

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Generalised nonlinear variational inequality problems with random variation

---

H. Gissy and [.Salahuddin](#) \*

Posted Date: 8 August 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202408.0454.v1

Keywords: Generalized nonlinear random variational inequality problems, measurable spaces, Gwinner's section theorem, Aumann's measurable selection, Minty's lemma, Solution sets.



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

# Generalised Nonlinear Variational Inequality Problems with Random Variation

H. Gissy \* and Salahuddin \*

Department of Mathematics, Jazan University, Jazan-45142, Saudi Arabia

\* Correspondence: hgissy@jazanu.edu.sa (H.G.); dr\_salah12@yahoo.com or smohammad@jazanu.edu.sa (Salahuddin)

**Abstract:** This text deals with exploring random solutions for generalized nonlinear variational inequality problems. Using the Fan-KKM theorem and Aumann's measurable selection theorem, we are able to prove the existence and uniqueness of random solution sets under the conditions of monotonicity and convexity. Additionally, we use Minty's lemma to demonstrate the compactness and convexity of the random solution sets.

**Keywords:** generalized nonlinear random variational inequality problems; measurable spaces; Gwinner's section theorem; Aumann's measurable selection; Minty's lemma; solution sets

MSC: 49J40; 47H09; 47J20; 54H25

## 1. Introduction

Random fixed point theorems are generalizations of the fixed point theorem that consider the role of randomness. They are crucial in the theory of random equations, just like fixed point theorems in deterministic equations. Several authors, including Cho *et al.* [1], Hans [2], Itoh [3], Salahuddin [4], Spaeek [5], and Tsokos [6], have proven random fixed point theorems for contraction mappings in Polish spaces. Moreover, Tsokos has provided a random fixed point theorem of Schauder type in a probability measurable space of the random solution sets.

Random variational inequality problems are a type of variational inequality problems that take into account the uncertainties that are usually present in practical scenarios. They are a useful tool in studying different types of forecasting problems and stochastic control problems [7]. Researchers are currently focusing on the solvability and convexity of two-step stochastic programming, as well as the convergence of the average approximation for two-step random variational inequality problems. Ren *et al.* [8] have demonstrated a class of theorems for one-dimensional variational inequality problems with Yamada-Watanabe-type conditions on the coefficients. Random variational inequality problems are similar to random complementarity problems, and therefore, the relevant properties of their solutions are usually discussed in the context of theoretical research on stochastic complementarity problems. Zhang and Huang [9] have established a class of generalized set-valued random quasi-complementarity problems and have proved the existence of their solutions as well as the convergence of random sequences generated by a random iterative algorithm.

Inspired by recent articles [10–16], we consider a class of generalized nonlinear random variational inequality problems and establish the existence results for them.

In this paper, we assume that  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is a measurable space consisting of a set  $\Omega$  and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  of a subset of  $\Omega$ . Let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of a Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ , and  $\mathbb{X}^*$  be its dual space. Assume that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  represents the dual pairing of  $\mathbb{X}$  and  $\mathbb{X}^*$ , and  $\| \cdot \|$  represents the norm in  $\mathbb{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} : \Omega \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^*$  be the random mapping, and  $\varphi : \Omega \times C \times C \rightarrow (\infty, +\infty]$  be the random functional.

We now demonstrate the generalised nonlinear random variational inequality problem, finding  $t \in \Omega, x(t) \in C$  such that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x(t)) - \varphi(t, x(t), x(t)) \geq 0, \forall y \in C. \quad (1)$$

Our main objective in this article is to find a measurable selection  $\gamma : \Omega \longrightarrow C$  for (1), such that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, \gamma(t)), y - \gamma(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, \gamma(t)) - \varphi(t, \gamma(t), \gamma(t)) \geq 0, \forall y \in C, t \in \Omega. \quad (2)$$

