
A Dangerous Couple: Sequential Effect of Phosphorus Flame-Retardant and Polyurethane Decrease Locomotor Activity in Planarian *Girardia tigrina*

[Dora Bjedov](#)[‡], [Rone S. Barbosa](#)[‡], [Danielle Palma de Oliveira](#), Daniel J. Dorta, [Maíra Ignacio Sarmiento](#), [Renato A. Sarmiento](#), [Ana Luisa P. Silva](#), [Carlos Gravato](#)^{*}

Posted Date: 15 April 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202404.0885.v1

Keywords: aluminium diethylphosphinate; microplastics; organic pollutants; behavioural endpoints; molecular biomarkers



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

A Dangerous Couple: Sequential Effect of Phosphorus Flame-Retardant and Polyurethane Decrease Locomotor Activity in Planarian *Girardia tigrina*

Dora Bjedov ^{1,2,†}, Rone S. Barbosa ^{3,†}, Danielle Palma de Oliveira ^{4,5}, Daniel Junqueira Dorta ^{5,6}, Máira Ignacio Sarmiento ³, Renato Almeida Sarmiento ³, Ana L. Patrício Silva ⁷ and Carlos Gravato ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes (cE3c) & CHANGE – Global Change and Sustainability Institute, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisbon, Portugal; dora.bjedov@gmail.com (DB); cagravato@fc.ul.pt (CG)
 - ² Departamento de Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisbon, Portugal; dora.bjedov@gmail.com (DB); cagravato@ciencias.ulisboa.pt (CG)
 - ³ Universidade Federal do Tocantins, Campus de Gurupi, Gurupi, Tocantins, 77402-970, Brazil; ronedaasilva7@gmail.com (RSB); mairraig@uft.edu.br (MIS); rsarmiento@uft.edu.br (RAS)
 - ⁴ School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of São Paulo, Campus de Ribeirão Preto, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, 77402-970, Brazil; dpalma@usp.br (DPO); djdorta@usp.br (DJD)
 - ⁵ National Institute of Science and Technology for Detection, Toxicological Evaluation and Removal of Micropollutants and Radioactive Substances (INCT-DATREM), 14800-060, Araraquara, São Paulo, Brazil; dpalma@usp.br (DPO); djdorta@ffclrp.usp.br (DJD)
 - ⁶ Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Philosophy, Science and Letters at Ribeirão Preto, University of São Paulo, 14040-901, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil; djdorta@ffclrp.usp.br (DJD)
 - ⁷ Centre for Environmental and Marine Studies (CESAM) & Departamento de Biologia, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal; ana.luisa.silva@ua.pt (ALPS)
- * Correspondence: cagravato@fc.ul.pt
† Share first authorship on this work.

Simple Summary: Exploring the interactions between organophosphorus flame-retardants (OPFRs), microplastics, and freshwater organisms is essential for comprehending the dynamics within freshwater ecosystems to anticipate the potential impacts of organic pollutants and plastic particles. To address this need, the current study examined the exposure effects of 10 mg L⁻¹ of the flame-retardant aluminium diethylphosphinate (ALPI), 10 µg mg⁻¹liver of microplastics polyurethane (PU), and their combination on the freshwater planarian *Girardia tigrina*. Exposure of *G. tigrina* to both ALPI and PU resulted in a sequential effect, reflected in a significant reduction in locomotor activity, while other biochemical responses, e.g., oxidative stress and metabolic responses, remained unaffected compared to control. Incorporating behavioural indicators into toxicological assays enhances the predictive power of these assessments, enabling a more accurate evaluation of the ecological consequences of pollutant exposure. This integrated approach not only improves our understanding of the complex interactions between organisms and their environment but also informs more effective strategies for mitigating the detrimental effects of mixtures of pollutants on freshwater ecosystems.

Abstract: Understanding the interplay among organophosphorus flame-retardants (OPFRs), microplastics, and freshwater organisms is crucial for unravelling the dynamics within freshwater environments and foreseeing the potential impacts of organic pollutants and plastic contamination. For that purpose, the present research assessed the exposure impact of 10 mg L⁻¹ flame-retardant aluminium diethylphosphinate (ALPI), 10 µg mg⁻¹liver microplastics polyurethane (PU), and the combination of ALPI and PU on the freshwater planarian *Girardia tigrina*. The exposure of *G. tigrina* to both ALPI and PU revealed a sequential effect, i.e., a decrease in locomotor activity, while other molecular biomarkers (oxidative stress biomarkers and metabolic response) remained unaffected. Despite this fact, it was possible to observe that the range (min-max) of responses of exposed organisms were altered, in the particular case of electron transport system, cholinesterase activity, glutathione

S-transferase, catalase and levels of total glutathione and proteins, showing that the energetic costs for detoxification and antioxidant capacity might be causing less energy allocated for the planarian activity. By examining the physiological, behavioural, and ecological responses of planarians to these pollutants, insights can be gained into broader ecosystem-level effects and inform strategies for mitigating environmental risks associated with OPFRs and microplastic pollution in freshwater environments.

Keywords: aluminium diethylphosphinate; microplastics; organic pollutants; behavioural endpoints; molecular biomarkers

1. Introduction

In recent years, the proliferation of anthropogenic chemicals and materials has brought about growing concerns regarding their impact on the environment and living organisms (1). Among these concerns are the widespread use of organophosphorus flame-retardants (OPFRs) and the ubiquitous presence of microplastics in aquatic ecosystems (2). Both OPFRs and microplastics pose significant ecological risks, and understanding their interactions with freshwater organisms, such as planaria, is crucial for assessing their environmental implications (1,2). OPFRs are a class of chemicals commonly added to a variety of consumer products and materials to reduce their flammability (3), such as polyurethane plastics used as insulation foams and various products related with furniture, building and electronics (4). While effective at preventing fires, OPFRs are often not chemically bound to these products and materials and can gradually leach out, being detected in various environmental compartments, particularly in water bodies, where they can accumulate and persist due to their chemical properties. Concerns over the potential adverse effects of OPFRs on aquatic organisms have prompted extensive research into their environmental fate, toxicity, and ecological impacts (3,5). Similarly, microplastics, plastic particles measuring less than five mm in size, have emerged as a global environmental concern (6). These particles originate from the breakdown of larger plastic items or are intentionally manufactured for use in products such as personal care items and industrial abrasives (7,8). Microplastics are pervasive in freshwater environments worldwide, posing risks to aquatic organisms through ingestion, physical harm, and the release of associated chemical additives and contaminants (6).

