sealed with Parafilm to maintain humidity.

The mycelial plug inoculation method, using the FS isolate, was applied to five cultivars: *Ferragnès*, *Ferrastar*, *Ardècheoise*, *Tuono*, and *Texas*. PDA plugs (0.5 cm diameter) from 7-day-old cultures were inserted into shallow wounds on the shoots and immediately wrapped with Parafilm to prevent desiccation.

The same five cultivars were used for the toothpick inoculation method with isolates FS and FM. Sterilized, pre-colonized toothpicks (~2 cm long) were inserted into 0.5 cm-deep holes made in the shoot tissue. The inoculation sites were sealed with paraffin wax to protect the entry point and retain moisture.

For each cultivar and inoculation method, three biological replicates were performed. The trial began on 3 June 2025, and symptom development was monitored monthly thereafter.

4.3. Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using JMP® Pro software (version 18; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA, 1989–2023). Prior to performing one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey–

Kramer's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) post hoc test, data distributions were assessed for normality. Statistical differences were considered significant at $p \leq 0.05$ or $p \leq 0.01$.

Disease symptom data were further analyzed using R software (version 2024.12.1). To determine the optimal number of clusters, the NbClust package was employed, which evaluates multiple clustering criteria simultaneously. Based on this evaluation, k-means clustering was performed with the number of clusters ($k = 3$) as determined by NbClust. The algorithm was executed with the parameter $nstart = 25$, which runs 25 iterations with different centroid initializations to minimize suboptimal convergence. To ensure reproducibility, a fixed random seed was set ($set.seed(123)$).

Cluster visualization was performed using the factoextra package and the *fviz_cluster* function, which displays the clusters and their centroids in the plane defined by the first two principal components derived from Principal Component Analysis (PCA).

To evaluate the temporal progression of disease symptoms, boxplots were generated using the ggpubr package in the R environment. Lesion length data (cm), collected at three time points (8 July, 4 August, and 2 September), were converted into long format using the *pivot_longer* function from the tidyr package. The *ggboxplot* function was then applied to visualize lesion length as the dependent variable. A global ANOVA was used to assess statistical differences among the three time points ($stat_compare_means(method = "anova")$), followed by pairwise comparisons using Student's *t*-test ($stat_compare_means(method = "t.test")$).

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: <https://www.mdpi.com/article/doi/s1>, **Table S1:** Mean lesion length (cm) of the three clusters of almond varieties across three consecutive disease evaluation surveys (7 July, 4 August, and 2 September). Cluster 1 represents tolerant varieties, characterized by low lesion values and limited progression over time; Cluster 2 includes intermediate varieties showing moderate lesions and relatively stable conditions; and Cluster 3 comprises susceptible varieties with extensive lesions and a rapid increase over time. The three clusters were identified by k-means analysis ($k=3$). Data are reported as mean±standard error; **Table S2:** Primer sets used in the work; **Table S3:** GenBank references sequences used for phylogenetic analyses.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.G.L. and D.H.; methodology, P.G.L. and N.D.; software, P.G.L. and A.B.; validation, P.G.L., H.D. and N.D.; formal analysis, P.G.L.; investigation, P.G.L.; resources, H.D.; data curation, P.G.L.; writing—original draft preparation, P.G.L.; writing—review and editing, P.G.L., H.D., N.D., F.N. and S.P.; visualization, P.G.L., H.D., F.N. and S.P.; supervision, H.D., N.D., F.N. and S.P.; project administration, H.D.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: The partial sequences of the five amplified genes are currently being registered in the NCBI database.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Eva Coindre for her assistance in sampling and isolating *Diaporthe amygdali* isolates, Florent Remuson for sending the ANSES isolates and Celia Kerbrat for her participation in the cultivation of mycelium in Petri dishes and PCR amplification.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Gradziel, T.M.; Curtis, R.; Socias I Company, R. Production and Growing Regions. In *Almonds: botany, production and uses*; Socias I Company, R., Gradziel, T.M., Eds.; CABI: Wallingford, 2017; pp. 70–86 ISBN 978-1-78064-354-0.
2. Kalita, S.; Khandelwal, S.; Madan, J.; Pandya, H.; Sesikeran, B.; Krishnaswamy, K. Almonds and Cardiovascular Health: A Review. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 468. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu100404683>.
3. Beluzán, F.; Miarnau, X.; Torguet, L.; Zazurca, L.; Abad-Campos, P.; Luque, J.; Armengol, J. Susceptibility of Almond (*Prunus Dulcis*) Cultivars to Twig Canker and Shoot Blight Caused by *Diaporthe Amygdali*. *Plant Disease* **2022**, Volume 106, No. 7, pp. 1890–1897, doi:10.1094/PDIS-09-21-1875-RE.