We note that if  $\varphi(t, x(t), x(t)) = \varphi(t, x(t))$ , then (1) reduces to the following random variational inequality problem for finding  $t \in \Omega$  and  $x(t) \in C$  such that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y) - \varphi(t, x(t)) \geq 0, \forall y \in C, \quad (3)$$

and for finding a measurable selection  $\gamma : \Omega \longrightarrow C$  for (3) such that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, \gamma(t)), y - \gamma(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y) - \varphi(t, \gamma(t)) \geq 0, \forall y \in C. \quad (4)$$

Again, if  $\varphi(t, x(t)) \equiv 0$ , then (3) reduces to the following random variational inequality problem for finding  $t \in \Omega$  and  $x(t) \in C$  such that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y - x(t) \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C, \quad (5)$$

and for finding a measurable selection  $\gamma : \Omega \longrightarrow C$  for (5) such that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, \gamma(t)), y - \gamma(t) \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C. \quad (6)$$

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some prerequisite concepts and assumptions associated with the multivalued mapping and the fixed point theorem. These concepts will be helpful for our main result.

Assume  $\mathbb{X}$  is a Hausdorff topological vector space and  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is a measurable space. Assume  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$ ,  $CB(\mathbb{X})$  is the family of all nonempty closed convex subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$ , and  $\Sigma \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$  is the family of all measurable sets in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{X}$ .

A mapping  $\mathcal{K} : \Omega \longrightarrow 2^{\mathbb{X}}$  is  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}))$ -measurable, if for any open set  $B \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}^{-1}(B) = \{t \in \Omega, \gamma(t) \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \in \Sigma.$$

A mapping  $\mathcal{K} : \Omega \times \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow 2^{\mathbb{X}}$  is measurable, if  $\mathcal{K}(\cdot, y) : \Omega \longrightarrow 2^{\mathbb{X}}$  is measurable for any  $y \in \mathbb{X}$ . A mapping  $\gamma : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$  is a random fixed point of a measurable mapping  $\mathcal{K} : \Omega \times \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow 2^{\mathbb{X}}$ , if it is measurable and

$$\gamma(t) \in \mathcal{K}(t, \gamma(t)).$$

Let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological space  $\mathbb{X}$ , and  $\mathcal{K} : C \longrightarrow 2^{\mathbb{X}}$  be a multivalued mapping. For a finite set  $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n\} \subset C$ , there is a finite subset  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subset \mathbb{X}$  such that for any subset  $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$co\{v_i : i \in I\} \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{K}(\ell_i).$$

Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is a generalized KKM mapping studied in [17].

**Theorem 1.** (Fan-KKM theorem, [18]) Assume  $\emptyset \neq C$  is a subset of a Hausdorff topological space  $\mathbb{X}$ . If the KKM-mapping  $\mathcal{K} : C \longrightarrow 2^{\mathbb{X}}$  is closed for each  $\ell \in C$ , and  $\mathcal{K}(\ell_0)$  is compact for  $\ell_0 \in C$ , then

$$\bigcap_{\ell \in C} \mathcal{K}(\ell) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Definition 1.** [19] The Hausdorff topological space  $\mathbb{X}$  is:

- (i) a Polish space if  $\mathbb{X}$  is separable and metrizable by a complete metric;

(ii) a Suslin space if  $\mathbb{X}$  is a Hausdorff topological space and a continuous image of a Polish space.

**Lemma 1.** (Aumann's measurable selection, [20]) Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathcal{P})$  be a Hausdorff topological space, and  $\mathbb{X}$  be a separable Hilbert space. Then there is a measurable mapping  $\mathcal{G} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{X}}$  such that

$$\text{graph}(\mathcal{G}) = \{(t, x(t)) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{X} : x(t) \in \mathcal{G}(t)\} \in \Sigma \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}),$$

If  $\mathcal{G}(\cdot)$  is measurable and has a measurable selection  $\gamma : \Omega \rightarrow C$ , then

$$\gamma(t) \in \mathcal{G}(t)$$

is valid for all  $t \in \Omega$ .

