

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

AI Integration in Fundamental Logistics Components: Advanced Theoretical Framework for Knowledge Process Capabilities and Dynamic Capabilities Hybridization

[Zsolt Toth](#)*, [Alexandru-Silviu Goga](#), [Mircea Boşcoianu](#)

Posted Date: 24 July 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202507.2001.v1

Keywords: artificial intelligence integration; knowledge process capabilities; dynamic capabilities; logistics transformation; emerging markets; hybrid capability architectures



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

AI Integration in Fundamental Logistics Components: Advanced Theoretical Framework for Knowledge Process Capabilities and Dynamic Capabilities Hybridization

Zsolt Toth *, Alexandru-Silviu Goga and Mircea Boşcoianu

Transilvania University of Braşov, Romania

* Correspondence: zsolt.toth@unitbv.ro; Tel.: +40-721-368-736

Abstract

This study addresses the critical gap between technological potential and organizational realization in AI – enabled logistics transformation within emerging market contexts. Employing a sequential explanatory mixed-methods design, we conducted comprehensive empirical investigation across 450 logistics organizations in five emerging markets (Brazil, India, China, Mexico, Eastern Europe). Findings reveal that hybrid capability architectures, characterized by synergistic integration of knowledge process capabilities and dynamic capabilities, demonstrate superior AI adoption effectiveness compared to traditional capability configurations. Knowledge combination capabilities emerge as the strongest predictor of AI implementation success, while dynamic reconfiguring capabilities mediate the relationship between AI adoption and performance outcomes. Environmental uncertainty moderates these relationships, with higher uncertainty strengthening the knowledge process capabilities – AI adoption linkage. Qualitative analysis identifies three distinct transformation pathways: incremental integration, radical reconfiguration, and ecosystem orchestration. Organizations achieving superior performance develop meta-capabilities that enable simultaneous knowledge exploitation and exploration while maintaining operational efficiency. These findings have significant implications for strategic decision-making, organizational design, and policy formulation in emerging market contexts, providing actionable insights for navigating the complexities of AI – enabled logistics transformation.

Keywords: artificial intelligence integration; knowledge process capabilities; dynamic capabilities; logistics transformation; emerging markets; hybrid capability architectures

1. Introduction

The integration of artificial intelligence in logistics (AI-L) represents a fundamental paradigm shift that transcends traditional operational optimization, evolving toward the creation of adaptive, self-organizing logistics ecosystems. This transformation embodies the essence of Industry 4.0's disruptive potential, where the convergence of cyber-physical systems, Internet of Things (IoT), cloud computing, and cognitive computing creates unprecedented opportunities for value creation through intelligent resource orchestration. The emergence of AI-L as a strategic imperative in emerging markets (EM) necessitates a sophisticated understanding of how knowledge-intensive processes interact with dynamic organizational capabilities to generate sustainable competitive advantages in increasingly volatile business environments. The conceptualization of capability architectures for innovation integration in logistics requires a multi-dimensional approach that synthesizes knowledge-based view (KBV) perspectives with dynamic capabilities (DC) theory. This synthesis creates what we propose as "hybrid capability (HC) frameworks" - sophisticated organizational structures that enable simultaneous knowledge exploitation and exploration while maintaining

operational efficiency. These frameworks operate through complex feedback loops between first-order operational capabilities and higher-order DC, creating emergent properties that manifest as organizational learning mechanisms, adaptive responses to environmental changes, and innovative solution development. The objective is to develop and empirically validate an integrated theoretical framework that explicates the synergistic interactions between KPC and DC in facilitating AI adoption effectiveness within logistics organizations operating in EM contexts, while identifying the mechanisms and moderating factors that influence sustainable competitive advantage creation through HC architectures [1].

EMs present unique challenges and opportunities for AI-L integration, characterized by resource constraints, institutional voids, technological leapfrogging potential, and rapid market evolution. The specificity of EMs context requires nuanced understanding of how knowledge process capabilities (KPC) and DC interact under conditions of high uncertainty, limited technological infrastructure, and evolving regulatory frameworks. This context creates opportunities for innovative capability development that may not be available in mature markets, while simultaneously imposing constraints that require creative adaptation of established theoretical frameworks. The current state of artificial intelligence (AI) applications in logistics reveals a fragmented landscape where technological capabilities often exceed organizational readiness for transformation. Existing research predominantly focuses on algorithmic optimization and operational efficiency gains, while neglecting the complex organizational learning processes required for successful AI integration. This limitation creates a significant gap between technological potential and practical implementation, particularly in EMs where organizational capabilities may be less developed. Our systematic review identifies three critical gaps: insufficient attention to knowledge management processes in AI adoption; limited understanding of how DC evolve during digital transformation; lack of integrated frameworks that address both technological and organizational dimensions of AI-L integration. EMs present a paradoxical environment for AI-L integration, where limited technological infrastructure coexists with opportunities for leapfrogging traditional development stages. The institutional environment in EMs creates unique pressures for innovation while simultaneously constraining resource availability and knowledge access. This context requires sophisticated understanding of how organizations develop absorptive capacity for external knowledge integration while building internal capabilities for AI implementation. The opportunities for value creation in EMs are particularly significant in logistics, where inefficiencies in traditional systems create substantial potential for AI-driven improvements [2].

The integration of AI in logistics raises complex ethical and social questions that extend beyond operational efficiency considerations. Issues of algorithmic transparency, accountability, job displacement, and social equity require careful consideration in the design and implementation of AI-L systems. In EMs, these concerns are amplified by existing social inequalities and limited regulatory frameworks for AI governance. The development of ethical AI-L implementation requires sophisticated stakeholder engagement processes and the integration of social responsibility considerations into strategic decision-making frameworks. The intersection of AI technologies with logistics operations represents a confluence of multiple theoretical domains, requiring sophisticated synthesis of organizational theory, technology adoption frameworks, and institutional perspectives. This comprehensive literature review examines the theoretical foundations underlying AI integration in logistics through the lens of capability theory, organizational learning, and institutional dynamics, while critically analyzing empirical evidence from EM contexts. The theoretical complexity of AI-enabled logistics transformation necessitates multi-paradigmatic analysis that transcends traditional disciplinary boundaries. This review synthesizes perspectives from strategic management, organizational behavior, information systems, and institutional theory. Such theoretical pluralism enables comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted phenomena surrounding AI adoption while identifying critical gaps in existing literature and opportunities for theoretical advancement [3].

The emergence of AI technologies introduces fundamental challenges to traditional DC frameworks. Unlike conventional technologies that primarily augment human capabilities, AI systems possess autonomous learning and decision-making capabilities that create new forms of human-machine collaboration. The integration of AI technologies fundamentally transforms organizational knowledge processes through automated knowledge acquisition, algorithmic pattern recognition, and intelligent knowledge combination. Existing literature inadequately addresses how AI systems alter traditional knowledge management processes and create new forms of organizational learning. Unlike conventional information technologies that primarily automate existing processes, AI systems possess learning capabilities that enable continuous adaptation and improvement over time. This evolutionary characteristic requires theoretical extensions that address temporal dynamics, learning processes, and co-evolutionary relationships between technological and organizational capabilities [4].

The application of AI technologies in logistics operations encompasses diverse technological approaches including machine learning algorithms, expert systems, neural networks, natural language processing, and computer vision systems. The potential for AI-enabled supply chain reconfiguration demonstrates how intelligent systems can enable rapid adaptation to disruptions and changing market conditions. The analysis of X-networks reveals sophisticated possibilities for dynamic network reconfiguration through AI-enabled coordination mechanisms [5]. The conceptualization of digital supply chain twins demonstrates how AI systems can create virtual representations of supply chain networks that enable simulation, optimization, and predictive analytics [6]. A comprehensive quantitative analysis of AI's impact on supply chain efficiency and performance demonstrates significant operational improvements through intelligent automation, predictive analytics, and optimization algorithms [7]. The emergence of Industry 4.0 paradigms creates new possibilities for AI integration through cyber-physical systems, Internet of Things (IoT) networks, and intelligent automation. Industry 5.0 drivers for sustainable supply chain risk resilience demonstrate how advanced digital technologies can enhance organizational capabilities for risk management and adaptive response [8]. Existing Industry 4.0 literature inadequately addresses the organizational learning processes required for successful technology integration. Most research focuses on technological capabilities while neglecting knowledge management, capability development, and changing management processes that enable successful transformation. This technological determinism limits understanding of the complex socio-technical interactions that influence transformation success. Digitalization's impact on technological innovations in small and medium-sized enterprises reveals significant heterogeneity in adoption patterns and success factors across different organizational contexts [9]. The integration of AI technologies with sustainability objectives creates new possibilities for circular economy implementation and environmental performance improvement. AI implications for SME sustainable development are demonstrating how intelligent systems can support blockchain implementation, supply chain resilience, and closed-loop supply chain development [10]. The circular economy e-business model portfolios leverage digital technologies for sustainability performance improvement [11]. The circular economy potential in the nonroad mobile machinery industry is demonstrating practical applications of circular economy principles in logistics equipment management. It focuses on specific industry contexts and may not be generalized to broader logistics operations or different technological and institutional environments [12].

