

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# The Genetic Basis Underpinning Sexually Selected Traits across Different Animal Lineages: Are the Genetic Mechanisms in Common?

---

[Ji Hyoun Kang](#) \*

Posted Date: 10 January 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202401.0845.v1

Keywords: co-option; evolutionary novelty; male ornament; male-specific trait; sexual selection



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Review

# The Genetic Basis Underpinning Sexually Selected Traits across Different Animal Lineages: Are the Genetic Mechanisms in Common?

Ji Hyoun Kang

Korean Entomological Institute, Korea Univeristy, Seoul, South Korea; jihyounkang@korea.ac.kr

**Simple Summary:** Sexual selection, through female choice or male-male competition, plays a crucial role in the evolutionary diversification and speciation. While the evolutionary benefits and history of traits influenced by sexual selection are well-studied, the molecular genetic mechanisms of their development are less explored. Recent advances in genomic technologies such as RNA-Seq have shed light on the genetic basis of these traits across diverse taxa. This review compiles data on the genes and genetic processes involved in the development of sexually selected traits, revealing a common genetic architecture across different lineages. It highlights the frequent use of pre-existing genetic networks (i.e. gene network “co-option”) in the evolution of these traits, suggesting repeated involvement of specific genes or gene-sets in various sexually selected traits. Information on the genetic regulation of on the development of sexually selected traits will be valuable to understand a complete picture of their origin and evolution.

**Abstract:** Sexual selection involving female choice or female preference (‘inter-sexual’ selection) or male-male competition (‘intra-sexual’ selection) is one of the key mechanisms for evolutionary diversification and speciation. In particular, sexual selection is recently suggested to be an important mode to drive the evolution of “novel” phenotype (i. e. “evolutionary novelty”). Despite extensive studies performed on sexually selected traits or male-specific ornaments (or weapon-like structures) with respect to their evolutionary origin and history and fitness benefits, relatively little is known about the molecular genetic mechanisms underlying their developmental process. However, with advances of genomic technologies (including whole transcriptome analysis using Next Generation Sequencing [NGS] techniques; RNA-Seq), progresses have been made to unveil the genetic background underpinning diverse sexually selected traits in different animal taxa. In the present review, we compile empirical data on the genes, genetic mechanisms or regulatory pathways underlying various sexually selected traits to explore whether the “common” genetic architectures shape the development and evolution of those traits across evolutionarily distant animal lineages. We show that the recruitment of pre-existing genetic network for a new purpose (i.e. gene network “co-option”) is rather widespread in the development and evolution of sexually selected traits, indicating that particular genes or gene-sets are repeatedly involved in different sexually selected traits. Information of genes or genetic mechanisms regulating the development of sexually selected traits would be an essential piece to complete a whole picture of the origin and evolution of sexually selected traits.

**Keywords:** co-option; evolutionary novelty; male ornament; male-specific trait; sexual selection

---

## Introduction

Exaggerated male ornaments or weapon-like structures in various animal taxa, such as peacock's tails and beetle horns, grasp peoples' attention because of their extravagant appearance (coloration or morphology). Ever since Darwin described on sexual selection, biologists have much been fascinated in the origin and evolution of exaggerated male ornaments since they are thought to be

subject to a “special” type of evolutionary processes. These male-specific traits are believed to be sexually selected, meaning that they are beneficial for mating success by attracting mates or winning over rivals, although the exaggerated traits can be costly and have possibly detrimental effects on the fitness due to the action of natural selection (e.g., vulnerability to predators; see Endler 1980 [1]). Instead of rather a simple explanation of this trade-off between sexual and natural selection, the evolution of sexually selected traits is suggested to be much more complex and has thereby become an interesting topic in evolutionary biology.

In general, two mechanisms are known to drive the evolution of sexually selected traits: inter-sexual selection (female choice or preference) and intra-sexual selection (male-male competition) [2]. Exaggerated male secondary sexual characteristics are often the evolutionary outcomes of female preference to choose their mates [3]. Darwin hypothesized that female choice (or preference) leads to the evolution of male sexual ornaments [4] and many studies have provided empirical evidence supporting this idea [e.g., male tail [5] extreme tail length in a widowbird [6]. The elaborated male ornaments might be used by females as a signal of male quality for choosing mates [7] in many animal taxa including birds, flies, beetles, fish, ungulates, and crustaceans [8,9]. Sizes of male ornaments often matter on sexual selection in several species. For example, wing patch size is the target of female choice in collared flycatchers [10]. It was also shown in barn swallow that male ornament size acts as a “honest” signal by reflecting their offspring longevity [5]. Stalk-eyed fly showed a positive association of male reproductive morphology with enhanced fertility [11]. Length and darkness in lion’s mane are signals for male qualities such as nutrition and fighting success [12].

Some of exaggerated male traits such as claws in fiddler crabs [13], horns of giant rhinoceros beetles [14] and antler in red deer [15] are used as a weapon to deter rivals for mating competition. Exaggerated sexually selected traits are usually associated with individual variation in the traits, based on age, size, nutritional condition and genotype since their expressions are highly condition-dependent [8,9].

The notion that male exaggerated ornaments are considered “evolutionary novelties” has brought interesting questions for their origin and evolutionary history including lineage specific loss and gain [14]. Evolutionary novelties or novel traits are generally described as structures or characters that are not homologous to any structures existed in ancestral lineages or any structures of the same species [16]. Novel functional capabilities (e.g., flight, vision) or novel structural elements (e.g., hair and horn in mammals, scales in reptiles) are two categories of evolutionary novelties when the developmental origin of novel body parts considered [17].

Despite extensive studies performed on sexually selected traits in terms of their evolutionary origins and history, and fitness benefits, little is known about molecular mechanisms underlying the development of exaggerated male ornaments and sexually selected traits. An understanding of their developmental processes is essential to identify the origin of sexually selected traits (secondary sex specific traits) [18]. In particular, unique developmental and evolutionary identities can be better understood by an investigation of the origin and divergence of the novel gene regulatory networks contributing to the morphological innovations [17]. If we know the genetic mechanisms of the origin and evolution of exaggerated male traits, then it will also help to understand the genetic mechanisms underlying evolutionary novelties. Information of genes or genetic mechanisms regulating the development of sexually selected trait (male exaggerated ornaments) would be an essential piece to complete a whole picture of the origin and evolution of those traits.

While many studies have focused on the determination of genetic variation responsible for sexually selected traits (reviewed in [19]), research efforts on the identification of the ‘causal’ or associated genes or their regulations underlying the traits are much limited. Recently, however, more and more studies about genes or genetic pathways underlying sexually selected traits have been performed in various distantly related animal lineages [e.g., sword in swordtails [21,22], horn in beetle species [23–26], antler in deer [27–30], plumage coloration in birds [31,32], and eye span in stalk-eyed flies [33–35]. Advances of genomic technologies (including whole transcriptome analyses using Next Generation Sequencing [NGS] techniques) have facilitated research in this field at the genome-wide level. However, few attempts have been made to compare the genetic mechanisms or

genetic backgrounds of sexually selected traits among different animal taxa. With the accumulating information, we are now able to compare genes and genetic pathways among different sexually selected traits across different taxa in order to test whether common (or similar or completely different) genetic mechanisms contribute to the development of those traits. If they share the common or similar genetic mechanisms, then it will provide the basis of knowledge that different sexually selected traits evolve through the common molecular mechanisms. In the present review, we focus on the specific genes or genetic pathways involved in sexually selected traits, using recent emerging empirical data with NGS. The goals of this review are two-fold: (1) to summarize empirical data on the genetic mechanisms underlying sexually selected traits and (2) to explore whether the common (or shared) genetic mechanisms shape the development or evolution of the sexually selected traits across evolutionarily distant animal lineages. Although it is difficult to identify the target (or causal) genes or their genetic regulations underlying the traits by comparing expression genetic data, by doing so we aim to suggest a framework or guide for future research to look deeper into the genetic basis underlying the origin and evolution of the traits. It would allow us to get a starting piece to understand the complex of the conserved genetic mechanisms of the evolutionary novelties.

### The genetic basis of various sexually selected traits (SST) or exaggerated sexual ornaments in animal taxa

Various sexually selected traits or sex-specific ornaments can frequently be observed in nature from diverse animal groups such as insects, fishes, birds and mammals. Examples include the horns in beetles [14], eye-span in stalk-eyed flies [36], sex combs in fruit flies [37], plumage coloration in birds [38] and antler in deer [39]. Despite accumulating evidence of their crucial ecological role, little is known about the genes or genetic pathways underlying the traits, retarding the progress of our understanding of the developmental origin of those evolutionary novelties. Recently, however, some progresses have been made to identify a handful of (candidate) genes or genetic network that might account for sexually selected traits. Here, several sexually selected traits that have been studied on their genetic mechanisms or genetic pathways were listed (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Genes identified to be involved in the sexually selected traits or male ornaments from studies using candidate gene approaches.

