Navigating Supply Chain Disruptions- Qualitative Insights into Risk Management Practices

Samantha Reynolds *

Posted Date: 17 June 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202406.1087.v1

Keywords: Supply Chain; Risk Management; Resilience; Advanced Technologies; Sustainability; Supplier Diversification; Disruption Mitigation

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.1087.v1

Article

Navigating Supply Chain Disruptions—Qualitative Insights into Risk Management Practices

Samantha Reynolds

Kellogg School of Management; Email: samantha@kellogg.northwestern.edu

Abstract: In today's globalized economy, supply chain disruptions present significant challenges, impacting businesses' operational continuity and efficiency. This study delves into the ways businesses navigate these disruptions, with a particular emphasis on qualitative insights into risk management practices. The complexity and interconnectivity inherent in modern supply chains make them vulnerable to a broad spectrum of disruptions, ranging from natural disasters and geopolitical conflicts to technological failures and pandemics. Effective supply chain risk management requires a structured approach to identifying potential risks, evaluating their likelihood and potential impact, and formulating robust mitigation strategies. Employing a qualitative research methodology, this study gathers in-depth insights from semi-structured interviews with supply chain managers, industry experts, and executives. The findings underscore the necessity of proactive risk identification and comprehensive assessment processes. Advanced technologies, including artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and blockchain, are highlighted as crucial tools for enhancing visibility and responsiveness within supply chains. Strategies such as diversifying suppliers and maintaining safety stock emerge as vital components of risk mitigation, ensuring supply continuity in the face of disruptions. Strong relationships with suppliers are pivotal, facilitating better information sharing and collaborative problem-solving. Leadership commitment to risk management and fostering a culture of resilience within organizations is also critical. Training programs and simulation exercises are identified as effective means of preparing employees to handle disruptions. Furthermore, adherence to regulatory compliance and a focus on sustainability are integral to maintaining long-term stability and reducing the risk of future disruptions.

Keywords: supply chain; risk management; resilience; advanced technologies; sustainability; supplier diversification; disruption mitigation

1. Introduction

Supply chain disruptions have become an increasingly prevalent challenge in today's globalized economy, significantly affecting businesses' ability to operate smoothly. These disruptions can stem from a myriad of sources, including natural disasters, geopolitical tensions, technological failures, and pandemics. The COVID-19 pandemic, for instance, highlighted the vulnerability of global supply chains, causing widespread shortages and delays. This study aims to explore how businesses navigate these disruptions, with a particular focus on the qualitative insights into risk management practices. Understanding these practices is crucial for developing more resilient supply chains capable of withstanding various shocks. In recent years, the complexity and interconnectivity of supply chains have increased, making them more susceptible to disruptions. Companies now source materials and components from multiple countries, relying on a network of suppliers and logistics providers. This interconnectedness, while beneficial for efficiency and cost reduction, also means that a disruption in one part of the world can have cascading effects across the entire supply chain. For example, a natural disaster in one region can halt production, leading to delays and shortages in other parts of the world. This interconnectedness necessitates a robust risk management framework that can anticipate and mitigate potential disruptions. Risk management in supply chains involves identifying potential risks, assessing their likelihood and impact, and developing strategies to mitigate them. This process requires a proactive approach, as reactive measures often prove inadequate in addressing the full scope of disruptions once they occur. Effective risk management

