

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Psychology Behind Fitness and Nutrition Inaction

[adam nelson](#) *

Posted Date: 12 June 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202506.1057.v1

Keywords: Healthy habits; Exercise; Nutrition; Behavioral change



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

The Psychology Behind Fitness and Nutrition Inaction

Adam J. Nelson

Independent Researcher/ Health & Fitness Specialist; nelsonadam15@gmail.com

Abstract: Most people are aware of the benefits of regular exercise and proper nutrition, yet a vast majority fail to act on this knowledge. This paper explores the psychological, social, and behavioral barriers that prevent individuals from adopting and maintaining healthy habits. It challenges the common belief that motivation is the primary driver of action, demonstrating instead that motivation is fleeting and unreliable. The analysis delves into factors such as instant gratification, fear of discomfort, self-sabotage, and decision fatigue, all of which contribute to inaction. Additionally, environmental influences, including societal norms, peer pressure, and the overwhelming abundance of conflicting health information, play a critical role in shaping behavior. Drawing on psychological and behavioral science research, this paper argues that sustainable health changes require a shift from relying on motivation to building systems of discipline, habit formation, and accountability. By implementing practical strategies—such as simplifying health decisions, embracing discomfort, and leveraging social support—individuals can bridge the gap between knowledge and action. Ultimately, this paper seeks to redefine how we approach health and fitness, advocating for a mindset shift that prioritizes consistency over fleeting bursts of inspiration.

Keywords: healthy habits; exercise; nutrition; behavioral change

The Psychology Behind Fitness and Nutrition Inaction

Despite the well-documented benefits of regular exercise and proper nutrition, a significant portion of the population fails to adopt and maintain these behaviors. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reports that only 23% of U.S. adults meet the recommended guidelines for both aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities (CDC, 2022). Similarly, poor dietary choices continue to be a major contributor to obesity, cardiovascular disease, and metabolic disorders, with over 42% of American adults classified as obese (Hales et al., 2020). The paradox is clear: people know they should exercise and eat healthily, yet they consistently fail to do so. This disconnect raises a crucial question—why don't people act on what they know is beneficial?

Traditional explanations often attribute this failure to a lack of motivation. However, research suggests that motivation alone is not a reliable driver of long-term behavior change. Studies in behavioral psychology indicate that while motivation can serve as an initial trigger for action, it is highly variable and unsustainable (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Instead, long-term adherence to health-promoting behaviors is more strongly correlated with habit formation, identity shifts, and structured environmental cues (Wood & Neal, 2007). In other words, people are more likely to engage in regular exercise and healthy eating when these behaviors become ingrained habits rather than choices dependent on fluctuating motivation levels.

This paper explores the psychological, social, and behavioral factors that contribute to the widespread failure to act on known health principles. Drawing from research in self-determination theory, behavioral economics, and habit formation, it will debunk the myth that motivation is the key to sustainable health changes. Instead, it will present evidence that systems, environment, and identity-based habits play a far greater role in long-term success. By understanding the true drivers

of behavior, individuals and health professionals can develop more effective strategies to bridge the gap between knowledge and action.

The Motivation Myth

A common misconception in fitness and nutrition is that motivation is the primary driver of action. Many believe that if they could just “get motivated,” they would finally commit to regular exercise and healthy eating. However, research suggests that motivation is temporary, unreliable, and often misleading as a foundation for long-term behavior change. Studies in psychology have repeatedly shown that motivation fluctuates based on emotional states, external circumstances, and biological rhythms (Baumeister & Tierney, 2011). This variability makes motivation an unstable factor for maintaining health habits. Research by Oettingen and Gollwitzer (2010) highlights that people who rely on motivation alone often overestimate their likelihood of success, leading to cycles of enthusiasm followed by discouragement when motivation fades.