Understanding the interactions between OPFRs, microplastics, and freshwater biota is essential for elucidating the complex dynamics within freshwater ecosystems and predicting the potential long-term consequences of chemical and plastic pollution (9). The impact of microplastics on the availability of environmental pollutants should not be underestimated, given that their influence has been shown to be significant. It is crucial to analyse diverse scenarios to extract precise insights into the ecotoxicological implications of the "Trojan horse" effect of microplastics (10). Additionally, it is important to consider that the presence of microplastics may also heighten the availability of emerging pollutants, such as flame-retardant compounds (11) and references therein).

Freshwater planaria, serve as valuable indicators of environmental quality and are frequently used in ecotoxicology studies to assess the effects of contaminants on aquatic organisms (12). Employing freshwater planarians in toxicological research shows considerable potential. These organisms are frequently utilized to investigate the toxic effects of xenobiotic compounds, particularly those stemming from human activities. Additionally, freshwater planarians have been proposed as bioindicators of freshwater environment quality as is reflected in their sensitivity to environmental stressors and relatively simple biology (13). Due to their adaptability to laboratory culturing conditions, freshwater planarians are highly suitable non-target organisms for investigating sub-lethal effects of contaminants across a broad spectrum of endpoints. These may include regeneration, locomotion, feeding, bioaccumulation, and biochemical parameters, among others (14). Since planarians are small-sized predators, commonly found in many freshwater systems (15), they can be excellent model organisms to be used in ecotoxicological research. Therefore, planarians are ideal model organisms to assess the direct and indirect effects of pollutants, e.g., OPFR

and/or microplastics, along trophic chains and thus hold great potential as bioindicators of the ecological integrity of freshwaters. Also, freshwater planarians are useful model species in behavioural and biomedical studies as they are easily maintained in the laboratory and display specific behavioural responses to psychoactive substances (16). Planarians are well-characterized animal models in neurobiology research and their nervous system shares features with vertebrates in terms of cell morphology and physiology (17).

Research conducted on planarians has documented the uptake of polyethylene microspheres and polyethylene terephthalate microfibers. These microplastics were found in concentrations ranging from 12 to 60 $\mu\text{g mg}^{-1}$ in contaminated food sources, particularly liver, without observable alterations in their feeding behaviour, food consumption, or regenerative capabilities (18). However, this exposure led to a significant reduction in the thickness of the gut epithelium and the lipid content of enterocytes, accompanied by apoptotic cell death induction and a decrease in growth rate (18). The exposure of planarians to polystyrene microspheres at concentrations of 10, 50, and 100 $\mu\text{g mg}^{-1}$ in liver tissue, resulted in a notable decrease in both body and blastema areas. This decline indicated a delay in growth and regeneration processes (19). Concurrently, the proliferation and differentiation of stem cells were hindered, and there was a decrease in the proportion of mitotic stem cells (19). Additionally, polystyrene microspheres appeared to induce oxidative stress in planarians, as evidenced by significant alterations in the activity of antioxidant enzymes, e.g., glutathione S-transferase and catalase (20).

In the present research, we assessed the exposure impact of organophosphorus flame-retardant aluminium diethylphosphinate, polyurethane microplastic particles and their combination on the freshwater planarian *Girardia tigrina*. We focus on examining the most sensitive behavioural, morphological, biochemical, and metabolic endpoints at sub-lethal levels. The primary aim of our investigation was to examine the repercussions of individual and combined exposure to aluminium diethylphosphinate as well as polyurethane microplastic particles ingested by freshwater planarians (*G. tigrina*) through the consumption of bovine liver. In particular, we evaluated their locomotion and the effects on oxidative biochemical processes, biochemical imbalance can be used as a valuable indicator of the toxicity of pollutants on their antioxidant defence systems. These indicators include biomechanical response: locomotor activity, body weight and body mass; oxidative stress biomarkers: glutathione S-transferase, catalase, total glutathione and lipid peroxidation, and metabolic indicators: cholinesterase, electron transport system, lactate dehydrogenase and protein content.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

Spherical aliphatic polyurethane microplastics (PU) are characterized by their unmodified and non-stained state with fluorescent dyes, presenting as a white powder with no discernible odour, and exhibiting a density of 1.05 g cc^{-1} at 25 °C. These PU microplastic particles have an average size ranging between 7 and 9 μm , with a maximum size of 31 μm . Aluminium diethylphosphinate (ALPI, CAS number 225789-38-8, MW 390.27) was donated by Clariant (trade name of Exolit® OP 1230) (Clariant, Germany). ALPI stock solutions were prepared in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and diluted in a mixture of 0.07 mM potassium chloride (KCl), 0.75 mM sodium hydrogen carbonate (NaHCO_3), 0.50 mM magnesium sulphate (MgSO_4) and 2 mM calcium chloride (CaCl_2). PU, in certain forms or formulation, are naturally quite inflammable; being one of the polymer types that heavily relies on the addition of flame retardants. ALPI, on the other hand, is a flame retardant used in specific forms and formulations of PU

2.2. Test Organism

The planarians, *G. tigrina* were maintained at the Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon (Lisbon, Portugal) since 2019. Planarians were kept under controlled conditions using commercial natural water ($\text{pH } 6.01 \pm 1$) with specific composition parameters (**Table 1**). The water was aerated

and maintained at 22 ± 1 °C in a dark environment. Planarians were fed with bovine liver *ad libitum* twice a week. Organisms ranging from 10 to 14 mm in length were selected for all the experimental procedures after a fasting period of seven days. The planarians used ($n = 40$ in total) were in good condition without lesions and changes in their locomotor behaviour in response to light.

Table 1. Chemical parameters of commercial natural water in which planarians, *G. tigrina* were kept during the exposure experiment under controlled conditions.

Parameter	Concentration (mg L ⁻¹)
Silicon dioxide, SiO ₂	16 ± 10
Hydrocarbonate ions, HCO ₃ ⁻	11.7 ± 0.60
Chloride ions, Cl ⁻	2.9 ± 0.20
Sodium ions, Na ⁺	5.2 ± 0.20
Calcium ions, Ca ²⁺	1.3 ± 0.10

2.3. Exposure to Phosphorous Flame-Retardant and Polyurethane

Planarians were divided into four glass recipients ($n = 10$ per recipient) containing 40 mL of commercial natural water previously detailed. Two groups of 10 planarians were fed with 10 mg of homogenized bovine liver for 5 h in dark conditions at 22.00 ± 1 °C. The other two groups were fed with 10 mg homogenised bovine liver containing 10 µg mg⁻¹_{liver} of polyurethane particles (PU) in the size range 3 – 5 µm during 5 h in dark conditions at 22.00 ± 1 °C. . After the feeding period, the remaining liver was removed. PU used in this study remains unchanged and unstained. The size range of the plastic particles was selected based on the size of the particles ingested by planarians in previous research works (18,19).