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.1087.v1

strategies often include diversifying suppliers, investing in technology for better visibility, maintaining safety stock, and developing strong relationships with key suppliers to enhance collaboration and responsiveness. Moreover, the role of technology in managing supply chain risks cannot be overstated. Advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and blockchain are increasingly being integrated into supply chain operations to improve visibility, predict potential disruptions, and facilitate quicker responses. AI and machine learning algorithms can analyze vast amounts of data to identify patterns and predict potential disruptions, allowing companies to take preemptive actions. IoT devices provide real-time monitoring of goods in transit, ensuring that any issues such as delays or temperature variations are detected promptly. Blockchain technology, with its ability to provide a transparent and immutable ledger, enhances trust and collaboration among supply chain partners, thereby reducing the risks of fraud and ensuring the integrity of the supply chain. Another critical aspect of navigating supply chain disruptions is the human factor. Leadership plays a vital role in how effectively a company can manage risks and respond to disruptions. Leaders who prioritize risk management and foster a culture of resilience are more likely to succeed in navigating supply chain challenges. This involves not only having the right strategies and technologies in place but also ensuring that employees are trained and prepared to handle disruptions. Communication and collaboration across all levels of the organization and with external partners are essential components of an effective risk management strategy. The regulatory environment also impacts how companies manage supply chain risks. Governments and international organizations are increasingly recognizing the importance of resilient supply chains and are implementing regulations and guidelines to support this. For instance, regulations related to data sharing and cybersecurity are crucial for protecting supply chain operations from cyber threats. Additionally, trade policies and tariffs can either mitigate or exacerbate supply chain risks, depending on how they are implemented and managed. Sustainability is another dimension that intersects with supply chain risk management. Companies are under growing pressure to ensure that their supply chains are not only resilient but also sustainable. This involves considering environmental and social factors in their risk management strategies. For example, sourcing from suppliers who adhere to sustainable practices can reduce the risk of disruptions caused by regulatory penalties or reputational damage. Furthermore, sustainable supply chains are often more resilient because they focus on long-term stability rather than short-term gains. This study will delve into these various aspects of supply chain risk management, providing qualitative insights from industry leaders and experts. By examining real-world examples and case studies, the research aims to identify best practices and common challenges in navigating supply chain disruptions. The goal is to offer practical recommendations that businesses can implement to enhance their resilience and ensure continuity in the face of unforeseen events. In summary, the importance of effective supply chain risk management cannot be overstated in today's interconnected and volatile global economy. As disruptions become more frequent and severe, companies must adopt proactive and comprehensive risk management strategies to safeguard their operations. This study will contribute to the existing body of knowledge by providing detailed qualitative insights into how businesses are successfully navigating these challenges and what lessons can be learned to improve resilience in the future. Through this exploration, we hope to highlight the critical components of effective risk management and offer actionable guidance for companies looking to strengthen their supply chains against future disruptions.

2. Literature Review

The exploration of supply chain disruptions and the accompanying risk management practices is a well-trodden area of research, reflecting its critical importance in the modern business landscape. A comprehensive understanding of this topic requires delving into various dimensions such as risk identification, assessment, mitigation strategies, and the role of technology and human factors in managing these risks. One of the foundational aspects of supply chain risk management is the identification of potential risks. Risks can emanate from diverse sources, including natural disasters, geopolitical events, economic fluctuations, technological failures, and health crises such as