One reason relying on motivation fails is that willpower is a limited resource. The theory of ego depletion suggests that self-control and willpower function like a muscle—capable of fatigue with overuse (Baumeister, Bratslavsky, Muraven, & Tice, 1998). This means that someone who depends on motivation to eat clean or exercise will likely struggle to maintain those behaviors after experiencing daily stressors. Furthermore, motivation often peaks at the beginning of a goal but quickly declines. Research on the Fresh Start Effect explains why individuals frequently begin fitness programs with high enthusiasm, such as during New Year’s resolutions, but struggle to maintain them over time (Dai, Milkman, & Riis, 2014). The initial burst of motivation is short-lived, and without structured habits, people revert to old behaviors.

Another challenge is the well-documented intention-action gap, which refers to the disconnect between what people intend to do and what they actually follow through with. Studies have found that individuals often plan to engage in health-promoting behaviors but fail due to a lack of structured systems and environmental triggers (Sheeran & Webb, 2016). Without consistent habits and automatic decision-making processes, motivation alone is insufficient for long-term adherence. Instead, behavioral research suggests that habit formation is the true driver of sustained success. Lally, Van Jaarsveld, Potts, and Wardle (2010) found that it takes an average of 66 days for a behavior to become automatic, far longer than the commonly cited 21-day rule. Additionally, Clear (2018) emphasizes that small, sustainable actions compound over time, creating long-lasting behavioral change.

Ultimately, motivation is not the key to success in fitness and nutrition. Rather than relying on fleeting bursts of inspiration, individuals who achieve lasting health changes develop structured habits, environmental triggers, and accountability systems that allow them to take action regardless of how they feel on any given day. The next section will explore the psychological barriers that reinforce inaction and prevent people from bridging the gap between knowledge and execution.

Psychological Barriers to Action

A common misconception in fitness and nutrition is that people fail to act simply because they lack motivation. However, deeper psychological barriers—such as cognitive biases, emotional responses, and mental roadblocks—play a significant role in preventing individuals from following through on their health goals. One of the most significant barriers is the human tendency toward instant gratification. Present bias, a well-documented phenomenon in behavioral economics, suggests that individuals disproportionately prioritize short-term pleasure over long-term rewards, even when they intellectually understand the benefits of delayed gratification (O’Donoghue & Rabin, 1999). This explains why people often choose unhealthy food despite knowing it will negatively impact their health in the future or skip workouts in favor of immediate relaxation. Research on delayed gratification, commonly known as the “Marshmallow Test,” demonstrated that individuals who could resist immediate rewards in favor of larger future rewards tended to have better life

outcomes, including improved health and well-being (Mischel, Shoda, & Rodriguez, 1989). However, resisting short-term temptations requires cognitive effort, which many fail to exert in the moment.

Another powerful deterrent to action is the anticipation of discomfort associated with exercise and healthy eating. Studies in neuropsychology suggest that the brain is wired to minimize pain and effort while maximizing comfort (Kahneman, 2011). Exercise is often perceived as physically strenuous and requires a temporary increase in discomfort, while healthy eating may involve resisting familiar comfort foods. Research has found that individuals with lower tolerance for discomfort are less likely to engage in regular exercise, even when they recognize its benefits (Ekkekakis & Dafermos, 2012). This aversion to short-term discomfort leads many to procrastinate or abandon their fitness and nutrition goals altogether.

Many individuals unknowingly engage in self-sabotage by reinforcing negative self-perceptions and limiting beliefs. Research in self-determination theory suggests that behavior change is more likely to be sustained when it aligns with an individual's self-concept and intrinsic motivations (Deci & Ryan, 2000). However, individuals who identify as "not athletic," "bad at dieting," or "too busy" often struggle to engage in behaviors that contradict these beliefs. A study on habitual thought patterns found that ingrained negative beliefs about oneself lead to automatic, self-defeating behaviors, making it difficult to create lasting change (Verplanken & Orbell, 2003).