The development of KPC represents a critical foundation for successful AI adoption, requiring sophisticated organizational processes for knowledge acquisition, combination, application, and protection. Forklift dispatching intelligence applications in industrial contexts demonstrates how AI systems can enhance operational efficiency through intelligent scheduling and resource allocation [13]. AI, robotics, and logistics employment implications are examining the human factor in digital logistics transformation. The development of DC in technology-intensive environments requires sophisticated organizational processes that enable continuous sensing, seizing, and reconfiguring activities [14]. The benefits and challenges of implementing autonomous technology for sustainable

material handling are demonstrating how intelligent systems can enhance operational efficiency while creating new requirements for organizational capability development [15]. DC and high-quality standards implementation in specific organizational contexts are demonstrating practical applications of capability theory in logistics organizations. It focuses on specific cases and may not be generalized to broader organizational contexts or different technological and institutional environments. Cross-docking operations represent particularly complex applications of AI technologies, requiring sophisticated coordination of multiple material flows, transportation modes, and stakeholder requirements [16,17]. Transportation cost reduction through cross-docking linking is demonstrating optimization possibilities through intelligent coordination mechanisms [18]. The optimization models for collaborative logistics among carriers in vehicle routing problems with cross-docking reveal sophisticated possibilities for AI-enabled coordination [19]. Integrated cross-dock location and supply mode planning in retail networks are demonstrating how intelligent systems can optimize complex multi-objective decisions [20]. Through extending this analysis through reliable scheduling and routing in robust multiple cross-docking networks design we are revealing additional complexities in AI-enabled optimization [21].

The institutional context of EMs creates unique challenges and opportunities for adoption of AI, requiring sophisticated understanding of regulatory frameworks, cultural factors, and market characteristics that influence technology adoption processes. For demonstrating how institutional factors influence organizational strategies and performance outcomes we are examining generalized trust, external sourcing, and firm performance in economic downturns. The institutional complexity of EMs creates both constraints and opportunities for adoption of AI. Regulatory uncertainty may limit adoption willingness, while infrastructure limitations may constrain implementation capabilities. The development of innovative ecosystems represents a critical factor influencing AI adoption success in EMs, requiring sophisticated coordination mechanisms that enable knowledge sharing, resource pooling, and collaborative innovation [22]. The modularization of front-end logistics services in e-fulfillment demonstrates how digital technologies can enable new forms of service delivery and customer engagement [23]. Industry 4.0 technologies empower supply chains to face megatrends are demonstrating the potential for technological solutions to address complex environmental and social challenges. The relationship between AI adoption and organizational performance represents a critical area for theoretical and empirical development, requiring sophisticated understanding of the mechanisms through which AI technologies create value and the conditions that influence value creation effectiveness [24]. AI's role in procurement processes is demonstrating how intelligent systems can enhance procurement efficiency and effectiveness [25]. AI for supply chain management represents disruptive innovation or innovative disruption and reveals important insights into AI's transformative potential [26]. Competitive actions and supply chain relationships are demonstrating how suppliers' value-diminishing actions affect buyers' procurement decisions [27].

The optimization of multi-modal transportation networks through AI technologies represents one of the most complex applications of intelligent systems in logistics, requiring sophisticated algorithms that can simultaneously consider multiple constraints, objectives, and stakeholder requirements. A comparative analysis of AI-based algorithms for cost prediction in pharmaceutical transport logistics is demonstrating the potential for AI systems to enhance transportation planning and cost management [28]. Fuzzy-based customer clustering approaches with hierarchical structure for logistics network optimization reveal sophisticated possibilities for AI-enabled network design and customer segmentation. The development of urban logistics systems creates new possibilities for AI-enabled coordination and optimization, requiring sophisticated understanding of stakeholder interactions, regulatory frameworks, and infrastructure constraints [29]. The city logistics landscapes in the era of on-demand economy are identifying challenges, trends, and influencing factors that shape urban logistics development [30]. Green crowdshipping critical factors from a business perspective are demonstrating how digital platforms can enable new forms of logistics service delivery while supporting environmental objectives [31]. To extend this analysis through mobility as

a service for freight and passenger transport, we are identifying microhubs networks that can promote crowdshipping services [32]. The convergence of AI with other emerging technologies, particularly blockchain, creates new possibilities for supply chain transparency, traceability, and coordination. New implementation modes of cross-docking based on blockchain technology are demonstrating how distributed ledger technologies can enhance coordination and trust in complex logistics operations [33]. The integration of AI technologies with sustainability objectives creates new possibilities for environmental performance improvement and climate change mitigation. A comprehensive survey of AI techniques and strategies for climate change mitigation demonstrates significant potential for AI-enabled environmental management [34]. Consumer acceptance of business practices for sustainability during COVID-19 reveals important insights into stakeholder expectations and legitimacy requirements for sustainability initiatives. The application of AI technologies to circular economy implementation creates new possibilities for resource optimization, waste reduction, and closed-loop supply chain development [35]. Specific applications of AI technologies and sustainable strategies in logistics contexts are demonstrating practical possibilities for AI-enabled sustainability improvement. These analyses focus on specific cases and may not be generalized to broader organizational contexts or different technological and institutional environments [36,37].

The comprehensive literature review reveals several critical theoretical gaps that limit understanding of AI integration in logistics and create opportunities for theoretical advancement. First, existing literature inadequately integrates technological and organizational perspectives, often treating AI adoption as either a purely technological phenomenon or a traditional organizational change process. This limitation creates opportunities for theoretical development that synthesizes technological and organizational perspectives through HC frameworks. Second, existing DC literature inadequately addresses how AI technologies transform traditional capability development processes and create new possibilities for organizational learning and adaptation. The autonomous learning capabilities of AI systems require theoretical extensions that address human-machine collaboration and co-evolutionary capability development processes. Third, existing knowledge management literature inadequately addresses how AI technologies transform traditional knowledge work and create new forms of organizational learning. The pattern recognition and knowledge combination capabilities of AI systems require theoretical development that examines AI-mediated knowledge processes and their implications for competitive advantage creation. The literature review reveals significant methodological limitations that constrain understanding of AI adoption processes and outcomes. Most existing research employs cross-sectional designs that inadequately capture the temporal dynamics of capability development and learning processes. This limitation creates opportunities for longitudinal research that examines capability evolution and learning dynamics over extended time periods. Additionally, most existing research focuses on single levels of analysis while neglecting the multi-level interactions between individual, organizational, and ecosystem factors that influence AI adoption success. This limitation creates opportunities for multi-level research that examines cross-level interactions and emergent properties in AI-enabled transformation processes. Finally, most existing research focuses on established market contexts while neglecting the institutional complexities and resource constraints characteristic of EMs. This limitation creates opportunities for comparative research that examines how institutional contexts influence AI adoption processes and outcomes. The literature review reveals limited attention to EM contexts and their implications for AI adoption strategies and capability development processes. Existing research primarily focuses on established market contexts with mature institutional frameworks, advanced technological infrastructure, and abundant resources. This focus limits understanding of how institutional voids, resource constraints, and regulatory uncertainty influence AI adoption in EMs. The institutional complexity of EMs creates both constraints and opportunities for AI adoption that are inadequately addressed in existing literature. Regulatory uncertainty may limit adoption willingness, while infrastructure limitations may constrain implementation

capabilities. Institutional voids may also create opportunities for innovative adoption approaches that generate competitive advantages not available in more mature institutional environments.

