



S ABDUL JABBAR

FUTURE

 B.Ed communicative English

 BED

 Maulana Azad National Urdu University

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::1:3081251606

Submission Date

Nov 16, 2024, 2:06 PM GMT+5:30

Download Date

Nov 16, 2024, 2:07 PM GMT+5:30

File Name

The_Future_of_Higher_Education_Post.docx

File Size

19.9 KB

6 Pages

1,818 Words

11,147 Characters



0% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Detection Groups

-  **1 AI-generated only 0%**
Likely AI-generated text from a large-language model.
-  **2 AI-generated text that was AI-paraphrased 0%**
Likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.



The Future of Higher Education Post-Pandemic: Adaptations, Innovations, and Challenges

Dr. S. Abdul Jabbar, Mohd Gufran Barkati

Assistant Professor, Department of Education and Training, MANUU, Hyderabad,
dr.abduljabbar@manuu.edu.in

Assistant Professor, Department of Education and Training, MANUU, Hyderabad,
gufranbarkati@manuu.edu.in

Abstract:

The recent pandemic driven by COVID-19 has not only rewritten the way the world operates but also significantly reshaped higher education, driving a pre-existing process of digital transformation while encouraging, in totality, a comprehensive review of the traditional model of teaching, learning, and operations in institutions. During this period, access issues on one hand and problems of engagement and resource on the other have persistently raised questions for all institutions that transitioned to remote and hybrid learning systems. This paper reviews, within the context of these changes, how the shift might determine the future of higher education in terms of online learning theoretical perspectives and adaptability in institutions. This paper examines core areas of change from digital learning platforms to support and develop students and faculty, then assesses the potential for more flexible, more accessible, more skills-focused approaches to higher education.

Keywords: *Transformative Learning Theory, Equity and the Digital Divide: Access to Technology and Internet Connectivity*

Introduction:

The COVID-19 pandemic marked a watershed moment for higher education, pushing the rapid shift into a mass remote and hybrid learning model with significant campus disruption. Colleges and universities around the globe have taken online learning solutions, curricular innovations, and forms of student assessment, and student support structures. Such innovations helped ride out the crisis, but in doing so it also precipitated questions about the systemic challenges and long-term future of higher education. Currently, as institutions enter the post-pandemic world, they have emerged with new chances for exploiting innovative digitalization, improving accessibility, and extending flexible learning pathways. This paper explores the

implications of the pandemic for higher education's future: what changes, challenges, and the potential needed reforms will define the new era.

Theoretical Background:

Constructivist Learning Theory According to constructivist learning theory, learners will best understand through active involvement and participation with the material, oftentimes in collaboration with peers. This approach can be fostered by online learning environments that interact via discussions and project-based learning experiences and virtual group work, facilitated through integrated digital learning tools in support of constructivist theory. (Kim & Maloney, 2020).

Connectivism: It is George Siemens and Stephen Downes who describe for us a learning theory for the digital age, to the accommodation of networks, connections, and technology in knowledge acquisition. All this focus on how technology can connect students and resources and ideas reflects in the shift in higher education toward online learning, hence facilitating network-based interactions and resource sharing.

Transformative Learning Theory: This theory of transformative learning, as proposed by Jack Mezirow, centers on critical reflection and perspective change as the basis for adult learning. Online education led both faculty and students into learning new approaches to teaching and learning, an experience that might be transformative, thus transforming beliefs regarding education.

The theory of digital divide and inequity addresses the fact that even if technology is accessible, access to its resources can be limited and may continue in education without equity. The pandemic exposed and amplified such inequities, largely reflected in students who lacked access to the internet or devices, which became the biggest challenge to the future of higher education.

These theoretical perspectives create the foundational understanding of changes in higher education after the pandemic as well as the necessity for strategies that foster active, connected, and equitable learning experiences.

Digital and Hybrid: The Shift to New Learning Models:

Online Learning Platforms: Relatively quick shift onto the online technologies that use the infrastructure of platforms, such as Zoom and Microsoft Teams, for the delivery of Canvas courses through the country during a pandemic, starting to host digital lectures, virtual office

hours, and even online assessments ultimately introduced students to spending more of their time in digital learning environments. Soon afterward, institutions began to realize that some form of online or hybrid instruction was indeed tenable to continue. (Rapanta, Botturi, Goodyear, Guàrdia, & Koole, 2021)

Hybrid Models and Blended Learning: Hybrid models are becoming the new favourite, due to the fact that most institutions today are already hybridizing the method of instruction by incorporating both face-to-face and online instruction. This will yield flexibility, which could be provided to students and offer an adaptable format that can be customized in light of what is being contained within the course and the expected attainment.

Increased Accessibility and Flexibility: Online learning helped boost accessibility to higher education for non-traditional students, such as working adults, parents, and others with disabilities. On-access lectures and course materials boost flexibility; it is in this aspect that people can expect such online availability to be a priority in higher education in the near future.

Redefining Faculty and Faculty Development:

New Ways of Teaching and Cyber Literacy: The mode of teaching was to change for the faculty. Faculty went through a realization of digital literacy and management of virtual classrooms. Many institutions are committed to investment in faculty development programs, which concentrate on online pedagogy, usage of digital tools, and strategies for remote engagement. (Luvalo, 2024).

Shift in Faculty-Student Dynamics: The new remote learning environment shifted the old traditional faculty-student dynamic since professors are now easier to reach, thanks to online office hours or messaging platforms. However, having personal connections with students in a virtual setting requires the faculty to live up to the challenge as the adoption of digital learning continues.