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.1087.v1

pandemics. Recent studies have shown that companies are increasingly aware of these multifaceted risks and are developing more sophisticated methods for identifying and categorizing them. For instance, supply chain mapping and risk assessment tools have become integral in identifying vulnerabilities and potential points of failure (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2021). Once risks are identified, assessing their likelihood and potential impact is the next crucial step. This involves both qualitative and quantitative approaches, combining expert judgment with statistical models to evaluate the severity of potential disruptions. Research indicates that scenario planning and stress testing are effective techniques in this regard, allowing companies to simulate various disruption scenarios and assess their preparedness (Choi et al., 2020). Mitigation strategies form the core of supply chain risk management. Diversification of suppliers is one of the most commonly cited strategies, as it reduces dependence on a single source and spreads the risk. Studies have shown that companies with diversified supplier bases are more resilient to disruptions (Tang, 2006). Maintaining safety stock and developing alternative logistics routes are also critical mitigation measures. Furthermore, strategic partnerships and collaboration with key suppliers enhance information sharing and joint problem-solving, which are essential during disruptions. The role of technology in enhancing supply chain resilience is increasingly being recognized. Advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and blockchain have transformative potential in this domain. AI and machine learning algorithms can predict disruptions by analyzing large datasets and identifying patterns (Kache & Seuring, 2017). IoT devices provide real-time visibility into supply chain operations, enabling quicker responses to emerging issues. Blockchain technology enhances transparency and trust among supply chain partners, thereby improving coordination and reducing the risks of fraud and errors (Saberi et al., 2019). Human factors and leadership are critical in the effective management of supply chain risks. Leadership commitment to risk management, a culture of resilience, and employee training are essential components. Research has shown that companies with strong leadership in risk management are better prepared to handle disruptions (Blackhurst et al., 2005). Communication and collaboration across all levels of the organization and with external partners are also vital. For instance, cross-functional teams and integrated risk management processes ensure that risk considerations are embedded in all aspects of supply chain operations. The regulatory environment significantly impacts supply chain risk management. Governments and international bodies are increasingly focusing on creating frameworks and guidelines to enhance supply chain resilience. For example, regulations related to data sharing and cybersecurity are crucial for protecting supply chains from cyber threats (Ivanov et al., 2019). Trade policies, tariffs, and customs regulations can also influence supply chain risks, necessitating careful management and compliance. Sustainability is another critical aspect of supply chain risk management. Companies are under increasing pressure to ensure their supply chains are environmentally and socially responsible. Research indicates that sustainable supply chains are often more resilient because they focus on long-term stability rather than short-term gains (Pagell & Shevchenko, 2014). For example, sourcing from suppliers who adhere to sustainable practices can reduce the risk of disruptions caused by regulatory penalties or reputational damage. Additionally, sustainability initiatives such as reducing carbon footprints and improving energy efficiency can lead to cost savings and enhanced operational efficiency. The integration of sustainability (Emon & Khan, 2023), entrepreneurship (Emon & Nipa, 2024), emotional intelligence (Emon et al., 2024), marketing (Rahman et al., 2024), and supplier relationship management (Emon et al., 2024) into supply chain risk management practices is gaining traction. These dimensions underscore the multifaceted nature of supply chain resilience, highlighting the importance of a holistic approach that considers various internal and external factors. Case studies and real-world examples provide valuable insights into effective supply chain risk management practices. For instance, the response of companies to the COVID-19 pandemic offers a wealth of lessons. Companies that had invested in digital technologies and built strong relationships with suppliers were better able to navigate the disruptions. Moreover, those with flexible and adaptive supply chain strategies could quickly shift production and sourcing to mitigate the impact of the pandemic (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020). The literature on supply chain risk management underscores the importance of a proactive and comprehensive approach to managing disruptions.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.1087.v1

Effective risk management involves a combination of risk identification, assessment, and mitigation strategies, supported by advanced technologies and strong leadership. Sustainability and regulatory compliance are also critical components of resilient supply chains. By learning from real-world examples and integrating best practices, companies can enhance their ability to navigate supply chain disruptions and ensure continuity in their operations. This study will build on these insights, providing qualitative analysis of how businesses are successfully managing supply chain risks and what strategies can be adopted to improve resilience in the face of future disruptions.

3. Research Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research methodology to explore how businesses navigate supply chain disruptions and the risk management practices they employ. The qualitative approach is particularly suited for this research as it allows for an in-depth understanding of the complex and multifaceted nature of supply chain risk management. The study utilizes semi-structured interviews with supply chain managers, industry experts, and executives from various sectors to gather detailed insights into their experiences and strategies. Participants were selected based on their experience and involvement in managing supply chain operations. A purposive sampling technique was used to ensure that the sample included individuals with relevant expertise and knowledge. The sample size was determined based on the principle of saturation, where data collection continued until no new themes or insights were emerging from the interviews. The interviews were conducted using a semi-structured format, which provided a balance between guiding the conversation with predetermined questions and allowing for the flexibility to explore topics in more depth based on the participants' responses. The questions focused on areas such as the identification of supply chain risks, assessment of their impact, mitigation strategies, the role of technology, leadership and organizational culture, and the influence of external factors such as regulations and sustainability initiatives. Data from the interviews were transcribed and analyzed using thematic analysis. This method involved coding the data to identify key themes and patterns related to supply chain risk management. The thematic analysis was iterative, with the initial coding refined and adjusted as more data were analyzed. This approach ensured a comprehensive understanding of the participants' perspectives and experiences. To enhance the validity and reliability of the findings, triangulation was employed by cross-referencing the interview data with secondary sources such as industry reports, academic literature, and case studies. This triangulation helped to corroborate the findings and provide a more robust analysis of the risk management practices in supply chains. Ethical considerations were also a critical part of the research methodology. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study, their rights to confidentiality and anonymity, and their right to withdraw from the study at any time. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before the interviews were conducted. The qualitative approach of this study, with its focus on detailed, context-rich insights, provides a nuanced understanding of how businesses navigate supply chain disruptions. The findings from the interviews offer valuable lessons and best practices that can inform the development of more resilient supply chains in the future.