Modern society bombards individuals with an overwhelming number of choices regarding fitness and nutrition, contributing to a state of paralysis by analysis. The abundance of conflicting diet plans, workout programs, and health advice often results in individuals struggling to make a decision and ultimately doing nothing (Schwartz, 2004). Additionally, decision fatigue—the cognitive exhaustion that results from making too many choices—has been shown to deplete self-control and increase the likelihood of making unhealthy decisions later in the day (Vohs et al., 2008). This is why individuals who start their day with strong intentions to eat healthily and exercise often succumb to poor choices by evening.

These psychological barriers highlight why motivation alone is insufficient for long-term behavior change. Addressing these challenges requires habit formation, structured environments, and identity shifts, which will be explored in later sections. By understanding how the brain naturally resists change, individuals can develop strategies to bypass these cognitive roadblocks and create lasting health habits.

Social and Environmental Influences

Cultural norms, social expectations, and environmental factors play a significant role in shaping behavior, often acting as barriers to adopting and maintaining healthy habits. While motivation and individual psychology influence decision-making, the surrounding environment can either support or hinder efforts toward exercise and nutrition. Modern society is structured in ways that make unhealthy choices more convenient and desirable, creating obstacles that many struggle to overcome. The widespread availability of highly processed, calorie-dense foods and sedentary entertainment reinforces behaviors that prioritize comfort over long-term health. Research on food environments and obesity suggests that individuals are far more likely to consume unhealthy foods when they are easily accessible, affordable, and heavily marketed, compared to whole, nutrient-dense options that require more effort to prepare (Story, Kaphingst, Robinson-O'Brien, & Glanz, 2008). Similarly, urban planning that prioritizes cars over pedestrian-friendly spaces has led to decreased levels of physical activity, further compounding the problem (Sallis, Floyd, Rodríguez, & Saelens, 2012).

Social circles and peer influence also shape health behaviors. Studies show that individuals who are surrounded by others who engage in unhealthy habits are more likely to adopt those same behaviors, while those in supportive, health-conscious environments are more likely to succeed in their fitness and nutrition goals (Christakis & Fowler, 2007). This phenomenon, known as social contagion, suggests that behaviors spread through networks, meaning that an individual's likelihood of exercising or eating healthily is significantly influenced by their close relationships. When social

groups normalize sedentary lifestyles and poor dietary choices, deviating from these patterns requires not only personal effort but also a willingness to resist social pressures. Workplace culture further exacerbates this issue, as many professional environments promote long hours of sedentary work with limited opportunities for physical activity or access to nutritious meals.

The modern information landscape adds another layer of complexity to behavior change. The overwhelming volume of conflicting health advice available through social media, advertisements, and popular media leads many individuals to experience confusion and frustration. Studies on health literacy indicate that people who struggle to distinguish credible health information from misleading claims are more likely to engage in ineffective or unsustainable health practices (Nutbeam, 2008). This excessive flow of information often results in paralysis by analysis, where individuals become so overwhelmed by the number of options that they fail to commit to any single approach. Additionally, aggressive marketing tactics from the food and fitness industries exploit common psychological triggers to promote short-term gratification over long-term well-being. Highly processed foods are engineered to be hyper-palatable, activating reward centers in the brain and reinforcing cravings that make sustained dietary change difficult (Gearhardt, Davis, Kuschner, & Brownell, 2011). Meanwhile, the fitness industry frequently promotes extreme, unsustainable workout programs that contribute to cycles of temporary adherence followed by burnout.

Understanding these social and environmental barriers is crucial for developing sustainable strategies for health improvement. While individual motivation and discipline play a role in overcoming these challenges, modifying one's environment—such as restructuring social circles, curating information sources, and altering food accessibility—can significantly enhance the likelihood of long-term success. By acknowledging the broader societal influences on behavior, individuals can implement structural changes that make healthy choices the default rather than the exception.

The Science of Sustainable Action

Long-term adherence to healthy habits requires more than motivation; it depends on structured behavioral systems, habit formation, and environmental reinforcement. Research in behavioral psychology has demonstrated that individuals who develop automatic health habits are significantly more likely to maintain them than those who rely on willpower alone. The formation of these habits follows a predictable process based on cue-response associations, reinforcement, and identity shifts (Lally, Van Jaarsveld, Potts, & Wardle, 2010). By understanding and implementing these principles, individuals can create sustainable fitness and nutrition routines that persist regardless of fluctuations in motivation.