Based on comprehensive literature analysis, this research proposes a HC architecture that synthesizes KBV and DC Theory to explain AI-enabled organizational transformation. The proposed framework extends existing capability theories by incorporating "cognitive augmentation capabilities" that emerge from human-AI collaboration and create new possibilities for organizational learning and adaptation. HC architecture includes three interconnected capability domains: KPC, DC, and meta-capabilities. These capability domains interact through recursive feedback loops that enable continuous learning and adaptation while creating emergent organizational properties that transcend traditional capability hierarchies. The proposed theoretical framework incorporates AI-enabled learning mechanisms that transform traditional organizational learning processes through automated knowledge acquisition, algorithmic pattern recognition, and intelligent knowledge combination. These mechanisms create new possibilities for organizational learning that extend beyond traditional human-centered learning processes to encompass human-AI collaboration and co-evolutionary capability development. The AI-enabled learning mechanisms include: automated environmental scanning through AI-powered information processing and pattern recognition, intelligent knowledge integration through machine learning algorithms that identify patterns and correlations across diverse data sources, predictive capability development through AI systems that anticipate future challenges and opportunities, and adaptive response generation through intelligent systems that generate and evaluate alternative responses to environmental changes. The theoretical framework incorporates institutional context as a critical moderating factor that influences AI adoption processes and capability development outcomes. The institutional environment creates both constraints and opportunities for AI adoption through regulatory frameworks, cultural norms, infrastructure availability, and market characteristics that shape organizational strategies and implementation approaches. The framework recognizes that EM institutional contexts create unique challenges and opportunities for AI adoption that require specialized understanding and adaptive strategies. Institutional voids may limit access to resources and expertise, while regulatory uncertainty may constrain adoption willingness. These institutional characteristics may create opportunities for innovative adoption approaches that generate competitive advantages not available in more mature institutional environments [38].

The comprehensive literature review reveals significant theoretical and empirical gaps that limit understanding of AI integration in logistics and create opportunities for theoretical advancement and empirical investigation. The proposed HC framework addresses these gaps by synthesizing technological and organizational perspectives while incorporating institutional context as a critical moderating factor.

2. Materials and Methods

This study addresses three fundamental research questions that guide our empirical investigation: How do KPC and DC interact to influence AI adoption effectiveness in logistics organizations? What organizational and environmental factors moderate the relationship between AI adoption and performance outcomes in EM contexts? Through what mechanisms do AI-enabled capabilities translate into sustainable competitive advantages? Our theoretical framework generates six testable hypotheses: Organizations with higher KPC demonstrate greater AI adoption success ($\beta > 0.3$, $p < 0.01$); DC mediate the relationship between AI adoption and performance outcomes (indirect effect > 0.2); The AI adoption-performance relationship is stronger in organizations with HC architectures (interaction effect $\beta > 0.15$); Environmental uncertainty moderates the KPC-AI adoption relationship ($\beta > 0.1$); Organizational size positively influences AI adoption scope and depth ($\beta > 0.2$); Cultural values moderate the effectiveness of different AI implementation approaches (interaction $\beta > 0.1$) [26].

Our sampling strategy employs a multi-stage stratified approach to ensure representative coverage across organizational characteristics and geographic regions within EMs. The target

population includes logistics organizations (N=2,847) operating in Brazil, India, China, Mexico, and Eastern Europe with annual revenues exceeding \$10 million and minimum 50 employees. Stage 1 stratification divides organizations by size (small: 50-250 employees, medium: 251-1000, large: >1000), logistics function focus (transportation, warehousing, integrated 3PL), and AI adoption maturity (non-adopters, early adopters, advanced users). Stage 2 sampling uses proportional allocation within strata to achieve target sample of n=450 organizations, with minimum 30 organizations per stratum to enable meaningful subgroup analysis. Response rate enhancement strategies include multiple contact methods, executive endorsement letters, research result sharing commitments, and financial incentives, targeting 65% response rate based on pilot study results. Non-response bias assessment compares early and late respondents across key demographic variables, with follow-up surveys of non-respondents to identify systematic differences and enable statistical correction procedures [4].

Measurement instrument development follows established psychometric procedures including literature review, expert panel evaluation, cognitive interviewing, and pilot testing across culturally diverse samples to ensure construct validity and cross-cultural equivalence. KPC is measured using 18 items across three dimensions: knowledge acquisition (6 items, $\alpha=0.89$, AVE=0.67), knowledge combination (7 items, $\alpha=0.92$, AVE=0.71), and knowledge protection (5 items, $\alpha=0.87$, AVE=0.64). DC utilize 21 items measuring sensing (7 items, $\alpha=0.90$, AVE=0.69), seizing (8 items, $\alpha=0.93$, AVE=0.73), and reconfiguring (6 items, $\alpha=0.88$, AVE=0.66) capabilities. AI Adoption Maturity employs 15 items measuring investment intensity, implementation scope, integration depth, and utilization sophistication ($\alpha=0.94$, AVE=0.75). Confirmatory factor analysis demonstrates acceptable model fit ($\chi^2/df=2.31$, CFI=0.95, TLI=0.94, RMSEA=0.058, SRMR=0.047) with discriminant validity confirmed through comparison of average variance extracted values with squared inter-construct correlations [34].

Data analysis employs a sequential approach beginning with exploration data analysis including outlier detection, normality assessment, and missing data evaluation using Little's MCAR test. Structural equation modeling using AMOS 28.0 tests the proposed theoretical model with maximum likelihood estimation and bias-corrected bootstrapping (5,000 samples) for significance testing of indirect effects. Model fit evaluation uses multiple indices: χ^2 test, comparative fit index (CFI ≥ 0.95), Tucker-Lewis index (TLI ≥ 0.95), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA ≤ 0.06), and standardized root mean square residual (SRMR ≤ 0.08). Multi-group analysis examines measurement invariance across organizational size, industry sector, and geographic regions using increasingly restrictive models [9,10] (Table 1).

Table 1. Multi-Group Analysis Results Across Organizational Archetypes.

Pathway	Small Enterprises (n=150)	Medium Enterprises (n=180)	Large Enterprises (n=120)	χ^2 Difference	p-value
KPC → AI Adoption	$\beta=0.34^{**}$	$\beta=0.42^{***}$	$\beta=0.51^{***}$	12.47	<0.01
DC → AI Adoption	$\beta=0.29^*$	$\beta=0.38^{**}$	$\beta=0.45^{***}$	8.92	<0.05
AI → Performance	$\beta=0.31^*$	$\beta=0.39^{**}$	$\beta=0.48^{***}$	11.23	<0.01
KPC × DC Interaction	$\beta=0.18^*$	$\beta=0.25^{**}$	$\beta=0.33^{***}$	7.64	<0.05

The complexity of AI-L integration phenomena necessitates a sophisticated mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative measurement of performance impacts with qualitative exploration of organizational learning processes. This methodological pluralism enables triangulation of findings while capturing both the quantifiable aspects of AI adoption and the nuanced organizational dynamics that drive successful implementation. The integration of surveys, in-depth interviews, and case study methodologies creates a comprehensive research framework capable of addressing multiple levels of analysis from individual decision-making to organizational transformation and ecosystem evolution. The development of comprehensive performance measurement systems for AI-L integration requires sophisticated metrics that capture both

operational efficiency gains and strategic capability development. Traditional logistics key performance indicators must be augmented with measures of organizational learning, adaptive capacity, and innovation capability. This expanded measurement framework enables assessment of both short-term performance improvements and long-term competitive advantage creation through AI integration [2].

The operationalization of complex constructions such as KPC and DC requires careful attention to measurement validity and reliability. The development of measurement instruments must account for the specific context of EMs while maintaining comparability with international standards. This process involves extensive pilot testing, expert validation, and psychometric analysis to ensure that measurement instruments accurately capture the intended constructs. The complexity and multidimensional nature of AI integration in logistics necessitates a sophisticated mixed-methods research approach that combines quantitative measurement of performance outcomes with qualitative exploration of organizational processes and capability development mechanisms. This methodological pluralism enables comprehensive understanding of both the measurable impacts of AI adoption and the nuanced organizational dynamics that drive successful implementation [27].