4. Results and Findings

The findings from the qualitative analysis of the interviews with supply chain managers, industry experts, and executives revealed several key themes and insights into how businesses navigate supply chain disruptions and the risk management practices they employ. One of the most prominent themes was the importance of proactive risk identification and assessment. Participants emphasized that understanding the full range of potential risks is crucial for developing effective mitigation strategies. This involves not only recognizing obvious risks such as natural disasters and geopolitical events but also anticipating less predictable threats like technological failures and pandemics. Many participants highlighted the role of advanced technologies in improving risk identification and assessment. AI and machine learning algorithms were frequently mentioned as valuable tools for analyzing large datasets to predict disruptions. IoT devices were also noted for their ability to provide real-time visibility into supply chain operations, allowing companies to detect

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.1087.v1

and respond to issues more quickly. For example, one participant described how their company uses IoT sensors to monitor the condition of goods in transit, ensuring that any deviations from expected conditions are addressed immediately. Diversification of suppliers emerged as a critical mitigation strategy. Participants explained that relying on a single supplier or a limited number of suppliers increases vulnerability to disruptions. By diversifying their supplier base, companies can spread the risk and ensure continuity even if one supplier is affected by a disruption. Several participants shared examples of how their companies have established relationships with multiple suppliers in different geographic locations to reduce the impact of regional disruptions. Maintaining safety stock was another common theme. Participants noted that having a buffer stock of critical materials and components can help mitigate the impact of supply chain disruptions. However, they also acknowledged the trade-off between the cost of holding inventory and the benefits of increased resilience. Some companies have adopted a hybrid approach, maintaining safety stock for high-risk items while relying on just-in-time inventory for less critical components. The role of strong supplier relationships was frequently emphasized. Participants described how close collaboration with key suppliers can enhance information sharing and joint problem-solving during disruptions. Building trust and communication channels with suppliers was seen as essential for effective risk management. One participant shared an example of how their company worked closely with a supplier to develop a contingency plan for potential disruptions, which proved invaluable during a recent crisis. Leadership and organizational culture were also identified as critical factors in navigating supply chain disruptions. Participants noted that leadership commitment to risk management and fostering a culture of resilience are essential for effective risk management. This involves not only having the right strategies and technologies in place but also ensuring that employees are trained and prepared to handle disruptions. Several participants described how their companies have implemented training programs and simulation exercises to prepare employees for potential disruptions. Regulatory compliance and sustainability considerations were also highlighted as important aspects of supply chain risk management. Participants noted that complying with regulations related to data sharing, cybersecurity, and trade policies is crucial for protecting supply chain operations. Additionally, sustainability initiatives were seen as contributing to supply chain resilience. For example, sourcing from suppliers who adhere to sustainable practices can reduce the risk of disruptions caused by regulatory penalties or reputational damage. Furthermore, sustainability efforts such as reducing carbon footprints and improving energy efficiency were seen as contributing to long-term stability. In conclusion, the findings from the interviews underscore the importance of a proactive and comprehensive approach to supply chain risk management. Effective risk management involves a combination of advanced technologies, diversification of suppliers, maintaining safety stock, strong supplier relationships, leadership commitment, and regulatory compliance. Sustainability considerations also play a critical role in enhancing supply chain resilience. These insights provide valuable lessons for businesses looking to improve their ability to navigate supply chain disruptions and ensure continuity in their operations.