One of the two groups fed with liver only were exposed during 24 h to water only, i.e. the control group and the second group was exposed to 10 mg L⁻¹ organophosphorus flame-retardant aluminium diethylphosphinate (ALPI) for the same period (referred as ALPI treatment). Moreover, two groups of planarians fed with liver containing PU were exposed during 24 h to water only to assess the effects of the PU present in the diet (referred as PU treatment) and to 10 mg L⁻¹ ALPI (referred to as ALPI + PU treatment) to assess the effects of ALPI in planarians fed with PU. After the feeding period, the remaining liver was removed and the water was completely renewed.

2.4. Biomechanical Response

Following the feeding period (5 h) and the exposure period (24 h), the locomotor velocity, body mass and body weight of planarians of each group were individually determined in a separate glass container with a diameter of 55 cm. This container was positioned on a sheet of millimetre paper with grid lines spaced accurately to 0.5 cm. A small volume of natural commercial water was carefully regulated to facilitate the smooth locomotion of the planarians while facilitating accurate observation and recording of their movements. Planarians were individually positioned in the centre of the container and distance was observed over a 3 min period. The number of grid lines crossed and re-crossed by each planarian was recorded, allowing the determination of the locomotor velocity for each individual. Subsequently, 10 planarians of each group were individually placed in 2 mL microtubes and stored at -80 °C for sample preparation.

2.5. Sample Preparation

Each sample was prepared by placing one adult into a microtube along with 8 small spheres. Ultra-pure water (1000 µL) was added to each microtube. The samples were then homogenised using a Tissue Lyser set to 30 Hz for 2 + 2 min. Subsequent to homogenisation, 1000 µL of ultra-pure water was added for the final volume of 2000 µL. Samples were centrifuged at 1000 g for 5 min at 4 °C. Pellet was discarded, and the supernatant was divided into aliquots. In the aliquot designated for lipid peroxidation analysis, BHT (2,6-Di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) diluted with 4% methanol was added into a sample. For the aliquots intended for electron transport system determination, a

solution comprising Tris-(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane (Tris base), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), MgSO_4 , and Triton X-100 was added. Following aliquotation and homogenisation, the samples were promptly stored at -80°C until biomarker analysis.

2.7. Oxidative Stress

Homogenate samples, as well as blank controls, were measured in four technical replicas, and all methods were adjusted for a microplate reader. Glutathione S-transferase (GST) activity was performed based on by Habig et al. (21) method. The reaction mixture contained a sample, potassium phosphate buffer, reduced glutathione (GSH) and 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB). Absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 340 nm, every 20 s for 5 min. Specific activity was calculated based on the molar extinction coefficient (ϵ) $9.6 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Catalase (CAT) activity was performed according to Claiborne (22). A decrease in absorbance was measured in a mixture of sample, potassium phosphate buffer and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) at 240 nm for 2 min. Specific activity was calculated based on $\epsilon = 40 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Total glutathione (TG) levels in planarian samples were determined with potassium phosphate buffer, β -nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide 2'-phosphate reduced tetrasodium salt (β -NADPH), 5,5'-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) and glutathione reductase (GR). Absorbance was measured at 412 nm for 3 min. The concentration of TG was calculated based on a standard curve using GSH. Lipid peroxidation (LPO) concentrations were assessed by measuring thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) following the protocols established by Ohkawa et al. (23) and described in detail in Silva et al. (24). The reaction mixture contained sample, trichloroacetic acid (TCA), 2-thiobarbituric acid (TBA), trizma hydrochloride, Tris - HCl, diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA). The mixture was incubated at 100°C for 60 min and subsequently centrifuged at 9000 rcf for 5 min at 25°C . Absorbance was read at 535 nm and $\epsilon = 1.56 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ was used to calculate the LPO levels.

2.8. Metabolic Biomarkers

Cholinesterase (ChE) activity was determined based on the solution containing potassium phosphate buffer, acetylthiocholine iodide and DTNB. Absorbance was measured at 414 nm, every 20 s for 5 min. Specific activity was calculated based on $\epsilon = 13.6 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Electron transport system (ETS) activity was measured according to the protocol described in (24). The following reagents were used: β -NADPH, reduced β -Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (β -NADH) and p-iodonitrotetrazolium (INT). The absorbance was monitored at 490 nm for 3 min. Activity was calculated based on $\epsilon = 1.59 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity was analysed using Tris, NaCl, NADH and pyruvic acid. Absorbance was measured at 340 nm, every 20 seconds for 5 min. Activity was calculated based on $\epsilon = 6.3 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The total protein content was determined using Bradford's method which was adapted for microplate analysis. Bovine serum albumin was utilised to establish the calibration curve, with absorbance readings taken at 592 nm.

2.9. Data Analysis

The parameters locomotor activity, body mass, body weight, GST, CAT, TG, LPO, ChE, ETS, LDH and protein content underwent analyses to evaluate the normality of distribution. The normality of the data was visually assessed with QQ plots and histograms, followed by the Shapiro-Wilks test. Confirming the assumed normality of the data, parametric tests were used. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to investigate the differences between the parameter response compared to the control group. Multiple comparisons, i.e., comparing the mean of each column (response variable) to the mean of the control group, were determined by Dunnett's *post-hoc* test. Statistical significance was considered at a p -value ≤ 0.05 . Results are presented as barplots, with mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Statistical analyses and data visualisations were performed using GraphPad Prism software version 7.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, California, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