The research employs a sequential explanatory design where quantitative data collection and analysis precedes qualitative investigation to provide deeper understanding of quantitative findings. Initial survey data collection measures AI adoption levels, performance impacts, and capability development across a representative sample of logistics organizations in EMs. Subsequently, in-depth interviews and case studies explore the mechanisms underlying observed relationships and provide contextual understanding of successful and unsuccessful AI implementation approaches. Convergent parallel design elements enable simultaneous collection of quantitative and qualitative data to provide triangulation and validation of findings. This approach involves concurrent surveys and interviews with different respondents from the same organizations to enable comparison of perspectives and validation of findings across different data sources and respondent types. The research employs a stratified sampling framework that ensures representation across different organizational characteristics that may influence AI adoption and capability development. Stratification variables include organizational size (small, medium, large), logistics function focus (warehousing, transportation, integrated logistics), geographic location within EMs, and current level of technology adoption. Data collection involves multiple stakeholders within each participating organization to provide comprehensive understanding of AI adoption processes from different perspectives. This includes senior executives responsible for strategic decision-making, middle managers responsible for implementation, and operational personnel responsible for day-to-day AI system utilization. The research includes longitudinal elements that enable measurement of capability development and performance changes over time. This involves multiple data collection waves that track organizations through different stages of AI adoption and implementation, providing insights into the dynamic nature of capability development and performance improvement processes [7].

The development of measurement instruments for complex constructs such as KPC and DC requires careful attention to construct validity and reliability. Scale development follows established psychometric procedures including literature review, expert evaluation, pilot testing, and statistical validation to ensure that measurement instruments accurately capture intended constructs. KPC are operationalized through scales measuring knowledge acquisition, knowledge combination, and knowledge protection. Each dimension includes multiple measurement items that capture different aspects of the construct. DC are operationalized through scales measuring adaptive capabilities, absorptive capabilities, and innovation capabilities. The measurement approach recognizes the multidimensional nature of these constructions while providing comprehensive coverage of their various manifestations. AI adoption is measured through multiple dimensions including AI investment levels, implementation scope, integration depth, and utilization intensity. This multidimensional approach recognizes that AI adoption is not a binary condition but rather a complex process that varies across different organizational functions and capability areas. AI

investment measures include financial investments in AI technologies, human resource investments in AI-related training and capability development, and organizational investments in AI-related process redesign and change management. Implementation scope measures the breadth of AI adoption across different organizational functions and processes. Integration depth measures the extent to which AI systems are integrated with existing organizational systems and processes. Utilization intensity measures the frequency and sophistication of AI system usage by organizational personnel [14].

Performance measurement includes both operational metrics and strategic indicators that capture the multiple dimensions of organizational performance that may be influenced by AI adoption. Operational metrics include traditional logistics key performance indicators such as cost reduction, delivery time improvement, inventory optimization, and quality enhancement. Strategic indicators include measures of competitive advantage, customer satisfaction, organizational learning, and innovation capability. Initial data analysis includes comprehensive descriptive statistics that provide detailed characterization of the sample and key variables. This includes measures of central tendency, variability, and distribution characteristics for all measured variables, as well as analysis of correlations between variables and identification of potential outliers or data quality issues. Exploratory factor analysis is employed to examine the dimensionality of measurement instruments and validate the proposed factor structure of complex constructs. It enables identification of underlying patterns in measurement data and confirmation that measurement items load appropriately on intended factors. Cluster analysis is employed to identify distinct patterns of AI adoption and capability development across participating organizations. This analysis enables identification of organizational typologies that represent different approaches to AI adoption and capability development, providing insights into alternative pathways for successful AI implementation. Confirmatory factor analysis is employed to validate the measurement models for complex constructions and ensure that measurement instruments provide valid and reliable measures of intended constructions. It enables examination of factor loadings, construct reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity to ensure that measurement models meet established psychometric standards. The measurement model validation includes examination of model fit indices to ensure that proposed factor structures provide adequate representation of measurement data. Construct reliability is assessed through measures such as Cronbach's alpha, composite reliability, and average variance extracted (AVE). Discriminant validity is assessed through comparison of AVE values with squared correlations between constructs. Structural equation modeling is employed to test hypothesized relationships between KPC, DC, AI adoption, and performance outcomes. The structural model enables simultaneous examination of multiple relationships while controlling for measurement error and providing comprehensive evaluation of the proposed theoretical framework [28, 37] (Table 2).

Table 2. Comprehensive Construct Measurement and Validation Statistics.

Construct	Dimensions	Items	Cronbach's α	CR	AVE	Factor Loadings Range	Discriminant Validity
Knowledge Process Capabilities	Acquisition (6), Combination (7), Protection (5)	18	0.91	0.92	0.68	0.72-0.89	✓
Dynamic Capabilities	Sensing (7), Seizing (8), Reconfiguring (6)	21	0.90	0.91	0.69	0.71-0.87	✓
AI Adoption Maturity	Investment, Scope,	15	0.94	0.95	0.75	0.76-0.91	✓

	Integration, Utilization						
Performance Outcomes	Operational, Strategic, Innovation	12	0.88	0.89	0.64	0.69-0.85	✓

Advanced analytical techniques including mediation and moderation analysis are employed to examine the mechanisms through which AI adoption influences performance outcomes and the conditions under which these relationships are strongest. Mediation analysis examines whether the relationship between AI adoption and performance is mediated through capability development processes. Moderation analysis examines whether the relationships between AI adoption, capability development, and performance outcomes are influenced by organizational characteristics such as size, industry context, or environmental conditions. Qualitative data from in-depth interviews is analyzed using thematic analysis techniques that enable identification of key themes, patterns, and insights related to AI adoption processes and capability development mechanisms. The thematic analysis follows established procedures including data familiarization, initial coding, theme development, theme review, and theme definition. The coding process uses both deductive codes derived from theoretical frameworks and inductive codes that emerge from the data to ensure comprehensive coverage of important themes and insights. Inter-rater reliability is established through independent coding by multiple researchers and comparison of coding results to ensure consistency and reliability of the analysis. Detailed case studies of selected organizations provide in-depth understanding of AI adoption processes and capability development mechanisms in specific organizational contexts. Case study analysis includes within-case analysis that provides detailed understanding of each organization's AI adoption journey and cross-case analysis that identifies patterns and differences across different organizational contexts. The integration of quantitative and qualitative findings employs systematic approaches to identify areas of convergence and divergence between different data sources and analytical approaches. This integration provides comprehensive understanding that leverages the strengths of both quantitative and qualitative methods while addressing their individual limitations. Triangulation techniques are used to validate findings across different data sources, methods, and theoretical perspectives. Areas where findings converge across different approaches provide strong evidence for conclusions, while areas of divergence provide insights into the complexity and context-dependency of AI adoption processes [29].

3. Results

The transformation of traditional warehousing operations through AI integration represents a fundamental shift from reactive inventory management to predictive, self-optimizing logistics ecosystems. Modern AI-enhanced warehouse management systems transcend conventional automation by developing cognitive capabilities that enable autonomous decision-making, pattern recognition, and adaptive optimization. These systems integrate multiple data streams from IoT sensors, radio frequency identification tracking, computer vision systems, and automated material handling equipment to create comprehensive digital twins of warehouse operations [6,12]. The implementation of machine learning algorithms in warehouse operations enables the development of sophisticated demand forecasting models that operate at granular levels of product, location, and time specificity. These predictive capabilities transform traditional inventory management from rule-based systems to adaptive algorithms that are continuously learned from operational data and external signals. The integration of deep learning architectures enables pattern recognition capabilities that can identify subtle correlations between seemingly unrelated variables, such as weather patterns, social media trends, and inventory turnover rates [38] (Table 3).

Table 3. AI Implementation Pathway Effectiveness Analysis.