4. Maldonado, M.; Pomar, L.T.; Girabet, R.; Zazurca, L.; García, G.M.; i Prim, X.M. Nuevos Modelos Productivos Para La Intensificación Del Almendro. *Vida rural* **2019**, No. 472 , pp. 44–49, ISSN 1133-8938.
5. Faostat, F. Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Database (2020). Available on: www.faostat.org **2023**.(accessed on 29 September 2025)
6. Gouk, C. Almond Diseases and Disorders. In Proceedings of the XXIX International Horticultural Congress on Horticulture: Sustaining Lives, Livelihoods and Landscapes (IHC2014): 1109; **2014**; pp. 249–254, 10.17660/ActaHortic.2016.1109.40.
7. Palacio-Bielsa, A.; Cambra, M.; Martínez, C.; Olmos, A.; Pallás, V.; López, M.M.; Adaskaveg, J.E.; Förster, H.; Cambra, M.A.; Duval, H.; Esmenjaud, D. Almond Diseases. In *Almonds: botany, production and uses*; Socias I Company, R., Gradziel, T.M., Eds.; CABI: Wallingford, 2017; pp. 321–374 ISBN 978-1-78064-354-0.
8. López-Moral, A.; Agustí-Brisach, C.; Lovera, M.; Arquero, O.; Trapero, A. Almond Anthracnose: Current Knowledge and Future Perspectives. *Plants* **2020**, 9, 945. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9080945>
9. Holland, L.A.; Trouillas, F.P.; Nouri, M.T.; Lawrence, D.P.; Crespo, M.; Doll, D.A.; Duncan, R.A.; Holtz, B.A.; Culumber, C.M.; Yaghmour, M.A.; Niederholzer, F. J. A.; Lightle, D. M.; Jarvis-Shean, K. S.; Gordon, P. E.; Fichtner, E.J. Fungal Pathogens Associated With Canker Diseases of Almond in California. *Plant Disease* **2021**, Volume 105, No. 2, pp. 346–360, doi:10.1094/PDIS-10-19-2128-RE.
10. Miarnau, X.; Zazurca, L.; Torguet, L.; Zúñiga, E.; Batlle, I.; Alegre, S.; Luque, J. Cultivar Susceptibility and Environmental Parameters Affecting Symptom Expression of Red Leaf Blotch of Almond in Spain. *Plant Disease* **2021**, Volume 105, No. 4, pp. 940–947, doi:10.1094/PDIS-04-20-0869-RE.
11. Ollero-Lara, A.; Agustí-Brisach, C.; Lovera, M.; Roca, L.F.; Arquero, O.; Trapero, A. Field Susceptibility of Almond Cultivars to the Four Most Common Aerial Fungal Diseases in Southern Spain. *Crop Protection* **2019**, Volume 121, pp. 18–27, ISSN 0261-2194, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2019.03.005>.
12. López-Moral, A.; Agustí-Brisach, C.; Lovera, M.; Luque, F.; Roca, L.F.; Arquero, O.; Trapero, A. Effects of Cultivar Susceptibility, Fruit Maturity, Leaf Age, Fungal Isolate, and Temperature on Infection of Almond by *Colletotrichum* Spp. *Plant Disease* **2019**, Volume 103, No. 9, pp. 2425–2432, doi:10.1094/PDIS-12-18-2281-RE.