The locomotor activity of planarians was the only biomechanical response that was significantly altered in organisms exposed to PU and ALPI (**Table 2, Figure 1**) through the diet and water, respectively. A significant difference was observed only in locomotor velocity post-exposure to both ALPI and PU compared to the control group ($p < 0.05$). Changes in post-exposure planarian behaviour, i.e., locomotion, appear to be useful endpoints that can detect sequential sub-lethal effects of ALPI and PU. The observed difference in locomotor velocity may be due to the combined neurotoxic effects of ALPI and PU. Interestingly, exposure to PU or ALPI individually did not provoke a significant response in locomotor activity. The exposure to concentration/dose of ALPI or PU was not potent enough to elicit a response, they may interact in a way that enhances their effects when combined, leading to a significant response (**Table 2, Figure 1**). Additionally, ALPI and PU have a sub-threshold effect and it appears the exposure concentration did not reach the level required to trigger a response in planarian locomotor activity. On the other hand, ALPI and PU may affect different neurological pathways or neurotransmitter systems involved in locomotor activity. Probably, simultaneous exposure to both ALPI and PU, might induce an interaction at a neurological level to produce a significant response (**Table 2, Figure 1**). Previous research showed the neurotoxic effects of organophosphorus flame-retardants on freshwater planarians (25), however, the absence of significant change in locomotor activity when exposed to a single dose of ALPI may indicate the concentration, the exposure time or the mode of exposure may not be sufficient to induce a response (**Table 2, Figure 1**). The impaired locomotor function may stem from disruptions in neurotransmission pathways and alterations in the activity of various biomarkers. The flame-retardant DE-71 adversely affected the cholinergic system and locomotor activity in *Danio rerio* larvae by perturbing calcium homeostasis (26). That being said, planarian locomotion is regulated by the dopaminergic system (27) and it may be affected by the combination of ALPI and PU. As a result, impaired locomotor behaviour, marked by decreased mobility, can pose a notable ecological risk and potentially endanger survival (28). Post-exposure alterations in locomotor activity have also been utilized as an indicator of stress induced by ammonia in the planarian *Polycelis felina* (29). The potential impact of PU, and microplastic particles in general, on the locomotion of planarians has been previously reported and results in this study correspond with the absence of PU effect on locomotor activity shown in (24). However, alterations in locomotor activity have been documented in organisms exposed to microplastics or prey contaminated with microplastics. The changes have been observed in invertebrates including *Crassostrea gigas*, *Daphnia magna* and *Artemia franciscana* as well as vertebrates such as *Danio rerio* larvae (30–34). The overall decrease was evident in reductions in average speed and distance moved. Changes in the locomotor activity of predators could further result in negative impacts on their hunting behaviour, exploration competence, and ability to escape from other predators, thereby reducing their fitness and potentially disrupting ecosystem function. Behavioural changes arise from a biochemical and physiological biomarker approach, potentially linked to modifications at higher levels of biological organisation (29,35). Behaviour has been proposed as a sensitive indicator of ecotoxicological impact, as it often responds earlier than traditional endpoints such as growth in terms of body mass and length, as well as reproduction (29). The observation of decreased locomotor activity in planarians emphasises the value of behavioural parameters as supplementary tools in evaluating the sub-lethal effects of various pollutants (36). The present research provides additional support for the suitability of planarians in ecotoxicity assessment. Behavioural endpoints serve as valuable instruments for evaluating the external manifestation of neurotoxicity induced by environmental pollutants, representing a comprehensive, organism-wide response that bridges biochemical and physiological processes (35,37).

Table 2. Descriptive statistics on biomechanical responses – locomotor velocity (crossed gridlines min^{-1}), body mass (g) and body length (mm) in planarians, *G. tigrina* exposed to 10 mg L^{-1} flame-retardant aluminium diethylphosphinate (ALPI), and $10 \mu\text{g PU mg}^{-1}\text{ liver}$ plastic polymer polyurethane (PU), and the combination of ALPI and PU.

		Control	ALPI	PU	ALPI+PU
Locomotor velocity	n	10	10	10	10
	Min	4.00	3.00	6.00	3.00
	Max	15.00	15.00	15.00	10.00
	Range	11.00	12.00	9.00	7.00
	Mean	11.00	9.10	10.50	7.30
	SD	3.86	3.45	3.10	2.58
Body mass	Min	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.006
	Max	0.018	0.015	0.019	0.018
	Range	0.010	0.006	0.011	0.012
	Mean	0.013	0.011	0.012	0.013
	SD	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.003
	Body length	Min	10.00	11.00	10.00
	Max	15.00	15.00	13.00	14.00
	Range	5.00	4.00	3.00	3.00
	Mean	12.00	12.80	12.00	12.10
	SD	1.25	1.32	1.25	0.99

ALPI – aluminium diethylphosphinate; PU – polyurethane; n – sample size; min – minimum value; max – maximum value; SD – standard deviation.




Figure 1. Biomechanical response – locomotor velocity (crossed gridlines min^{-1}), body mass (g) and body length (mm) in planarians *G. tigrina* exposed to 10 mg L^{-1} flame-retardant aluminium

diethylphosphinate (ALPI), 10 $\mu\text{g PU mg}^{-1}\text{liver}$ plastic polymer polyurethane (PU), and the combination of ALPI and PU. Results are presented as mean \pm standard deviation, SD. Statistical differences between the exposures compared to the control (ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test) are presented with * ($p > 0.05$).

Given the potential of flame-retardants and microplastic to induce oxidative stress, we conducted a biochemical analysis to assess the status of the defence system in exposed planarians *G. tigrina* (Table 3, Figure 2). The antioxidant defence system comprises both enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants, which function by neutralising reactive oxygen species (ROS) and protecting the planarians against the increase in oxidative stress. The initial defence line against oxidative stress includes the antioxidant enzymes, CAT and glutathione-dependent enzymes. CAT breaks down H_2O_2 into water and molecular oxygen (38) and GST plays a pivotal role in pollutant metabolism by facilitating the conjugation of GSH with electrophilic metabolites, thus aiding in their excretion (39). Furthermore, an imbalance between the production of ROS and the antioxidant defence mechanisms may lead to oxidative damage to cellular components, including lipids. This process, known as lipid peroxidation, results in the formation of lipid peroxides and other reactive by-products, ultimately compromising membrane integrity and cellular function. Planarians exposed to ALPI, PU and the combination of ALPI and PU did not exhibit any significant changes in enzymatic activity (GST, CAT) or concentration of TG and LPO ($p > 0.05$, Table 3, Figure 2). This may be due to the exposure concentrations and duration of the exposure. The concentration of 3 and 30 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ BDE-47 and the concentration of 30 mg L^{-1} ALPI caused changes in CAT activity, but not in GST activity, as shown in *D. rerio* (40,41). Exposure to polyvinyl microplastics led to alterations in haematology, antioxidant enzyme levels, LPO, and acetylcholinesterase activity in the African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (42,43). However, this is not the case in the present study. Toxic effects often depend on the dose and duration of exposure, and if these are below a threshold level (44), observable changes may not occur. Planarians might have exhibited adaptive responses to the exposure, upregulating their antioxidant defence systems in response to oxidative stress induced by PU, ALPI, and/or their combination. Despite the exposure to the pollutants, this upregulation could have maintained CAT and GST activities, TG levels, and LPO within the ranges of control, despite exposure to the pollutants. That being said, interactions between PU and ALPI, either synergistic or antagonistic, could have affected the observed results in a way that the combination of PU and ALPI may have resulted in counteractive effects on oxidative stress pathways, leading to no change in CAT and GST activity as well as TG, and LPO levels.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of oxidative stress biomarkers – glutathione S-transferase (GST, $\text{nmol min}^{-1} \text{mg}_{\text{planarian}}^{-1}$), catalase (CAT; $\mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}_{\text{planarian}}^{-1}$), total glutathione (TG, $\text{nmol mg}_{\text{planarian}}^{-1}$) and lipid peroxidation (LPO, $\text{nmol mg}_{\text{planarian}}^{-1}$) in planarians, *G. tigrina* exposed to 10 mg L^{-1} flame-retardant aluminium diethylphosphinate (ALPI), 10 $\mu\text{g PU mg}^{-1}\text{liver}$ plastic polymer polyurethane (PU), and the combination of ALPI and PU.

