Communication

Not peer-reviewed version

Why π is Irrational: Mathematical Construction and Physical Reality

Jiangbo Xing *

Posted Date: 31 March 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202603.2451.v1

Keywords: irrationality of π; transcendental numbers; Gauss polygon method; ideal circle; Maxwell's equations; photon helical propagation; mathematical-physical correspondence

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 31 March 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.2451.v1

Communication

Why π is Irrational: Mathematical Construction and Physical Reality

Jiangbo Xing

College of Management, Ningbo University of Finance & Economics, Ningbo, 315175 China; xingjiangbo@nbufe.edu.cn

Abstract

This paper demonstrates the irrationality of π from dual perspectives of geometric construction and physical reality. The central thesis posits that the irrationality of π is not a mathematical coincidence but a necessary condition for the logically consistent existence of the "ideal circle" as an ideal geometric object. Through retrospective analysis of the Gauss polygon approximation method, combined with the helical propagation model of photons derived from Maxwell's electromagnetic theory, this paper reveals a profound isomorphism between mathematical irrational numbers and physical reality – the propagation of light essentially constitutes a "non-closing" circular motion, mathematically corresponding to the infinite non-repeating nature of π.

Keywords: irrationality of π; transcendental numbers; Gauss polygon method; ideal circle; Maxwell's equations; photon helical propagation; mathematical-physical correspondence

1. Introduction

The nature of π has fascinated mathematicians for millennia. While its irrationality was proven by Lambert in 1761 [1] and its transcendence by Lindemann in 1882 [2], the deeper question remains: Why must π be irrational? This paper argues that π's irrationality is not merely a property of real analysis but a fundamental requirement for the existence of continuous geometric objects and their physical counterparts.

The Gauss-Legendre algorithm for computing π through polygon approximation provides a crucial insight: if π were rational, the limiting process of polygon iteration would terminate finitely, reducing the ideal circle to a mere polygon. Conversely, the existence of an ideal circle demands that its circumference-to-diameter ratio remain incommensurable, hence irrational [3].

Furthermore, Maxwell's electromagnetic theory reveals a striking correspondence [4]. The perpendicular oscillation of electric and magnetic fields, propagating in a third orthogonal direction, describes a helical structure in spacetime. This paper establishes that photon propagation mathematically corresponds to circular motion that cannot close upon itself, a physical manifestation of π's irrationality.

2. Geometric Argument

2.1. The Inverse Dilemma of the Gauss Polygon Method

The Gauss-Legendre algorithm iteratively approximates π through arithmetic-geometric means [3]:

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{a_n + b_n}{2}, \qquad b_{n+1} = \sqrt{a_{n+1} b_n} \tag{1}$$

where a_n and b_n represent the semi-perimeters of circumscribed and inscribed regular 2^n-gons, respectively. As $n \to \infty$, both sequences converge to π.

Hypothesis: Assume π were rational, i.e., $\pi = \frac{p}{q}$, where p and q are coprime integers.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.2451.v1

Under this hypothesis, the sequence $\{a_n\}$ (or $\{b_n\}$) would necessarily stabilize at some finite step N, yielding:

$$a_N = b_N = \frac{p}{q} \tag{2}$$

This implies that the circumscribed and inscribed 2^N-gons coincide, meaning:

$$\text{regular } 2^N\text{-gon} = \text{ideal circle} \tag{3}$$

Contradiction arises: A regular polygon with finite sides possesses vertices with interior angle sum $(k-2)\pi$, whereas a true circle exhibits continuous curvature without identifiable vertices [5]. The equality of circumscribed and inscribed polygons at finite N reduce the circle to a polygon, violating the definition of an ideal circle as a curve with uniform curvature everywhere.

Therefore, the assumption that π is rational leads to the non-existence of the ideal circle as a distinct geometric object. The necessity of infinite iteration, i.e., the absence of finite convergence, demand that π be irrational.

2.2. The Closure Paradox of the Circle

Assume an "ideal circle" exists, a closed curve with uniform curvature everywhere. If its circumference-to-diameter ratio were rational $\frac{p}{q}$, then:

$$\frac{C}{d} = \frac{p}{q} \Longrightarrow C = \frac{p}{q} \cdot d \tag{4}$$

Measuring circumference C with diameter d would yield exact closure after q iterations. This requires the circumference to be a rational multiple of the diameter, decomposable into finite segments equal to the diameter.

Contradiction arises: If the circumference admits finite division, each arc subtends a central angle of $\frac{2\pi}{q}$. Connecting these division points yields a regular q-gon, not a circle. A true circle demands infinite refinement, yet infinite division preserving closure requires the circumference-to-diameter ratio to be incommensurable, hence irrational [1,6].

Conclusion: The existence of an ideal circle as a geometric object necessitates that its circumference-to-diameter ratio cannot be expressed by any finite integer ratio. The irrationality of π constitutes the logical precondition for the circle's self-consistent existence.

3. Physical Correspondence

The geometric abstraction above finds a striking physical counterpart in Maxwell's electromagnetic theory.

