

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Intelligent Integrated Mental Health Care

[Hsin-Shu Huang](#) * and [Chin-Ming Liu](#)

Posted Date: 7 July 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202507.0486.v1

Keywords: AI mind mapping; empowerment; thinking function



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Intelligent Integrated Mental Health Care

Hsin-Shu Huang ^{1,*} and Chin-Ming Liu ²

¹ Department of Nursing, Central Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taichung 406053, Taiwan

² Department of Psychiatry, Cheng Ching Hospital, Taichung 40045, Taiwan

* Correspondence: hshuang@ctust.edu.tw

Abstract

Schizophrenia affects patients' organizational thinking, as well as their ability to identify problems. The main objective of this study was to explore healthcare consultants' application of AI mind maps to educate patients with schizophrenia regarding their perceptions of family function, social support, quality of life, and loneliness, and to help these patients think more organizationally and understand problems more effectively. The study used a survey research design and purposive sampling method to recruit 66 participants with schizophrenia who attended the psychiatric outpatient clinic of a hospital in central Taiwan. They needed to be literate, able to respond to the topic, and over 18 years old (inclusive), and they attended individual and group health education using AI mind mapping over a 3-month period during regular outpatient clinic visits. The study results show that patients' family function directly affects their quality of life ($p < 0.05$) and loneliness ($p < 0.05$), satisfaction with social support affects quality of life and loneliness directly ($p < 0.05$), and satisfaction with social support is a mediating factor between family function and quality of life ($p < 0.05$), as well as a mediating factor between family function and loneliness ($p < 0.05$). Therefore, this study confirms the need to provide holistic, integrated mental health social care support for patients with schizophrenia, showing that healthcare consultants can apply AI mind mapping to empower patients with schizophrenia to think more effectively about how to mobilize their social supports.

Keywords: AI mind mapping; empowerment; thinking function

1. Introduction

The World Health Organization's 2001 report, "Mental health: new understanding, new hope", sets out three directions for the treatment of people with mental disorders and psychosocial disabilities: (1) there must be no reason to discriminate against people with mental disorders and psychosocial disabilities; (2) the right of persons with mental disorders and psychosocial disabilities to receive treatment and care in their own communities must not be exploited; and (3) people with mental disorders and psychosocial disabilities have the right to receive treatment in the least restrictive environment and with the least restrictive or invasive treatment [1]. Social reintegration for individuals with schizophrenia is a critical aspect of their recovery and overall well-being. This process involves helping individuals with schizophrenia return to their communities and engage in social, educational, and occupational activities.

In 2022, the WHO stated that communities need to have a better understanding of the concept of mental health. For the treatment of people with mental disorders and psychosocial disabilities, communities should provide health services; in particular, community mental services need to cover mental health centers and teams, psychosocial rehabilitation, support system establishment, and living assistance. Influenced by Western initiatives, Taiwan has promoted the concepts of deinstitutionalization and community care. According to policy changes, people with mental disabilities have gradually transitioned from placement and long-term hospitalization to a community mental healthcare model [2].

Patients with schizophrenia face many challenges in daily life, including emotional management, social interaction, and self-expression. As a visual thinking tool, mind mapping can play an auxiliary function in the social life of patients with schizophrenia.

The application of AI mind mapping can help these patients organize their thoughts, express their emotions, and conduct self-reflection more effectively. These tools not only provide a way to think visually, but also promote problem-solving.

The main objective of this purposive sampling survey study was to explore healthcare consultants' application of AI mind mapping to educate patients with schizophrenia in their perceptions of family function, social support, quality of life, and loneliness, and to help these patients think more organizationally and understand problems more effectively.

An individual's family can provide support to reduce stress in their life [3], comprising an important factor affecting mental health and prognosis. If there is a person with schizophrenia in the family, the entire family will face challenges. The better the family functions, the more efficient it is in problem-solving [4]. Zhou's (2014) research results showed that good family function can have positive effects on the mental health of both patients and their families, as well as improving family satisfaction [5].

Social support includes interpersonal communication and the provision of various resources, such as information, substantial help, and psychological support. Communication is the basis of social support [6,7]. Patients with schizophrenia often face difficulties in developing interpersonal relationships and maintaining social relationships [8,9,10]. The longer the illness lasts, the easier it is for the individual to be discriminated against by society, and the harder it is for them to receive social support aside from psychiatric treatment [11,12,13]. As social support decreases, the risk of disease relapse increases and patients become increasingly disconnected from society, finally becoming isolated and marginalized [13].