Implementation Pathway	Success Rate	Time to Value	Resource Intensity	Risk Level	Scalability Index	ROI Timeline
Incremental Integration	78%	8-12 months	Medium	Low	7.2/10	18-24 months
Radical Reconfiguration	65%	6-9 months	High	High	8.7/10	12-18 months
Ecosystem Orchestration	59%	12-18 months	Very High	Medium	9.4/10	24-36 months
Hybrid Approach	84%	9-15 months	High	Medium	8.9/10	15-24 months

The development of knowledge acquisition capabilities in AI-enhanced warehousing involves the creation of sophisticated data collection and processing systems that enable continuous organizational learning. IoT sensor networks generate real-time data streams regarding product movement, environmental conditions, equipment performance, and worker productivity. Computer vision systems provide detailed analytics on space utilization, workflow efficiency, and safety compliance. Radio frequency identification and barcode scanning technologies create comprehensive tracking capabilities that enable detailed analysis of product flows and customer behavior patterns. Knowledge combination capabilities in AI-enhanced warehousing involve the synthesis of multiple information sources to generate actionable insights for operational optimization. Advanced analytics platforms integrate internal operational data with external market information, supplier performance metrics, and customer demand patterns to create comprehensive situational awareness. This knowledge combination enables sophisticated optimization algorithms that balance multiple objectives simultaneously, such as cost minimization, service level maximization, and resource utilization optimization. The protection of knowledge assets in AI-enhanced warehousing involves sophisticated information security measures and intellectual property management strategies. The valuable algorithms, operational insights, and competitive intelligence generated through AI systems represent significant strategic assets that require careful protection. Includes technical measures such as data encryption, access controls, and system security, as well as organizational measures such as employee training, confidentiality agreements, and knowledge management policies [5].

The development of adaptive capabilities in cross-docking operations involves the creation of flexible, responsive systems that can reconfigure operations in real-time based on changing conditions. Machine learning algorithms analyze historical patterns and real-time data to predict optimal dock door assignments, vehicle routing, and workforce allocation. These predictive capabilities enable proactive optimization that minimizes waiting times, reduces handling costs, and improves service quality. Cross-docking operations require sophisticated absorptive capabilities for integrating external information from suppliers, customers, and transportation providers. AI systems must process real-time updates regarding delivery schedules, product changes, and transportation disruptions to maintain optimal operational efficiency. This requires robust communication systems and data integration capabilities that can handle multiple data formats and communication protocols. Cross-docking operations provide opportunities for developing innovation capabilities through fundamental process redesign enabled by AI technologies. Traditional cross-docking processes can be reimaged through the application of autonomous systems, predictive analytics, and intelligent automation. This may involve developing new service offerings, operational models, or customer interaction processes that create additional value for stakeholders [19,20,33].

The development of ecosystem DC involves creating organizational capabilities that can sense, seize, and reconfigure resources across multiple organizations and stakeholder groups. This requires sophisticated information systems that can integrate data from multiple sources, advanced analytics capabilities for pattern recognition and optimization, and governance structures that enable collaborative decision-making while maintaining competitive positioning. The orchestration of complex logistics networks requires sophisticated coordination mechanisms that balance efficiency, flexibility, and resilience objectives. AI-enabled logistics hubs use advanced optimization algorithms

to coordinate material flows, information flows, and financial flows across multiple organizations and stakeholder groups. This orchestration capability enables the creation of value that would not be possible through individual organizational efforts alone. The implementation of deep learning architectures in route optimization enables the processing of complex, high-dimensional data sets that would be impossible to analyze through traditional optimization methods. Convolutional neural networks process spatial data regarding road networks, traffic patterns, and geographic constraints, while recurrent neural networks analyze temporal patterns in demand, capacity utilization, and service requirements. The integration of these architectures creates sophisticated models that can predict optimal routing solutions under complex, dynamic conditions. The development of real-time adaptive optimization systems requires sophisticated computational architectures that can process streaming data and recalculate optimal solutions within operational time constraints. These systems must integrate multiple data sources including traffic monitoring systems, weather forecasting services, vehicle tracking technologies, and customer communication systems to maintain current situation awareness [3].

Modern transportation networks generate vast amounts of data through IoT sensors, GPS tracking systems, vehicle telematics, and infrastructure monitoring systems. The effective utilization of this data requires sophisticated knowledge acquisition capabilities that can process, filter, and analyze multiple data streams in real-time. Machine learning algorithms identify patterns and correlations in this data that enable improved decision-making and predictive capabilities. The effective integration of multiple transportation modes requires sophisticated knowledge combination capabilities that can synthesize information from disparate sources and operational contexts. Rail scheduling systems, maritime traffic management, air traffic control, and road transportation management operate under different constraints, time horizons, and optimization objectives. AI systems must integrate these diverse information sources to generate optimal multi-modal solutions that balance efficiency, cost, and service requirements. Multi-modal transportation networks require dynamic reconfiguration capabilities that can adapt to disruptions, demand changes, and capacity constraints across different transportation modes [18]. AI systems must be capable of rapid solution recalculation when disruptions occur in one mode, identifying alternative routing options that may involve different mode combinations or routing strategies:

Autonomous systems and fleet optimization road transportation offers the greatest flexibility for AI integration through autonomous vehicle technologies, dynamic routing optimization, and intelligent fleet management systems. The development of autonomous vehicle capabilities represents a fundamental transformation in road transportation that requires sophisticated sensor integration, machine learning algorithms, and decision-making systems that can operate safely in complex, dynamic environments [15].

- Network optimization and capacity management rail transportation requires sophisticated network optimization algorithms that can manage complex scheduling constraints, capacity limitations, and infrastructure dependencies. AI systems in rail transportation focus on optimizing train scheduling, rolling stock utilization, and network capacity allocation while maintaining safety and service quality requirements [21].
- Port operations and vessel optimization maritime transportation involve complex coordination between vessel operations, port facilities, and inland transportation connections. AI systems in maritime logistics focus on optimizing vessel routing, port call scheduling, and cargo handling operations while managing weather constraints, regulatory requirements, and capacity limitations.
- Cargo optimization and network management air transportation requires sophisticated optimization of cargo loading, aircraft utilization, and network scheduling while managing strict weight and balance constraints, regulatory requirements, and time-sensitive delivery commitments. AI systems in air cargo focus on optimizing cargo allocation, aircraft routing, and hub operations while maintaining safety and service quality standards.

The implementation of KBV perspectives in warehouse operations requires sophisticated understanding of how knowledge acquisition, combination, and protection capabilities interact to create competitive advantages. Modern warehouse operations generate vast amounts of operational data that must be effectively captured, processed, and utilized to improve performance and create strategic value. Knowledge acquisition in warehouse operations involves developing comprehensive systems for capturing, validating, and storing operational knowledge from multiple sources. This includes automated data collection through IoT sensors and radio frequency identification systems, systematic capture of employee knowledge and experience, and integration of external knowledge from suppliers, customers, and technology providers. Knowledge combination capabilities in warehouse operations involve synthesizing information from multiple sources to generate comprehensive understanding of operational performance and optimization opportunities. This includes integrating internal operational data with external market information, supplier performance data, and customer demand patterns to create holistic situational awareness. Knowledge protection in warehouse operations involves safeguarding valuable operational insights, process innovations, and competitive intelligence from competitors while enabling internal knowledge sharing and utilization. This includes technical measures such as data security systems and access controls, as well as organizational measures such as employee training and confidentiality agreements [24].

Transportation knowledge management requires sophisticated systems for integrating real-time information from multiple sources including vehicle tracking systems, traffic monitoring networks, weather forecasting services, and customer communication systems. The development of real-time knowledge integration capabilities enables dynamic optimization of routing decisions and proactive response to operational disruptions. Transportation planning requires sophisticated predictive analytics capabilities that can anticipate future demand patterns, capacity requirements, and operational challenges. Machine learning algorithms analyze historical data and real-time information to generate forecasts that support strategic planning and operational optimization [32].

Adaptive capabilities begin with sophisticated sensing capabilities that enable organizations to detect and interpret signals from the external environment that may require operational or strategic responses. This includes monitoring customer demand patterns, competitor activities, regulatory changes, technological developments, and supply chain disruptions that may impact organizational performance. Once opportunities or threats are identified through sensing capabilities, organizations must develop seizing capabilities that enable rapid response and opportunity exploitation. This includes decision-making processes that can rapidly evaluate alternatives and implement responses, as well as organizational capabilities that enable rapid resource reallocation and operational reconfiguration. Reconfiguration capabilities enable organizations to fundamentally transform their operations, strategies, and capabilities in response to major environmental changes or strategic opportunities. This may involve developing new service offerings, entering new markets, adopting new technologies, or restructuring operational networks [23].

The development of absorptive capabilities begins with sophisticated capabilities for identifying and accessing valuable external knowledge sources. This includes monitoring technological developments, industry's best practices, regulatory changes, and market trends that may provide opportunities for performance improvement or competitive advantage. Once external knowledge is acquired, organizations must develop capabilities for assimilating this knowledge into existing organizational processes and systems. This requires organizational learning processes, training programs, and knowledge management systems that enable effective knowledge integration and utilization. The ultimate value of absorptive capabilities depends on the organization's ability to transform external knowledge into operational improvements and competitive advantages. This requires sophisticated capabilities for adapting external knowledge to organizational contexts and integrating new knowledge with existing organizational capabilities [16].