**Definition 2.** [21] The bifunction  $\varphi : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is skew-symmetric if and only if

$$\varphi(x, x) - \varphi(x, y) + \varphi(y, x) - \varphi(y, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (7)$$

If the skew-symmetric function  $\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$  is bilinear, then

$$\varphi(x, x) - \varphi(x, y) - \varphi(y, x) + \varphi(y, y) = \varphi(x - y, x - y) \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C \quad (8)$$

### 3. Main Results

This section presents the characteristics of solution sets for (1).

**Theorem 2.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a Suslin space  $\mathbb{X}$ , and  $\mathbb{X}^*$  be its dual space. Assume  $\varphi : \Omega \times C \times C \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  is a convex and lower semicontinuous random functional, and  $\mathcal{D} : \Omega \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^*$  is a continuous random mapping. Suppose the following assumptions hold:

(i) the map  $\mathcal{K} : \Omega \times C \rightarrow 2^C$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{K}(t, y) = \{t \in \Omega, x(t) \in C : \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x(t)) - \varphi(t, x(t), x(t)) \geq 0\};$$

(ii) there is a compact subset  $C' \subset \mathbb{X}$  and  $\ell \in C \cap C'$  such that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), \ell - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, \ell, x(t)) - \varphi(t, x(t), x(t)) < 0, \quad \forall x \in C \setminus C';$$

(iii)  $\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x(t))$  is quasiconvex and upper semicontinuous at  $y \in C$ ;

(iv)  $\mathbb{Y}$  is a finite-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{X}$ . For any finite-dimensional section  $\mathcal{N} = C \cap \mathbb{Y}$  and any net  $\{x_\alpha(t)\} \subset C \cap C'$  with  $\{x_\alpha(t)\} \rightarrow x(t) \in \mathcal{N}$ , one has

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_\alpha(t)), y - x_\alpha(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x_\alpha(t)) \geq \varphi(t, x_\alpha(t), x_\alpha(t)), \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{N}, \quad (9)$$

it follows that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x(t)) \geq \varphi(t, x(t), x(t)), \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{N}; \quad (10)$$

(v)  $\varphi(t, x(t), x(t)) - \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y - x(t) \rangle$  is lower semicontinuous at  $x \in C$ .

Then (1) provides a random solution set.

**Proof.** There are three parts to proving the existence of a solution for (1):

**First part.** In this part, we will first demonstrate that

(a)  $\mathcal{K}$  possesses a measurable image,

(b)  $\mathcal{K}$  is a KKM-mapping,

(c)  $\bigcap_{y \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y) \neq \emptyset$ , for  $t \in \Omega$ .

First, we establish the measurability of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

(a) Let  $B$  denote an open subset of  $C$ . Assume there is a sequence  $\{y_n(t)\}$  in  $C$ . For each  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $x(t) \in C$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^{-1}(B) &= \{(t, x(t)) \in \Omega \times C : \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \bigcap \{(t, x(t)) \in \Omega \times C : \mathcal{K}(t, y_n(t)) \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \left\{ (t, x(t)) \in \Omega \times C : \bigcap_{x(t) \in B} \mathcal{K}(t, y_n(t)) \neq \emptyset \right\} \in \Sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{K}$  is measurable.

Based on Theorem 3.5 in [22],  $\text{graph}(\mathcal{K})$  is  $\Sigma \times \mathcal{B}$ -measurable, implying that  $\mathcal{K}$  has a measurable image.