In the treatment of patients with schizophrenia, emphasis is placed on symptom relief and functional recovery, which are important indicators for medical practitioners to use in evaluating whether patients have recovered [14]. According to past research, patients with schizophrenia have significantly lower physical, mental, social, and environmental health, as well as a lower quality of life, than non-schizophrenic people. This may be attributed to mental symptoms, social isolation, stigma and discrimination, cognitive impairment, and low employment opportunities [15]. The above situation is a common problem that patients with schizophrenia encounter in their daily lives.

At present, medical treatments for patients with schizophrenia have begun to shift from traditional symptom reduction to focusing on quality of life in an attempt to improve overall mental symptoms [16].

Loneliness is a common phenomenon for patients with schizophrenia, but the literature suggests that they have never received help for it [17,18], due to a lack of opportunities and limited participation in social activities and interactions with others in daily life [19].

Scholars have concluded that the reasons why patients with schizophrenia may experience loneliness are as follows: (1) patients with schizophrenia have limited access to social networks; (2) patients with schizophrenia are severely stigmatized by and excluded from society; (3) they tend to deliberately keep a distance in their interactions with others due to the impacts of their symptoms; (4) patients with schizophrenia have limited financial and other resources to maintain social relationships; (5) they participate less in social activities or have fewer good social experiences; (6) they have internalized social stigma and low self-esteem [20,21,22,23]. Due to barriers preventing communication with society, patients with schizophrenia often feel isolated and lack good relationships. However, during the treatment process, limited attention is paid to the loneliness caused by the lack of social networks [24], which leads these patients to become increasingly separated from society and, eventually, live alone.

In the modern education and work environment, mind mapping as a visualization tool has an auxiliary function for patients with schizophrenia [25]. The emergence of AI mind mapping has

further enhanced the functions of mind map, and has been applied to help patients with schizophrenia to organize and process information more effectively (Figure 1).



Figure 1. A Mind Map of Social Resources for People with Mental Disabilities.

1. Improve information organization capabilities
- The non-linear structure of mind mapping allows users to organize their thoughts in a more natural way. This is especially important for patients with schizophrenia, who may have difficulties with traditional linear learning and information processing. AI mind mapping allows for the automatic generation of structured graphics to help users simplify complex information into an easy-to-understand visual format.
2. Enhance memory and recall ability
- Research shows that visual learners (approximately 65% of the population) are more able to remember and recall information when using mind mapping [25]. AI mind mapping use colors, images, and keywords to strengthen memory, which is especially beneficial for patients with schizophrenia, who have short-term memory impairment.
3. Reduce anxiety and stress
- The visual nature of mind mapping can help patients with schizophrenia to reduce anxiety during study and work. By presenting information graphically, users can more clearly see the structure of a task, thereby reducing the stress caused by information overload.
4. Promote creativity and mental flexibility

AI mind mapping not only comprise basic graphic structures, but also provide creative suggestions to help users explore new ideas and connections. This function can stimulate and promote deeper thinking for patients with schizophrenia, who need flexible thinking.

5. Support collaboration and social interaction

Many AI mind mapping support multi-person collaboration, which is crucial for patients with schizophrenia participating in a team. These tools promote social interaction, help patients with schizophrenia to express their ideas in group discussions, and enhance their social skills.

AI is a multi-functional artificial intelligence assistant that is particularly suitable for generating mind maps, which is of great significance for the education of patients with schizophrenia.

The following are its specific applications in this field:

1. Visual thinking and learning

AI's mind mapping function can transform complex concepts into intuitive graphics, which can help patients with schizophrenia better understand and remember information. By presenting information graphically, users can more easily grasp key concepts and connections, thereby improving learning outcomes.

2. Automatic generation of mind maps

The healthcare consultant only needs to enter text or upload relevant information, and AI can automatically generate a mind map. This function is particularly suitable for situations where ideas need to be organized quickly. For example, in individual and group health education, outlines or key points can be quickly generated to help patients with schizophrenia more effectively follow the teaching progress.