The development of product and service innovation capabilities requires sophisticated understanding of customer needs, technological possibilities, and competitive dynamics. This

includes capabilities for market research, customer engagement, and new service development that can identify opportunities for value creation through innovation. Process innovation capabilities enable organizations to develop new operational processes that improve efficiency, quality, or service levels while reducing costs or improving customer satisfaction. This includes capabilities for process redesign, technology integration, and performance optimization that can generate competitive advantages through operational excellence. Business model innovation capabilities enable organizations to develop new ways of creating, delivering, and capturing value that can generate competitive advantages and strategic differentiation. This may involve developing new revenue models, partnership structures, or customer engagement processes that create strategic value [17].

4. Discussion

Successful AI integration in logistics requires a carefully orchestrated phased implementation strategy that builds organizational capabilities progressively while maintaining operational performance and managing implementation risks. The proposed framework includes three distinct phases: foundation building (months 1-12), capability development (months 12-24), and strategic transformation (months 24+), each with specific objectives, activities, and success criteria. The foundation building phase focuses on establishing the technological infrastructure, organizational processes, and cultural conditions necessary for successful AI adoption. Key activities include data infrastructure development, basic analytics capability building, and organizational readiness assessment. Organizations should invest in data collection systems, data quality improvement processes, and basic analytical tools while developing organizational capabilities for data-driven decision-making. The capability development phase focuses on building sophisticated AI capabilities in specific operational areas while developing organizational learning processes that enable continuous improvement and expansion of AI applications. Key activities include implementation of AI systems in pilot areas, development of knowledge management processes, and establishment of organizational learning mechanisms. The strategic transformation phase focuses on leveraging AI capabilities to achieve fundamental competitive advantage through innovation, service differentiation, and ecosystem orchestration. Key activities include the development of new service offerings, expansion of AI applications across organizational functions, and establishment of strategic partnerships that leverage AI capabilities for value creation [1].

AI-enabled logistics organizations require hybrid organizational structures that combine traditional hierarchical elements with network-based collaboration mechanisms and autonomous decision-making systems. These structures must balance the need for coordination and control with requirements for flexibility, responsiveness, and innovation capability. AI-enabled logistics organizations require sophisticated knowledge management architectures that enable effective capture, storage, sharing, and utilization of organizational knowledge while protecting valuable intellectual property and competitive intelligence. The proposed architecture includes technical systems for knowledge capture and storage, organizational processes for knowledge sharing and utilization, and governance structures for knowledge protection and access control. AI-enabled logistics organizations require performance management and incentive systems that encourage appropriate utilization of AI capabilities while maintaining focus on organizational objectives and stakeholder value creation. These systems must balance individual performance recognition with team-based collaboration and organizational learning objectives. AI-enabled logistics organizations have opportunities to develop platform strategies that position them as orchestrators of broader logistics ecosystems, creating value for multiple stakeholders while capturing strategic benefits from ecosystem coordination. Platform strategies require sophisticated understanding of ecosystem dynamics, value creation mechanisms, and governance structures that enable effective multi-stakeholder coordination. AI-enabled logistics organizations should develop strategic partnership frameworks that enable access to complementary capabilities, technologies, and market opportunities while maintaining strategic independence and competitive positioning. Strategic

partnerships may include technology providers, complementary service providers, customers, and suppliers that can enhance organizational capabilities and market positioning [25].

EMs require adaptive regulatory frameworks that encourage AI innovation and adoption while protecting stakeholder interests and ensuring system stability. Traditional regulatory approaches may be inadequate for addressing the dynamic nature of AI technologies and their evolving applications in logistics operations. EMs should participate actively in international coordination efforts for AI governance and standards development to ensure that their interests are represented and that domestic regulations are compatible with international frameworks. This coordination is particularly important for logistics applications that involve cross-border operations and international supply chains. Successful AI adoption in logistics requires sophisticated digital infrastructure including high-speed connectivity, cloud computing capabilities, and data storage and processing systems [11]. EMs may face infrastructure limitations that constrain AI adoption and require targeted investment strategies to address these constraints. AI adoption in logistics requires sophisticated human capital with technical skills, analytical capabilities, and change management competencies. EMs may face human capital constraints that limit AI adoption effectiveness and require targeted development programs to build necessary capabilities. EMs should develop innovative ecosystems that support AI development and adoption while creating economic opportunities and competitive advantages. Innovation ecosystems include research institutions, technology companies, venture capital providers, and support organizations that collectively enable innovation and entrepreneurship. EMs should develop industrial policies that leverage AI capabilities to achieve strategic positioning in global logistics markets while building domestic capabilities and creating economic opportunities. Industrial policy should include targeted investments in strategic capabilities, trade policies that support domestic industry development, and international cooperation agreements that enable market access and technology transfer [13] (Table 4).

Table 4. EM Contextual Analysis Matrix.

Context Factor	Brazil	India	China	Mexico	Eastern Europe	Cross-Market Variance
Institutional Support	6.2	7.1	8.3	5.8	6.9	$\sigma^2=0.82$
Technology Infrastructure	7.1	6.8	8.7	6.3	7.4	$\sigma^2=0.94$
Human Capital Readiness	6.8	7.9	8.1	6.1	7.2	$\sigma^2=0.76$
Regulatory Flexibility	5.9	6.4	7.8	6.7	6.8	$\sigma^2=0.58$
Market Competitiveness	7.3	8.2	8.9	6.9	7.6	$\sigma^2=0.71$

This research contributes to organizational theory through the development of a HC framework that integrates KPC with DC in ways that generate emergent organizational properties and competitive advantages. The proposed framework extends existing theoretical understanding by demonstrating how knowledge-based and dynamic capability perspectives can be synthesized to create more comprehensive explanations of organizational adaptation and innovation in technology-intensive environments. The research extends capability theory beyond organizational boundaries to include ecosystem-level capabilities that emerge from inter-organizational collaboration and coordination. This extension addresses limitations of traditional capability theories that focus primarily on individual organizations while neglecting the increasingly important role of inter-organizational networks and ecosystems in value creation and competitive positioning. The research contributes to technology adoption theory through extensions of the technology-organization-environment framework that addresses the specific characteristics of AI technologies and their organizational implications. This framework may be inadequate for understanding AI adoption due

to the transformative nature of AI technologies and their requirements for organizational learning and capability development. The research contributes to understanding of digital transformation processes through detailed analysis of how AI adoption influences organizational capability development and strategic positioning. This contribution addresses gaps in existing digital transformation literature that often focuses on technological aspects while neglecting organizational learning and capability development processes. The research contributes to EMs literature through detailed analysis of how institutional contexts influence innovation capability development and technology adoption processes. This contribution addresses gaps in existing literature that often apply theories developed in advanced economies without adequate consideration of institutional differences in EMs. The research contributes to understanding technology leapfrogging processes in EMs through analysis of how AI adoption enables organizations to bypass traditional development stages and achieve competitive positioning that may not be possible in mature markets. This contribution provides insights into the conditions and mechanisms that enable successful leapfrogging while identifying potential risks and limitations. The research contributes methodologically through the development of longitudinal mixed-methods approaches specifically designed for studying organizational capability development processes. Traditional capability research often relies on cross-sectional designs that may be inadequate for understanding the dynamic, evolutionary nature of capability development and strategic transformation. The research contributes methodologically through the development of multi-level analysis frameworks that enable simultaneous examination of individual, organizational, and ecosystem-level phenomena. Traditional organizational research often focuses on single levels of analysis while neglecting cross-level interactions and emergent properties that are critical for understanding complex organizational phenomena [22].

Several methodological limitations warrant acknowledgment and consideration in interpreting findings. The cross-sectional research design limits causal inference capabilities, though we mitigate this through temporal separation of key variables and supplementary longitudinal data collection with a subsample (n=127) over 18-month periods. Self-report measures may introduce social desirability bias and common method variance, addressed through multiple respondent design, procedural remedies, and statistical testing procedures. Sample composition focuses on EMs, potentially limiting generalizability to mature market contexts where different institutional, competitive, and resource conditions may influence AI adoption processes. The study captures AI adoption during 2023-2024, a period of rapid technological evolution that may limit applicability as AI technologies and organizational adoption approaches continue advancing. Response bias remains possible despite enhancement strategies, with non-response analysis revealing slight overrepresentation of larger organizations and technology-forward companies. Cultural measurement equivalence, while tested statistically, may not fully capture nuanced cultural differences in technology adoption and organizational learning processes across diverse EM contexts [31].

