

Approximate Analytical Solution of Unstable Ordinary Differential Equation Using Differential Evolution Algorithm

Ashiribo Senapon WUSU^a, Olusola Aanu OLABANJO^{b,*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Lagos State University (LASU), Lagos, Nigeria

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Morgan State University, Maryland, USA

Abstract

The application of evolutionary optimization algorithms in problem solving is currently gaining wide popularity. Use of Differential Evolution (DE) algorithm in obtaining analytically approximate solution of unstable second-order initial value Ordinary Differential Equation (ODE) is presented in this work. The methodology involves solving an associated problem of optimization with constraints to get an analytically approximate solution for the ODE under consideration. Three test cases were used to demonstrate the efficiency of our method. In comparison with other methods discussed in the literature, our method gave significant improvement on the accuracy of the obtained results.

Keywords: Unstable, Ordinary Differential Equation, Initial Value Problems, Optimization, Differential Evolution

2010 MSC: 34K28, 65L04, 65L05, 90C27

1. Introduction

Several numerical methods exist for obtaining approximate solutions of different classes of ODEs [1, 2]. For many of these methods however, accumulated errors give impulse in the unstable term, hence, they are usually less efficient

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: ashiribo.wusu@lasu.edu.ng (Ashiribo Senapon WUSU),
olola57@morgan.edu (Olusola Aanu OLABANJO)

when applied to unstable ODEs [3]. To tackle this challenge, one direction of interest lies in applying evolutionary optimization techniques. This approach requires that the ODE be formed as an optimization problem and then solved using some evolutionary algorithms [4, 5, 6]. The author in [7] obtained approximate solutions of first-order initial value problems by combining collocation method together with genetic algorithms. By combining Nelder–Mead method together with genetic algorithm, the authors in [8, 9] solved second-order initial value problems. Neural network was introduced in [5] to obtain approximate solution. Authors in [4] proposed the use of genetic algorithm with continuity to get solution of two-point second-order ODE. In [6, 10], the authors, respectively applied differential evolution algorithm to get approximate solutions of $u'' + p(t)u' + q(t)y = r(t)$ and $u'' = f(t, u); \quad u(a) = \eta_1; \quad u(b) = \eta_2$. Stiff systems of first-order ODEs were solved in [11] using differential evolution algorithm. Approximate solutions of problem with singularities were obtained using the Nelder–Mead algorithm in [12]. In this research work, the algorithm of differential evolution was implemented to obtain approximate analytical solution of unstable second-order initial value ODE. Differential evolution is one of the commonly used algorithms of the family of evolutionary computing. Unlike its counterparts, it can conveniently handle nonlinear and non-differentiable multi-dimensional objective functions, while requiring very few control parameters. With these characteristics, it becomes very easy and more practical to use. An overview of the algorithm is described in [13] and details can be found in many standard texts.

2. Proposed Method

Consider the unstable second-order ODE

$$u'' = f(t, u, u'), \quad u(t_0) = u_0, \quad u'(t_0) = u'_0 \quad t \in [t_0, b]. \quad (1)$$

This work assume the solution of Eq. (1) can be expressed as

$$u(t) = \sum_{i=0}^k \xi_i t^i + \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j e^{\omega_j t}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad (2)$$

where $\xi_i, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \omega_1, \omega_2$ are real constants whose values are to be determined by
 30 our proposed approach. Substituting Eq. (2) together with its derivatives into
 Eq. (1) results in

$$\sum_{i=2}^k i(i-1)\xi_i t^{i-2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j \omega_j^2 e^{\omega_j t} = f(t, u, u') \quad (3)$$

Now, considering the initial conditions, we have the constraints that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \left[\sum_{i=0}^k \xi_i t^i + \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j e^{\omega_j t} \right]_{t=t_0} &= u_0, \\ \left[\sum_{i=1}^k i \xi_i t^{i-1} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j \omega_j e^{\omega_j t} \right]_{t=t_0} &= u'_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4)$$

At each node point t_n , we require that

$$\mathcal{E}_n(t) = \left[\sum_{i=2}^k i(i-1)\xi_i t^{i-2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j \omega_j^2 e^{\omega_j t} - f(t, u, u') \right]_{t=t_n} \approx 0 \quad (5)$$

To solve the above problem, we need to find the set $\{\xi_i, \alpha_j, \omega_j | i = 0(1)k, j =$
 $1, 2\}$, which minimizes the sum of square of the error at each node point given