		Control	ALPI	PU	ALPI+PU
	n	10	10	10	10
GST ($\text{nmol min}^{-1} \text{mg}_{\text{planarian}}^{-1}$)	Min	6.87	0.96	3.92	5.49
	Max	31.24	34.54	36.48	25.69
	Range	24.37	33.58	32.56	20.20
	Mean	16.00	14.65	14.16	17.03
	SD	8.83	11.31	10.69	6.33
CAT ($\mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}_{\text{planarian}}^{-1}$)	Min	17.88	15.87	17.04	22.95
	Max	49.60	46.15	58.25	61.50
	Range	31.72	30.28	41.21	38.55
	Mean	33.57	33.43	39.26	36.52
	SD	10.96	9.96	15.59	13.06
TG ($\text{nmol mg}_{\text{planarian}}^{-1}$)	Min	58.31	57.67	48.07	50.43

	Max	77.33	89.97	77.17	82.87
	Range	19.02	32.30	29.10	32.44
	Mean	67.15	70.53	64.64	64.88
	SD	7.07	11.62	10.25	10.02
LPO (nmol mg _{planarian} ⁻¹)	Min	0.79	0.70	0.52	1.11
	Max	10.62	8.89	7.43	10.22
	Range	9.82	8.19	6.91	9.11
	Mean	6.24	3.85	3.88	4.98
	SD	4.02	3.33	2.64	3.07

ALPI – aluminium diethylphosphinate; PU – polyurethane; GST – glutathione S-transferase; CAT – catalase; TG – total glutathione; LPO – lipid peroxidation; n – sample size; min – minimum value; max – maximum value; SD – standard deviation.




Figure 2. Oxidative stress biomarkers – glutathione S-transferase (GST, nmol min⁻¹ mg_{planarian}⁻¹), catalase (CAT; μmol min⁻¹ mg_{planarian}⁻¹), total glutathione (TG, nmol mg_{planarian}⁻¹) and lipid peroxidation (LPO, nmol mg_{planarian}⁻¹) in planarians, *G. tigrina* exposed to 10 mg L⁻¹ flame-retardant aluminium diethylphosphinate (ALPI), 10 μg PU mg⁻¹ liver plastic polymer polyurethane (PU), and the combination of ALPI and PU. Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation, SD.

In the present study, none of the examined pollutants – ALPI, PU and a combination of ALPI and PU, showed significant alterations in the activity of ChE in exposed planarians ($p > 0.05$, **Table 4**, **Figure 3**). In contrast, research on various polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) suggests their potential to impact the nervous system via the acetylcholine system. For instance, the PBDE mixture DE-71 notably suppressed AChE activity in *D. rerio* (26,45). Similarly, exposure to BDE-209 and its combinations with BDE-47 and BDE-99 significantly inhibited AChE activity in *Carassius auratus* (46). Electron transport system (ETS) did not show any significant differences when exposed to ALPI, PU

or the combination of ALPI and PU compared to control ($p > 0.05$, **Table 4, Figure 3**). This finding could imply that the toxic effects of ALPI, PU, and their combination may be exerted through mechanisms other than direct interference with cellular respiration. Alternatively, it may suggest that planarians possess mechanisms to maintain the integrity of their electron transport system even in the presence of these pollutants. ETS has been evaluated in planarians, suggesting energy allocation refers to the prioritisation of energy resources within a planarian to support homeostasis. Changes in energy allocation patterns can occur in response to environmental stressors or challenges, and these changes may have implications for overall health and fitness (24,47,48). LDH facilitates the conversion of pyruvate to lactate within the anaerobic pathway of cellular energy generation (49). Research has demonstrated that exposure to environmental pollutants can alter LDH activity (50), however, that is not the case in the present study. We detected no significant changes in LDH activity following exposure to ALPI, PU and the combination of ALPI and PU compared to the control group ($p > 0.05$; **Table 4, Figure 3**). Interestingly, in research by Nematdoost Haghi & Banaee (51), microplastics alone did not change LDH activity in *Cyprinus carpio* but when combined with the herbicide paraquat, an increase in LDH activity was detected. Protein content remains unaffected in planarians after exposure to ALPI, PU and the combination of ALPI and PU, suggesting these pollutants did not significantly alter overall protein synthesis or degradation processes ($p > 0.05$, **Table 4, Figure 3**). Changes in protein levels can reflect disturbances in cellular homeostasis and physiological functions (52). Therefore, the observation of unchanged protein levels suggests that the exposure to ALPI, PU, and their combination did not result in significant disruptions to these essential cellular processes at the level of protein synthesis or degradation in planarians.

Table 4. Descriptive statistics of metabolic biomarker response – cholinesterase activity (ChE, nmol min⁻¹ mg_{planaria}⁻¹), electron transport system (ETS, mJ h⁻¹ mg_{planaria}⁻¹), lactate dehydrogenase activity (LDH, nmol min⁻¹ mg_{planaria}⁻¹) and protein content (mg planaria⁻¹) in planarians, *G. tigrina* exposed to 10 mg L⁻¹ flame-retardant aluminium diethylphosphinate (ALPI), 10 µg PU mg⁻¹ liver plastic polymer polyurethane (PU), and the combination of ALPI and PU.

		Control	ALPI	PU	ALPI+PU
	n	10	10	10	10
ChE (nmol min ⁻¹ mg _{planarian} ⁻¹)	Min	32.89	31.21	15.38	27.39
	Max	65.62	123.20	94.79	87.74
	Range	32.73	92.01	79.41	60.35
	Mean	47.32	61.77	51.13	59.45
	SD	10.63	27.96	27.89	19.66
ETS (mJ h ⁻¹ mg _{planarian} ⁻¹)	Min	32.89	31.21	15.38	27.39
	Max	65.62	123.20	94.79	87.74
	Range	32.73	92.01	79.41	60.35
	Mean	47.32	61.77	51.13	59.45
	SD	10.63	27.96	27.89	19.66
LDH (nmol min ⁻¹ planarian ⁻¹)	Min	7.33	8.98	6.76	8.26
	Max	42.39	45.90	48.39	33.47
	Range	35.06	36.92	41.64	25.21
	Mean	21.86	17.24	17.64	18.72
	SD	13.35	11.14	13.52	9.50
Protein (mg planarian ⁻¹)	Min	0.51	0.51	0.44	0.58
	Max	1.37	1.43	2.13	1.50
	Range	0.86	0.92	1.69	0.92
	Mean	0.93	0.93	0.98	0.97
	SD	0.28	0.34	0.59	0.31

ALPI – aluminium diethylphosphinate; PU – polyurethane; ChE – cholinesterase; ETS – electron transport system; LDH – lactate dehydrogenase; n – sample size; min – minimum value; max – maximum value; SD – standard deviation.