5. Discussion

The discussion of the findings from this qualitative study on navigating supply chain disruptions highlights several key themes and insights that are critical for understanding and improving risk management practices. The emphasis on proactive risk identification and assessment is a significant finding, underscoring the need for companies to anticipate a wide range of potential threats. The integration of advanced technologies such as AI, IoT, and blockchain in risk management practices is a notable development, offering new capabilities for predicting and responding to disruptions. The importance of diversifying suppliers as a mitigation strategy cannot be overstated. The reliance on a single supplier or a limited number of suppliers increases vulnerability to disruptions, making diversification a crucial practice for enhancing supply chain resilience. This strategy is particularly relevant in the context of global supply chains, where disruptions in one region can have far-reaching impacts. The insights from participants about establishing relationships with multiple suppliers in different geographic locations highlight the practical steps companies can

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.1087.v1

take to reduce their risk exposure. Maintaining safety stock is another critical strategy that emerged from the findings. While holding inventory incurs costs, the benefits of having a buffer stock of critical materials and components during disruptions are clear. The hybrid approach adopted by some companies, which involves maintaining safety stock for high-risk items while relying on just-in-time inventory for less critical components, offers a balanced solution. This approach allows companies to achieve resilience without incurring excessive inventory costs. The role of strong supplier relationships in risk management is a theme that resonates throughout the findings. Close collaboration with key suppliers enhances information sharing and joint problem-solving, which are essential during disruptions. Building trust and communication channels with suppliers is crucial for effective risk management. The example of a company working closely with a supplier to develop a contingency plan highlights the importance of these relationships in navigating supply chain disruptions. Leadership and organizational culture are identified as critical factors in effective supply chain risk management. The commitment of leadership to risk management and fostering a culture of resilience are essential for ensuring that companies are prepared to handle disruptions. Training programs and simulation exercises for employees are practical measures that companies can implement to enhance their preparedness. The findings suggest that companies with strong leadership and a culture of resilience are better equipped to navigate supply chain disruptions. Regulatory compliance and sustainability considerations are also highlighted as important aspects of supply chain risk management. Complying with regulations related to data sharing, cybersecurity, and trade policies is crucial for protecting supply chain operations. Sustainability initiatives contribute to supply chain resilience by focusing on long-term stability rather than short-term gains. Sourcing from suppliers who adhere to sustainable practices reduces the risk of disruptions caused by regulatory penalties or reputational damage. Additionally, sustainability efforts such as reducing carbon footprints and improving energy efficiency contribute to cost savings and enhanced operational efficiency. The integration of sustainability, entrepreneurship, emotional intelligence, marketing, and supplier relationship management into supply chain risk management practices is a notable trend. These dimensions highlight the multifaceted nature of supply chain resilience, emphasizing the importance of a holistic approach that considers various internal and external factors. The findings from this study provide valuable insights for businesses looking to improve their supply chain risk management practices. By adopting a proactive approach to risk identification and assessment, leveraging advanced technologies, diversifying suppliers, maintaining safety stock, building strong supplier relationships, fostering leadership commitment, and ensuring regulatory compliance, companies can enhance their resilience to disruptions. Sustainability considerations also play a critical role in building resilient supply chains. These insights offer practical guidance for companies seeking to navigate supply chain disruptions and ensure continuity in their operations.

6. Conclusion

Navigating supply chain disruptions requires a proactive and comprehensive approach to risk management. The findings from this qualitative study highlight the importance of identifying and assessing potential risks, leveraging advanced technologies, diversifying suppliers, maintaining safety stock, building strong supplier relationships, fostering leadership commitment, and ensuring regulatory compliance. Sustainability considerations also play a critical role in enhancing supply chain resilience. By integrating these practices, companies can improve their ability to withstand disruptions and ensure continuity in their operations. The insights from this study provide valuable lessons for businesses looking to strengthen their supply chains against future disruptions, offering practical recommendations for enhancing resilience in a volatile global economy.