35 by

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{E}_n^2(t) \quad (6)$$

where $N = \frac{b-t_0}{h}$ and h is the step-length. We now formulate the problem as an
 optimization problem in the following way:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{Minimize : } & \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{E}_n^2(t) \\ \text{Subject to : } & \left[\sum_{i=0}^k \xi_i t^i + \sum_{j=1}^2 \omega_j e^{\omega_j t} \right]_{t=t_0} = u_0, \\ & \left[\sum_{i=1}^k i \xi_i t^{i-1} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j \omega_j e^{\omega_j t} \right]_{t=t_0} = u'_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

We shall now use the DE algorithm to obtain real constants $\{\xi_i, \alpha_j, \omega_j | i =$
 $0(1)k, j = 1, 2\}$ which optimizes Eq. (minimizer). Our proposed solution shall
 be referred to as: "*Differential Evolution for Unstable ODEs (DEUODEs)*".

3. Test Cases

40 Here, we implement our scheme on three test cases. To demonstrate the accuracy and efficiency of our proposed scheme, we compare our results with those produced by the well-known classical Runge-Kutta Nystrom scheme. For each of the considered cases, comparison of the maximum absolute errors together with the execution-time are presented. The default values used in the implementation of the DE algorithm on the test cases are given in Table 1. A "10th

Table 1: DE parameter values used in the implementation

Parameter name	Values
Cross Probability	0.5
Initial Points	Automatic
Penalty Function	Automatic
Post Process	True
Random Seed	0
Scaling Factor	0.7
Search Points	All
Tolerance	0.000001

45

Generation, Core i7 Intel" processor computer was used for the computations carried out in this section.

3.1. Problem 1

Consider the unstable ODE

$$u''(t) - 10u'(t) - 11u(t) = 0. \quad (8)$$

50 Eq. (problem1) has the theoretical solution

$$u(t) = C_1 \exp(11t) + C_2 \exp(-t). \quad (9)$$

In this case, the accumulated errors give impulse in the *unstable* term, $\exp(11t)$, hence it becomes tedious to find a numerical solution that will be an approximation of $y(t) = \exp(-t)$. To overcome this challenge, we choose $k = 0$ in Eq. (2)

and solve Eq. (8) together with the initial conditions: $u(0) = 1, u'(0) = -1$.

55 Using a steplength of $h = 0.01$, we use the DE algorithm to obtain values of the associated real constants as given in Table 2.

Table 2: **Estimated method coefficient values for Problem 1**

Constants	Values
ξ_0	$-\frac{58596483280504527}{14087903090174553893634026852234094833510158}$
α_1	$\frac{335412}{549462448726431007476042901793}$
α_2	$\frac{143962183560387886485590172154}{143962183560387886485590259435}$
ω_1	$-\frac{707787669622900643628733952243}{783173937953213712247797360578}$
ω_2	$-\frac{2526056395966082493073880999103}{2526056395966082493073880861180}$

The analytical approximate solution is given as Eq. (10).

$$u(t) = -\frac{58596483280504527}{14087903090174553893634026852234094833510158} + \frac{335412}{549462448726431007476042901793} \exp\left(-\frac{707787669622900643628733952243}{783173937953213712247797360578}t\right) + \frac{143962183560387886485590172154}{143962183560387886485590259435} \exp\left(-\frac{2526056395966082493073880999103}{2526056395966082493073880861180}t\right) \quad (10)$$

Table 3 shows the absolute maximum error and execution time (seconds) of our technique compared with the classical Runge–Kutta Nystrom method for varying steplength.

60 3.2. Problem 2

The second case is given as

$$u''(t) = 100u(t). \quad (11)$$

Eq. (problem2) has the theoretical solution

$$u(t) = C_1 \exp(10t) + C_2 \exp(-10t). \quad (12)$$

However, Eq. (11) with the initial conditions: $u(0) = 1, u'(0) = -10$ has its solution as

$$u(t) = \exp(-10t). \quad (13)$$

Table 3: Absolute maximum error and *execution-time* in seconds for Problem 3.1 with step-size $h = 2^{-i}, i = 3(1)9$

i	Absolute Maximum Error		Execution-Time (Seconds)	
	Runge-Kutta Nystrom Method	<i>DEUODEs</i>	Runge-Kutta Nystrom Method	<i>DEUODEs</i>
3	5.703708E-01	1.110223E-16	4.687500E-03	0.000000
4	5.384612E-02	1.110223E-16	7.812500E-03	0.000000
5	3.966185E-03	1.110223E-16	1.562500E-02	0.000000
6	2.671148E-04	1.110223E-16	2.968750E-02	1.562500E-03
7	1.730622E-05	1.110223E-16	5.937500E-02	1.562500E-03
8	1.100942E-06	1.110223E-16	1.234375E-01	3.125000E-03
9	6.941755E-08	1.110223E-16	2.515625E-01	6.250000E-03