Trait	Organism	Genes	Features	References
Eye span (eye-antennal disc)	Salk-eyed flies ( <i>Cyrtodiopsis dalmanni</i> , <i>C. whitei</i> , and <i>Sphyracephala beccarni</i> )	<i>Distalless (dll)</i> , <i>hedgehog (hh)</i> , <i>wingless (wg)</i> , <i>engrailed (en)</i> , and <i>defective proventriculus (dve)</i>	-	[33,35,41]
	Stalk-eyed flies ( <i>Teleopsis dalmanni</i> )	<i>Crooked legs</i> and <i>cdc2</i>	EST (Expressed Sequence Tag) sequencing and microarray analysis	[36]
Sex comb	Fruit fly ( <i>D. melanogaster</i> )	<i>Sex comb reduced (Scr)</i> , <i>doublesex (dsx)</i> , <i>Daschund (dac)</i> and <i>distalless (dll)</i>	Sex comb morphogenesis	[45,92]
	Fruit fly ( <i>D. mauritiana</i> and <i>D. simulans</i> )	<i>Dsx</i>	Sex comb development	[44]
	Fruit fly ( <i>Drosophila</i> species)	<i>Scr</i>	Sex comb divergence	[46,129]
	Fruit fly ( <i>D. melanogaster</i> )	<i>Bric-à-brac (Bab)</i> and <i>dsx</i>	-	[18,46,47,94]
Male-specific abdominal pigmentation	Fruit fly ( <i>D. melanogaster</i> )	<i>Bric-à-brac (Bab)</i> and <i>dsx</i>	Genes from pre-existing dimorphic traits	[101]
Horn (weapon)	Rhinoceros beetle	<i>Hh</i> , <i>wg</i> and <i>dpp</i>	Determination of precise location of the horn outgrowth	Reviewed in [48]

	<i>Onthophagus taurus</i> and <i>O. binodis</i>	<i>Dlx</i> or <i>dll</i>	Tissue specific expression	[90]
	Rhinoceros beetles ( <i>Trypoxylus</i> <i>dichotomus</i> )	<i>Insulin/insulin-like growth</i> <i>factor, chico, Broad</i>	Tissue specific expression, RNAi- mediated knockdown	[52,53]
	Rhinoceros beetle ( <i>Onthophagus</i> <i>taurus</i> and <i>O. sagittarius</i> )	<i>Dsx</i>	Morph-, sex- and species-specific development	[130]
Comb mass (sexual ornament)	Chicken (White Leghorn chickens and a Red Junglefowl)	<i>Bmp2, hao1, CHADL</i>	Pleiotropic effects	[106,131]
Sword	Swordtails fish ( <i>X. hellerii</i> )	<i>Msx</i> and <i>fgfr1</i>	Hormone induced	[63,64]
Male black ornament	Guppy ( <i>Poecilia</i> <i>reticulata</i> )	<i>Colony-stimulation factor 1</i> <i>receptor a (csf1ra)</i> and <i>Kita</i>	Pigment pattern formation	[71]
Antler	Deer species	<i>BMP-3b, BMP2, ANXA2,</i> <i>APOD</i> and <i>TPM1</i>	-	[104,105,132,133]

### Sexually selected traits on flies

Development and evolution of sexually selected male ornaments in insects have previously been reviewed [40]. The most intensive investigations on the gene expression or genetic mechanisms of sex specific or sexually selected traits have been performed for fly species in insects. Stalk-eyed fly species have evolved male exaggerated hypercephaly, known as “eyestalks”, which are the lateral projections of the head capsule [33]. Female diopsid flies use this trait as an indicator of male quality and eyestalk size shows large amount of interspecific variation [33]. With respect to its genetic regulatory architecture, the expression of *hedgehog (hh)*, *wingless (wg)*, *engrailed (en)* and a transcription factor, *defective proventriculus (dve)* as their *Drosophila* homologues was found in eye-antennal disc in stalk-eyed flies [33,41] (Table 1). Using EST (Expressed Sequence Tag) sequencing and microarray analysis, a study of eye-antennal imaginal discs in stalk-eyed flies revealed several candidate genes such as *Crooked legs* and *cdc2* [36]. Gene expression patterns in the developing tissues of the eyestalk indicated the potential role of gene duplication in the evolution of sex specific traits [42].

Sex comb in males is another extensively studied, sexually dimorphic trait in *Drosophila* species although it was suggested not to directly related to sexual selection [43]. This trait is used for males to grasp female abdomen and genitalia for their successful copulation. Several genes are found to be involved in the development of sex comb. *Dachshund (dac)*, which is known to have a conserved function in sensory organ and appendage development in insects, is shown to be involved in the sex-comb development [44]. Candidate gene approaches identified *scr* and *dsx* [45] that also contribute to the sex-comb development in *Drosophila* [46]. Sex-determining genes such as *daschund (dac)* and homeobox genes have also been found to be expressed during its development [44,45,47].

### Exaggerated male traits in beetle horns

Several seminal studies uncovered the novel genetic mechanisms underlying the rhinoceros beetle horns, sexually selected male weapon. Beetle horn has become one of the famous examples of male exaggerated ornaments because of its magnificent size relative to their body and extraordinarily high levels of its interspecific variation in terms of size and shape. It is a sexually selected trait through female choice and also used as a weapon for male-male competition. Using combined analyses on comparative phylogenetic studies of horn evolution with developmental investigations of horn growth [14,48,49] proposed the “evolutionarily labile horns” hypothesis. All modern phylogenies suggest that the gain and loss of horn are labile during evolutionary history and its form sometimes changes rapidly and dramatically [49]. They found that genetic changes on the domain such as *hh*, *wg* and *dpp* signals determine the precise locations of the horn outgrowth. Even subtle changes on the genes involved in the limb-patterning pathways can lead to drastic changes in horn forms and shapes

[49]. The same research team published seminal studies on the genetic mechanisms on the beetle horn that revealed novel functions of the genes involved in the sexually selected trait in beetles. Insulin signaling pathways, a major regulator for tissue growth and body size [50,51] has been suggested as candidate genetic pathways for the evolution of the beetle 'horn' [49]. They further showed significantly higher sensitivity of cells to insulin/Insulin-like Growth Factor (IGF) in beetle horn (weapon) compared to other traits (genitalia and wing) in rhinoceros beetle [52]. This increased cellular sensitivity to insulin/IGF pathways is suggested to cause the extreme growth because it acts a reliable signal of better male quality, or it is simply by-product of the growth mechanisms [52]. Furthermore, *insulin receptors (InRs)* are found to be responsible for polymorphic horn developments in sexually dimorphic male horned beetles [53,54]. The role of insulin signaling substrate *chico* and the ecdysone response element *broad* head for horn length was shown by the knockdown experiment [54]. Conditional expression to nutritional state or physiological condition in exaggerated (head and thorax horns) trait was observed using RNA-seq in Asian rhinoceros beetle [26].

#### *Sword in swordtail fish*

"Sword" in swordtail fish in the genus *Xiphophorus* is one of the well-known examples of sexually selected traits in fish. Some *Xiphophorus* species, swordtails, but not others, the platies, have a male-specific trait, the "sword", that is an elongated colored extension of the ventral rays of the caudal fin. Some species have very long extended colorful swords that can be even longer than the body of the males [55,56]. The sword is an evolutionary novelty in this genus and its origin and evolutionary history have extensively been investigated in a phylogenetic context [57–60]. The origin of sword has been under debate for several decades. One of the hypotheses explaining the origin of the sword is the pre-existing bias hypothesis that female preference (or sensory bias) for sword already existed before the appearance of sword, which drives the evolution of the sword in several swordtail species [61,62]. This hypothesis was supported by the fact that several platy fish females, of which males do not carry sword, still show a preference for males with artificial sword [61]. Comprehensive phylogenetic analyses of *Xiphophorus* suggested that the sword existed in a common ancestor in this genus and was lost secondarily in platies multiple times independently [58–60].

Genes or genetic pathways involved in the development of sword have been identified in several studies. Candidate gene approach revealed that several genes such as *msx* and *fgfr1* are expressed in the developing sword under hormone treatment in a swordtail species, *Xiphophorus hellerii* [63,64] (Table 1). Kang et al. [20] investigated gene expression changes in the developing sword at the whole transcriptome levels using high-throughput RNA-Seq in the swordtail, *X. hellerii*. That study provided a catalogue of candidate genes to understand the architecture of gene regulatory networks of the development of the sword. A large number of differentially expressed genes (1,784) in hormone-induced sword highlight the massive changes that are taking place during the development of sword [20]. Interestingly, many embryonic developmental genes were involved in the sword development and approximately 70 % of those differentially expressed genes were shared by another male specific and evolutionary older trait, gonopodium. These findings suggest that genetic networks are "co-opted" during the development and evolution of gonopodium, and are subsequently deployed as well in the later evolution of another novelty, the sword [20]. Recently, a transcriptome analysis of the sword of *X. hellerii* also revealed a series of genes responsible for pigmentation (*xdh*, *tyr*, *myrip*, *asip*), vascularization (*agtr1*, *angptl5*), and fin-ray rigidity related genes [22].

Furthermore, genes often expressed in neurons, and  $Ca^{2+}$  signaling were differentially expressed in the sword regions compared to control fin regions [22]. Several transcriptional factors, such as *homeobox protein six2a*, *hoxb13a*, *tbx3a* and *pax9*, were also suggested as candidate genes to regulate sword formation in a quantitative manner. More interestingly, a channel protein gene, *kcnh8*, abundantly expressed in the brain, was suggested as a sword-developing gene by transcriptome analysis combined with QTL mapping [22]. A companion study also identified up-regulated expression of *kcnh8* on regenerating caudal tissues in other *Xiphophorus* species (*X. birchmanni*, *X. malinche*) [21]. These genetic mapping approaches, combined with transcriptome analysis, highlight a polygenic basis for the diversity of the sexually selected sword trait.