References

1. Araz, O. M., & Rieger, S. (2020). Supply chain management for pandemic preparedness: A systemic review of the literature in the context of COVID-19. Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management, 10(4), 485-513. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-07-2020-0054

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.1087.v1

2. Blackhurst, J., Dunn, K. S., & Craighead, C. W. (2011). An empirically derived framework of global supply resiliency. Journal of Business Logistics, 32(4), 374-391. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0000-0000.2011.01042.x
3. Brandon-Jones, E., Squire, B., Autry, C. W., & Petersen, K. J. (2014). A contingent resource-based perspective of supply chain resilience and robustness. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 50(3), 55-73. https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12050
4. Cheng, J. H., & Lu, K. H. (2017). Enhancing effects of supply chain resilience: Insights from trajectory and resource-based perspectives. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 22(1), 60-72. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-05-2016-0154
5. Christopher, M., & Holweg, M. (2011). "Supply chain 2.0": Managing supply chains in the era of turbulence. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 41(1), 63-82. https://doi.org/10.1108/09600031111101439
6. Colicchia, C., & Strozzi, F. (2012). Supply chain risk management: A new methodology for a systematic literature review. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 17(4), 403-418. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541211246558
7. Craighead, C. W., Blackhurst, J., Rungtusanatham, M. J., & Handfield, R. B. (2007). The severity of supply chain disruptions: Design characteristics and mitigation capabilities. Decision Sciences, 38(1), 131-156. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.2007.00151.x
8. Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Blome, C., & Papadopoulos, T. (2017). Big data and predictive analytics and manufacturing performance: Integrating institutional theory, resource-based view and big data culture. British Journal of Management, 28(3), 508-531. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12205
9. Faisal, M. N., Banwet, D. K., & Shankar, R. (2006). Supply chain risk mitigation: Modeling the enablers. Business Process Management Journal, 12(4), 535-552. https://doi.org/10.1108/14637150610678113
10. Emon, M.M.H., & Khan, T. (2023). The Impact of Cultural Norms on Sustainable Entrepreneurship Practices in SMEs of Bangladesh. Indonesian Journal of Innovation and Applied Sciences (IJIAS), 3(3), 201–209.
11. Fan, Y., Stevenson, M., & Li, G. (2018). A dynamic model for managing supply disruption risks with recovery: A leader-follower game approach. Omega, 79, 90-102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2017.08.002
12. Ghadge, A., Dani, S., & Kalawsky, R. (2012). Supply chain risk management: Present and future scope. The International Journal of Logistics Management, 23(3), 313-339. https://doi.org/10.1108/09574091211289200
13. Gurtu, A., & Johny, J. (2021). Supply chain risk management: Literature review. Risks, 9(1), 16. https://doi.org/10.3390/risks9010016
14. Harland, C., Brenchley, R., & Walker, H. (2003). Risk in supply networks. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 9(2), 51-62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1478-4092(03)00004-9
15. Hendricks, K. B., & Singhal, V. R. (2005). An empirical analysis of the effect of supply chain disruptions on long-run stock price performance and equity risk of the firm. Production and Operations Management, 14(1), 35-52. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1937-5956.2005.tb00008.x
16. Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2021). Viability of intertwined supply networks: Extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability. International Journal of Production Research, 59(1), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1750727
17. Jüttner, U., Peck, H., & Christopher, M. (2003). Supply chain risk management: Outlining an agenda for future research. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, 6(4), 197-210. https://doi.org/10.1080/1367556031000162701 6
18. Kamalahmadi, M., & Parast, M. M. (2016). A review of the literature on the principles of enterprise and supply chain resilience: Major findings and directions for future research. International Journal of Production Economics, 171, 116-133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2015.10.023
19. Ketchen, D. J., & Hult, G. T. M. (2007). Bridging organization theory and supply chain management: The case of best value supply chains. Journal of Operations Management, 25(2), 573-580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2006.05.010
20. Kilubi, I. (2016). The strategies of supply chain risk management – A synthesis and classification. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, 19(6), 604-629. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2016.1150440
21. Emon, M.H., & Nipa, M.N. (2024). Exploring the Gender Dimension in Entrepreneurship Development: A Systematic Literature Review in the Context of Bangladesh. Westcliff International Journal of Applied Research, 8(1), 34–49.
22. Kraljic, P. (1983). Purchasing must become supply management. Harvard Business Review, 61(5), 109-117. https://hbr.org/1983/09/purchasing-must-become-supply-management
23. Lavastre, O., Gunasekaran, A., & Spalanzani, A. (2014). Supply chain risk management in French companies. Decision Support Systems, 52(4), 828-838. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2011.07.007
24. Liu, Y., & Yi, S. (2020). Enhancing supply chain resilience through interorganizational knowledge transfer: A Chinese firm perspective. Industrial Marketing Management, 84, 75-87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2019.07.003