65 Again, the accumulated errors gave impulse in the *unstable* term, $\exp(10t)$ in Eq. (12). Applying the DE algorithm again but choosing $k = 1$ in Eq. (2), values of the associated real constants are given in Table 4.

Table 4: **Estimated method coefficient values for Problem 2**

Constants	Values
ξ_0	$-\frac{1866130124028508}{27968489541978442147822524598346085350438563}$
ξ_1	$\frac{5490012867360566}{88055038859759348231995436075796820268469007}$
α_1	$\frac{235}{2033336901794996078850609459979}$
α_2	$\frac{593642189642882704140492449808}{593642189642882704140492449837}$
ω_1	$-\frac{1864702798268403069139083489522}{778691675612344064906052135217}$
ω_2	$-\frac{3981513280893406487103789665829}{398151328089340648710378966572}$

The analytically approximate solution is given as Eq. (14).

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= -\frac{1866130124028508}{27968489541978442147822524598346085350438563} + \\
 &= \frac{5490012867360566}{88055038859759348231995436075796820268469007}t + \\
 &\quad \frac{235}{2033336901794996078850609459979} \exp\left(-\frac{1864702798268403069139083489522}{778691675612344064906052135217}t\right) + \\
 &\quad \frac{593642189642882704140492449808}{593642189642882704140492449837} \exp\left(-\frac{3981513280893406487103789665829}{398151328089340648710378966572}t\right)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14}$$

The absolute maximum error and execution time (seconds) of the classical Runge-Kutta Nystrom and our technique in comparison for different step-
 70 lengths is shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Absolute maximum error and *execution-time* in seconds for Problem 3.2 with step-size $h = 2^{-i}, i = 3(1)9$

i	Absolute Maximum Error		Execution-Time (Seconds)	
	Runge-Kutta Nystrom Method	<i>DEUODEs</i>	Runge-Kutta Nystrom Method	<i>DEUODEs</i>
3	1.054952E02	5.551115E-17	6.250000E-03	0.000000
4	8.181046E00	1.110223E-16	7.812500E-03	0.000000
5	5.380984E-01	1.110223E-16	1.562500E-02	0.000000
6	3.405028E-02	1.110223E-16	3.125000E-02	3.125000E-03
7	2.134674E-03	1.110223E-16	6.406250E-02	1.562500E-03
8	1.335191E-04	1.110223E-16	1.515625E-01	3.125000E-03
9	8.34654E-06	1.110223E-16	3.093750E-01	2.187500E-02

3.3. Problem 3

The third case considered is given as

$$u''(t) = -u'(t) + 2u(t). \tag{15}$$

The theoretical solution of Eq. (problem3) is given as

$$u(t) = C_1 \exp(-2t) + C_2 \exp(t). \tag{16}$$

Here, Eq. (15) has the initial conditions: $u(0) = 1, u'(0) = 1$ and the exact
 75 solution also given as

$$u(t) = \exp(t). \quad (17)$$

Similarly, the unstable term, $\exp(-2t)$ in Eq. (16) has impulse of accumulated errors. Here, we apply the DE algorithm again but choose $k = 0$ in Eq. (2), values of the associated real constants are given in Table 6.

Table 6: **Estimated method coefficient values for Problem 3**

Constants	Values
ξ_0	$\frac{13976606731205971}{45451913496634381658353114106888650226127076}$
α_1	$-\frac{8}{16851396780956847685004106673}$
α_2	$\frac{2086884460410152910755697960209}{2086884460410152910755697959860}$
ω_1	$\frac{294325197103201346344485627539}{1257757380242924465584677243692}$
ω_2	$\frac{88417578170623964355973712478}{88417578170623964355973712483}$

The analytically approximate solution is hence given as Eq. (18).