### Colorful sexual traits

Coloration on the sexual ornaments is often regarded as the evolutionary outcomes of sexual selection since it represents a honesty signal of individual quality of mate (e.g. male condition and genetic quality) and can thus be used by females for choosing their partner. Examples include plumage and melanin colorations in birds [65–68] and pigmentation patterns in African cichlid fishes [69,70] and guppies [71]. In particular, carotenoid pigment that is responsible for yellow, orange and red colorations has been suggested as an indicator of various health conditions reflecting male quality in many fish and birds [72,73].

The genetic mechanisms of the different pigmentation-based body colorations have been investigated. Recent transcriptome approaches identified several potential genes such as *coatome protein complex, subunit zeta-1 (copz-1)* that might be involved in coloration [74] and melanophore maintenance in cichlid fish [75]. It has been shown that black ornaments of guppy males develop under the effect of *colony-stimulation factor 1 receptor a (csf1ra)*, which mediates the xanthophore-melanophore interaction [76]. Transcriptomic studies of the carotenoid pigmentation provided a candidate gene list including expression of *Eorix* proteins in a carotenoid-signaling bird species [77]. Genes responsible for beak color in zebra finches were identified using QTL (Quantitative Trait Loci) analyses [78] and also genes for melanin-containing organelles (melanosomes) were found [79]. Hox gene pathways were found to be involved in sexually selected pigmentations in *Drosophila* species [80,81]. In wild guppy populations, multilocus heterozygosity (MLH) was suggested to be as a significant predictor of the orange spot in males that is sexually selected trait by female preference [82].

### Common genetic architecture among sexually selected traits

Recent investigations on diverse sexually selected traits or exaggerated male ornaments provided interesting insight into their genetic backgrounds or genetic mechanisms. Intriguingly, accumulating information about genes or genetic pathways accounting for sexually selected traits/exaggerated ornaments revealed several groups of genes that are commonly involved across distantly related animal lineages. For example, conserved genes are expressed in eye-antennal disc in both *Drosophila* species and stalk-eyed flies [33]. Water strider (*Aquarius remigis*) and *Drosophila* species diverged around 371.9 mya ago (www.timetree.org) [83], but they still exploit the same genetic mechanisms to develop sexually selected traits. Although the shared genes might not be regulated in the same way across traits and taxa, the findings of the common genetic architecture underlying diverse sexually selected traits in different animal lineages provide valuable insight for a better understanding of their developmental origin and evolutionary history.

### Signs of co-option

The recruitment of pre-existing genetic network systems for new purpose using “gene network co-option” has been suggested to be a usual way for the development and evolution of morphological novel traits [84–86]. Several empirical studies showed that the co-option of certain gene networks (i.e., developmental gene networks) underlies the developmental origin of novel traits. For example, the co-option of genes or regulatory network related to anteroposterior head patterning for proximodistal appendage patterning in fruit flies [87], eye-developmental genes (i.e., *optix*) for red patches of pigmentation on butterfly wings [88] and also for various wing scales as a novel trait contributing to speciation [89] has been identified. Similar patterns were also observed in the development of male exaggerated ornaments in other animal groups [90]. Moczek and Rose [90] showed that limb patterning genes (distal-less and homothorax) that play an essential role in the limb development of other insect species regulate the horn development in beetle horns, although horns are not modified at all from mouthparts or limbs. Many studies on the genetic basis of sexually selected traits found that a handful of specific genes are repeatedly involved in different sexually selected traits. The co-option of *Hox* complex has been shown to contribute to cephalopod-specific organs [91]. *Hox* genes are well-known principal transcriptional regulators of animal body

regionalization during embryonic development [37,92]). *Hox* genes have also been suggested to be key-players in the development and evolution of novel complex traits such as male genitalia (i.e. imaginal discs) [93]), a secondary sexual trait - sex combs in *Drosophila* species [47] and beetle horns [94]. Transcriptomic profiling of the sword in *Xiphophorus hellerii* showed that many *hox* genes (i.e., *dlx*, *lhx9*, *satb2*, *zhx2* and etc.) are involved in its development [20]. Another *hox* gene, distal-less (*dlx* or *dll*), which is known to be related to the morphological development, was shown to regulate the development of beetle horns [90]), antenna in water strider [95], mandible in stag beetle (*cmdsx*) [96], eyespot size in butterfly [97] and sword in swordtails [20]. This gene is differently expressed according to species, sex, body regions and size in beetle horn [90]. *Dlx* paralogs have also been found to be candidate genes for evolutionary innovations in cichlid fish [98]. An analysis of male sex comb regions showed that *dlx* expression is significantly correlated with other *hox* genes [92]. *Scr* also belongs to another *hox* gene family and its role is known to regulate the segment identity in many insect groups including *Drosophila melanogaster* [99,100]. *Scr* is also found to be expressed in the development of various sexually selected traits [47,63,94,101,102].

Bone morphogenetic proteins (*bmp*) are known to play an essential role in many different, but important developmental pathways [103]. Expression of *bmp-3b* [104] and *bmp2* [105] is detected in the deer antler, which is a male weapon used for male-male competition for mating. The pleiotropic effects of *bmp2* and *hao1* have been identified in the comb mass, a sexual ornament in chickens by QTL mapping [106]. A comprehensive transcriptomic analysis using RNA-Seq has found the expression of *bmp1* in the developing sword in swordtail fish [20]. Moreover, several *bmp* are involved in the development of evolutionarily and ecologically important traits such as the beak of Darwin's finch, which is a classical example of adaptive radiation (i.e., correlation of expression of *bmp-4* for the beak morphology) [107]. These empirical data support the co-option of *bmps* in the expression or development of the sexually selected or exaggerated traits. Those genes found in the development of diverse sexually selected traits or exaggerated male ornaments are indeed key regulators for the embryonic development and also for the development of non-sexually selected (normal) body parts. Therefore, we hypothesize that the co-option plays an important role in the development and evolution of sexually selected traits in general.

#### *Sex determination and sex-biased genes*

It has been suggested that the coordination of sex-specific development assists in the evolution of sexual traits and the gene regulatory network governing the sexual development [19]. Sex-determination systems are enormously diverse, but their downstream components are generally known more evolutionarily conserved [18]. A few reported genes related to a function of sex determination are known, including *sex-determining region on Y (sry)* in mammals, *dmrt1* in birds, *dmy*, *gsdf* and *amhr2* in fish (reviewed in [108]). A majority of sex-determination genes are known to be typically involved in the development of primary sexual traits (i.e. gonad). However, more and more evidences are accumulating that those genes are also activated in the development of "secondary" sexual traits (i.e. sexually selected traits). For example, *doublesex (dsx)*, which is a well-known key regulator for the sex determining cascade gene in insects, is found to regulate the development of sexually dimorphic traits in fruit flies, mammal, birds and fish [18]. *Dsx* functioned as a regulator controlling various aspects such as dimorphisms between sexes, morphs and species in the development of beetle horns [23]. This gene is also involved in the development [99,109] and the sex specific expression of sex comb in *Drosophila* species. Expression patterns of *cyclommatus metallifer dsx (cmdsx)* in the sex-specific mandible growth in male stag beetle were shown to be mediated by Juvenile hormone (JH) signaling pathways [96].

Several *sex determining region on Y (sry)* are generally known to be involved in the development of primary sexual characters (i.e. testis development). Nevertheless, those genes are also found to be expressed during the development of secondary sexual characters. Several *sox* genes (*sox 2, 3, 5, 9* and *10*) were shown to be involved in the sword development [20]. Transcriptome analysis of Chinese sika deer antler identified the expression of *SRY-box 9 (Sox9)* during rapid growth in the antler development [27]. Especially, *Sox9 (sry-box 9)* is a well-known sex-determination gene [110]. Other

genes such as *Inhbb* (Yao *et al.* 2006), *cyps*, and *gps7, 8* [111] which previously demonstrated sex-specific divergent expression patterns in other animal groups, were also differentially expressed in the developing sword in swordtail fish [20].

#### *Insulin signaling pathway*

Higher sensitivity of cells to insulin/insulin-like growth factor (IGF) signaling pathways in a male exaggerate trait (e.g., horn) than other body parts (e.g., genitalia and wings) in rhinoceros beetle horns suggests that IGF signaling genetically controls the horn development and generates an honest signal of male nutritional conditions [52,112]. It has been suggested that the extreme growth of horn in rhinoceros beetle is by-product of the growth mechanisms and demonstrates an important role of the IGF pathways in the horn development. Several growth factor related genes are found to be expressed in the development of diverse sexually selected traits. Growth factor related genes such *transforming growth factor, beta 3 (tfgb3)*, *insulin-like growth factor 2 (igf2)*, *insulin-like growth factor binding protein 4 (igfbp4)* and *IGF-like family receptor 1 (igflr1)* were differently expressed in the developing sword in swordtail fish (*Xiphophorus hellerii*), compared to other tissues [20]. GO terms such as growth factor activity and response to growth factor stimulus were also enriched in the developing sword. A comprehensive transcriptome study in Sika deer antler found that *igf II* is significantly highly expressed [29]. A transcriptome analysis of the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) showed that male-biased expressed genes in tails are enriched with GO term related to insulin receptor binding [113]. Expression of genes involving the insulin and IGFs signaling pathways in various male exaggerated ornaments across several animal groups might indicate that different sexual traits also use the same genetic mechanisms for their development processes as suggested in beetle horn.