(b) We demonstrate that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a KKM-mapping. Assuming  $\mathcal{K}$  is not a KKM-mapping, there exists a finite set  $\{y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)\} \subset C$  such that

$$\text{co}(y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)) \not\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)).$$

Therefore,  $\bar{y}(t) \in \text{co}(y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t))$ ,  $\bar{y}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i(t)$  ( $\lambda_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), and

$$\bar{y}(t) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)).$$

Thus,

$$\bar{y}(t) \notin \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)),$$

Hence,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, \bar{y}(t)), y_i(t) - \bar{y}(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y_i(t), \bar{y}(t)) - \varphi(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{y}(t)) < 0 \quad (12)$$

and

$$\varphi(t, y_i(t), \bar{y}(t)) < +\infty, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Based on condition (iii), we have

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x(t))$$

is quasiconvex, and

$$\{t \in \Omega, y(t) \in C : \langle \mathcal{D}(t, \bar{y}(t)), y - \bar{y}(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, \bar{y}(t)) - \varphi(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{y}(t)) < 0\} \quad (13)$$

is a convex set. Thus, (12) and (13) lead to

$$\bar{y}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i(t) \in \{t \in \Omega, y \in C : \langle \mathcal{D}(t, \bar{y}(t)), y - \bar{y}(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, \bar{y}(t)) - \varphi(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{y}(t)) < 0\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, \bar{y}(t)), \bar{y}(t) - \bar{y}(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{y}(t)) - \varphi(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{y}(t)) < 0,$$

while

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, \bar{y}(t)), \bar{y}(t) - \bar{y}(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{y}(t)) - \varphi(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{y}(t)) = 0.$$

This produces a contradiction.

Hence,  $\mathcal{K}$  is a KKM-mapping.

- (c) For every  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $y(t) \in C$ , the set  $\mathcal{K}(t, y(t))$  is weakly closed in  $\mathbb{X}$ . Indeed, taking a sequence  $\{x_\alpha(t)\} \subset \mathcal{K}(t, y(t))$  with  $\{x_\alpha(t)\} \rightarrow x(t)$ , one has

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, y(t)), y - x_\alpha(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x_\alpha(t)) - \varphi(t, x_\alpha(t), x_\alpha(t)) \geq 0.$$

Using conditions (iv) and (v), we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, y(t)), y - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x(t)) \geq \varphi(t, x(t), x(t)).$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, y(t)), y - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x(t)) - \varphi(t, x(t), x(t)) \geq 0,$$

which suggests that

$$x(t) \in \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)), \forall t \in \Omega.$$

Due to condition (ii), there must be  $x(t) \in \mathcal{K}(t, y(t))$  for all  $x(t) \in C \setminus C'$ . However, if there exists  $x(t)$  such that

$$x(t) \in \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)),$$

imply that  $x(t) \in C$  and  $x(t) \in C'$ . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) \subset C'$$

because  $\mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) \subset C$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{K}(t, y(t))$  is compact. Based on Theorem 1, we have

$$\bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Second part.** Let  $\mathcal{G}: \Omega \rightarrow 2^C$  be a measurable map such that

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = \bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)).$$

Consider the dense subset  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  in  $C$ . It ought to be demonstrated that

$$\bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)). \quad (14)$$

Therefore,

$$\bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)).$$

Thus, all we have to do is acquire

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)) \subset \bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)).$$

On the contrary, we presume that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)) \not\subset \bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)),$$

then there is a random selection

$$x_0(t) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t))$$

and

$$x_0(t) \notin \bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)).$$

Therefore, there exists  $y_0(t) \in C$  such that

$$x_0(t) \notin \mathcal{C}(t, y_0(t)),$$

that is

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_0(t)), y_0(t) - x_0(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y_0(t), x_0(t)) - \varphi(t, x_0(t), x_0(t)) < 0. \quad (15)$$

There exists  $\{y_{n_j}(t)\} \subset \{y_i(t)\}$  such that

$$\{y_{n_j}(t)\} \longrightarrow \{y_0(t)\}$$

where  $\{y_i(t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a countable dense subset of  $C$ . Therefore,

$$x_0(t) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t, y_{n_j}(t)).$$

Thus, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_0(t)), y_{n_j}(t) - x_0(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y_{n_j}(t), x_0(t)) - \varphi(t, x_0(t), x_0(t)) \geq 0, \forall j \geq 1.$$