3. Enhancing participation and interactivity

In health education activities, AI can be used as an auxiliary tool to encourage patients with schizophrenia to participate in the learning process. Through interactive mind map generation, users can add their own thoughts and questions to the map, which not only enhances their sense of participation but also promotes their depth of thinking.

4. Supporting multiple input formats

AI supports a variety of input formats, including PDFs, Word documents, and YouTube videos. This feature is particularly important for patients with schizophrenia, who need a variety of learning materials and can be taught by healthcare consultants to obtain information from different media and develop a more comprehensive understanding.

5. Improving learning efficiency

By using the mind maps generated by AI, patients with schizophrenia can master content faster, which is crucial to improving their learning efficiency. The structured nature of mind maps makes the absorption and review of information more efficient, helping them to better apply what they have learned in their daily lives.

The AI mind mapping tool has wide application potential in the health education of patients with schizophrenia. It can effectively improve learning effects, enhance participation, and promote the understanding and memorization of information.

In summary, schizophrenia affects patients' organizational thinking and ability to identify problems. AI mind mapping plays multiple roles in supporting patients with schizophrenia when studying or at work, from improving information organization capabilities to enhancing their thinking and helping to solve problems.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This study used a survey research design and purposive sampling method to recruit participants and obtain their consent to participate. All questionnaires were filled out verbally and anonymously. The questionnaire content was only used for research and analysis. Ethical regulations were strictly adhered to, and the privacy of the participants was protected.

The participants of this study were patients with schizophrenia who attended the psychiatric outpatient clinic of a hospital in central Taiwan. To be eligible for the study, participants must have been assessed by their psychiatrist as having stable mental symptoms. They also needed to be literate, able to respond to the topic, and over 18 years old (inclusive). Patients attended individual and group health education using AI mind maps over a 3-month period during regular outpatient clinic visits.

2.2. Measurement

2.2.1. Demographic Variable Questionnaire

The background information of the participants was collected and included gender, age, education level, and religious beliefs.

2.2.2. Family Function Scale

The family function scale was used to understand whether the family is a resource or a source of pressure for the participants. This scale's content is divided into five levels: adaptability, cooperation, growth, emotion, and intimacy. It measures the ability to use family resources and jointly make decisions, responsibility cultivation ability, whether the family can support one's self-achievement ability, interactive relationship ability, and the ability to get along with family members. There are five questions in this scale, which are evaluated using a three-point scoring method ranging from 0 to 2, with a total score of 10 points. A total score of 0-3 is considered severely difficult, 4-6 is considered mildly difficult, and 7-10 indicates good function, meaning that patients have a sufficient ability to face problems. Smilkstein et al. (1982) tested the reliability of the scale in terms of internal consistency; the Cronbach's alpha value was in the range of 0.80 to 0.86. In terms of validity, different studies have reported different values, with a range between 0.64 and 0.8, thus demonstrating a certain level of validity [26].

2.2.3. Social Support Scale

The original social support scale was compiled by Sarason, Levine, Basham, and Sarason in 1983 [27,28]. The original scale had a total of 27 questions, which was later revised to 20 questions. This scale is divided into two parts: number of social supports (SSQ (N)) and social support satisfaction (SSQ (S)). The number of social support options ranges from 0 to 9 individuals; social support satisfaction is measured using a six-point Likert scale, with 1 indicating very dissatisfied and 6 indicating satisfied. This study adopted the Chinese social support scale translated by Wu Jingji in 1986 and revised by Zhang Zhiyuan in 1989. The scale's Cronbach's alpha value for the number of social supports (SSQ (N)) was 0.9269, and for social support satisfaction (SSQ (S)) it was 0.94, which shows good internal consistency. Its validity was tested using factor analysis, and the loadings of the number of significant social supports and social support satisfaction were greater than 0.40, indicating that the revised scale has good construct validity [28].

2.2.4. Quality of Life Scale

The original quality of life scale, WHOQOL-100, had a total of 100 questions, which could be divided into four major categories. However, as the questionnaire was too long, WHOQOL-BREF was developed, with a total of 28 questions. The scale itself has 26 universal questions: 7 are related to physical health, 6 to mental health, 4 to social relations, and 9 to the environment. There are two additional questions asking the respondent for an overall evaluation of their quality of life.