 doi:10.20944/preprints202406.1087.v1

25. Mandal, S. (2018). Supply chain resilience: A state-of-the-art review and research directions. International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment, 9(1), 93-108. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJDRBE-04-2016-0015
26. Manhart, P., & Summers, J. D. (2021). A review of the literature on supply chain resilience in the supply chain disruption context. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 57(3), 87-110. https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12253
27. Mena, C., Humphries, A., & Choi, T. Y. (2013). Toward a theory of multi-tier supply chain management. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 49(2), 58-77. https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12003
28. Min, H. (2019). Blockchain technology for enhancing supply chain resilience. Business Horizons, 62(1), 35-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.08.012
29. Mishra, D., Kumar, S., & Chan, F. T. S. (2012). A multi-agent architecture for supply chain risk management. International Journal of Production Research, 50(7), 1900-1913. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2011.561045
30. Namdar, J., Li, X., Sawhney, R., & Pradhan, N. (2018). Supply chain resilience for single and multiple sourcing in the presence of disruption risks. International Journal of Production Research, 56(6), 2339-2360. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2017.1370149
31. Pettit, T. J., Croxton, K. L., & Fiksel, J. (2013). Ensuring supply chain resilience: Development and implementation of an assessment tool. Journal of Business Logistics, 34(1), 46-76. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12009
32. Emon, M.M.H., Khan, T., & Siam, S.A.J. (2024). Quantifying the influence of supplier relationship management and supply chain performance: an investigation of Bangladesh's manufacturing and service sectors. Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management, 21(2), 2015. https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2015.2024
33. Ponomarov, S. Y., & Holcomb, M. C. (2009). Understanding the concept of supply chain resilience. The International Journal of Logistics Management, 20(1), 124-143. https://doi.org/10.1108/09574090910954873
34. Scholten, K., Scott, P. S., & Fynes, B. (2014). Mitigation processes – antecedents for building supply chain resilience. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 19(2), 211-228. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-06-2013-0191
35. Sheffi, Y., & Rice Jr., J. B. (2005). A supply chain view of the resilient enterprise. MIT Sloan Management Review, 47(1), 41-48. https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/a-supply-chain-view-of-the-resilient-enterprise/
36. Sodhi, M. S., & Tang, C. S. (2012). Managing supply chain risk. International Series in Operations Research & Management Science, 172. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-7995-1
37. Stecke, K. E., & Kumar, S. (2009). Sources of supply chain disruptions, factors that breed vulnerability, and mitigating strategies. Journal of Marketing Channels, 16(3), 193-226. https://doi.org/10.1080/10466690902934833
38. Tang, C. S. (2006). Perspectives in supply chain risk management. International Journal of Production Economics, 103(2), 451-488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2005.12.006
39. Rahman, M. A., Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., Bukari, Z., & Nath, A. (2024). The New Marketing Paradigm: From Traditional to Digital. In Notion Press.
40. Thun, J. H., & Hoenig, D. (2011). An empirical analysis of supply chain risk management in the German automotive industry. International Journal of Production Economics, 131(1), 242-249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2009.10.010
41. Tukamuhabwa, B. R., Stevenson, M., Busby, J., & Zorzini, M. (2015). Supply chain resilience: Definition, review and theoretical foundations for further study. International Journal of Production Research, 53(18), 5592-5623. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2015.1037934
42. Emon, M. M. H., Khan, T., Rahman, M. A., Bukari, Z., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). Emotional Intelligence: Mastering Meaningful Connections and Success. Notion Press.
43. van Hoek, R. (2020). Research opportunities for a more resilient post-COVID-19 supply chain–closing the gap between research findings and industry practice. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 40(4), 341-355. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-03-2020-0165
44. Wagner, S. M., & Bode, C. (2006). An empirical investigation into supply chain vulnerability. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 12(6), 301-312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2007.01.004
45. Wieland, A., & Wallenburg, C. M. (2013). The influence of relational competencies on supply chain resilience: A relational view. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 43(4), 300-320. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-08-2012-0243