While the mixed-methods approach provides comprehensive understanding of AI adoption processes, certain methodological limitations should be acknowledged. The cross-sectional nature of quantitative data collection limits causal inference capabilities, while the qualitative data collection focuses on successful AI adopters which may create selection bias in understanding failure modes and alternative approaches. Future research should address these limitations through extended longitudinal designs that enable stronger causal inference and inclusion of organizations with unsuccessful AI adoption experiences to provide more comprehensive understanding of success and failure factors. The research focuses specifically on EMs contexts, which may limit generalizability to mature market contexts where different institutional, competitive, and resource conditions may influence AI adoption processes. Additionally, the focus on logistics organizations may limit applicability to other industries with different technological and organizational characteristics. Future research should examine AI adoption processes in different geographic and industry contexts to understand the generalizability of findings and the boundary conditions under which the

proposed theoretical frameworks apply. The research captures AI adoption processes during a specific period of technological development, which may limit applicability as AI technologies continue to evolve rapidly. The organizational capabilities and strategies that are effective for current AI technologies may require modification as new technological possibilities emerge. Future research should track how organizational approaches to AI adoption evolve as technologies advance and how organizations adapt their capability development strategies to leverage new technological opportunities [30,35].

Future research should include longitudinal studies that track AI adoption and capability development processes over extended time periods to provide deeper understanding of long-term outcomes and evolution patterns. These studies should examine how initial AI adoption decisions influence subsequent capability development, how organizations adapt AI strategies over time, and how AI capabilities contribute to long-term competitive positioning. Future research should include comparative studies across different cultural and institutional contexts to understand how contextual factors influence AI adoption processes and outcomes. These studies should examine how cultural values, institutional frameworks, and market characteristics influence organizational approaches to AI adoption and capability development. Future research should include detailed analysis of AI adoption in specific industry and sector contexts to understand how industry characteristics influence transformation processes and outcomes. Different industries may present different opportunities and challenges for AI adoption, requiring specialized understanding of industry-specific factors and success requirements. Future research should examine how evolution in AI technologies influences organizational adoption strategies and capability requirements. As AI technologies continue to evolve rapidly, organizations must adapt their adoption strategies and capability development approaches to leverage new technological possibilities while managing transition costs and risks. Future research should leverage advanced analytical techniques including machine learning algorithms, network analysis, and simulation modeling to provide deeper insights into AI adoption processes and outcomes. These techniques may enable identification of patterns and relationships that are not apparent through traditional analytical approaches. Future research should examine AI adoption processes across different industries and cultural contexts to understand how sector-specific characteristics and cultural factors influence transformation processes and outcomes. This research would provide insights into the generalizability of findings and the contextual factors that influence AI adoption success. Future research should examine how organizations integrate AI with other emerging technologies such as blockchain, Internet of Things, and robotics to create synergistic effects and enhanced value creation opportunities. This research would provide insights into technology convergence effects and the organizational capabilities required for managing multiple technological innovations simultaneously. Future research should examine the sustainability implications of AI adoption in logistics including environmental impacts, social consequences, and long-term economic effects. This research would provide insights into how AI adoption can contribute to sustainable development objectives while creating business value [8].

This comprehensive framework provides a foundation for understanding and managing AI integration in logistics while contributing to theoretical advancement and practical application in organizational transformation, innovation management, and technology adoption literature. The framework addresses critical gaps in existing knowledge while providing actionable insights for managers, policymakers, and researchers seeking to understand and leverage the transformative potential of AI in logistics and broader organizational contexts [36].

5. Conclusions

The integration of KPC with DC in the context of AI-enabled logistics represents a significant theoretical advancement that addresses critical gaps in existing organizational and innovation literature. This research demonstrates that successful AI adoption in logistics requires simultaneous development of knowledge-based capabilities for effective information processing and learning, along with DC for organizational adaptation and strategic repositioning. The empirical findings

reveal that organizations achieving superior performance through AI adoption develop sophisticated HC architectures that enable both exploitation of existing knowledge assets and exploration of new technological and market opportunities. These hybrid architectures create emergent organizational properties that transcend the individual contributions of KPC and DC, generating sustainable competitive advantages through continuous learning and adaptation cycles. The theoretical integration demonstrates that traditional dichotomies between exploitation and exploration, stability and change, and efficiency and innovation can be resolved through sophisticated organizational designs that leverage AI technologies to enable simultaneous achievement of multiple strategic objectives. This integration provides a foundation for future theoretical development in organizational adaptation, innovation management, and technology adoption literature.

The research provides managers with a comprehensive framework for strategic decision-making regarding AI adoption and organizational transformation. This framework includes assessment tools for evaluating organizational readiness for AI adoption, strategic planning processes for capability development, and implementation approaches that maximize value creation while managing transformation risks. The research provides detailed guidance for AI implementation processes that address common challenges and pitfalls in organizational transformation. This guidance includes phased implementation approaches that build capabilities progressively, change management strategies that address resistance and cultural barriers, and performance measurement systems that enable evaluation of transformation effectiveness. The research provides frameworks for measuring and managing the value creation potential of AI adoption beyond traditional operational efficiency metrics. These frameworks include measures of organizational learning, capability development, and strategic positioning that capture the long-term value creation potential of AI investments. The research provides insights for policymakers regarding regulatory framework development that encourages innovation while protecting stakeholder interests and ensuring social benefits from AI adoption. Regulatory approaches should balance innovation encouragement with appropriate safeguards for privacy, security, and social equity considerations.

The research demonstrates that AI adoption in logistics can contribute to economic development through productivity improvements, cost reductions, and service quality enhancements that benefit consumers and businesses. Policymakers should develop strategies that encourage adoption of AI while building domestic capabilities and ensuring that economic benefits are broadly distributed. The research highlights the importance of addressing social responsibility and ethical considerations in AI adoption to ensure that technological advancement contributes to social welfare and sustainable development. Organizations and policymakers should develop frameworks that address issues such as algorithmic bias, transparency, accountability, and social impact.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Z.T. and A.-S.G.; methodology, M.B.; software, A.-S.G.; validation, Z.T. and M.B.; formal analysis, Z.T.; investigation, A.-S.G.; resources, A.-S.G.; data curation, M.B.; writing—original draft preparation, Z.T.; writing—review and editing, M.B.; visualization, Z.T.; supervision, M.B.; project administration, Z.T.; funding acquisition, A.-S.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Acknowledgments: We thank the managers of the logistics companies involved for their cooperation in providing the information.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

AI-L	Artificial intelligence in logistics
IoT	Internet of Things
KBV	Knowledge-based view
DC	Dynamic capabilities
HC	Hybrid capability
KPC	Knowledge process capabilities
AI	Artificial intelligence
EM	Emerging markets
AVE	Average variance extracted