This scale measures health and general quality of life in other aspects. It uses a five-point Likert scale. In the reliability and validity test, the Cronbach's alpha for the entire questionnaire was 0.91, and the Cronbach's alpha for the four major categories was in the range of 0.70-0.77 [29,30]. The content validity between a question and the level it belongs to was 0.57-0.91. The content validity between a category and overall quality of life scores was 0.64-0.85, with $p < 0.01$, indicating that the reliability and validity of this questionnaire are both good [31].

2.2.5. Loneliness Scale

Russell et al. (1980) developed the UCLA Loneliness Scale Version 3 to measure the level of loneliness using a four-point Likert scale, with the options being "never," "rarely," "often," and "always;" there are 20 questions in total. It is a one-dimensional measurement tool. The internal consistency reliability of this scale was 0.89 to 0.94, and the test-retest reliability was 0.73, which shows that this scale has good reliability. The higher the final summed score, the more lonely a respondent feels [32,33].

2.3. Data Collection and Analysis

This study calculated the sample size using G*power version 3.1 statistical software, with an effect size of 0.15, an α error probability of 0.05, and an estimated sample loss rate of 20%. AI mind maps were used during regular outpatient clinic attendance for individual and group health education; 66 persons with schizophrenia agreed to participate in this study.

After the data were collected, decoded, logged, and archived, a descriptive and inferential statistical analysis was conducted using the SPSS 26.0 for Windows/PC software suite, and $\alpha = 0.05$ was set as the standard for significant differences.

2.3.1. Descriptive Statistics

The distribution of categorical variables is presented in terms of frequency distribution and percentage, and the distribution of continuous variables is presented in terms of number (n) and percentage (%).

2.3.2. Inferential Statistics

A set of regression analyses was used to verify the causal mechanism. The unique effect of an independent variable on the dependent variable is called the direct effect, and the independent variable affects the dependent variable through the mediator, which is called the indirect effect. The sum of the two effects is the so-called total effect, which can be obtained using path analysis to discover the key to the true core of the dependent variable.

2.4. Ethical Considerations

This research project was reviewed and approved by the IRB of the China Medical University Hospital (no.: CRREC-111-052, AR-1, March 16, 2023). The participants had the right to withdraw from the study at any time and could ask for further information. The questionnaires were numbered anonymously in order to delink and ensure confidentiality. The names and conditions of the participants will never be publicized, and the results are for academic use only.

3. Results

3.1. Study Sample Characteristics

In terms of demographic characteristics, the proportion of the participants was 50% male (33 people) and 50% female (33 people). Approximately 55% (36 people) of participants were aged 18-40 years, and 45% (30 people) were aged 41 years or above (inclusive). A total of 30% (20 people) of participants reported a junior high school education or below, and 70% (46 people) reported a high school vocational education or above. Approximately 47% (31 people) of participants reported having religious beliefs, and 53% (35 people) reported having no religious beliefs.

3.2. Mediation Effect Analysis

3.2.1. Mediating Effect of Family Function, Social Support Satisfaction, and Quality of Life

In exploring whether "social support satisfaction" is a mediating factor between "family function" and "quality of life" (as shown in Table 1 and Figure 2), it was found that "family function" has a statistically significant impact on "quality of life" ($p < 0.05$). "Family function" also has a statistically significant impact on "satisfaction with social support" ($p < 0.05$).

Then, the Bootstrap method was used to test whether "satisfaction with social support" is a mediating variable, and it was found that the direct effect is statistically significant (the confidence interval does not include 0), indicating that "satisfaction with social support" affects "quality of life" directly; indirectly, the mediating effect is statistically significant (the confidence interval does not include 0), indicating that "social support satisfaction" is the mediating factor between "family function" and "quality of life."

Table 1. Analysis of social support satisfaction as a mediating variable between family function and quality of life.

Variables	β	SE	95%C.I.		Bootstrap 95%C.I.	
			Lower	Upper	Lower	Upper
Family function→Quality of life	3.186***	0.568	2.047	4.324	2.047	4.406
Family function→Social support	4.944***	0.752	3.438	6.451	3.470	6.359
Family function→Social support→Quality of life	0.249**	0.076	0.096	0.402	0.123	0.387
	Effect	SE	95%C.I.		Bootstrap 95%C.I.	
Total effect	4.418***	0.461	3.494	5.342	—	—
Direct effect						
Family function→Quality of life	3.186***	0.568	2.047	4.324	—	—
Indirect effect						
Family function→Social support→Quality of life	1.232***	0.332	—	—	0.627	1.931

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$.