Figure 3. Metabolic biomarkers – cholinesterase activity (ChE, nmol min⁻¹ mg_{planarian}⁻¹), electron transport system (ETS, mJ h⁻¹ mg_{planarian}⁻¹), lactate dehydrogenase activity (LDH, nmol min⁻¹ planarian⁻¹) and protein content (mg planarian⁻¹) in planarians, *G. tigrina* exposed to 10 mg L⁻¹ flame-retardant aluminium diethylphosphinate (ALPI), 10 µg PU mg⁻¹_{liver} plastic polymer polyurethane (PU), and the combination of ALPI and PU. Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation, SD.

Nevertheless, the analysis of all biomarkers showed that activities of ETS, ChE, GST, and CAT, as well as levels of TG and protein (Tables 3 and 4) exhibited a high range of variation on planarians exposed to ALPI, PU and ALPI + PU. Moreover, weight and length of planarians (Table 2) and the biomarker of oxidative damage LPO were not significantly changed. Therefore, the impairment of the locomotor activity of ALPI + PU might be not only associated with previously discussed neurotoxic potential of the pollutants, but also to the energetic costs associated with non-significant alterations that are highlighted by the range response of organisms concerning energy consumption, detoxification and antioxidant capacity. Thus, locomotor activity seems to be an integrated and cumulative biomarker of several changes in the cell physiology response to relatively low concentrations of both pollutants.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our research involving the exposure of *G. tigrina* to ALPI and PU revealed a notable decrease in locomotor activity, while other molecular biomarkers (oxidative stress biomarkers and metabolic response) remained unaffected. This observation highlights the importance of including behavioural indicators in toxicological assays when assessing the effects of pollutants. Behavioural changes can serve as sensitive early indicators of environmental stressors, offering integrative and cumulative valuable insights into organism responses that may not be captured by molecular biomarkers alone. Furthermore, incorporating behavioural endpoints into

toxicological assessments allows for a more comprehensive understanding of the overall impact of pollutants on organisms. By examining behavioural responses alongside molecular biomarkers, researchers can better elucidate potential synergistic or antagonistic effects of organic pollutants. These effects may manifest differently at the behavioural level compared to molecular endpoints, highlighting the necessity of a multidimensional approach in assessing ecological risks. Moreover, our study highlights the need to study into depth the single and combined ecophysiological effects of PU and ALPI using several concentrations and exposure periods.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: Dora Bjedov, Rone S. Barbosa, Carlos Gravato; Methodology: All; Validation: Dora Bjedov, Carlos Gravato; Formal analysis: All; Investigation: Dora Bjedov, Rone S. Barbosa, Carlos Gravato; Resources: Danielle Palma de Oliveira, Daniel Junqueira Dorta, Maíra I. Sarmento, Renato Almeida Sarmento, Ana L. Patrício Silva, Carlos Gravato; Data curation: All; Writing—original draft preparation: Dora Bjedov; Writing—review and editing: All; Visualization: All; Supervision: Renato Almeida Sarmento, Carlos Gravato; Project administration: Dora Bjedov, Ana L. Patrício Silva, Carlos Gravato; Funding acquisition: Danielle Palma de Oliveira, Ana L. Patrício Silva, Carlos Gravato. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by: Portuguese National Funds through "Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia" (FCT) within the cE3c Unit funding UIDB/00329/2020; CAPES (Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel – Brazil – PROCAD AMAZÔNIA PROGRAM), NPq (National Council for Scientific and Technological Development, Brazil – Projects 405875/2021-5 and 306011/2022-0), São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) [Process No. 2014/50945-4]; National Council for Scientific and Technological Development–CNPq: Detection, Toxicological Evaluation and Removal of Micropollutants and Radioactives (INCT-DATREM) [Process No. 14/50945-4] and CESAM, funded by FCT/MCTES (UIDP/50017/2020+UIDB/50017/2020+LA/P/0094/2020), through national funds. ALPS was also supported through the research contract <https://doi.org/10.54499/CEECIND/01366/2018/CP1559/CT0009>.

Data Availability Statement: All data was included in the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Gavrilesco M, Demnerová K, Aamand J, Agathos S, Fava F. Emerging pollutants in the environment: present and future challenges in biomonitoring, ecological risks and bioremediation. *N Biotechnol* [Internet]. 2015 Jan 25 [cited 2024 Mar 29];32(1):147–56. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1871678414000028>
2. Liu W, Zhao Y, Shi Z, Li Z, Liang X. Ecotoxicoproteomic assessment of microplastics and plastic additives in aquatic organisms: A review. *Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genomics Proteomics* [Internet]. 2020 Dec 1 [cited 2024 Mar 29];36:100713. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33075717>
3. Yang J, Zhao Y, Li M, Du M, Li X, Li Y. A Review of a Class of Emerging Contaminants: The Classification, Distribution, Intensity of Consumption, Synthesis Routes, Environmental Effects and Expectation of Pollution Abatement to Organophosphate Flame Retardants (OPFRs). *Int J Mol Sci* [Internet]. 2019 Jun 12 [cited 2024 Mar 29];20(12). Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31212857>
4. U.S. EPA. Flame Retardants Used in Flexible Polyurethane Foam: An Alternatives Assessment Update [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2024 Apr 10]. Available from: https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-08/documents/ffr_final_body.pdf
5. He C, Lin CY, Mueller JF. Organophosphate flame retardants in the environment: Source, occurrence, and human exposure. In: *Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry* [Internet]. Elsevier; 2020 [cited 2024 Mar 29]. p. 341–65. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0166526X19301047>
6. Emenike EC, Okorie CJ, Ojeyemi T, Egbemhenghe A, Iwuzor KO, Saliu OD, et al. From oceans to dinner plates: The impact of microplastics on human health. *Heliyon* [Internet]. 2023 Oct 1 [cited 2024 Mar 29];9(10):e20440. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S240584402307648X>
7. Ghosh S, Sinha JK, Ghosh S, Vashisth K, Han S, Bhaskar R. Microplastics as an Emerging Threat to the Global Environment and Human Health. *Sustainability* [Internet]. 2023 Jul 10 [cited 2024 Mar 29];15(14):10821. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/14/10821>