References

1. Goga, A.S.; Boşcoianu, M. Sustainability and the risks of introducing AI. In Proceedings of the STRATEGICA International Conference, 11th edition, Bucharest, Romania, 26-27 October 2023, pp. 231-243. <chrome-extension://efaidnbnmnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://strategica-conference.ro/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/17.-GOGA-BOSCOIANU.pdf>
2. Boşcoianu, M.; Ceocea, C.; Goga, A.S. The Advent of Artificial Intelligence: A human Crisis like no other; LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing: London, United Kingdom, 2023.
3. Richey, R.G., Jr.; Chowdhury, S.; Davis-Sramek, B.; Giannakis, M.; Dwivedi, Y.K. Artificial intelligence in logistics and supply chain management: A primer and roadmap for research. *J. Bus. Logist.* 2023, 44, 532–549. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12364>.
4. Morgan, T.R.; Gabler, C.B.; Manhart, P.S. Supply chain transparency: Theoretical perspectives for future research. *Int. J. Logist. Manag.* 2023, 34, 1422–1445. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-02-2021-0115>.
5. Dolgui, A.; Ivanov, D.; Sokolov, B. Reconfigurable Supply Chain: The X-network. *Intl Jour of Production Research* 2020, 58, 4138–4163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1774679>.
6. Ivanov, D.; Dolgui, A. A digital supply chain twin for managing the disruption risks and resilience in the era of Industry 4.0. *Production Planning & Control* 2020, 32, 775–788. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2020.1768450>.
7. Maniatis, P. The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Supply Chain Management: A Quantitative Exploration of its Impact on Efficiency and Performance. *International Journal of Clinical Case Reports and Reviews* 2025, 22, 01-13. <https://doi.org/10.31579/2690-4861/671>.
8. Hsu, C.; Wu, J.; Zhang, T.; Chen, J. Deployng Industry 5.0 drivers to enhance sustainable supply chain risk resilience. *International Jur of Sustainable Engineering* 2024, 17, 211-238. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19397038.2024.2327381>.
9. Radicic, D.; Petković, S. Impact of digitalization on technological innovations in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 2023, 191, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122474>.
10. Khan, S.; Sheikh, A.; Al Shamsi, I.; Yu, Z. The Implications of Artificial Intelligence for Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises' Sustainable Development in the Areas of Blockchain Technology, Supply Chain Resilience, and Closed-Loop Supply Chains. *Sustainability* 2025, 17, 334. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17010334>.
11. Fatimah, Y.A.; Kannan, D.; Govindan, K.; Hasibuan, Z.A. Circular economy e-business model portfolio development for e-business applications: Impacts on ESG and sustainability performance. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2023, 415, 137528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137528>.
12. Makaryan, S.; Hoppe, H.; Fortuin, K. The potential for a circular economy in the nonroad mobile machinery industry—The case of Linde Material Handling GmbH. *Circ. Econ. Sustain.* 2022, 1, 567–586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819817-9.00006-5>.
13. Chen, N.; Wen, Y. Research on application of forklift dispatching intelligence in industrial intelligence. *Int. Symp. Comput. Technol. Appl.* 2022, 1, 245–251. <https://doi.org/10.56028/aetr.3.1.245>.
14. Klumpp, M.; Ruiner, C. Artificial intelligence, robotics, and logistics employment: The human factor in digital logistics. *J. Bus. Logist.* 2022, 43, 297–301. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12314>.
15. Dabic-Miletic, S. Benefits and challenges of implementing autonomous technology for sustainable material handling in in-dustrial processes. *J. Ind. Intell.* 2024, 2, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.56578/jii020101>.

16. Toth, Z.; Puiu, I.R.; Wang, S.S.; Vrăjitoru, E.S.; Boşcoianu, M. Dynamic capabilities and high-quality standards in S.C. Jungheinrich Romania, S.R.L. In Proceedings of the Review of Management and Economic Engineering 8th International Management Conference: "Management Challenges and Opportunities in a Post-Pandemic Reality", Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 22–24 September 2022; pp. 44–49. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10033213>.
17. Toth, Z.; Puiu, I.R.; Wang, S.S.; Vrăjitoru, E.S.; Boşcoianu, M. Electric forklift trucks refurbishment at S.C. Jungheinrich Re-conditionare Romania, S.R.L. In Proceedings of the 8th Review of Management and Economic Engineering International Management Conference: "Management Challenges and Opportunities in a Post-Pandemic Reality", Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 22–24 September 2022; pp. 50–56. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10052990>.
18. Hosseini-Nasab, H.; Nasrollahi, S.; Fakhzad, M.B.; Honarvar, M. Transportation cost reduction using cross-docks linking. *J. Eng. Res.* 2023, 11, 100015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jer.2023.100015>.
19. Ghomi, V.; Gligor, D.; Shokoohyar, S.; Alikhani, R.; Ghazi Nezami, F. An optimization model for collaborative logistics among carriers in vehicle routing problems with cross-docking. *Int. J. Logist. Manag.* 2023, 34, 1700–1735. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-11-2021-0515>.
20. Potoczki, T.; Holzapfel, A.; Kuhn, H.; Sternbeck, M. Integrated cross-dock location and supply mode planning in retail networks. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.* 2024, 276, 109349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2024.109349>.
21. Taheri, F.; Taft, A.F. Reliable scheduling and routing in robust multiple cross-docking networks design. *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.* 2024, 128, 107466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2023.107466>.
22. Vanneste, B.; Gulati, R. Generalized trust, external sourcing, and firm performance in economic downturns. *Organ. Sci.* 2022, 33, 1599–1619. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2021.1500>.
23. Yurt, O.; Sorkun, M.F.; Hsuan, J. Modularization of the front-end logistics services in e-fulfillment. *Journal of Business Logistics* 2023, 44, 583–608. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12354>.
24. Pessot, E.; Zangiacomì, A.; Marchiori, I.; Fornasiero, R. Empowering supply chains with Industry 4.0 technologies to face megatrends. *J. Bus. Logist.* 2023, 44, 609–640. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12360>.
25. Guida, M.; Caniato, F.; Moretto, A.; Ronchi, S. The role of artificial intelligence in the procurement process: State of the art and research agenda. *J. Purch. Supply Manag.* 2023, 29, 100823. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2023.100823>.
26. Hendriksen, C. Artificial intelligence for supply chain management: Disruptive innovation or innovative disruption? *J. Supply Chain Manag.* 2023, 59, 65–76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12304>.
27. Hofer, C.; D’Oria, L.; Cantor, D.E.; Ren, X. Competitive actions and supply chain relationships: How suppliers’ value-diminishing actions affect buyers’ procurement decisions. *J. Bus. Logist.* 2023, 44, 719–740. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12357>.
28. Farchi, F.; Farchi, C.; Touzi, B.; Mabrouki, C. A comparative study on AI-based algorithms for cost prediction in pharmaceutical transport logistics. *Acadlore Trans. AI Mach. Learn.* 2023, 2, 129–141. <https://doi.org/10.56578/ataiml020302>.
29. Wang, Y.; Ma, X.; Lao, Y.; Wang, Y. A fuzzy-based customer clustering approach with hierarchical structure for logistics network optimization. *Expert Syst. Appl.* 2024, 41, 521–534. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2013.07.078>.
30. Lozzi, G.; Iannaccone, G.; Maltese, I.; Gatta, V.; Marcucci, E. City Logistics landscape in the era of on-demand economy: Challenges, trends and influencing factors. *Transp. Res. Procedia* 2023, 72, 3086–3093. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2023.11.858>.
31. Nascimento, C.O.L.; Gatta, V.; Marcucci, E. Green Crowdfunding: Critical factors from a business perspective. *Res. Transp. Bus. Manag.* 2023, 51, 101062. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rtbm.2023.101062>.
32. Oliveira, L.K.; Oliveira, I.K.; Nascimento, C.O.L.; Marcucci, E.; Gatta, V. Mobility as a service for freight and passenger transport: Identifying a microhubs network to promote crowdshipping service. *Case Stud. Transp. Policy* 2025, 19, 101356. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2024.101356>.
33. Liu, T.; Li, D. Study on the new implementation mode of cross-docking based on blockchain technology. *Comput. Ind. Eng.* 2023, 180, 109249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2023.109249>.

34. Amiri, Z.; Heidari, A.; Navimipour, N.J. Comprehensive survey of artificial intelligence techniques and strategies for climate change mitigation. *Energy* 2024, 308, 132827. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2024.132827>.
35. Csutora, M.; Szigeti, C.; Harangozó, G. Consumer acceptance of business practices for sustainability in the time of COVID: Experiences of a student sample. *Vez. Bp. Manag. Rev.* 2024, 55, 2–16. <https://doi.org/10.14267/VEZTUD.2024.02.01>.
36. Goga, A.S.; Toth, Z.; Meclea, M.A.; Puiu, I.R.; Boşcoianu, M. The Proliferation of Artificial Intelligence in the Forklift Industry—An Analysis for the Case of Romania. *Sustainability* 2024, 16, 9306. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16219306>.
37. Boşcoianu, M.; Toth, Z.; Goga, A.S. Sustainable Strategies to Reduce Logistics Costs Based on Cross-Docking—The Case of Emerging European Markets. *Sustainability* 2025, 17, 6471. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17146471>.
38. Richey, R.G.; Roath, A.S.; Adams, F.G.; Wieland, A. A responsiveness view of logistics and supply chain management. *J. Bus. Logist.* 2022, 43, 62–91. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12290>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.