#### *Steroid hormone related genes*

The role of steroid hormones (e.g., androgens, estrogens and glucocorticoids) in the expression or development of sexually selected traits has been extensively examined in several taxa. A positive relationship was found between levels of steroid hormones and sizes of sexual ornaments. For instance, badge size in house sparrows is associated with levels of testosterone [114] and the size of a melanin-based black bib in male house sparrow with glucocorticoid receptor [115]. A regulation of testosterone on the development of deer antler was also identified [116]. Whether levels of testosterone and corticosterone predict the dewlap size in males of the green anole lizards (*Anolis carolinensis*), which is a predictor of bite-force capacity, was also tested [117]. The relationships between the hormone levels and the ornament size in this lizard species vary according to their body size (e.g. heavy weight and light weight) [117].

It has been shown that sex hormone related genes are involved in the development of various sexual ornaments directly or indirectly. Androgen modulated expression has frequently been identified in several sexually selected colorations such as red gular pouch coloration (skin ornament) in a frigate bird [118], sexually dimorphic facial hair coloration in red-fronted lemurs [119], enlarged red eye ring (more pronounced in males) in diamond doves [120] and male plumage color in red-backed fairywrens [121,122]. However, direct examples showing the expression of genes involved in androgen pathways in sexually selected traits or male ornaments are rather sparse. Expression of *androgen receptor  $\beta$  (ar $\beta$ )* was found in the developing swords in *Xiphophorus hellerii*, which is a swordtail species possessing a long colorful sword [20,63,64].

Estrogen levels have an influence on the regulation of sexual ornaments in males as well as female-specific ornaments. Sex-specific regulation of estrogen pathways rather than androgen or insulin growth factor signaling pathways is suggested to be a primary regulator factor in affecting male-biased polymorphism, long faces in males in Anolis lizard [123]. Similarly, several estrogen-related genes are found to be up-regulated in male specific traits of the sword in swordtail fish [20]. Previously recognized genetic mechanisms underlying sexual dimorphism need to be further examined [120]. Steroid hormone signaling pathways would be one of the intriguing future avenues on the genetic mechanisms underlying male specific sexual traits.

### Other reported common genes

Beside the genes or genetic pathways mentioned above, several other genes are commonly expressed in sexually selected traits among distantly related lineages. For example, *crooked legs* and *cdc2* are expressed in the eye-antennal imaginal discs in stalk-eyed flies [36]. Zinc finger proteins, homologs of *crooked legs* and several CDCs (e.g., *CDC7*, *16*, *20*, *27* and *34*) are also up-regulated in the developing sword in *X. hellerii* [20]. *Fork head (fkh)* genes (e.g., *FOXs*) that are natural target of *sex combs reduced (Scr)* are expressed in sex combs in *Drosophila* species [124] and also up-regulated in the sword in the swordtail [20].

### Transcriptome profiling of sexually selected traits or male ornaments

Deep sequencing techniques offer an opportunity to explore the genetic mechanisms or regulatory architecture underlying evolutionarily or ecologically interesting traits such as adaptive phenotypes including sexually dimorphic characters or sex-specific (often male-specific) ornaments in non-model organisms. Transcriptome studies on the sex *per se* have increasingly been published and they provide a fundamental resource for the sex-specific or sex-biased gene expression patterns in various animal taxa [113,125–128]. This information of sex-specific gene expression data can also be used as a valuable resource to explore the genetic regulatory background underpinning the development of sexually selected traits or male-specific ornaments. Although only few transcriptome studies that looked directly into the sexually selected traits are currently available (relative to transcriptome studies on the sex *per se*), it is worthwhile to review them to get an overview of transcriptome profiles of the sexually selected traits (Table 2). One general pattern is that most of transcriptomes expressed in sexually selected traits showed a great number of genes undergone changes in the expression during their development. For example, for a transcriptome analysis on the development of sika deer antler 5,573 genes (out of 16,905 significantly changed transcripts) are differentially expressed at two different developmental stages [29]. Developing sword in swordtail fish showed that 1,782 genes are differentially expressed compared to the control fin rays under hormone treatment condition and several sword specific genes were also identified [20].

**Table 2.** Recent genome-wide transcriptomic and QTL studies on the sexually selected traits.

Trait	Organism	Features	Method	References
Horn	<i>Onthophagus</i> beetles	Development of horn	EST and microarray	[23]
	Horned beetle ( <i>Onthophagus Taurus</i> )	Whole body including horn	EST (454 pyrosequencing)	[24]
		Development of horn (head horns and thoracic horns)	Microarray	[134]
	Asian rhinoceros beetle ( <i>Tryposylus dichotomus</i> ) and dung beetle ( <i>Onthophagus nigriventris</i> )	Phenotypically plastic traits (Horn biased gene expression)	RNA-Seq	[28]
Legs	Water strider ( <i>Microvelia longipes</i> )	Exaggerated (head and thorax horns)	RNA-Seq	[26]
		Exaggerated legs in male (weapon)	RNA-Seq	[135]
Antler	Sika deer ( <i>Cervus Nippon hortulorum</i> )	Endochondral ossification (Ossification stages)	RNA-Seq	[30]
		Regeneration and rapid growth (Antler's tip)	RNA-Seq	[136]
		Differential developmental stages (60 and 90 days)	RNA-Seq	[31]
		Development of antler's tip	RNA-Seq	[137]
Plumage coloration	Ruff ( <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> )	Plumage coloration and mating strategies	RNA-Seq	[31]
	Bearded reedling ( <i>Panurus biarmicus</i> )	Plumage coloration	RNA-Seq	[138]
	Red-backed fairywrens ( <i>Malurus melanocephalus</i> )	Plumage coloration	RNA-Seq	[121]
Sword	Swordtail fish ( <i>Xiphophorus hellerii</i> )	Development of male ornament and sexual organ under the hormone treated condition	RNA-Seq	[20]

Swordtail fish ( <i>Xiphophorus</i> Species)	Sword	RNA-Seq, QTL	[21,22]
---	-------	--------------	---------

Transcriptome profiles can also provide information on trait-specific gene expression patterns or particular genetic pathways that are mostly represented in traits of interest. For instance, transcriptome analysis of sika deer antler revealed that genes and genetic pathways related to protein synthesis and translation (i.e. elongation factors) are most significantly changed during development [29]. Sword transcriptome showed that embryonic organ development, sexual character development and coloration genes were significantly highly expressed [20]. Kang *et al.* (2015) further suggested co-opted genetic networks for the development of sword and another male specific sexual trait, gonopodium. A transcriptome analysis combined with QTL mapping suggested that a brain gene, channel protein gene *kcnh8*, was recruited for the evolution of the sexually selected male ornament, the sword [22].

Some of genes or genetic pathways shared between transcriptome profiles of two different sexually selected traits in distantly related lineages can be also identified. In the transcriptomes of two sexually selected traits, the sword in swordtail [20] and the tail in guppies [113], several GO terms such as plasma membrane (GO:0005886), cellular biogenic amine metabolic process (GO:0006576), carbohydrate transport (GO:0008643), neuropeptide signaling pathway (GO:0007218) and melanosome (GO:0042470) are commonly found. Interestingly, we also found that many genes or groups of genes aforementioned on other sexually selected traits such as color gene [*xanthine dehydrogenase (xdh)* and *premelanosome protein (pmel)*], hox genes [*ALX homeobox 4 (alx4)*], hormone gene [*adrenoceptor alpha 2B*], growth factor related genes [*growth hormone receptor (ghr)*, *insulin receptor substrate 2 (irs2)*, *insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA binding protein 3 (ifg2bp3)*], sex determining genes (*sox8*, *9*, *10*) and *pax9* are all commonly found in both sexually selected traits. Transcriptome investigations on the sexually selected traits have still been limited. However, emerging transcriptome analyses would provide important resources for future research on investigating conserved genes or genetic pathways underlying sexual traits in distantly related species at the whole transcriptional level. The information would be very useful to select candidate genes or genetic pathways to investigate the genetic mechanisms in more details to understand how sexually selected traits or male ornaments have arisen. Furthermore, accumulating comprehensive transcriptome data on these sexual traits would shed valuable insight for future research to understand the evolutionary origin and the genetic mechanisms of the development of evolutionary novelties more widely.

## Conclusion

We reviewed the genes, genetic mechanisms and regulatory pathways found in studies that investigated the genetic basis of sexually selected traits or exaggerated male traits in diverse animal groups. Currently, more and more genetic information about sexually selected traits or male-specific traits is emerging and accumulating with advanced sequencing technologies. The amount of information is not sufficient so far to comprehend a whole story of the development and evolution of these traits. However, common genes and genetic architectures shared among different sexually selected traits across distantly related animal lineages will provide a framework for future research on uncovering their origin and evolution. Further, considering those commonly expressed genes would be critical for future studies to identify upstream or down stream regulators of sexually selected or male exaggerated traits and also to determine the transcriptional changes of these genes in these traits.