Again, from condition (iii), we have

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x(t))$$

is upper semicontinuous. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_0(t)), y_0(t) - x_0(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y_0(t), x_0(t)) \\ & \geq \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_0(t)), y_{n_j}(t) - x_0(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y_{n_j}(t), x_0(t)) \right\} \\ & \geq \varphi(t, x_0(t), x_0(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Hence, from (16), we have

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_0(t)), y_0(t) - x_0(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y_0(t), x_0(t)) - \varphi(t, x_0(t), x_0(t)) \geq 0. \quad (17)$$

This leads to the contradiction. Hence,

$$x_0(t) \notin \mathcal{K}(t, y_0(t)).$$



This implies that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)) \subset \bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)).$$

Thus

$$\bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)). \quad (18)$$

**Third part.** Consider the mapping  $\mathcal{G}: \Omega \rightarrow 2^C$  such that

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = \bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{graph}(\mathcal{G}) &= \left\{ (t, x(t)) : x(t) \in \mathcal{G}(t) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)) \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ (t, x(t)) : x(t) \in \mathcal{K}(t, y_i(t)) \} \in \Sigma \times \mathcal{B}(C). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Using Lemma 1, find a measurable mapping  $\mathcal{G}: \Omega \rightarrow C$ , such that

$$\mathcal{G}(t) \in \bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)).$$

Then there exists a measurable selection  $\gamma: \Omega \rightarrow C$  such that

$$\gamma(t) \in \mathcal{G}(t).$$

Hence (1) has a random solution set.

□

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\mathcal{O} \neq C$  be a compact convex subset of a Suslin space  $\mathbb{X}$ , and  $\mathbb{X}^*$  be its dual space. Assume the random functional  $\varphi: \Omega \times C \times C \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  is convex and lower semicontinuous, whereas a random mapping  $\mathcal{D}: \Omega \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^*$  is continuous. If the following assumptions hold:

(i) A mapping  $\mathcal{K}: \Omega \times C \rightarrow 2^C$  fulfils

$$\mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) = \{t \in \Omega, x(t) \in C : \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y(t) - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x(t)) - \varphi(t, x(t), x(t)) \geq 0\},$$

then, Theorem 2 implies that  $\mathcal{K}$  has a measurable graph.

- (ii)  $\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y(t) - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y, x(t))$  is quasiconvex and upper semicontinuous for  $y \in C$ .
- (iii)  $\varphi(t, x(t), x(t)) - \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y(t) - x(t) \rangle$  is lower semicontinuous for  $x(t) \in C$ .

Then, (1) has a random solution set.

**Proof.** Assume that  $C' = C$ . Then  $C \setminus C'$  because  $C$  is compact. There exists  $\ell \in C$  such that  $\mathcal{K}(t, \ell)$  is compact for which

$$\bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Therefore, we need to demonstrate that condition (iv) in Theorem 2 holds. For any  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $y(t) \in \mathcal{N} \subset C$ , and a random sequence  $\{x_\alpha(t)\} \rightarrow x(t) \in \mathcal{N}$ , we have

$$\varphi(t, x_\alpha(t), x_\alpha(t)) - \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_\alpha(t)), y(t) - x_\alpha(t) \rangle \leq \varphi(t, y, x_\alpha(t)), \quad \forall y(t) \in \mathcal{N}, t \in \Omega$$



It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, x(t), x(t)) - \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y(t) - x(t) \rangle &\leq \lim_{\alpha} \{ \varphi(t, x_{\alpha}(t), x_{\alpha}(t)) - \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_{\alpha}(t)), y(t) - x_{\alpha}(t) \rangle \} \\ &\leq \varphi(t, y(t), x(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

This implies that condition (iv) of Theorem 2 is true.