One of the most effective strategies for sustained behavior change is habit formation, which transforms deliberate actions into automatic routines. Studies suggest that repeating a behavior in a consistent context strengthens neural pathways, making it easier to perform over time with less cognitive effort (Wood & Neal, 2007). The key to habit formation lies in behavioral cueing, where specific environmental triggers prompt a desired action. For example, placing workout clothes next to the bed the night before can increase the likelihood of exercising in the morning. Research has found that habits become more automatic when paired with existing routines, such as exercising immediately after waking up or drinking water before each meal (Lally et al., 2010).

In addition to habit formation, small wins and progressive reinforcement play a crucial role in sustainable behavior change. The concept of behavioral momentum suggests that successfully completing small, manageable actions builds self-efficacy, which increases the likelihood of long-term adherence (Bandura, 1997). For instance, individuals who start with a simple five-minute workout each morning are more likely to build up to longer exercise sessions over time. Similarly, studies on goal setting indicate that breaking large health goals into smaller, measurable milestones improves commitment and follow-through (Locke & Latham, 2002).

Another critical factor in sustained behavior change is accountability and social reinforcement. Studies have shown that individuals who engage in fitness and nutrition programs with peer support are more likely to remain consistent than those who attempt lifestyle changes alone (Wing & Jeffery, 1999). Social support provides external motivation, encouragement, and a sense of responsibility, all of which contribute to higher adherence rates. Additionally, research in self-determination theory suggests that intrinsic motivation—where individuals find personal meaning in their health behaviors—leads to greater long-term success than extrinsic motivators such as rewards or punishments (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Individuals who develop an identity aligned with their health goals (e.g., “I am someone who takes care of my body”) are more likely to maintain those behaviors than those who simply view exercise or healthy eating as tasks they must complete.

While motivation may serve as an initial spark for behavior change, sustainable health improvements rely on structured habits, incremental progress, and social reinforcement. By designing environments and routines that encourage automatic, self-sustaining behaviors, individuals can eliminate reliance on willpower and ensure long-term success in fitness and nutrition. Implementing these principles creates a foundation for consistent action, bridging the gap between knowledge and execution.

Practical Strategies for Overcoming Inaction

Overcoming inaction requires a shift away from relying on motivation and instead implementing structured strategies that make healthy behaviors easier, automatic, and rewarding. Research in behavioral psychology and habit formation suggests that the most effective strategies involve reducing friction, leveraging external accountability, and reprogramming one’s environment to encourage consistency (Wood & Neal, 2007). By designing a system that removes obstacles and reinforces positive behaviors, individuals can bridge the gap between intention and action.

One of the most effective strategies is reducing friction by making desired behaviors easier to perform. Studies on habit formation have shown that people are more likely to engage in an activity when the effort required is minimal (Lally, Van Jaarsveld, Potts, & Wardle, 2010). For example, preparing healthy meals in advance eliminates the need to make a food decision when hungry, and laying out workout clothes the night before increases the likelihood of exercising in the morning. The two-minute rule, a concept popularized by Clear (2018), suggests that breaking down a habit into an action that takes two minutes or less makes it easier to begin, reducing the psychological resistance to starting a task. This is particularly useful for exercise, where committing to just two minutes of movement can often lead to a full workout.

Another critical strategy is using commitment devices and external accountability. Research in behavioral economics has demonstrated that individuals are more likely to follow through on a goal when there is a cost associated with failing to do so (Ariely & Wertenbroch, 2002). Commitment contracts, such as financial penalties for skipping workouts or publicly declaring a goal, leverage loss aversion to drive action. Additionally, studies on social support indicate that individuals who have an accountability partner or are part of a group with shared goals are significantly more likely to stay consistent with their health behaviors (Wing & Jeffery, 1999). This social reinforcement creates an added layer of motivation that extends beyond personal willpower.