**Acknowledgments:** This work was funded by a grant (NRF-2020R1C1C1007098) from the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), which is funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT, and Future Planning, and a grant (2022R1A2C1009024) from NRF to J.H.K. This study includes a part of J.H. Kang' Ph.D. dissertation in Evolutionary Biology at Konstanz University.

**Disclosure statement:** No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

## References

1. Endler, J.A. Natural selection on color patterns in *Poecilia reticulata*. *Evolution* **1980**, *34*,76-91. <http://doi.org/10.2307/2408316>
2. Andersson, M; Simmons, L.W. Sexual selection and mate choice. *Trends. Ecol. Evol.* **2006**, *21*, 296-302. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.03.015>
3. Collins, S.A.; Luddem, S.T. Degree of male ornamentation affects female preference for conspecific versus heterospecific males. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **2002**, *269*, 111-117. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2001.1864>
4. Darwin, C. The descent of man, and selection in relation to sex. Murray, London. **1871**.
5. Moller, A. P. Male ornament size as a reliable cue to enhanced offspring viability in the barn swallow. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci U S A* **1994**, *91*, 6929-6932. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.15.6929>
6. Pryke, S. R.; Andersson, S.; Lawes, M. J. Sexual selection of multiple handicaps in the red-collared widowbird: female choice of tail length but not carotenoid display. *Evolution.* **2001**, *55*, 1452-1463. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2001.tb00665.x>
7. Hamilton, W.D.; M. Zuk. Heritable true fitness and bright birds: a role for parasites? *Science* **1982**, *218*, 384-387. <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.7123238>
8. Berglund, A.; Bisazza, A.; Pilastr, O. Armaments and ornaments: an evolutionary explanation of traits of dual utility. *Biol. J. Linnean. Soc.* **1996**, *58*, 385-399. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1996.tb01442.x>
9. Warren, I.A., Gotoh, H., Dworkin, I.M., Emlen, D.J., Lavine, L.C. A general mechanism for conditional expression of exaggerated sexually-selected traits. *Bioessays* **2013**, *35*, 889-899. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201300031>
10. de Heij, M.E.; Gustafsson, L.; Brommer, J.E. Experimental manipulation shows that the white wing patch in collared flycatchers is a male sexual ornament. *Ecol. Evol.* **2011**, *1*, 546-555. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.48>
11. Rogers, D. W.; Denniff, M.; Chapman, T.; Fowler, K.; Pomiankowski. A. Male sexual ornament size is positively associated with reproductive morphology and enhanced fertility in the stalk-eyed fly *Teleopsis dalmanni*. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **2008**, *8*, 236. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-8-236>
12. West, P. M.; Packer C. Sexual selection, temperature, and the lion's mane. *Science* **2002**, *297*, 1339-1343. DOI: 10.1126/science.1073257
13. Dennenmoser, S.; Christy, J.H. The design of a beautiful weapon: compensation for opposing sexual selection on a trait with two functions. *Evolution* **2013**, *67*,1181-1188. <http://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12018>
14. Emlen, D.J.; Corley Lavine L.; Ewen-Campen, B. On the origin and evolutionary diversification of beetle horns. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* **2007**, *104*, 8661-8668. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0701209104>
15. Clutton-Brock, T.H.; Albon, S.D. The Roaring of Red Deer and the Evolution of Honest Advertisement. *Behaviour* **1979**, *69*,145-170. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4533969>
16. Müller, G. B.; G. P. Wagner. Novelty in evolution: Restructuring the concept. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **1991**, *22*, 229 – 25 <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.22.110191.001305>
17. Wagner, G.P.; Lynch, V.J. Evolutionary novelties. *Curr. Biol.* **2010**, *20*, R48-R52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.11.010>
18. Williams, T.M.; Carroll, S.B. Genetic and molecular insights into the development and evolution of sexual dimorphism. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2009**, *10*,797-804. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2687>
19. Chenoweth, S.F.; McGuigan, K. The Genetic Basis of Sexually Selected Variation. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **2010**, *41*, 81-101 <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102209-144657>
20. Kang, J.H.; Manousaki, T.; Franchini, P.; Kneitz, S.; Scharl, M.; Meyer, A. Transcriptomics of two evolutionary novelties: how to make a sperm-transfer organ out of an anal fin and a sexually selected “sword” out of a caudal fin. *Ecol. Evol.* **2015**, *5*, 848-864 doi: 10.1002/ece3.1390
21. Powell, D.L.; Payne, C.; Banerjee, S.M.; Keegan, M.; Bashkirova, E.; Cui, R., ... & Schumer M. The genetic architecture of variation in the sexually selected sword ornament and its evolution in hybrid populations. *Curr. Biol.* **2021**, *31*, 923-935. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.12.049>
22. Scharl et al. The developmental and genetic architecture of the sexually selected male ornament of swordtails. *Curr. Biol.* **2021**, *31*, 911-922. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.11.028>
23. Kijimoto, T.; Costello, J.; Tang, Z.; Moczek, A.P.; Andrews, J. EST and microarray analysis of horn development in *Onthophagus* beetles. *BMC Genomics* **2009**, *10*, 504. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-10-504>
24. Choi, J.H.; Kijimoto, T.; Snell-Rood, E.; Tae, H.; Yang, Y.; Moczek, A.P.; Andrews, J. Gene discovery in the horned beetle *Onthophagus taurus*. *BMC Genom.* **2010**, *11*, 703. <http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-703>
25. Warren, I.A.; Vera, J.C.; Johns, A.; Zinna, R.; Marden, J.H.; Emlen, D.J.; Dworkin, I.; Lavine, L.C. Insights into the development and evolution of exaggerated traits using de novo transcriptomes of two species of horned scarab beetles. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9*, e88364. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088364>

26. Zinna R, Emlen D, Lavine LC, Johns A, Gotoh H, Niimi T, Dworkin I. Sexual dimorphism and heightened conditional expression in a sexually selected weapon in the Asian rhinoceros beetle. *Mol. Ecol.* **2018**, *27*, 5049-5072. doi: 10.1111/mec.14907.
27. Yao, B.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, Q.; Zhang, M.; Liu, M.; Liu, H.; Li J. De novo characterization of the antler tip of Chinese Sika deer transcriptome and analysis of gene expression related to rapid growth. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **2012a**, *364*, 93-100. doi: 10.1007/s11010-011-1209-3.
28. Yao, B.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, M.; Liu, M.; Liu, H.; Li J. Sequencing and de novo analysis of the Chinese Sika deer antler-tip transcriptome during the ossification stage using Illumina RNA-Seq technology. *Biotechnol. Lett.* **2012b**, *34*, 813-822 doi: 10.1007/s10529-011-0841-z.
29. Zhao, Y.; Yao, B.; Zhang, M.; Wang, S.; Zhang, H.; Xiao, W. Comparative analysis of differentially expressed genes in Sika deer antler at different stages. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2013**, *40*, 1665-1676. doi: 10.1007/s11033-012-2216-5.
30. Peters, L.; Huisman, J.; Kruuk, L.E.; Pemberton, J.M.; Johnston, S.E. Genomic analysis reveals a polygenic architecture of antler morphology in wild red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). *Mol. Ecol.* **2022**, *31*, 1281-1298. doi: 10.1111/mec.16314.
31. Ekblom, R.; Farrell, L.L.; Lank, D.B.; Burke, T. Gene expression divergence and nucleotide differentiation between males of different color morphs and mating strategies in the ruff. *Ecol. Evol.* **2012**, *2*, 2485-2505. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.370>
32. Semenov, G.A.; Linck, E.; Enbody, E.D.; Harris, R. B.; Khaydarov, D.R.; Alström, P.; Andersson, L.; Taylor, S.A. Asymmetric introgression reveals the genetic architecture of a plumage trait. *Nat. Comm.* **2021**, *12*, 1019. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21340-y>
33. Warren I. and Smith H. Stalk-eyed flies (Diopsidae): modelling the evolution and development of an exaggerated sexual trait. *Bioessays* **2007**, *29*, 300-307. doi: 10.1002/bies.20543.
34. Wilkinson, G.S.; Johns, P.M.; Metheny, J.D.; Baker, R.H. Sex-biased gene expression during head development in a sexually dimorphic stalk-eyed fly. *PLoS One* **2013**, *8*, e59826. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059826>
35. Singh, A.; Gogia, N.; Chang, C.Y.; Sun, Y.H. Proximal fate marker homothorax marks the lateral extension of stalk-eyed fly *Cyrtodopsis whitei*. *Genesis* **2019**, *57*, e23309. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.23309>
36. Baker, R.H.; Morgan, J.; Wang, X.; Boore, J.L.; Wilkinson, G.S. Genomic analysis of a sexually-selected character: EST sequencing and microarray analysis of eye-antennal imaginal discs in the stalk-eyed fly *Teleopsis dalmanni* (Diopsidae). *BMC Genomics*. **2009**, *10*, 361 <http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-10-361>
37. Kopp, A. Drosophila sex combs as a model of evolutionary innovations. *Evol. Dev.* **2011**, *13*, 504-522. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2011.00507.x>
38. Scordato, E.S.; Bontrager, A.L.; Price, T.D. Cross-generational effects of climate change on expression of a sexually selected trait. *Curr. Biol.* **2012**, *22*, 78-82.
39. Vanpe, C.; Gaillard, J.M.; Kjellander, P.; Mysterud, A.; Magnien, P.; Delorme, D.; Van Laere, G.; Klein, F.; Liberg, O.; Hewison, A.J. Antler size provides an honest signal of male phenotypic quality in roe deer. *Am. Nat.* **2007**, *169*, 481-493. doi: 10.1086/512046.
40. Emlen, D.J.; Nijhout, H.F. The development and evolution of exaggerated morphologies in insects. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **2000**, *45*, 661-708. <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.2000.45.661>
41. Hurley, I.; Fowler, K.; Pomiankowski, A.; Smith, H. Conservation of the expression of Dll, en, and wg in the eye-antennal imaginal disc of stalk-eyed flies. *Evol. Dev.* **2001**, *3*, 408-414. <http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-142x.2001.01045.x>
42. Baker, R.H.; Narechania, A; Johns, P.M; Wilkinson, G.S. Gene duplication, tissue-specific gene expression and sexual conflict in stalk-eyed flies (Diopsidae). *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* **2012**, *367*, 2357-2375. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0287>
43. Snook, R.R.; Gidaszewski, N.A.; Chapman, T.; Simmons, L.W. Sexual selection and the evolution of secondary sexual traits: sex comb evolution in *Drosophila*. *J. Evol. Biol.* **2013**, *26*, 912-918. doi: 10.1111/jeb.12105.
44. Atallah, J; Vurens, G.; Mavong, S.; Mutti, A.; Hoang, D; Kopp, A. Sex-specific repression of dachshund is required for *Drosophila* sex comb development. *Dev. Biol.* **2014**, *386*, 440-447. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2013.12.017>
45. Devi, T.R.; Shyamala, B.V. Male- and female-specific variants of doublesex gene products have different roles to play towards regulation of *Sex combs reduced* expression and sex comb morphogenesis in *Drosophila*. *J. Biosci.* **2013**, *38*, 455-460. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s12038-013-9348-1>
46. Graze, R.M.; Barmina, O.; Tufts, D.; Naderi, E.; Harmon, K.L.; Persianinova, M.; Nuzhdin, S.V. New candidate genes for sex-comb divergence between *Drosophila mauritiana* and *Drosophila simulans*. *Genetics* **2007**, *176*, 2561-2576. <http://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.106.067686>