Thus, the solution to (1) exists.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\emptyset \neq C$  be a closed convex subset of a Suslin space  $\mathbb{X}$ , and  $\mathbb{X}^*$  be the dual space. Assume a convex and lower semicontinuous random functional  $\varphi : \Omega \times C \times C \longrightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  and a continuous and strictly monotonic random mapping  $\mathcal{D} : \Omega \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}^*$ . If conditions (i)-(ii) and (iv)-(v) in Theorem 2, along with the following condition:

(iii)'  $\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y(t) - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y(t), x(t))$  is strictly convex and upper semicontinuous about  $t \in \Omega, y(t) \in C$ , are met.

Then (1) has a unique solution in  $C \cap C'$ .

**Proof.** By contradiction, let's assume that for  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $x_1(t), x_2(t) \in C \cap C'$  are two distinct solutions, such that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_1(t)), y(t) - x_1(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y(t), x_1(t)) - \varphi(t, x_1(t), x_1(t)) \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in \Omega, \forall y(t) \in C, \quad (21)$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_2(t)), y(t) - x_2(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y(t), x_2(t)) - \varphi(t, x_2(t), x_2(t)) \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in \Omega, \forall y(t) \in C. \quad (22)$$

With  $y(t) = x_2(t)$  in (21) and  $y(t) = x_1(t)$  in (22), we get

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_1(t)), x_2(t) - x_1(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, x_2(t), x_1(t)) - \varphi(t, x_1(t), x_1(t)) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in \Omega, \forall y(t) \in C, \quad (23)$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_2(t)), x_1(t) - x_2(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, x_2(t), x_1(t)) - \varphi(t, x_2(t), x_2(t)) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in \Omega, \forall y(t) \in C. \quad (24)$$

Adding (23) and (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_1(t)), x_2(t) - x_1(t) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_2(t)), x_2(t) - x_1(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)) \\ &- \varphi(t, x_2(t), x_2(t)) + \varphi(t, x_2(t), x_1(t)) - \varphi(t, x_1(t), x_1(t)) \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Based on Definition 1 and strictly monotonicity of  $\mathcal{D}(t, x(t))$ , the equation (25) implies that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_2(t)), x_2(t) - x_1(t) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_1(t)), x_2(t) - x_1(t) \rangle = 0. \quad (26)$$

For any  $t \in \Omega, x(t) \in C$ ,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y(t) - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y(t), x(t))$$

is strictly convex. Assuming  $y(t) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1(t) + x_2(t))$  in (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, \frac{1}{2}(x_1(t) + x_2(t)), x_1(t)) - \varphi(t, x_1(t), x_1(t)) + \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_1(t)), \frac{1}{2}(x_1(t) + x_2(t)) - x_1(t) \rangle \\ > \varphi\left(t, \frac{1}{2}(x_1(t) + x_2(t)), x_1(t)\right) + \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_1(t)), \frac{1}{2}(x_2(t) - x_1(t)) \rangle \\ \geq \varphi(t, x_1(t), x_1(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

This implies that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_1(t)), x_2(t) - x_1(t) \rangle - \varphi(t, x_1(t), x_1(t)) - \varphi(t, x_2(t), x_1(t)) > 0. \quad (28)$$

Again, using  $y(t) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1(t) + x_2(t))$  in (22) and following a similar procedure as in (27), we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_2(t)), x_1(t) - x_2(t) - \varphi(t, x_2(t), x_2(t)) - \varphi(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)) > 0. \quad (29)$$

Adding (28) and (29), and using the Definition 2, we get

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_1(t)), x_2(t) - x_1(t) \rangle + \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_2(t)), x_1(t) - x_2(t) \rangle > 0. \quad (30)$$

This implies that

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_2(t)), x_2(t) - x_1(t) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{D}(t, x_1(t)), x_2(t) - x_1(t) \rangle < 0. \quad (31)$$

This produces a contradiction. Therefore, the uniqueness of solution for (1) is proven.  $\square$

We will now discuss the compactness and convexity of the random solution set for equation (1.1).