Figure 2. Relationship between family function, social support satisfaction (as a mediating factor), and quality of life.

3.2.2. Mediating Effect of Family Function, Social Support Satisfaction, and Loneliness

When analyzing whether "social support satisfaction" is a mediating factor between "family function" and "loneliness" (as shown in Table 2 and Figure 3), it was found that "family function" has a statistically significant impact on "loneliness" ($p < 0.05$). "Family function" also has a statistically significant impact on "satisfaction with social support" ($p < 0.05$).

Then, the Bootstrap method was used to test whether "satisfaction with social support" is a mediating variable, and it was found that the direct effect is statistically significant (the confidence interval does not include 0), indicating that "satisfaction with social support" affects "loneliness" directly; indirectly, the mediating effect is statistically significant (the confidence interval does not include 0), indicating that "social support satisfaction" is the mediating factor between "family function" and "loneliness."

Table 2. Analysis of social support satisfaction as a mediating variable between family function and loneliness.

Variables	β	SE	95%C.I.		Bootstrap 95%C.I.	
			Lower	Upper	Lower	Upper
Family function→Feelings of loneliness	-1.905***	0.520	-2.947	-0.862	-2.784	-0.981
Family function→Social support	4.944***	0.752	3.438	6.451	3.470	6.330
Family function→Social support→Feelings of loneliness	-0.183*	0.070	-0.323	-0.043	-0.302	-0.071

	Effect	SE	95%C.I.		Bootstrap 95%C.I.	
Total effect	-2.811***	0.409	-3.632	-1.991	—	—
Direct effect						
Family function→Feelings of loneliness	-1.905***	0.520	-2.947	-0.862	—	—
Indirect effect						
Family function→Social support→Feelings of loneliness	-0.906**	0.313	—	—	-1.571	-0.337

*** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05.




Figure 3. Relationship between family function, social support satisfaction (as a mediating factor), and loneliness.

4. Discussion

Empirical studies have shown that digital health interventions (DHIs) may enable low-cost, scalable improvements in the quality of care for adults with schizophrenia [34]. Healthcare consultants can apply AI mind mapping to empower patients with schizophrenia to think about how to mobilize their social support more effectively. Relevant studies have indicated that social supports determine a caregiver's care burden [13,35]; it has also been proven that good social support for patients—including the feeling of being cared for and respected by others—can reduce social discrimination, improve mental health, and positively correlates with recovery [13,36]. The World Health Organization's (WHO) proposal in the 2013-2030 integrated mental health action plan regarding the need to provide holistic, comprehensive mental health social care services in community settings to support the community life of patients with schizophrenia [37]. Successful social reintegration can help to combat the stigma associated with schizophrenia. When individuals actively participate in their communities, it fosters understanding and acceptance among the general public. This not only benefits those with schizophrenia, but also enriches the community by promoting diversity and inclusion. Efforts to reintegrate individuals into society can lead to a more supportive environment that recognizes the capabilities and contributions of people with mental health conditions.

This study uses regression and mediation analysis to explore the relationships between family function, social support, and patient outcomes; however, the absence of a control or comparison group—for example, a group not exposed to AI mind mapping—limits the ability to attribute the observed improvements directly to the intervention. In the future, it is worth expanding the sample size and conducting randomized controlled trials to verify the effectiveness of AI mind mapping in empowering patients.

5. Conclusions

The main objective of this purposive sampling survey study was to explore healthcare consultants' application of AI mind mapping to educate patients with schizophrenia in their perceptions of their family function, social support, quality of life, and loneliness, as well as empowering these patients to think more organizationally and understand problems more effectively. Based on an analysis of the survey data, it was found that social support satisfaction acts as a mediating factor between family function and quality of life, as well as a mediating factor between family function and loneliness.