47. Barmina, O; Kopp, A. Sex-specific expression of a HOX gene associated with rapid morphological evolution. *Dev. Biol.* **2007**, *311*, 277-286. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2007.07.030>
48. Emlen, D.J.; Hunt, J.; Simmons, L.W. Evolution of sexual dimorphism and male dimorphism in the expression of beetle horns: phylogenetic evidence for modularity, evolutionary lability, and constraint. *Am. Nat.* **2005**, *166*, S42-68. <https://doi.org/10.1086/444599>
49. Emlen, D.J.; Szafran, Q.; Corley, L.S.; Dworkin, I. Insulin signaling and limb-patterning: candidate pathways for the origin and evolutionary diversification of beetle 'horns'. *Heredity (Edinb)* **2006**, *97*, 179-191. <http://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800868>
50. Froesch, E.R.; Schmid, C.; Schwander, J.; Zapf, J. Actions of insulin-like growth factors. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **1985**, *47*, 443-467. <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ph.47.030185.002303>
51. Ohlsson, C.; Bengtsson, B.A.; Isaksson, O.G.; Andreassen, T.T.; Slootweg, M.C. Growth hormone and bone. *Endocr. Rev.* **1998**, *19*, 55-79. doi: 10.1210/edrv.19.1.0324.
52. Emlen, D.J.; Warren, I.A.; Johns, A.; Dworkin, I.; Lavine, L.C. A mechanism of extreme growth and reliable signaling in sexually selected ornaments and weapons. *Science* **2012**, *337*, 860-864. <http://doi:10.1126/science.1224286>
53. Lavine, L.C.; Hahn, L.L., Warren, I.A.; Garczynski, S.F.; Dworkin, I.; Emlen, D.J. Cloning and characterization of an mRNA encoding an insulin receptor from the horned scarab beetle *Onthophagus nigroventris* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *Arch. Insect. Biochem. Physiol.* **2013**, *82*, 43-57.
54. Lavine, M.D., Gotoh, H.; Hayes, A.; Lavine, L.C. The insulin signaling substrate chico and the ecdysone response element broad both regulate growth of the head horns in the Asian rhinoceros beetle, *Trypoxylus dichotomus*. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **2019**, *59*, 1338-1345.
55. Rosen, D.E. Middle-American poeciliid fish of the genus *Xiphophorus*. *Bull. Fla. State. Mus. Biol. Sci.* **1960**, *5*, 57- 242. DOI:10.2307/1441330
56. Kallman, K.D.; Kazianis, S. The genus *Xiphophorus* in Mexico and central america. *Zebrafish* **2006**, *3*, 271-285. <http://doi: 10.1089/zeb.2006.3.271>.
57. Meyer, A.; Morrissey, J.M.; Schartl, M. Recurrent origin of a sexually selected trait in *Xiphophorus* fishes inferred from a molecular phylogeny. *Nature* **1994**, *368*, 539-542. oi: 10.1038/368539a0.
58. Meyer, A. The evolution of sexually selected traits in male swordtail fishes (*Xiphophorus*: Poeciliidae). *Heredity (Edinb)* **1997**, *79*, 329-337. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1997.161>
59. Jones, J.C., Fan, S.; Franchini, P.; Schartl, M.; Meyer, A. The evolutionary history of *Xiphophorus* fish and their sexually selected sword: a genome-wide approach using restriction site-associated DNA sequencing. *Mol. Ecol.* **2013**, *22*, 2986-3001. <http://doi: 10.1111/mec.12269>
60. Kang, J.H.; Schartl, M.; Walter, R.B.; Meyer, A. Comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of all species of swordtails and platies (Pisces: Genus *Xiphophorus*) uncovers a hybrid origin of a swordtail fish, *Xiphophorus monticolus*, and demonstrates that the sexually selected sword originated in the ancestral lineage of the genus, but was lost again secondarily. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **2013**, *13*, 25. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-13-25.
61. Basolo, A.L. Female preference predates the evolution of the sword in swordtail fish. *Science* **1990**, *250*, 808-810. <http://doi:10.1126/science.250.4982.808>
62. Basolo, A.L. A further examination of a preexisting bias favoring a sword in the genus *Xiphophorus*. *Anim. Behav.* **1995a**, *50*, 365-375. <http://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1995.0252>
63. Zauner, H.; Begemann, G.; Mari-Beffa, M.; Meyer, A. Differential regulation of *msx* genes in the development of the gonopodium, an intromittent organ, and of the "sword," a sexually selected trait of swordtail fishes (*Xiphophorus*). *Evol. Dev.* **2003**, *5*, 466-477. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-142x.2003.03053.x.
64. Offen, N.; Blum, N.; Meyer, A.; Begemann, G. *Fgfr1* signalling in the development of a sexually selected trait in vertebrates, the sword of swordtail fish. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **2008**, *8*, 98. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-213X-8-98>
65. Keyser, A.J.; Hill, G, E. Structurally based plumage coloration is an honest signal of quality in male blue grosbeaks. *Behav. Ecol.* **2000**, *11*, 202-209. <https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/11.2.202>
66. Laucht, S.; Kempenaers, B.; Dale, J. Bill color, not badge size, indicates testosterone-related information in house sparrows. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **2010**, *64*, 1461-1471. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-010-0961-9>
67. Makarova, A.V.; Mitrofanova, O.V.; Vakhrameev, A.B.; Dementeva, N.V. Molecular-genetic bases of plumage coloring in chicken. *Vavilov J. of Genet. Breed.* **2019**, *23*, 343-354.
68. Aguillon, S.M.; Walsh, J.; Lovette IJ. Extensive hybridization reveals multiple coloration genes underlying a complex plumage phenotype. *Proc R Soc B* **2021**, *288*, 1943. [http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.1805\\_](http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.1805_)
69. Seehausen, O.; Mayhew, P.J.; Alphen, J.J.M. Evolution of colour patterns in East African cichlid fish. *J. Evol. Biol.* **1999**, *12*, 514-534. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.1999.00055.x>
70. Parichy, D.M. Evolution of pigment cells and patterns: recent insights from teleost fishes. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **2021**, *69*, 88-96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2021.02.006>