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mathcal{O} \neq C$  be a closed convex subset of a Suslin space  $\mathbb{X}$ , and  $\mathbb{X}^*$  be the dual space. Assume that a random functional  $\varphi : \Omega \times C \times C \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  is convex, and a random mapping  $\mathcal{D} : \Omega \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^*$  is monotone and continuous. If conditions (i)-(ii) and (iv)-(v) in Theorem 2 are met, along with the following conditions:

- (iii)'  $\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y(t) - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y(t), x(t))$  is convex and upper semicontinuous in  $y(t) \in C$ ;
- (vi)  $\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y(t) - x(t) \rangle$  and  $\varphi(t, y(t), x(t))$  are lower semicontinuous at  $y(t) \in C$ .

Then the solution set of (1) is compact and convex in  $C \cap C'$ .

**Proof.** First, we state Minty's lemma [23] as follows::

Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space with a closed convex subset  $C$ . Suppose that the random functional  $\varphi$  and the random mapping  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $\varphi : \Omega \times C \times C \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  is lower semicontinuous at  $y(t) \in C$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{D} : \Omega \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^*$  is monotone, semicontinuous, and lower semicontinuous at  $y(t) \in C$ .

Then, for any  $t \in \Omega, x(t) \in C$ ,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), y(t) - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y(t), x(t))$$

is convex at  $y(t)$ , then there exists  $\bar{x}(t) \in C$  such that

$$\mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) = \{t \in \Omega, \bar{x}(t) \in C : \langle \mathcal{D}(t, \bar{x}(t)), y(t) - \bar{x}(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, y(t), \bar{x}(t)) - \varphi(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{x}(t)) \geq 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}(t, y(t)) = \{t \in \Omega, \bar{x}(t) \in C : \langle \mathcal{D}(t, y(t)), \bar{x}(t) - y(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, \bar{x}(t), y(t)) - \varphi(t, y(t), y(t)) \leq 0\}$$

coincide.

From the above, we will focus on the solution sets depending on the compactness and convexity of the set. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the solution set of (1) in  $C \cap C'$ . Then,

$$\bar{x}(t) \in \mathcal{S} = \bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) \neq \emptyset.$$

For any  $t \in \Omega, y(t) \in C$ , let

$$\mathcal{H}(t, y(t)) = \{t \in \Omega, \bar{x}(t) \in C : \langle \mathcal{D}(t, y(t)), \bar{x}(t) - y(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, \bar{x}(t), y(t)) - \varphi(t, y(t), y(t)) \leq 0\}. \quad (32)$$

Based on Minty's lemma, we have

$$\bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t)) = \bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{H}(t, y(t)).$$

Now, we can derive the following from conditions (iii)' and (vi) in Theorem 4,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, y(t)), \bar{x}(t) - y(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{x}(t))$$

is convex and lower semicontinuous. Since  $\mathcal{H}(t, y(t))$  is closed and convex, then

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{H}(t, y(t))$$

is also closed convex in  $\mathbb{X}$ .

Thus,

$$\bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{H}(t, y(t)) = \overline{\bigcap_{y(t) \in C} \mathcal{K}(t, y(t))} \subset \overline{\mathcal{K}(t, \ell)}. \quad (33)$$

Using the argument of contradiction, let us assume that there exists  $x(t) \in \mathcal{K}(t, \ell)$  and  $x(t) \notin C'$ , such that

$$x(t) \in C \setminus C'.$$

Then from condition (ii) in Theorem 2,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(t, x(t)), \ell - x(t) \rangle + \varphi(t, \ell, x(t)) - \varphi(t, x(t), x(t)) < 0,$$

which conflicts with the statement  $x(t) \in \mathcal{K}(t, \ell)$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{K}(t, \ell) \subset C'.$$

Since  $C'$  is a compact and closed subset. From (33), we get

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}(t, \ell)} \subset C'.$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a compact convex set in  $C$ , which means that  $\mathcal{S}$  is compact and convex in  $C \cap C'$ .  $\square$

**Conflicts of Interest:** All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are extremely grateful to the editor and the reviewers, whose valuable comments and suggestions have led to a considerable improvement of this paper.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data sets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Author Contributions:** Not applicable.

