

Communication

Not peer-reviewed version

Radial Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy for Insertional Achilles Tendinopathy: Energy Matters

[Christoph Schmitz](#)^{*}, Javier Crupnik, [Daniel Morgan](#), Edward Silk, [Nicola Maffulli](#), Antoni Morral

Posted Date: 13 January 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202501.0896.v1

Keywords: insertional Achilles tendinopathy; radial extracorporeal shock wave therapy; rESWT



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Communication

Radial Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy for Insertional Achilles Tendinopathy: Energy Matters

Christoph Schmitz ^{1,*}, Javier Crupnik ², Daniel Morgan ³, Edward Silk ⁴, Nicola Maffulli ^{5,6,7} and Antoni Morral ⁸

¹ Extracorporeal Shock Wave Research Unit, Chair of Neuroanatomy, Institute of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany

² Physiotherapy School, Medicine and Health Sciences Faculty, Universidad Abierta Interamericana, Buenos Aires, Argentina

³ Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Beaches Chiropractic, Port Talbot, UK

⁴ CT Medical Sales LTD, Chesham, UK

⁵ Department of Trauma and Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, University La Sapienza, Roma, Italy

⁶ School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Keele University Faculty of Medicine, Stoke on Trent, UK

⁷ Centre for Sports and Exercise Medicine, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Mile End Hospital, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

⁸ Facultat de Ciències de la Salut Blanquerna, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain

* Correspondence: Christoph Schmitz, Chair of Anatomy II, Institute of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians University Pettenkoferstr. 11, 80336 Munich, Germany; Tel: +49-89-2180-72620; christoph.schmitz@med.uni-muenchen.de

Abstract: Radial extracorporeal shock wave therapy (rESWT) is an established modality in the management of Achilles tendinopathy. A recent study published in Clinical Rehabilitation reported that the addition of rESWT to exercise and education did not lead to improvements in pain, function or other outcomes compared to the addition of sham rESWT at 6 or 12 weeks post-baseline in individuals with insertional Achilles tendinopathy. The authors concluded that any benefits of rESWT in the management of insertional Achilles tendinopathy may be explained by a placebo mechanism. We respectfully suggest that this conclusion, though likely justified in the light of the results obtained, is to be restricted to rESWT performed in this study, but should not be generalized to all rESWT: the energy of the radial extracorporeal shock waves applied in this study is not high enough to result in a positive clinical outcome.

Keywords insertional Achilles tendinopathy; radial extracorporeal shock wave therapy; rESWT

Radial extracorporeal shock wave therapy (rESWT) is an established modality in the management of Achilles tendinopathy [1–6]. A recent study published in Clinical Rehabilitation questioned the value of rESWT in the management of insertional Achilles tendinopathy [7]. Specifically, Alsulaimani et al. [7] reported no significant difference in the mean VISA-A score at 12 weeks post-baseline (W12) between patients who were randomly allocated to either rESWT and a specific exercise program (n=38; baseline: 44.8 ± 15.1 (mean ± standard deviation); W12: 66.4 ± 15.2; Δ = +21.6) or to sham rESWT and the same exercise program (n=38; baseline: 49.4 ± 15.1; W12: 64.6 ± 15.2; Δ = +15.2), respectively [7]. These data contradict a previous study on rESWT for insertional Achilles tendinopathy [2], which was not cited by Alsulaimani et al. [7]. In that investigation [2], the mean VISA-A score of patients who were randomly allocated to rESWT (n=25) improved from 53.2 ± 5.8 at baseline to 79.4 ± 10.4 at four months post-baseline (M4; Δ = +26.2), and, in patients who were allocated to eccentric loading (n=25), from 52.7 ± 8.4 to 63.4 ± 12.0 at M4 (Δ = +10.7) [2]. Furthermore, in another previous study [3] on rESWT for midbody Achilles tendinopathy (again not cited by

Alsulaimani et al. [7]), the mean VISA-A score of patients who were randomly allocated to a combination of rESWT and eccentric loading ($n=34$) improved from 50.2 ± 11.1 at baseline to 86.5 ± 16.0 at M4 ($\Delta = +36.3$), and, in patients who were allocated to eccentric loading alone ($n=34$), from 50.6 ± 10.3 to 73.0 ± 19.0 at M4 ($\Delta = +22.4$) [9].

Collectively, these data do not support the conclusion by Alsulaimani et al. [7] that any benefits of rESWT in the management of insertional Achilles tendinopathy may be explained by a placebo mechanism. Rather, these findings raise the legitimate hypothesis that the radial shock waves (rESWs) applied by Alsulaimani et al. [7] did not have enough energy to produce a significant therapeutic effect.

The rESWT device used by Alsulaimani et al. [7] was an Intellect RPW2 (Chattanooga, USA); 3000 rESWs per treatment session were generated by an air pressure between 2 and 5 bar at a frequency of 10 Hz. To our knowledge, no study has demonstrated the energy flux density (EFD) [8–10] generated by this rESWT device. However, without specifying the EFD, no statement can be made about the effectiveness of the rESWs applied by Alsulaimani et al. [7], because there is no direct relationship between the air pressure at which a pneumatic rESWT device is operated and the EFD of the generated rESWs [9,10]. Furthermore, different rESWT devices can generate rESWs with similar EFD at frequency of 1 Hz, but with substantially different EFD when operated at 10 Hz or at even higher frequencies [10].