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) = & \frac{13976606731205971}{45451913496634381658353114106888650226127076} + \\
 & - \frac{8}{16851396780956847685004106673} \exp\left(\frac{294325197103201346344485627539}{1257757380242924465584677243692}t\right) + \\
 & \frac{2086884460410152910755697960209}{2086884460410152910755697959860} \exp\left(\frac{88417578170623964355973712478}{88417578170623964355973712483}t\right)
 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Again, Table 7 shows the absolute maximum error and execution time (seconds)
 80 of our technique compared with the classical Runge–Kutta different step–length.

4. Conclusion

We conclude here that we have been able to obtain analytically approximate solutions of unstable ODEs using differential evolution algorithm. Compared to the Runge–Kutta Nystrom method, the accuracy and efficiency of our approach
 85 is clearly demonstrated with the three test cases considered. In future works, application of other evolutionary techniques can be considered.

Table 7: Absolute maximum error and *execution-time* in seconds for Problem 3.3 with step-size $h = 2^{-i}, i = 3(1)9$

i	Absolute Maximum Error		Execution-Time (Seconds)	
	Runge-Kutta Nystrom Method	<i>DEUODEs</i>	Runge-Kutta Nystrom Method	<i>DEUODEs</i>
3	1.245609E-05	0.000000	3.125000E-03	0.000000
4	7.977266E-07	0.000000	7.812500E-03	0.000000
5	5.044025E-08	4.440892E-16	1.406250E-02	1.562500E-03
6	3.170410E-09	4.440892E-16	2.968750E-02	0.000000
7	1.987064E-10	4.440892E-16	6.093750E-02	0.000000
8	1.243761E-11	4.440892E-16	1.171875E-01	4.687500E-03
9	7.780443E-13	8.881784E-16	2.390625E-01	7.812500E-03

References

- [1] J. Lambert, *Computational Methods in ODEs*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1973.
- 90 [2] S. Fatunla, Non-linear multistep methods for initial value problems, An international Journal of Computers and Mathematics with Applications 8 (3) (1982) 231–239.
- [3] E. Balagusuramy, *Numerical Methods*, McGraw Hill, New Delhi, 1999.
- [4] A. A. Omar, A. Zaer, M. Shaher, S. Nabil, Solving singular two-point
95 boundary value problems using continuous genetic algorithm, Abst. Appl. Anal. (2012).
- [5] A. Junaid, A. Z. Raja, I. M. Qureshi, Evolutionary computing approach for the solution of initial value problems in ordinary differential equations, World Academic of Science, Engineering and Tecnology 55 (2009) 578–581.
- 100 [6] O. F. Bakre, A. S. Wusu, M. A. Akanbi, Solving ordinary differential equations with evolutionary algorithms, Open Journal of Optimization 4 (2015) 69–73.

- [7] N. E. Mastorakis, Numerical solution of non-linear ordinary differential equations via collocation method (finite elements) and genetic algorithms, in: Proceedings of the 6th WSEAS Int. Conf. on Evolutionary Computing. Lisbon, Portugal., Springer Berlin Heidelberg, June 16–18, (2005), pp. 36–42.
- [8] D. M. George, On the appliacion of genetic algorithms to differential equations, Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting 3 (2) (2006) 5–9.
- [9] N. E. Mastorakis, Unstable ordinary differential equations: Solution via genetic algorithms and the method of nelder-mead, in: Proceedings of the 6th WSEAS Int. Conf. on Systems Theory & Scientific Computation. Elounda, Greece., August 21–23, (2006), pp. 1–6.
- [10] A. S. Wusu, M. A. Akanbi, Solving oscillatory/periodic ordinary differential equations with differential evolution algorithms, Communications in Optimization Theory 2016 (2016) 1–8.
- [11] A. S. Wusu, O. A. Olabanjo, B. S. Aribisala, Application of differential evolution inthe solution of stiff system of ordinary differential equations, Transactions on Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence 8 (2020) 1–8. doi:10.14738/tmlai.81.7510.
- [12] A. S. Wusu, O. A. Olabanjo, Nelder-mead algorithm in solving ordinary differential equations whose solutions possess singularities, Transactions on Networks and Communications 9 (2021) 11–17. doi:10.14738/tnc.91.9772.
- [13] Wikipedia contributors, Differential evolution — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Differential_evolution&oldid=1081815496, [Online; accessed 16-April-2022] (2022).