

# Harmonisation of Classical Wave Equation

Alireza Jamali\*

Senior Researcher

Natural Philosophy Department, Hermite Foundation<sup>†</sup>

alireza.jamali.mp@gmail.com

September 9, 2021

## Abstract

In this short note we present a technique using which one attributes frequency and wavevector to (almost) arbitrary scalar fields. Our proposed definition is then applied to the classical wave equation to yield a novel nonlinear PDE.

**Keywords**— harmonic analysis, nonlinear partial differential equation

Harmonic waves possess a special significance in the foundations of modern physics as the notions of frequency and wavevector which are characteristics of harmonic waves appear *explicitly* in Einstein-Planck relation  $E = \hbar\omega$  and de Broglie hypothesis  $\mathbf{p} = \hbar\mathbf{k}$ . Being the cornerstones of Fourier analysis, importance of harmonic waves is not limited to physics and the study of such waves is a lively field of mathematical research to the extent that *harmonic analysis* is a major branch of analysis.

The monumental importance of harmonic waves in foundations of quantum mechanics suggests that they must be thought of as being *more* than mere Fourier transform variables. Indeed a strong reading of  $E = \hbar\omega$  and  $\mathbf{p} = \hbar\mathbf{k}$  suggests that all waves are harmonic and if one is not it must be *enforced* to become a harmonic wave. This is the maxim that we follow in this note: we propose a definition using which one can attribute frequency and wavevector to all sufficiently smooth non-zero scalar fields. To motivate our definition we start from the simplest case of a forward-in-time<sup>1</sup> harmonic wave  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t)};$$

\*Corresponding author.

<sup>†</sup>3rd Floor - Block No. 6 - Akbari Alley - After Dardasht Intersection - Janbazané Sharghi - Tehran - Iran

<sup>1</sup>Basically the reason for this assumption is the notion of *arrow of time*.

now observe that

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -i\omega \phi$$

and

$$\nabla \phi = i\mathbf{k}\phi.$$

The *prima facie* approach that has been thoroughly pursued both in quantum physics and mathematics[1] is to take these equations as defining *eigenvalue* problems for operators  $\hat{\omega}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ . This eigenvalue perspective however need not *necessarily* be the case: notice that one can well have

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \frac{1}{\phi} = -i\omega$$

and

$$\frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi} = i\mathbf{k}$$

for a non-zero  $\phi$ . This observation suggests the following

**Definition 1** (*Harmonisation of a non-zero scalar field*). Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^2$ . Then

$$\omega := \frac{i}{\phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}, \quad \mathbf{k} := -i \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi} \quad (1)$$

This definition can now be substituted in

**Definition 2** (The Classical Wave Equation).

$$c^2 \nabla^2 \phi = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$c := \frac{\omega}{\|\mathbf{k}\|} \quad (3)$$

for a harmonic wave<sup>2</sup>,

to arrive at the following novel nonlinear PDE:

**Corollary.**

$$\left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right|^2 \nabla^2 \phi = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* To substitute (1) in (2) we need to utilise (3) which requires us to specify the norm of  $\mathbf{k}$ , which is naturally seen to be the usual norm of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ : Since according to (1),  $\mathbf{k}$  is a complex vector we have

$$\|\mathbf{k}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \rangle = \mathbf{k} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{k}} = \left( -i \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi} \right) \cdot \left( i \frac{\nabla \bar{\phi}}{\bar{\phi}} \right) = \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{|\phi|^2}$$

where dot denotes euclidean inner product and  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$  is the complex conjugate of  $\mathbf{k}$ ; as usual  $|\cdot|$  denotes the modulus of a complex number. By a similar rationale

$$\omega^2 = \langle \omega, \omega \rangle = \omega \bar{\omega} = \left( \frac{i}{\phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right) \left( -\frac{i}{\bar{\phi}} \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{1}{|\phi|^2} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right|^2$$

<sup>2</sup>The norm depends on the space in which  $\phi$  lives.  $\phi$  can well be a *real* harmonic wave, like  $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t)$ . Therefore we do not here in this definition specify a particular norm.

therefore

$$c^2 = \frac{|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}|^2}{|\nabla \phi|^2}. \quad (5)$$

Substituting (5) in (2) now yields (4).  $\square$

## References

- [1] Brian C. Hall. *Quantum Theory for Mathematicians*. Springer-Verlag New York, 2013.