8. Ziani K, Ioniță-Mîndrican CB, Mititelu M, Neacșu SM, Negrei C, Moroșan E, et al. Microplastics: A Real Global Threat for Environment and Food Safety: A State of the Art Review. *Nutrients* [Internet]. 2023 Jan 25 [cited 2024 Mar 29];15(3):617. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/15/3/617>
9. Elizalde-Velázquez A, Subbiah S, Anderson TA, Green MJ, Zhao X, Cañas-Carrell JE. Sorption of three common nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) to microplastics. *Sci Total Environ* [Internet]. 2020 May 1 [cited 2024 Mar 29];715:136974. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32041000>
10. Schell T, Rico A, Cherta L, Nozal L, Dafouz R, Giacchini R, et al. Influence of microplastics on the bioconcentration of organic contaminants in fish: Is the “Trojan horse” effect a matter of concern? *Environ Pollut* [Internet]. 2022 Aug 1 [cited 2024 Mar 29];306:119473. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35580714>
11. Menéndez-Pedriza A, Jaumot J. Interaction of Environmental Pollutants with Microplastics: A Critical Review of Sorption Factors, Bioaccumulation and Ecotoxicological Effects. *Toxics* [Internet]. 2020 Jun 2 [cited 2024 Mar 29];8(2):40. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2305-6304/8/2/40>
12. Wu JP, Li MH. The use of freshwater planarians in environmental toxicology studies: Advantages and potential. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* [Internet]. 2018 Oct 15 [cited 2024 Mar 29];161:45–56. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29859407>
13. Knakievicz T. Planarians as invertebrate bioindicators in freshwater environmental quality: the biomarkers approach. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Contamination* [Internet]. 2014 Aug 13 [cited 2024 Mar 29];9(1):1–12. Available from: <http://ecotoxbrasil.org.br/upload/3e9e07e899bdf7278a66682ec1bca714-001-eec-4366-01-12.pdf>
14. Vila-Farré M, C Rink J. The Ecology of Freshwater Planarians. *Methods Mol Biol* [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2024 Mar 29];1774:173–205. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29916156>
15. Thorp J, Covich A. Ecology and classification of North American freshwater invertebrates [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2024 Mar 29]. Available from: [https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=gKHmGKqMrgQC&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Since+planarians+are+small+sized+predators,+commonly+found+in+many+freshwater+systems+\(Thorp+and+Covich,+2009\)&ots=IVTX4L1K_N&sig=Dyb33bEIWWYaEkGDjQnxd0-hZQY](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=gKHmGKqMrgQC&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Since+planarians+are+small+sized+predators,+commonly+found+in+many+freshwater+systems+(Thorp+and+Covich,+2009)&ots=IVTX4L1K_N&sig=Dyb33bEIWWYaEkGDjQnxd0-hZQY)
16. Pagán OR, Montgomery E, Deats S, Bach D, Baker D. Evidence of Nicotine-Induced, Curare-Insensitive, Behavior in Planarians. *Neurochem Res* [Internet]. 2015 Oct 1 [cited 2024 Mar 29];40(10):2087–90. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25614180>
17. Newmark PA, Sánchez Alvarado A. Not your father’s planarian: a classic model enters the era of functional genomics. *Nat Rev Genet* [Internet]. 2002 Mar [cited 2024 Mar 29];3(3):210–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11972158>
18. Gambino G, Falleni A, Nigro M, Salvetti A, Cecchetti A, Ippolito C, et al. Dynamics of interaction and effects of microplastics on planarian tissue regeneration and cellular homeostasis. *Aquatic Toxicology* [Internet]. 2020 Jan 1 [cited 2024 Mar 29];218:105354. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0166445X19306162>
19. Gao T, Sun B, Xu Z, Chen Q, Yang M, Wan Q, et al. Exposure to polystyrene microplastics reduces regeneration and growth in planarians. *J Hazard Mater* [Internet]. 2022 Jun 15 [cited 2024 Mar 29];432:128673. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35303662>
20. Han Y, Zhang X, Liu P, Xu S, Chen D, Liu JN, et al. Microplastics exposure causes oxidative stress and microbiota dysbiosis in planarian *Dugesia japonica*. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* [Internet]. 2022 Apr 1 [cited 2024 Mar 29];29(19):28973–83. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34994935>
21. Habig WH, Jakoby WB. Assays for Differentiation of Glutathione S-Transferases. *Methods Enzymol* [Internet]. 1981 [cited 2020 Sep 24];77(C):398–405. Available from: <https://sci-hub.st/https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0076687981770538>
22. Claiborne A. Catalase Activity. In: Greenwald RA, editor. *Handbook Methods for oxygen radical research*. 1st ed. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC ; 1985.
23. Ohkawa H, Ohishi N, Yagi K. Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid reaction. *Anal Biochem* [Internet]. 1979 Jun 1 [cited 2024 Mar 30];95(2):351–8. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0003269779907383>
24. Silva SAM, Prata JC, Dias-Pereira P, Rodrigues ACM, Soares AMVM, Sarmiento RA, et al. Microplastics altered cellular responses, physiology, behaviour, and regeneration of planarians feeding on contaminated