13. Gramaje, D.; Agustí-Brisach, C.; Pérez-Sierra, A.; Moralejo, E.; Olmo, D.; Mostert, L.; Damm, U.; Armengol, J. Fungal Trunk Pathogens Associated with Wood Decay of Almond Trees on Mallorca (Spain). *Persoonia-Molecular Phylogeny and Evolution of Fungi* **2012**, Volume 28, pp. 1–13, ISSN: 0031-5850, DOI:10.3767/003158512X626155.
14. Olmo, D.; Armengol, J.; León, M.; Gramaje, D. Characterization and Pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeriaceae* Species Isolated from Almond Trees on the Island of Mallorca (Spain). *Plant Disease* **2016**, Volume 100, No. 12, pp. 2483–2491, doi:10.1094/PDIS-05-16-0676-RE.
15. Michailides, T.J.; Inderbitzin, P.; Connell, J.H.; Luo, Y.G.; Morgan, D.P.; Puckett, R.D. Understanding Band Canker of Almond Caused by *Botryosphaeriaceae* Fungi and Attempts to Control the Disease in California. In Proceedings of the VII International Symposium on Almonds and Pistachios, *Acta Horticulturae (ISHS)* 1219; **2017**; pp. 303–310.
16. Goura, K.; Lahlali, R.; Bouchane, O.; Baala, M.; Radouane, N.; Kenfaoui, J.; Ezrari, S.; El Hamss, H.; El Alami, N.; Amiri, S.; Barla, A. E.; Tahiri, A. Identification and Characterization of Fungal Pathogens Causing Trunk and Branch Cankers of Almond Trees in Morocco. *Agronomy* **2023**, 13, 130. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13010130>
17. Adaskaveg, J.E. Phomopsis Canker and Fruit Rot. *Compendium of Nut Crop Diseases in Temperate Zones*; Teviotdale, B.L., Michailides, T.J., Pscheidt, J.W., Eds; **2002**, pp. 27–28.
18. Diogo, E.L.; Santos, J.M.; Phillips, A.J. Phylogeny, Morphology and Pathogenicity of *Diaporthe* and *Phomopsis* Species on Almond in Portugal. *Fungal diversity* **2010**, Volume 44, pp. 107–115, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-010-0057-x>.
19. Tuset, J.J.; Portilla, M.A.T. Taxonomic Status of *Fusicoccum Amygdali* and *Phomopsis Amygdalina*. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **1989**, Volume 67, No. 5, pp. 1275–1280, doi:10.1139/b89-168.
20. Varjas, V.; Izsépi, F.; Nagy, G.; Pájtli, É.; Vajna, L. *Phomopsis* Blight on Almond in Hungary (Pathogen: *Phomopsis Amygdali*, Teleomorph: *Diaporthe Amygdali*). *Növényvédelem*, **2017**, Vol. 53, No. 12, pp. 532-538 ref. 16.