Therefore, this study confirms the need to provide holistic, integrated mental health social care support for patients with schizophrenia, showing that healthcare consultants can apply AI mind mapping to empower patients with schizophrenia to think more effectively about how to mobilize their social supports.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.-S.H.; data curation, H.-S.H.; methodology, H.-S.H.; resources, H.-S.H. and C.-M.L.; supervision, H.-S.H.; writing—original draft preparation, H.-S.H.; writing—review and editing, H.-S.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study conducted data collection after receiving approval from the Central Regional Research Ethics Committee of China Medical University Hospital (IRB No.: CRREC-111-052, AR-1, March 16, 2023).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all of the subjects involved in this study.

Data Availability Statement: All of the relevant datasets in this study are described in the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. World Health Organization. The World Health Report: 2001 Mental health: New understanding, new hope; World Health Organization, **2001**. <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/268478>
2. World Health Organization. Concepts in mental health; World Health Organization, **2022**. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/mental-health-strengthening-our-response>
3. Smilkstein, G. The physician and family function assessment. *Family Systems Medicine*. **1984**, 2(3), 263-278. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0091661>
4. Sawant, N. S.; Jethwani, K. S. Understanding family functioning and social support in unremitting schizophrenia: A study in India. *Indian journal of psychiatry*. **2010**, 52(2), 145-149. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.64593>
5. Zhou Xiaozhen. The relationship between self-stigma, family function and disease prognosis in patients with mental illness; National Taiwan Normal University: Taipei, Taiwan, **2014**. <https://hdl.handle.net/11296/28pcjc>
6. Farrel, E. L.; Feng, B.; Burleson, B. R. Supportive communication; In M. L. Knapp, M. L., Daly, J.A. (Eds.), *Hand book of interpersonal communication* (pp. 317-354). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, **2011**. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283326465_support_communication2011
7. Segrin, C.; Domschke, T. Social support, loneliness, recuperative processes, and their direct and indirect effects on health. *Health communication*. **2011**, 26(3), 221-232. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2010.546771>

8. Davidson, L.; Shahar, G.; Stayner, D. A.; Chinman, M. J.; Rakfeldt, J.; Tebes, J. K. Supported socialization for people with psychiatric disabilities: Lessons from a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Community Psychology*. **2004**, 32(4), 453-477. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.20013>
9. Mueser, K. T.; Tarrier, N. Handbook of social functioning in schizophrenia; Allyn & Bacon, **1998**. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1998-07175-000>
10. McCorkle, B. H.; Rogers, E. S.; Dunn, E. C.; Lyass, A.; Wan, Y. M. Increasing social support for individuals with serious mental illness: Evaluating the compeer model of intentional friendship. *Community mental health journal*. **2008**, 44(5), 359-366. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-008-9137-8>
11. Beels, C. C. Social support and schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. **1981**, 7(1), 58-72. <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/7.1.58>
12. Buchanan, J. Social support and schizophrenia: a review of the literature. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*. **1995**, 9, 68-76. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0883-9417\(95\)80003-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0883-9417(95)80003-4)
13. Gayer-Anderson, C.; Morgan, C. Social networks, support and early psychosis: a systematic review. *Epidemiology and psychiatric sciences*. **2013**, 22(2), 131-146. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s2045796012000406>
14. Cheng, J. J.; Kao, C.C. Quality of life of patients with mental illness and its measurement. *Taiwan Psychiatry*. **2005**, 19(3), 179-191. <https://www.airitilibrary.com/Article/Detail/10283684-200509-19-3-179-191-a>
15. Dong, M.; Lu, L.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Y. S.; Ng, C. H.; Ungvari, G. S.; Li, M.; Meng, X.; Xiang, Y. T. Quality of life in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis of comparative studies. *Psychiatric Quarterly*. **2019**, 90(3), 519-532. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-019-09633-4>
16. Desalegn, D.; Girma, S.; Abdeta, T. Quality of life and its association with psychiatric symptoms and socio-demographic characteristics among people with schizophrenia: A hospital-based cross-sectional study. *Plos one*. **2020**, 15(2), e0229514. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0229514
17. Liao, H. Y.; Lee, Y.; Hsu, S. T.; Yen, C. F. Loneliness in patients with schizophrenia. *Taiwanese Journal of Psychiatry*. **2021**, 35(2), 59-63. https://doi.org/10.4103/tpsy.tpsy_14_21
18. Badcock, J. C.; Adery, L. H.; Park, S. Loneliness in psychosis: a practical review and critique for clinicians. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*. **2020**, 27(4), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cpsp.12345>
19. Fulford, D.; Mueser, K. T. The importance of understanding and addressing loneliness in psychotic disorders. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*. **2020**, 27(4), 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cpsp.12383>
20. Brunt, D.; Hansson, L. The social networks of persons with severe mental illness in in-patient settings and supported community settings. *Journal of Mental Health*. **2002**, 11(6), 611-621. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638230021000058175>
21. Goering, P.; Durbin, J.; Foster, R.; Boyles, S.; Babiak, T.; Lancee, B. Social networks of residents in supportive housing. *Community Mental Health Journal*. **1992**, 28(3), 199-214. doi: 10.1007/BF00756817
22. Goldberg, R. W.; Rollins, A. L.; Lehman, A. F. Social network correlates among people with psychiatric disabilities. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*. **2003**, 26(4), 393-402. <https://doi.org/10.2975/26.2003.393.402>
23. Prince, J. D.; Oyo, A.; Mora, O.; Wyka, K.; Schonebaum, A. D. Loneliness among persons with severe mental illness. *The Journal of nervous and mental disease*. **2018**, 206(2), 136-141. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.0000000000000768>
24. Perese, E. F.; Wolf, M. Combating loneliness among persons with severe mental illness: social network interventions' characteristics, effectiveness, and applicability. *Issues in mental health nursing*. **2005**, 26(6), 591-609. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01612840590959425>
25. Buzan, Tony. *The Mind Map Book*; Penguin Books, 2000. ISBN 978-0-452-27322-1
26. Smilkstein, G.; Ashworth, C.; Montano, D. Validity and reliability of the family APGAR as a test of family function *J fam Pract*. **1982**, 15(2), 303-311. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7097168/>
27. Yang Zhengcheng. Research on Internet Addiction, Social Support and Life Adaptation of College Students; Tunghai University: Taichung, Taiwan, **2002**. <https://hdl.handle.net/11296/bc59sz>
28. Huang Lilong. Related research on college students' self-esteem, social support and coping styles with love relationship conflicts; National Taichung University of Education: Taichung, Taiwan, **2012**. <https://hdl.handle.net/11296/nudj9t>