## References

1. Cho, Y. J., Khan, M. F., Salahuddin, Notes on random fixed point theorems. *J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser: B: Pure and Applied Math.* **13**(3), 227-236 (2006).
2. Hans, O., Reduzierende zulliallige transformaten. *Czechoslovak. Math. J.* **7**, 154-158 (1958).
3. Itoh, S., Random fixed point theorems with an application to random differential equations in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **67**, 261-273 (1979).
4. Salahuddin, Approximation of random fixed point theorems. Discontinuity, nonlinearity and complexity, **7**(1), 95-105 (2018).
5. Spacek, A., Zufallige gleichungen. *Czechoslovak. Math. J.* **5**, 462-466 (1955).
6. Tsokos, C. P., On a stochastic integral equation of the Volterra type. *Math. Systems Theory*, **3**, 222-231 (1969).
7. Bensoussan, A., Li, Y., Yam, S. C. P.: Backward stochastic dynamics with a subdifferential operator and non-local parabolic variational inequalities. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **128**(2), 644-688 (2017).
8. Ren, J. G., Shi, Q., Wu, J.: Limit theorems for stochastic variational inequalities with non-Lipschitz coefficients. *Potential Anal.* (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11118-018-9704-8>.
9. Zhang, S. S., Huang, N. J.: Random generalized set-valued quasi-complementarity problems. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin.* **16**(3), 396-405 (1993).
10. Daniele, P., Giuffré, S.: Random variational inequalities and the random traffic equilibrium problem. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **167**(1), 1-19 (2014).
11. Salahuddin, Verma, R. U.: General random variational inequalities and Applications. *Trans. Math. Prog. Appl.* **4**, 25-33 (2016).
12. Fan, K.: Some properties of convex sets related to fixed point theorems. *Math. Ann.* **266**(4), 519-537 (1984).
13. Ravat, U., Shanbhag, U. V.: On the existence of solutions to stochastic quasi-variational inequality and complementarity problems. *Math. Program.* **165**(1), 291-330 (2017).
14. Tan, K. K., Yuan, X. Z.: On deterministic and random fixed points. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **119**(3), 849-856 (1993).
15. Lee, B. S., Salahuddin: Minty lemma for inverted vector variational inequalities. *Optimization* **66**(3), 351-359 (2017).
16. Min, C., Fan, F. F., Yang, Z. Z., Li, X. G.: On a class of generalized stochastic Browder mixed variational inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2020**:4, 1-13 (2020).
17. Zhang, S. S., Ma, Y. H.: KKM technique and its applications. *Appl. Math. Mech.* **14**(1), 11-20 (1993).
18. Fan, K.: A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem. *Math. Ann.* **142**(3), 305-310 (1961).
19. Chuong, N. M., Thuan, N. X.: Random nonlinear variational inequalities for mappings of monotone type in Banach spaces. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **24**(3), 489-499 (2006).
20. Aumann, R.J.: Integrals of set-valued functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **12**(1), 1-12 (1965).
21. Ding, X. P., Auxiliary principle and algorithm for mixed equilibrium problems and bilevel mixed equilibrium problems in Banach spaces. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10957-0109651-z>.
22. Himmelberg, C. J., Parthasarathy, T., Vleck, F. S. V.: On measurable relations. *Fundam. Math.* **111**(2), 52-72 (1981).
23. Minty, G. J.: On the generalization of a direct method of the calculus of variations. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **73**(3), 315-322 (1967).

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.