21. Zehr, E.I.. Constriction canker. p.31-32. In Compendium of stone fruit diseases; Ogawa J.M., Zehr E.I., Bird G.W., Ritchie D.F., Uriu K., Uyemoto J.K., Eds; Publisher: Amer. Phytopath. Soc., Country: St. Paul. Minesota. 1995
22. Adaskaveg, J.E.; Förster, H.; Connell, J.H. First Report of Fruit Rot and Associated Branch Dieback of Almond in California Caused by a *Phomopsis Species* Tentatively Identified as *P. Amygdali*. *Plant Disease* **1999**, Volume 83, No. 11, 1073, doi:10.1094/PDIS.1999.83.11.1073C.
23. Beluzán, F.; Olmo, D.; León, M.; Abad-Campos, P.; Armengol, J. First Report of *Diaporthe Amygdali* Associated with Twig Canker and Shoot Blight of Nectarine in Spain. *Plant Disease* **2021**, Volume 105, No. 10, 3300, doi:10.1094/PDIS-10-20-2283-PDN.
24. Besoain, X.; Briceno, E.; Piontelli, E. *Fusicoccum* Sp. as the Cause of Canker in Almond Trees and Susceptibility of Three Cultivars. *Fitopatología* 2000, Volume 35, No. 3, 176-182 ref. 20..
25. Cabrita, L.; Neves, A.; Leitão, J. Evaluation of Resistance to *Phomopsis Amygdali* in Almond. *Acta Horticulturae (ISHS)* **2004**, 663, 235–238.
26. Dai, F.M.; Zeng, R.; Lu, J.P. First Report of Twig Canker on Peach Caused by *Phomopsis Amygdali* in China. *Plant Disease* **2012**, Volume 96, No. 2, pp. 288–288, doi:10.1094/PDIS-04-11-0321.
27. Farr, D.F.; Castlebury, L.A.; Pardo-Schultheiss, R.A. *Phomopsis Amygdali* Causes Peach Shoot Blight of Cultivated Peach Trees in the Southeastern United States. *Mycologia* **1999**, Volume 91, Issue 6, pp. 1008–1015, doi:10.1080/00275514.1999.12061111.
28. León, M.; Berbegal, M.; Rodríguez-Reina, J.M.; Elena, G.; Abad-Campos, P.; Ramón-Albalat, A.; Olmo, D.; Vicent, A.; Luque, J.; Miarnau, X.; et al. Identification and Characterization of *Diaporthe* spp. Associated with Twig Cankers and Shoot Blight of Almonds in Spain. *Agronomy* **2020**, 10, 1062. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10081062>
29. Michailides, T.J.; Thomidis, T. First Report of *Phomopsis Amygdali* Causing Fruit Rot on Peaches in Greece. *Plant Disease* **2006**, Volume 90, No. 12, 1551–1551, doi:10.1094/PD-90-1551C.
30. Uddin, W.; Stevenson, K.L. Seasonal Development of *Phomopsis* Shoot Blight of Peach and Effects of Selective Pruning and Shoot Debris Management on Disease Incidence. *Plant Disease* **1998**, Volume 82, No. 5, 565–568, doi:10.1094/PDIS.1998.82.5.565.
31. Varjas, V.; Vajna, L.; Izsépi, F.; Nagy, G.; Pájtli, É. First Report of *Phomopsis Amygdali* Causing Twig Canker on Almond in Hungary. *Plant Disease* **2017**, Volume 101, No. 9, 1674, doi:10.1094/PDIS-03-17-0365-PDN.
32. Mostert, L.; Crous, P.W.; Kang, J.-C.; Phillips, A.J.L. Species of *Phomopsis* and a *Libertella* Sp. Occurring on Grapevines with Specific Reference to South Africa: Morphological, Cultural, Molecular and Pathological Characterization. *Mycologia* **2001**, Volume 93, Issue 1, pp. 146–167, doi:10.1080/00275514.2001.12061286.
33. Bienapfl, J.C.; Balci, Y. *Phomopsis* Blight: A New Disease of *Pieris Japonica* Caused by *Phomopsis Amygdali* in the United States. *Plant Disease* **2013**, Volume 97, No. 11, 1403–1407, doi:10.1094/PDIS-03-13-0226-RE.
34. Bai, Q.; Zhai, L.; Chen, X.; Hong, N.; Xu, W.; Wang, G. Biological and Molecular Characterization of Five *Phomopsis* Species Associated with Pear Shoot Canker in China. *Plant Disease* **2015**, Volume 99, No. 12, 1704–1712, doi:10.1094/PDIS-03-15-0259-RE.
35. Hilário, S.; Santos, L.; Alves, A. Diversity and Pathogenicity of *Diaporthe* Species Revealed from a Survey of Blueberry Orchards in Portugal. *Agriculture* **2021**, 11, 1271. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11121271>
36. Lalancette, N.; Robison, D.M. Seasonal Availability of Inoculum for Constriction Canker of Peach in New Jersey. *Phytopathology®* **2001**, Volume 91, No. 11, 1109–1115, doi:10.1094/PHYTO.2001.91.11.1109.
37. Lalancette, N.; Foster, K.A.; Robison, D.M. Quantitative Models for Describing Temperature and Moisture Effects on Sporulation of *Phomopsis Amygdali* on Peach. *Phytopathology®* **2003**, Volume 93, No. 9, 1165–1172, doi:10.1094/PHYTO.2003.93.9.1165.
38. Adaskaveg, J.E.; Schnabel, G.; Förster, H. Diseases of Peach Caused by Fungi and Fungal-like Organisms: Biology, Epidemiology and Management. In *The peach: botany, production and uses*; Layne, D., Bassi, D., Eds.; CABI: Wallingford, **2008**; pp. 352–406 ISBN 978-1-84593-386-9.
39. Fontaine, S.; Caddoux, L.; Remuson, F.; Barrès, B. Thiophanate-methyl and Carbendazim Resistance in *Fusicoccum Amygdali*, the Causal Agent of Constriction Canker of Peach and Almond. *Plant Pathology* **2022**, Volume 71, Issue 4, pp. 785–792, doi:10.1111/ppa.13525.