As a means of revising course content for evaluation and adaptation, faculty members have reshaped the delivery methods to promote more interactive learning and engaging formats - such as flipped classrooms and project-based learning - during the delivery of their teaching that is aimed at keeping students interested and participants in the online environment.

Innovations in Support Services for Students:

Virtual counseling and mental health support: Covid served as an opportunity to make an issue that had hitherto gained little attention in educational circles-film on mental health. It is leading

many institutions to introduce virtual counseling services, especially to enable supporting student wellbeing in the online environment. That will be the permanent feature of higher education. (Tishchenkova, Martseniuk, Martseniuk, Cherniak, & Hruzdiev, 2021).

Career Services and Skill Development: Online, tertiary education facilities are offering career services, virtual career fairs, resume workshops, etc. In addition, the centers are once again bringing needed skills-based training to better equip students for rapidly changing job markets-particularly in digital competencies.

It also became essential for universities to upgrade their digital libraries, databases, and e-book collections in order to support remote learners and ensure that materials are accessed equitably.

Equity and the Digital Divide: Access to Technology and Internet Connectivity:

It seems there was an initial profound inequality regarding access to technology, as a percentage of the student body lacked "reliable internet or devices." What steps can institutions take to create more equitable access to technology? A few potential solutions could include loaner laptop programs, partnerships with internet providers to offer reduced services, and/or resource production that can be accessed offline. (Alkhnbashi, Mohammad, & Bamasoud, 2024).

Inclusive Pedagogy and Accessibility: Open digital tools can serve as a means through which an instructor takes on inclusive pedagogies-an ability through which content can always be delivered with access for all students, regardless of ability. Accessible content would include closed captioning videos, as well as ensuring materials are compatible with screen readers.

Economic Viability and Accessibility: Most universities have, owing to the economic squeeze brought about by the pandemic, catered to this new challenge by providing financial support and easing tuition charges for online programs. In the long term, the focus may be on even more affordable flexible programs which can cater for more financially tight students.

Long Term Impact on University Business Models and Infrastructure:

Campus Infrastructure, Shaping in the Manner: As more institutions will adopt hybrid models of instruction, it is probable that its cost-effective campus infrastructure will be less large, have smaller lecture halls, and more flexible spaces finally featured more prominently in many universities. (Kim & Maloney, 2020).

The Re-Imagination of Tuition and Value for Money of Education: With the cost of delivering online courses often lower, tuition might actually lower as the virtual delivery method costs

less than the traditional college experience. Additionally, concerns about the perceived value between the college experience and alternatives to that through credentialing measures are making the institutions revisit their value proposition.

Micro credentialing and lifelong learning Universities embrace such programs, reaching a better bargain with skill-led, continuous, and lifelong learners who progress with their educational requirements in creating career-relevant education through convenient, alternative, albeit shorter durational-orientated programs such as micro credentials and certificates, among others.

Conclusion:

The COVID-19 pandemic has catalysed change in higher education by forcing institutions to become adopters of digital tools, rethink traditional models of teaching, and address issues of access and equity. The future of higher education would probably be a blended picture that includes online and in-person instruction but increasingly flexible, more centered on support for students, and more inclusive of learning environments. The challenges are in infrastructure, faculty preparation, and sustainable funding models that both achieve access and achieve affordability. Higher education institutions need to join themselves in the continuum of continuous innovation while entering into collaboration with technology partners as a way of strategizing for the benefit of both traditional and non-traditional students. All these changes would place higher education within a more agile, inclusive, and responsive system that is tuned to the rapidly changing needs of society.

References:

1. Alkhnbashi, O. S., Mohammad, R., & Bamasoud, D. M. (2024). Education in transition: Adapting and thriving in a post-COVID world. *Systems*, 12(10), 402.
2. Jabbar, A., & Barkati, M. G. (2024). Education: Ethical Concerns and Brain Drains. *Ethical Frameworks in Special Education: A Guide for Researchers (Volume 2nd)*, 39.
3. JABBAR, A., & Barkati, M. G. (2024). The Integrated Teacher Education Program (ITEP): Shaping the Future of Education. *International Journal of research in Educational Sciences.*, 7(4), 345-353.
4. Jabbar, S. A. (2021). PHILOSOPHIES FOR EARLY CHILDHOOD CARE AND EDUCATION. *Ilkogretim Online*, 20(1), 7199-7203.

5. Kim, J., Maloney, E. J. (2020). *Learning Innovation and the Future of Higher Education*. United States: Johns Hopkins University Press.
6. Luvalo, L. M. (2024). Post-pandemic higher education: Systematic review of implications and approaches on teaching and learning. *Social Sciences and Education Research Review*, 11(1), 249-254.
7. Rapanta, C., Botturi, L., Goodyear, P., Guàrdia, L., & Koole, M. (2021). Balancing technology, pedagogy, and the new normal: Post-pandemic challenges for higher education. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 3, 715-742.
8. *Teaching in the Post COVID-19 Era: World Education Dilemmas, Teaching Innovations and Solutions in the Age of Crisis*. (2022). Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
9. Tishchenkova, S., Martseniuk, L., Martseniuk, L., Cherniak, N., & Hruzdiev, O. (2021). Higher education in the post-pandemic world: Prospects for revival and risks for oblivion. *Educational Alternatives*, 19(1), 2.