The treatment success of rESWT primarily depends on the EFD of the applied rESWs, rather than on the cumulated EFD applied during a treatment session [11–13]. In this respect, rESWs are no different from focused extracorporeal shock waves [14], which are also effective in the management of Achilles tendinopathy [5,6,15]. Thus, the EFD of the rESWs applied by Rompe et al. [2] in the management of insertional Achilles tendinopathy (3 treatment sessions; 2000 rESWs per session; Swiss DolorClast (Electro Medical Systems, Nyon, Switzerland); 2.5 bar) was sufficient for this indication, whereas the rESWs applied by Alsulaimani et al. [7] likely were not.

In summary, we suggest that the conclusions that (i) the addition of rESWT to exercise and education did not lead to improvements in pain, function or other outcomes compared to sham at 6 or 12 weeks post-baseline in patients with insertional Achilles tendinopathy, and (ii) any benefits of rESWT in the management of insertional Achilles tendinopathy may be explained by a placebo mechanism, have to be restricted to the specific rESWT device used by Alsulaimani et al. [7], but not to rESWT in general. While the study [7] was performed well, the EFD of the rESWs was simply not high enough to produce a positive clinical outcome.

References

1. Rompe JD, Nafe B, Furia JP, Maffulli N. Eccentric loading, shock-wave treatment, or a wait-and-see policy for tendinopathy of the main body of tendo Achillis: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med* 2007;35(3):374-83. doi: 10.1177/0363546506295940.
2. Rompe JD, Furia J, Maffulli N. Eccentric loading compared with shock wave treatment for chronic insertional achilles tendinopathy. A randomized, controlled trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2008;90(1):52-61. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.F.01494.
3. Rompe JD, Furia J, Maffulli N. Eccentric loading versus eccentric loading plus shock-wave treatment for midportion achilles tendinopathy: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med* 2009;37(3):463-470. doi: 10.1177/0363546508326983.
4. Yan B, Wan Y, Zhang H, Pan M, Zhou C. Extracorporeal shockwave therapy for patients with chronic achilles tendinopathy in long or short course. *Biomed Res Int* 2020;2020:7525096. doi: 10.1155/2020/7525096.
5. Al-Abbad H, Simon JV. The effectiveness of extracorporeal shock wave therapy on chronic achilles tendinopathy: a systematic review. *Foot Ankle Int* 2013;34(1):33-41. doi: 10.1177/1071100712464354.
6. Schmitz C, Császár NB, Milz S, Schieker M, Maffulli N, Rompe JD, Furia JP. Efficacy and safety of extracorporeal shock wave therapy for orthopedic conditions: a systematic review on studies listed in the PEDro database. *Br Med Bull* 2015;116(1):115-138. doi: 10.1093/bmb/ldv047.

7. Alsulaimani B, Perraton L, Vallance P, Powers T, Malliaras P. Does shockwave therapy lead to better pain and function than sham over 12 weeks in people with insertional Achilles tendinopathy? A randomised controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2024 Dec 20:2692155241295683 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1177/02692155241295683.
8. Cleveland RO, Chitnis PV, McClure SR. Acoustic field of a ballistic shock wave therapy device. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2007;33(8):1327-1335. doi: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2007.02.014.
9. Császár NB, Angstman NB, Milz S, Sprecher CM, Kobel P, Farhat M, Furia JP, Schmitz C. Radial shock wave devices generate cavitation. *PLoS One* 2015;10(10):e0140541. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0140541.
10. Reinhardt N, Wegenaer J, de la Fuente M. Influence of the pulse repetition rate on the acoustic output of ballistic pressure wave devices. *Sci Rep* 2022;12(1):18060. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-21595-5.
11. Chow IH, Cheing GL. Comparison of different energy densities of extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) for the management of chronic heel pain. *Clin Rehabil* 2007;21(2):131-141. doi: 10.1177/0269215506069244.
12. Kenmoku T, Iwakura N, Ochiai N, Saisu T, Ohtori S, Takahashi K, Nakazawa T, Fukuda M, Takaso M. Influence of different energy patterns on efficacy of radial shock wave therapy. *J Orthop Sci* 2021;26(4):698-703. doi: 10.1016/j.jos.2020.07.009.
13. Zhang YF, Liu Y, Chou SW, Weng H. Dose-related effects of radial extracorporeal shock wave therapy for knee osteoarthritis: A randomized controlled trial. *J Rehabil Med* 2021;53(1):jrm00144. doi: 10.2340/16501977-2782.
14. Gerdesmeyer L, Wagenpfeil S, Haake M, Maier M, Loew M, Wörtler K, Lampe R, Seil R, Handle G, Gassel S, Rompe JD. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for the treatment of chronic calcifying tendonitis of the rotator cuff: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2003;290(19):2573-2580. doi: 10.1001/jama.290.19.2573.
15. Gatz M, Schweda S, Betsch M, Dirrichs T, de la Fuente M, Reinhardt N, Quack V. Line- and point-focused extracorporeal shock wave therapy for achilles tendinopathy: a placebo-controlled RCT study. *Sports Health* 2021;13(5):511-518. doi: 10.1177/1941738121991791.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.