29. Yao Kaiping. Introduction to the concept and measurement principles of health-related quality of life. *Taiwan Medicine*. **2002**, 6 (2), 183-192. [https://doi.org/10.6320/FJM.2002.6\(2\).08](https://doi.org/10.6320/FJM.2002.6(2).08)
30. Ou Zhongming. Research on the quality of life and related factors of patients with schizophrenia - comparison with and without the use of home care; National Taipei University of Nursing and Health: Taipei, Taiwan, **2011**. <https://hdl.handle.net/11296/dhfm5>
31. Development Team of the Taiwan Version of the World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire. Introduction to the Development of the Taiwan Version of the World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire. *Chinese Journal of Public Health*. **2000**, 19 (4), 315-324. <http://dx.doi.org/10.6288/CJPH2000-19-04-10>
32. Russell, D.; Peplau, L. A.; Cutrona, C. E. The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale: Concurrent and discriminant validity evidence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. **1980**, 39, 472-480. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.39.3.472>
33. Xie Mingjun. Research on the relationship between loneliness, social support and happiness among college students; National Taiwan Normal University: Taipei, Taiwan, **2015**. <https://hdl.handle.net/11296/4etcv4>
34. Arnautovska, U.; Trott, M.; Vitangcol, K. J.; Milton, A.; Brown, E.; Warren, N.; Leucht, S.; Firth, J.; Siskind, D. Efficacy of User Self-Led and Human-Supported Digital Health Interventions for People with Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. **2024**, sbae143. <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbae143>
35. Yu, Y. H.; Peng, M. M.; Bai, X.; Luo, W.; Yang, X.; Li, J.; Liu, B.; Thornicroft, G.; Chan, C. L. W.; Ran, M. S. Schizophrenia, social support, caregiving burden and household poverty in rural China. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*. **2020**, 55(12), 1571-1580. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-020-01864-2>
37. El-Monshed, A.; Amr, M. Association between perceived social support and recovery among patients with schizophrenia. *International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences*. **2020**, 13, 100236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijans.2020.100236>
38. World Health Organization. Comprehensive Mental Health Action Plan 2013–2030; World Health Organization, **2021**. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240031029>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.