3.1. The Helical Solution of Maxwell's Equations

Maxwell's equations in vacuum admit plane wave solutions where electric field **E** and magnetic field **B** are mutually perpendicular and orthogonal to the propagation direction **k** [4]:

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathbf{E}_0 \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \omega t) \tag{5}$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathbf{B}_0 \cos\left(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \omega t + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{6}$$

The $\frac{\pi}{2}$ phase difference generates a helical structure in spacetime.

3.2. Geometric Correspondence: Time as the Propagation Axis

Consider a two-dimensional vector $\mathbf{v}(t) = ((x(t), y(t))$ executing uniform circular motion in the xy-plane:

$$x(t) = \cos(\omega t)\,, y(t) = \sin(\omega t) \tag{7}$$

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.2451.v1

Interpreting the z-axis as the time axis t, this motion traces a helix in three-dimensional spacetime (x, y, t):

$$r(t) = (\cos(\omega t), \sin \omega t, t) \tag{8}$$

Note that this represents a mathematical model mapping temporal evolution to spatial progression, conceptually analogous to the photon's world line in classical electrodynamics [4,7].

Key Correspondences:

- Projection onto the xy-plane: corresponds to electromagnetic oscillation.
- Uniform translation along the z-axis (time): corresponds to light propagation.
- Pitch of the helix: corresponds to wavelength λ.

This constitutes the mathematical model of photon propagation: a photon does not execute closed circular motion in three-dimensional space but rather helical precession through spacetime.

3.3. The Physical Meaning of "Non-Closure"

If the photon's "circular motion" could close in space, completing integer revolutions to return to the origin, it would require:

$$\omega t = 2\pi n \qquad (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{9}$$

Implying time t must be a rational multiple of $\frac{2\pi}{\omega}$. However, photon propagation is continuous and irreversible, the time axis is open, and photons must perpetually advance without "pausing" on a closed orbit [7].

Physical-Mathematical Isomorphism:

- Geometrically: Ideal circles require π to be irrational, ensuring circumference-to-diameter incommensurability and preventing "polygonization".
- Physically: Photon helical propagation requires phase precession that cannot close, corresponding to π's infinite non-repeating nature.

4. Deep Unification: Irrational Numbers as Mathematical Expressions of Continuity

4.1. Rational Numbers and Discreteness

The rational number field $\mathbb{Q}$ is countable, inherently discrete and exhaustible. Had π been rational:

- Circles would be precisely approximated by finite polygons.
- Photon helical propagation would discretize into finite states.
- Continuous spacetime would degenerate into discrete lattices [6].

4.2. Irrational Numbers and Continuity

Irrational numbers (particularly transcendental numbers like π) guarantee:

- Geometric continuity: unique tangent direction at every circle point, continuous curvature variation.
- Physical reality: continuous phase evolution of electromagnetic waves without discontinuous jumps.
- Irreversibility of time: photon precession cannot return to past states, preserving causality.

4.3. The Reinforcement of π's Transcendence

The Lindemann-Weierstrass theorem (1882) established π as transcendental, it satisfies no polynomial equation with integer coefficients [2]:

$$\nexists P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x], P(\pi) = 0 \quad (10)$$

Transcendence signifies that π differs essentially from algebraic irrationals (e.g., $\sqrt{2}$), it cannot be constructed through finite algebraic operations. This corresponds to the physical nature of photons: the linear energy-momentum relation $E = pc$ is not algebraically constrained but represents free, infinitely unconstrained propagation [7].

5. Conclusions

The irrationality of π is not mathematical accident but logical necessity for the self-consistent existence of ideal geometric objects and physical reality: a) geometric level: had π been rational, the ideal circle would degenerate into finite polygons, forfeiting continuous curvature; b) physical level: photon helical propagation corresponds to circular precession in spacetime; its "non-closure" property physically manifests π's infinite non-repeating nature; and c) philosophical level: irrational numbers bridge discrete algebra and continuous geometry. The transcendence of π ensures fundamental freedom in the physical world, photon propagation remains unbounded by any algebraic constraint.

Final insight: mathematical irrationality and physical continuous motion constitute two aspects of identical reality. π is irrational because true circles (and photons) must unfold themselves in the infinite, inexhaustible by finite form. This represents the deepest consistency between mathematical beauty and physical truth.

Author Contributions: This is a single authored piece of work.

Funding: This research was funded by the Science and Technology Department of Zhejiang Province, China, grant number 2026C25020.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created.

Acknowledgments: During the preparation of this manuscript, the author used [Kimi V2.5] for the purposes of language polishing. The authors have reviewed and edited the output and take full responsibility for the content of this publication.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Niven, I. A simple proof that π is irrational. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **1947**, *53*(6), 509. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1947-08821-2
2. Lindemann, F. Über die Zahl π. *Mathematische Annalen* **1882**, *20*(2), 213–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01446522
3. Borwein, J.M.; Borwein, P.B. Pi and the AGM: A Study in Analytic Number Theory and Computational Complexity; Wiley-Interscienc: New York, **1998**.
4. Jackson, J.D. *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd ed.; Wiley: New York, **1999**.
5. Beckmann, P. *A History of π* (Pi); St. Martin's Press: New York, **1971**.
6. Hardy, G.H.; Wright, E.M. *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 6th ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, **2008**.
7. Einstein, A. Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper. *Annalen der Physik* **1905**, *17*(10), 891–921. https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19053221004