A crucial aspect of sustaining action is embracing discomfort and reframing resistance as a skill. Many people fail to maintain healthy habits because they perceive discomfort as a sign that they are not suited for exercise or proper nutrition. However, research suggests that training oneself to become comfortable with discomfort—such as pushing through the initial resistance to working out—enhances long-term adherence (Ekkekakis & Dafermos, 2012). Instead of waiting to feel ready, successful individuals reframe discomfort as a normal and expected part of the process.

Finally, tracking progress and creating feedback loops plays a significant role in reinforcing behavior. Studies show that individuals who track their exercise or nutrition habits are more likely to maintain them because they receive immediate reinforcement and see tangible evidence of their

efforts (Fritz, Huang, Murphy, & Zimmermann, 2014). Whether through journaling, using fitness apps, or setting milestone rewards, self-monitoring increases self-awareness and strengthens the habit loop by making progress visible.

By implementing these practical strategies, individuals can shift from relying on fleeting motivation to creating a sustainable system for action. The goal is to make health behaviors the path of least resistance, supported by automatic habits, external accountability, and structured reinforcement. Through these methods, individuals can develop long-term consistency in fitness and nutrition, ultimately transforming their health outcomes.

Conclusion

Changing health behaviors requires more than simply knowing what to do—it requires overcoming psychological barriers, restructuring the environment, and implementing practical strategies that make action easier and more automatic. While motivation is often viewed as the driving force behind exercise and nutrition habits, research consistently demonstrates that motivation is unreliable and fleeting. Instead, long-term adherence to healthy behaviors is best achieved through habit formation, behavioral momentum, and identity-based change (Lally, Van Jaarsveld, Potts, & Wardle, 2010; Deci & Ryan, 2000). Individuals who build structured systems that reduce friction, provide social accountability, and reframe discomfort as part of the process are more likely to succeed in maintaining their health goals.

Understanding the psychological barriers that lead to inaction—such as instant gratification, fear of discomfort, and decision fatigue—allows individuals to develop solutions that address these challenges directly. Additionally, recognizing the influence of environmental and social factors provides insight into how external forces shape behavior. Rather than relying on willpower alone, modifying the surrounding environment to support positive habits creates a system in which healthy choices become the default rather than an exception (Wood & Neal, 2007).

Ultimately, the key to bridging the gap between knowledge and action is to remove the reliance on motivation and instead cultivate consistency through structured behaviors. By applying strategies such as reducing friction, leveraging accountability, and tracking progress, individuals can create a sustainable framework for long-term health success. The challenge is not in knowing what to do but in developing systems that make doing the right thing inevitable. With these approaches, individuals can move beyond temporary bursts of inspiration and build the lasting habits necessary for lifelong health and well-being.

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2022). *FastStats - Exercise or Physical Activity*. National Center for Health Statistics. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/exercise.htm>
2. Hales, C. M., Carroll, M. D., Fryar, C. D., & Ogden, C. L. (2020). *Prevalence of obesity and severe obesity among adults: United States, 2017–2018*. National Center for Health Statistics Data Brief No. 360. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/databriefs/db360.htm>
3. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). *The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior*. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
4. Wood, W., & Neal, D. T. (2007). *A new look at habits and the habit-goal interface*. *Psychological Review*, 114(4), 843–863. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.114.4.843>
5. Baumeister, R. F., & Tierney, J. (2011). *Willpower: Rediscovering the Greatest Human Strength*. Penguin.
6. Baumeister, R. F., Bratslavsky, E., Muraven, M., & Tice, D. M. (1998). *Ego depletion: Is the active self a limited resource?* *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74(5), 1252–1265. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.74.5.1252>
7. Dai, H., Milkman, K. L., & Riis, J. (2014). *The fresh start effect: Temporal landmarks motivate aspirational behavior*. *Management Science*, 60(10), 2563–2582. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1901>