71. Kottler, V.A.; Koch, I.; Flotenmeyer, M.; Hashimoto, H.; Weigel, D.; Dreyer, C. Multiple pigment cell types contribute to the black, blue, and orange ornaments of male guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). *PLoS One* **2014**, *9*, e85647. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0085647
72. McGraw, K.J. The antioxidant function of many animal pigments: are there consistent health benefits of sexually selected colourants? *Anim. Behav.* **2005**, *69*, 757-764. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2004.06.02>
73. Kolluru, G.R.; Grether, G.F.; South, S.H.; Dunlop, E.; Cardinali, A.; Liu, L.; Carapiet, A. The effects of carotenoid and food availability on resistance to a naturally occurring parasite (*Gyrodactylus turnbulli*) in guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Biol. J. Linnean. Soc.* **2006**, *89*, 301-309. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2006.00675.x>
74. Gunter, H.M.; Clabaut, C.; Salzburger, W.; Meyer, A. Identification and characterization of gene expression involved in the coloration of cichlid fish using microarray and qRT-PCR approaches. *J. Mol. Evol.* **2011**, *72*, 127-137. <http://doi:10.1007/s00239-011-9431-x> doi: 10.1007/s00239-011-9431-x.
75. Henning, F.; Jones, J.C.; Franchini, P.; Meyer, A. Transcriptomics of morphological color change in polychromatic Midas cichlids. *BMC Genomics*, **2013**, *14*, 171. <http://doi:org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-171>
76. Kottler, V.A.; Fadeev, A.; Weigel, D.; Dreyer, C. Pigment pattern formation in the guppy, *Poecilia reticulata*, involves the Kita and Csf1ra receptor tyrosine kinases. *Genetics* **2013**, *194*, 631-646. doi: 10.1534/genetics.113.151738.
77. Pointer, M.A.; Prager, M.; Andersson, S.; Mundy, N.I. A novel method for screening a vertebrate transcriptome for genes involved in carotenoid binding and metabolism. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **2012**, *12*, 149-159. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03069.x.
78. Schielzeth, H.; Kempenaers, B.; Ellegren, H.; Forstmeier, W. QTL linkage mapping of zebra finch beak color shows an oligogenic control of a sexually selected trait. *Evolution* **2012**, *66*, 18-30. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01431.x.
79. Maia, R.; Rubenstein, D.R.; Shawkey, M. D. Key ornamental innovations facilitate diversification in an avian radiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* **2013**, *110*, 10687-10692. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.122078411>
80. Kopp, A.; Duncan, I.; Godt, D.; Carroll, S.B. Genetic control and evolution of sexually dimorphic characters in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **2000**, *408*, 553-559. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35046017>
81. Jeong, S.; Rokas, A.; Carroll, S.B. Regulation of body pigmentation by the Abdominal-B Hox protein and its gain and loss in *Drosophila* evolution. *Cell*. **2006**, *125*, 1387-1399. <http://doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.04.043>
82. Herdegen, M.; Dudka, K.; Radwan J. Heterozygosity and orange coloration are associated in the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *J. Evol. Biol.* **2014**, *27*, 220-225 <http://doi:10.1111/jeb.12290>
83. Hedges, S.B.; Dudley, J.; Kumar, S. TimeTree: a public knowledge-base of divergence times among organisms. *Bioinformatics* **2006**, *22*, 2971-2972. <http://doi:org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl505>
84. Carroll, S.B.; Grenier, J.K.; Weatherbee, S.D. From DNA to Diversity: Molecular Genetics and the Evolution of Animal Design. Blackwell, Malden, **2008**.
85. Fraser, G.J.; Hulsey, C.D.; Bloomquist, R.F.; Uyesugi, K.; Manley, N.R.; Streelman, J.T. An ancient gene network is co-opted for teeth on old and new jaws. *PLoS Biol.* **2009**, *7*, e31. <http://doi:org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000031>
86. Monteiro, A.; Podlaha, O. Wings, horns, and butterfly eyespots: how do complex traits evolve? *PLoS Biol.* **2009**, *7*, e37. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000037>
87. Lemons, D.; Fritzenwanker, J.H.; Gerhart, J.; Lowe, C.J.; McGinnis, W. Co-option of an anteroposterior head axis patterning system for proximodistal patterning of appendages in early bilaterian evolution. *Dev. Biol.* **2010**, *344*, 358-362. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.04.022.
88. Monteiro, A. Gene regulatory networks reused to build novel traits: Co-option of an eye-related gene regulatory network in eye-like organs and red wing patches on insect wings is suggested by optix expression. *BioEssays* **2012**, *34*, 181-186. doi: 10.1002/bies.201100160.
89. Martin, A.; McCulloch, K. J.; Patel, N.H.; Briscoe, A.D.; Gilbert, L.E.; Reed, R.D. Multiple recent co-options of Optix associated with novel traits in adaptive butterfly wing radiations. *Evodevo* **2014**, *5*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2041-9139-5-7>
90. Moczek, A.P.; Rose, D.J. Differential recruitment of limb patterning genes during development and diversification of beetle horns. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A*, **2009**, *106*, 8992-8997. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0809668106>
91. Lee, P.N.; Callaerts, P.; De Couet, H.G.; Martindale, M.Q. Cephalopod Hox genes and the origin of morphological novelties. *Nature* **2003**, *424*, 1061-1065. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01872>
92. Tanaka, K.; Barmina, O.; Sanders, L.E.; Arbeitman, M.N.; Kopp, A. Evolution of sex-specific traits through changes in HOX-dependent doublesex expression. *PLoS Biol.* **2011**, *9*, e1001131. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001131>

93. Estrada, B.; Casares, F.; Sánchez-Herrero, E. Development of the genitalia in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Differentiation* **2003**, *71*, 299-310. <http://doi:10.1046/j.1432-0436.2003.03017.x>
94. Wasik, B.R.; Rose, D.J.; Moczek, A.P. Beetle horns are regulated by the Hox gene, Sex combs reduced, in a species- and sex-specific manner. *Evol. Dev.* **2010**, *12*, 353-362. doi: 10.1111/j.1525-142X.2010.00422.x.
95. Khila, A.; Abouheif, E.; Rowe, L. Function, developmental genetics, and fitness consequences of a sexually antagonistic trait. *Science* **2012**, *336*, 585-589. doi: 10.1126/science.1217258.
96. Gotoh, H.; Miyakawa, H.; Ishikawa, A.; Ishikawa, Y.; Sugime, Y.; Emlen, D.J.; Lavine, L.C.; Miura, T. Developmental link between sex and nutrition; doublesex regulates sex-specific mandible growth via juvenile hormone signaling in stag beetles. *PLoS Genet.* **2014**, *10*, e1004098. <http://doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004098>
97. Monteiro, A.; Chen, B.I.N.; Ramos, D.M.; Oliver, J.C.; Tong, X.; Guo MIN, ... & Kamal F. 2013. Distal-Less Regulates Eyespot Patterns and Melanization in *Bicyclus* Butterflies. *J. Exp. Zool. B. Mol. Dev. Evol. J.* **2013**, *320*, 321-331.
98. Diepeveen, E.T.; Kim, F.D. Salzburger W. Sequence analyses of the distal-less homeobox gene family in East African cichlid fishes reveal signatures of positive selection. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **2013**, *13*, 153. <http://doi:10.1186/1471-2148-13-153>.
99. Struhl, G. Genes controlling segmental specification in the *Drosophila thorax*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A*, **1982**, *79*, 7380-7384. doi: 10.1073/pnas.79.23.7380.
100. Curtis, C.D.; Brisson, J.A.; DeCamillis, M.A.; Shippy, T.D.; Brown, S.J.; Denell, R.E. Molecular characterization of Cephalothorax, the *Tribolium* ortholog of Sex combs reduced. *Genesis* **2001**, *30*, 12-20. <http://doi:10.1002/gene.1027>
101. Williams, T.M.; Selegue, J.E.; Werner, T.; Gompel, N.; Kopp, A.; Carroll, S.B. The regulation and evolution of a genetic switch controlling sexually dimorphic traits in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **2008**, *134*, 610-623. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.06.052>
102. Masse, J.; Watrin, T.; Laurent, A.; Deschamps, S.; Guerrier, D.; Pellerin, I. The developing female genital tract: from genetics to epigenetics. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 2009, *53*, 411-424. doi: 10.1387/ijdb.082680jm.
103. Hogan, B.L. Bone morphogenetic proteins in development. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **1996**, *6*, 432-438. [http://doi:10.1016/s0959-437x\(96\)80064-5](http://doi:10.1016/s0959-437x(96)80064-5).
104. Kapanen, A.; Ryhanen, J.; Birr, E.; Vaananen, H.K.; Tuukkanen, J. Bone morphogenetic protein 3b expressing reindeer antler. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* **2002**, *59*, 78-83. doi: 10.1002/jbm.1219.
105. Pita-Thomas, W.; Fernandez-Martos, C.; Yunta, M.; Maza, R.M.; Navarro-Ruiz, R.; Lopez-Rodriguez, M.J.; Reigada, D.; Nieto-Sampedro, M.; Nieto-Diaz, M. Gene expression of axon growth promoting factors in the deer antler. *PLoS One*, **2010**, *5*, e15706. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015706>
106. Johnsson, M.; Gustafson, I.; Rubin, C.J.; Sahlqvist, A.S.; Jonsson, K.B.; Kerje, S.; Ekwall, O.; Kampe, O.; Andersson, L.; Jensen, P.; Wright, D. A sexual ornament in chickens is affected by pleiotropic alleles at HAO1 and BMP2, selected during domestication. *PLoS Genet* **2012**, *8*, e1002914. <http://doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002914>
107. Campas, O.; Mallarino, R.; Herrel, A.; Abzhanov, A.; Brenner, M.P. Scaling and shear transformations capture beak shape variation in Darwin's finches. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A*, **2010**, *107*, 3356-3360. <http://doi:10.1073/pnas.0911575107>
108. Trukhina, A.V.; Lukina, N.A.; Wackerow-Kouzova, N.D.; Smirnov, A.F. The variety of vertebrate mechanisms of sex determination. *Biomed. Res. Int.* **2013**, *2013*, 587460. doi: 10.1155/2013/587460
109. Dworkin, I. Evidence for canalization of Distal-less function in the leg of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Evol. Dev.* **2005**, *7*, 89-100. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2005.05010.x>
110. Sekido, R.; Lovell-Badge, R. Sex determination involves synergistic action of SRY and SF1 on a specific Sox9 enhancer. *Nature* **2008**, *453*, 930-934. doi: 10.1038/nature06944
111. Zheng, W.; Xu, H.; Lam, S.H.; Luo, H.; Karuturi, R.K.; Gong, Z. Transcriptomic analyses of sexual dimorphism of the zebrafish liver and the effect of sex hormones. *PLoS One* **2013**, *8*, e53562 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0053562.
112. Okada, Y.; Katsuki, M.; Okamoto, N.; Fujioka, H.; Okada, K. A specific type of insulin-like peptide regulates the conditional growth of a beetle weapon. *PLoS Biol* **2019**, *17*, e3000541. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000541>
113. Sharma, E.; Kunstner, A.; Fraser, B.A.; Zipprich, G.; Kottler, V.A.; Henz, S.R.; Weigel, D.; Dreyer C. Transcriptome assemblies for studying sex-biased gene expression in the guppy, *Poecilia reticulata*. *BMC Genomics* **2014**, *15*, 400. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-400>
114. Laucht, S.; Dale, J.; Mutzel, A.; Kempenaers, B. Individual variation in plasma testosterone levels and its relation to badge size in House Sparrows *Passer domesticus*: it's a night-and-day difference. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **2011**, *170*, 501-508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2010.11.007>