40. Egea, L.; Garcia, J.E.; Egea, J.; Berenguer, T. Premieres Observations Sur Une Collection de 81 Varietes d'amandiers Situee Dans Le Sud-Est Espagnol [*Polystigma Occhraceum*, *Coryneum Beijerinckii*, *Puccinia Pruni-Spinosa*; *Monostera Unicostata*]. *Options Mediterraneennes (France)*. no. 2. **1984**, ISSN 0253-1542
41. Gradziel, T.M.; Wang, D. Susceptibility of California Almond Cultivars to Aflatoxigenic *Aspergillus Flavus*. *HortScience* **1994**, 29, 33–35.
42. Diéguez-Urbeondo, J.; Förster, H.; Adaskaveg, J.E. Effect of Wetness Duration and Temperature on the Development of Anthracnose on Selected Almond Tissues and Comparison of Cultivar Susceptibility. *Phytopathology®* **2011**, Volume 101, No. 8, 1013–1020, doi:10.1094/PHYTO-07-10-0193.
43. Horsfield, A.; Wicks, T. Susceptibility of Almond Cultivars to *Tranzschelia Discolor*. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **2014**, Volume 43, pp. 79–87, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13313-013-0246-7>.
44. Vargas, F.J.; Miarnau, X. Field Susceptibility to *Fusicoccum* Canker of Almond Cultivars. In Proceedings of the V International Symposium on Pistachios and Almonds 912; **2009**; pp. 751–755, doi:10.17660/ActaHortic.2011.912.112.
45. Gusella, G.; La Quatra, G.; Agustí-Brisach, C.; Traperio, A.; Polizzi, G. Elucidating the Almond Constriction Canker Caused by *Diaporthe Amygdali* in Sicily (South Italy). *Journal of Plant Pathology* **2023**, Volume 105, pp. 987–1000, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42161-023-01420-2>.
46. Delacroix, G. Sur Une Maladie Des Amandiers En Provence. *Bull. Trimest. Soc. Mycol. Fr.* **1905**, 21, 180–185.
47. Hilário, S.; Santos, L.; Alves, A. *Diaporthe Amygdali*, a Species Complex or a Complex Species? *Fungal biology* **2021**, Volume 125, Issue 7, pp. 505–518, ISSN 1878-6146, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2021.01.006>.
48. Catalano, C.; Gusella, G.; Inzirillo, I.; Cannizzaro, G.; Di Guardo, M.; La Malfa, S.; Polizzi, G.; Gentile, A.; Distefano, G. Exploring Additive and Non-Additive Genetic Models to Decipher the Genetic Regulation of Almond Tolerance to *Diaporthe Amygdali*. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **2025**, 16, 1608958, doi:10.3389/fpls.2025.1608958.
49. White, T.J.; Bruns, T.; Lee, S.; Taylor, J. Amplification and Direct Sequencing of Fungal Ribosomal RNA Genes for Phylogenetics. *PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications* **1990**, 18, 315–322.
50. Alves, A.; Crous, P.W.; Correia, A.; Phillips, A.J.L. Morphological and Molecular Data Reveal Cryptic Speciation in *Lasiodiplodia Theobromae*. *Fungal diversity* **2008**, 28, 1–13.
51. Travadon, R.; Lawrence, D.P.; Rooney-Latham, S.; Gubler, W.D.; Wilcox, W.F.; Rolshausen, P.E.; Baumgartner, K. *Cadophora* Species Associated with Wood-Decay of Grapevine in North America. *Fungal biology* **2015**, 119, 53–66.
52. Crous, P.W.; Groenewald, J.Z.; Groenewald, M.; Caldwell, P.; Braun, U.; Harrington, T.C. Species of *Cercospora* Associated with Grey Leaf Spot of Maize. *Studies in Mycology* **2006**, 55, 189–197.
53. Weir, B.S.; Johnston, P.R.; Damm, U. The *Colletotrichum Gloeosporioides* Species Complex. *Studies in mycology* **2012**, 73, 115–180.
54. Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Suleski, M.; Sanderford, M.; Sharma, S.; Tamura, K. MEGA12: Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis Version 12 for Adaptive and Green Computing. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **2024**, 41, msae263.
55. Thompson, J.D.; Higgins, D.G.; Gibson, T.J. CLUSTAL W: Improving the Sensitivity of Progressive Multiple Sequence Alignment through Sequence Weighting, Position-Specific Gap Penalties and Weight Matrix Choice. *Nucleic acids research* **1994**, 22, 4673–4680.
56. Tamura, K.; Nei, M. Estimation of the Number of Nucleotide Substitutions in the Control Region of Mitochondrial DNA in Humans and Chimpanzees. *Molecular biology and evolution* **1993**, 10, 512–526.
57. Felsenstein, J. Confidence Limits on Phylogenies: An Approach Using the Bootstrap. *evolution* **1985**, 39, 783–791.
58. Saitou, N.; Nei, M. The Neighbor-Joining Method: A New Method for Reconstructing Phylogenetic Trees. *Molecular biology and evolution* **1987**, 4, 406–425.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.