8. Oettingen, G., & Gollwitzer, P. M. (2010). *Strategies of setting and implementing goals: Mental contrasting and implementation intentions*. In J. E. Maddux & J. P. Tangney (Eds.), *Social Psychological Foundations of Clinical Psychology* (pp. 114-135). Guilford Press.
9. Sheeran, P., & Webb, T. L. (2016). *The intention-behavior gap*. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 10(9), 503-518. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12265>
10. Lally, P., Van Jaarsveld, C. H. M., Potts, H. W. W., & Wardle, J. (2010). *How are habits formed: Modelling habit formation in the real world*. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 40(6), 998-1009. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.674>
11. Clear, J. (2018). *Atomic Habits: An Easy & Proven Way to Build Good Habits & Break Bad Ones*. Avery.
12. O'Donoghue, T., & Rabin, M. (1999). *Doing it now or later*. *American Economic Review*, 89(1), 103-124. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.89.1.103>
13. Mischel, W., Shoda, Y., & Rodriguez, M. L. (1989). *Delay of gratification in children*. *Science*, 244(4907), 933-938. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2658056>
14. Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
15. Ekkekakis, P., & Dafermos, M. (2012). *Exercise is a many-splendored thing, but for some it does not feel so splendid: Stages of motivational readiness and hedonic responses to exercise*. In R. J. Estes (Ed.), *Handbook of Social Indicators and Quality of Life Research* (pp. 157-173). Springer.
16. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). *The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior*. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227-268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
17. Verplanken, B., & Orbell, S. (2003). *Reflections on past behavior: A self-report index of habit strength*. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 33(6), 1313-1330. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2003.tb01951.x>
18. Schwartz, B. (2004). *The Paradox of Choice: Why More Is Less*. Harper Perennial.
19. Vohs, K. D., Baumeister, R. F., Schmeichel, B. J., Twenge, J. M., Nelson, N. M., & Tice, D. M. (2008). *Making choices impairs subsequent self-control: A limited-resource account of decision making, self-regulation, and active initiative*. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 94(5), 883-898. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.94.5.883>
20. Christakis, N. A., & Fowler, J. H. (2007). *The spread of obesity in a large social network over 32 years*. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 357(4), 370-379. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa066082>
21. Gearhardt, A. N., Davis, C., Kuschner, R., & Brownell, K. D. (2011). *The addiction potential of hyperpalatable foods: Behavioral neuroscience evidence for food addiction*. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 35(3), 515-529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.05.005>
22. Nutbeam, D. (2008). *The evolving concept of health literacy*. *Social Science & Medicine*, 67(12), 2072-2078. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.09.050>
23. Sallis, J. F., Floyd, M. F., Rodriguez, D. A., & Saelens, B. E. (2012). *Role of built environments in physical activity, obesity, and cardiovascular disease*. *Circulation*, 125(5), 729-737. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.969022>
24. Story, M., Kaphingst, K. M., Robinson-O'Brien, R., & Glanz, K. (2008). *Creating healthy food and eating environments: Policy and environmental approaches*. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 29, 253-272. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.29.020907.090926>
25. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.
26. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). *The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior*. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227-268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
27. Lally, P., Van Jaarsveld, C. H. M., Potts, H. W. W., & Wardle, J. (2010). *How are habits formed: Modelling habit formation in the real world*. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 40(6), 998-1009. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.674>
28. Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). *Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey*. *American Psychologist*, 57(9), 705-717. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.57.9.705>
29. Wing, R. R., & Jeffery, R. W. (1999). *Benefits of recruiting participants with friends and increasing social support for weight loss and maintenance*. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 67(1), 132-138. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.67.1.132>

30. Ariely, D., & Wertenbroch, K. (2002). *Procrastination, deadlines, and performance: Self-control by precommitment*. *Psychological Science*, 13(3), 219-224. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00441>
31. Fritz, T., Huang, E. M., Murphy, G. C., & Zimmermann, T. (2014). *Persuasive technology in the real world: A study of long-term use of activity tracking devices*. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 487-496. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2556288.2557383>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.