- prey. *Science of The Total Environment* [Internet]. 2023 Jun 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];875:162556. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0048969723011725>
25. Zhang S, Ireland D, Sipes NS, Behl M, Collins EMS. Screening for neurotoxic potential of 15 flame retardants using freshwater planarians. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* [Internet]. 2019 May 1 [cited 2024 Mar 25];73:54–66. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S089203621830165X>
 26. Wang X, Zhao L, Shi Q, Guo Y, Hua J, Han J, et al. DE-71 affected the cholinergic system and locomotor activity via disrupting calcium homeostasis in zebrafish larvae. *Aquat Toxicol* [Internet]. 2022 Sep 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];250:106237. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35870252>
 27. Buttarelli FR, Pellicano C, Pontieri FE. Neuropharmacology and behavior in planarians: translations to mammals. *Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2008 May 1 [cited 2024 Mar 25];147(4):399–408. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18294919>
 28. Zhao J, Xu T, Yin DQ. Locomotor activity changes on zebrafish larvae with different 2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether (PBDE-47) embryonic exposure modes. *Chemosphere* [Internet]. 2014 Jan 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];94:53–61. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24080000>
 29. Alonso Á, Camargo JA. The freshwater planarian *Polycelis felina* as a sensitive species to assess the long-term toxicity of ammonia. *Chemosphere* [Internet]. 2011 Jul 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];84(5):533–7. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0045653511004218>
 30. Chen Q, Gundlach M, Yang S, Jiang J, Velki M, Yin D, et al. Quantitative investigation of the mechanisms of microplastics and nanoplastics toward zebrafish larvae locomotor activity. *Science of The Total Environment* [Internet]. 2017 Apr [cited 2024 Mar 28];584–585:1022–31. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0048969717301663>
 31. Chen Q, Lackmann C, Wang W, Seiler TB, Hollert H, Shi H. Microplastics Lead to Hyperactive Swimming Behaviour in Adult Zebrafish. *Aquat Toxicol* [Internet]. 2020 Jul 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];224:105521. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32504859>
 32. Gambardella C, Morgana S, Ferrando S, Bramini M, Piazza V, Costa E, et al. Effects of polystyrene microbeads in marine planktonic crustaceans. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* [Internet]. 2017 Nov 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];145:250–7. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0147651317304487>
 33. De Felice B, Sabatini V, Antenucci S, Gattoni G, Santo N, Bacchetta R, et al. Polystyrene microplastics ingestion induced behavioral effects to the cladoceran *Daphnia magna*. *Chemosphere* [Internet]. 2019 Sep 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];231:423–31. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0045653519310215>
 34. Bringer A, Thomas H, Prunier G, Dubillot E, Bossut N, Churlaud C, et al. High density polyethylene (HDPE) microplastics impair development and swimming activity of Pacific oyster *D-larvae*, *Crassostrea gigas*, depending on particle size. *Environmental Pollution* [Internet]. 2020 May [cited 2024 Mar 28];260:113978. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0269749119359858>
 35. Hellou J. Behavioural ecotoxicology, an “early warning” signal to assess environmental quality. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* [Internet]. 2011 Jan 8 [cited 2024 Mar 28];18(1):1–11. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11356-010-0367-2>
 36. Rodrigues ACM, Henriques JF, Domingues I, Golovko O, Žlábek V, Barata C, et al. Behavioural responses of freshwater planarians after short-term exposure to the insecticide chlorantraniliprole. *Aquatic Toxicology* [Internet]. 2016 Jan 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];170:371–6. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0166445X15300771>
 37. Brewer SK, Little EE, DeLonay AJ, Beauvais SL, Jones SB, Eilersieck MR. Behavioral dysfunctions correlate to altered physiology in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) exposed to cholinesterase-inhibiting chemicals. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* [Internet]. 2001 Jan [cited 2024 Mar 28];40(1):70–6. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11116342>
 38. Ighodaro OM, Akinloye OA. First line defence antioxidants-superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX): Their fundamental role in the entire antioxidant defence grid. *Alexandria Journal of Medicine* [Internet]. 2018 Dec 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];54(4):287–93. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1016/j.ajme.2017.09.001>
 39. Dong M, Zhu L, Shao B, Zhu S, Wang J, Xie H, et al. The effects of endosulfan on cytochrome P450 enzymes and glutathione S-transferases in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) livers. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* [Internet]. 2013 Jun 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];92:1–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23523001>

40. Usenko CY, Abel EL, Kudela M, Janise A, Bruce ED. Comparison of PBDE congeners as inducers of oxidative stress in zebrafish. *Environ Toxicol Chem* [Internet]. 2015 May 7 [cited 2024 Mar 28];34(5):1154–60. Available from: <https://setac.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/etc.2922>
41. Rialto TCR, Marino RV, Abe FR, Dorta DJ, Oliveira DP. Comparative Assessment of the Toxicity of Brominated and Halogen-Free Flame Retardants to Zebrafish in Terms of Tail Coiling Activity, Biomarkers, and Locomotor Activity. *Toxics* [Internet]. 2023 Aug 25 [cited 2024 Mar 28];11(9):732. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2305-6304/11/9/732>
42. Iheanacho SC, Odo GE. Dietary exposure to polyvinyl chloride microparticles induced oxidative stress and hepatic damage in *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822). *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* [Internet]. 2020 Jun 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];27(17):21159–73. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32266633>
43. Iheanacho SC, Odo GE. Neurotoxicity, oxidative stress biomarkers and haematological responses in African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) exposed to polyvinyl chloride microparticles. *Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2020 Jun 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];232:108741. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32171890>
44. Tennekes HA, Sánchez-Bayo F. The molecular basis of simple relationships between exposure concentration and toxic effects with time. *Toxicology* [Internet]. 2013 Jul 5 [cited 2024 Mar 28];309:39–51. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23603429>
45. Chen L, Yu K, Huang C, Yu L, Zhu B, Lam PKS, et al. Prenatal transfer of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) results in developmental neurotoxicity in zebrafish larvae. *Environ Sci Technol* [Internet]. 2012 Sep 4 [cited 2024 Mar 28];46(17):9727–34. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22866812>
46. Xie Z, Lu G, Qi P. Effects of BDE-209 and its mixtures with BDE-47 and BDE-99 on multiple biomarkers in *Carassius auratus*. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2014 Sep 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];38(2):554–61. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25180441>
47. Simão FCP, Rodrigues ACM, Gravato C, Soares AMVM, Pestana JLT. Oxidative status of planarians is differently affected by PAHs: 3-5 Benzene ring compounds. *Environmental Advances* [Internet]. 2022 Jul 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];8:100201. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2666765722000370>
48. Saraiva AS, Sarmento RA, Gravato C, Rodrigues ACM, Campos D, Simão FCP, et al. Strategies of cellular energy allocation to cope with paraquat-induced oxidative stress: Chironomids vs Planarians and the importance of using different species. *Sci Total Environ* [Internet]. 2020 Nov 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];741:140443. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32887009>
49. Wen B, Zhang N, Jin SR, Chen ZZ, Gao JZ, Liu Y, et al. Microplastics have a more profound impact than elevated temperatures on the predatory performance, digestion and energy metabolism of an Amazonian cichlid. *Aquat Toxicol* [Internet]. 2018 Feb 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];195:67–76. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29288934>
50. Nunes BS, Travasso R, Gonçalves F, Castro BB. Biochemical and physiological modifications in tissues of *Sardina pilchardus*: spatial and temporal patterns as a baseline for biomonitoring studies. *Front Environ Sci* [Internet]. 2015 Feb 24 [cited 2024 Mar 28];3(FEB):126151. Available from: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/Article/10.3389/fenvs.2015.00007/abstract>
51. Nematdoost Haghi B, Banaee M. Effects of micro-plastic particles on paraquat toxicity to common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*): biochemical changes. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* [Internet]. 2017 Mar 26 [cited 2024 Mar 28];14(3):521–30. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s13762-016-1171-4>
52. Benedetti M, Giuliani ME, Regoli F. Oxidative metabolism of chemical pollutants in marine organisms: molecular and biochemical biomarkers in environmental toxicology. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* [Internet]. 2015 Mar 1 [cited 2024 Mar 28];1340(1):8–19. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25712694>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.