115. Lattin, C.R.; Romero, L.M. The size of a melanin-based plumage ornament correlates with glucocorticoid receptor concentrations in the skin of that ornament. *Biol. Lett.* **2013**, *9*, 20130440. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2013.0440
116. Suttie, J.M.; Fennessy, P.F.; Lapwood, K.R.; Corson, I.D. Role of steroids in antler growth of red deer stags. *J. Exp. Zool.* **1995**, *271*, 120-130.
117. Husak, J.F.; Irschick, D.J.; Meyers, J.J.; Lailvaux, S.P.; Moore, I.T. Hormones, sexual signals, and performance of green anole lizards (*Anolis carolinensis*). *Horm. Behav.* **2007**, *52*, 360-367. <http://doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2007.05.014>.
118. Madsen, V.; Valkiunas, G.; Iezhova, T.A.; Mercade, C.; Sanchez, M.; Osorno, J.L. Testosterone levels and gular pouch coloration in courting magnificent frigatebird (*Fregata magnificens*): variation with age-class, visited status and blood parasite infection. *Horm. Behav.* **2007**, *51*, 156-163. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2006.09.010.
119. Clough, D.; Heistermann, M.; Kappeler, P.M.; Individual Facial Coloration in Male *Eulemur fulvus rufus*: A Condition-dependent Ornament? *Int. J. Primatol.* **2009**, *30*, 859-875. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-009-9379-5>
120. Casagrande, S.; Dijkstra, C.; Tagliavini, J.; Goerlich, V.C.; Groothuis, T.G. Differential effects of testosterone, dihydrotestosterone and estradiol on carotenoid deposition in an avian sexually selected signal. *J. Comp. Physiol. A Neuroethol. Sens. Neural. Behav. Physiol.* **2011**, *197*, 1-13. <http://doi:10.1007/s00359-010-0579-4>
121. Khalil, S.; Welklin, J.F.; McGraw, K.J.; Boersma, J.; Schwabl, H.; Webster, M.S.; Karubian, J. Testosterone regulates CYP2J19-linked carotenoid signal expression in male red-backed fairywrens (*Malurus melanocephalus*). *Proc Royal Soc B.* **2020**, *287*, 20201687. <http://doi:10.1098/rspb.2020.1687>
122. Lindsay, W.R.; Webster, M.S.; Schwabl, H. Sexually selected male plumage color is testosterone dependent in a tropical passerine bird, the red-backed fairy-wren (*Malurus melanocephalus*). *PLoS One* **2011**, *6*, e26067. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026067>
123. Sanger, T.J.; Seav, S.M.; Tokita, M.; Langerhans, R.B.; Ross, L.M.; Losos, J.B.; Abzhanov A. The oestrogen pathway underlies the evolution of exaggerated male cranial shapes in *Anolis* lizards. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **2014**, *281*, 20140329. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.0329>
124. Ryoo, H.D.; Mann, R.S. The control of trunk Hox specificity and activity by Extradenticle. *Genes. Dev.* **1999**, *13*, 1704-1716. doi: 10.1101/gad.13.13.1704
125. Elmer, K.R.; Fan, S.; Gunter, H.M.; Jones, J.C.; Boekhoff, S.; Kuraku, S.; Meyer A. Rapid evolution and selection inferred from the transcriptomes of sympatric crater lake cichlid fishes. *Mol. Ecol.* **2010**, *19*, 197-211. <http://doi:org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04488.x>
126. Assis, R.; Zhou, Q.; Bachtrog, D. Sex-biased transcriptome evolution in *Drosophila*. *Genome. Biol. Evol.* **2012**, *4*, 1189-1200. <http://doi:org/10.1093/gbe/evs093>
127. Naurin, S.; Hasselquist, D.; Bensch, S.; Hansson, B. Sex-biased gene expression on the avian Z chromosome: highly expressed genes show higher male-biased expression. *PLoS One* **2012**, *7*, e46854. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046854
128. Albritton, S.E.; Kranz A.L.; Rao, P.; Kramer, M.; Dieterich, C.; Ercan S. Sex-biased gene expression and evolution of the x chromosome in nematodes. *Genetics* **2014**, *197*, 865-883. <http://doi:org/10.1534/genetics.114.163311>
129. Rice, G.; Barmina, O.; Hu, K.; Kopp, A. Evolving doublesex expression correlates with the origin and diversification of male sexual ornaments in the *Drosophila immigrans* species group. *Evol. Dev.* **2018**, *20*, 78-88.
130. Kijimoto, T.; Moczek, A.P.; Andrews, J. Diversification of doublesex function underlies morph-, sex-, and species-specific development of beetle horns. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* **2012**, *109*, 20526-20531.
131. Liu, Y.; Tu, Y.; Zhang, M.; Zou, J.; Ji, G.; Shan, Y.; Ju, X.; Shu J. Study on CHADL as a candidate gene for comb growth traits in Partridge Shank roosters. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* **2020**, *100*, 455-461.
132. Feng, J.Q.; Chen, D.; Ghosh-Choudhury, N.; Esparza, J.; Mundy, G.R.; Harris, S.E. Bone morphogenetic protein 2 transcripts in rapidly developing deer antler tissue contain an extended 5' non-coding region arising from a distal promoter. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **1997**, *1350*, 47-52. [http://doi:10.1016/s0167-4781\(96\)00178-9](http://doi:10.1016/s0167-4781(96)00178-9)
133. Broggini, C.; Abril, N.; Carranza, J.; Membrillo, A. Evaluation of candidate reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR normalization in red deer growing antler. 2022. Preprint at Research Square <http://doi:org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1604989/v1>
134. Snell-Rood, E.C.; Cash, A.; Han, M.V.; Kijimoto, T.; Andrews, J.; Moczek, A.P. Developmental decoupling of alternative phenotypes: insights from the transcriptomes of horn-polyphenic beetles. *Evolution* **2011**, *65*, 231-245.
135. Toubiana, W.; Armisen, D.; Dechaud, C.; Arbore, R.; Khila, A. Impact of male trait exaggeration on sex-biased gene expression and genome architecture in a water strider. *BMC Biol.* **2021**, *19*, 1-17.

136. Yao, H.H.; Aardema, J.; Holthusen, K. Sexually dimorphic regulation of inhibin beta B in establishing gonadal vasculature in mice. *Biol. Reprod.* **2006**, *74*, 978-983.
137. Han, R.; Han, L.; Wang, S.; Li, H. Whole transcriptome analysis of mesenchyme tissue in sika deer antler revealed the CeRNAs regulatory network associated with antler development. *Front. Genet.* **2020**, *10*: 1403. <http://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.01403>
138. Mahr, K.; Vincze, O.; Tóth, Z.; Hoi, H.; Lendvai, Á.Z. Insulin-like growth factor 1 is related to the expression of plumage traits in a passerine species. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **2020**, *74*, 1-12.
139. Khalil, S.; Welklin, J.F.; McGraw, K.J.; Boersma, J.; Schwabl, H.; Webster, M.S.; Karubian, J. Testosterone regulates CYP2J19-linked carotenoid signal expression in male red-backed fairywrens (*Malurus melanocephalus*). *Proc Royal Soc B.* **2020**, *287*, 20201687. <http://doi:10.1098/rspb.2020.1687>

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.