The role of non-climate data in effective climate adaptation planning: lessons from small French and American cities.

Elena Lioubimtseva*[1] and Charlotte da Cunha[2]

1 Grand Valley State University, Allendale MI 49401, USA, lioubime@gvsu.edu

2 CEARC, University of Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, 11 boulevard d'Alembert 78280 Guyancourt, France, charlotte.da-cunha@uvsq.fr

Abstract

There is a growing consensus that to effectively adapt to climate change, cities need user-friendly tools and reliable high-resolution biophysical and socio-economic data for analysis, mapping, modeling, and visualization. This study examines availability of various types of information used in climate adaptation plans of 40 municipalities with population less than 300,000 people in the United and in France, probing into the choice and usage of relevant information by small municipalities. We argue that non-climatic spatial data, such as population demographic and socio-economic patterns, urban infrastructure, and environmental data must be integrated with climate tools and datasets to inform effective vulnerability assessment and equitable climate adaptation planning goals. Climate adaptation plans frequently fail to address the existing structural inequalities and environmental injustices in urban infrastructure and land use. Adaptation methodological approaches should be reassessed in the context of much needed societal transformation. Lessons learned from our studies offer valuable insights for potential development of the national and state-level climate adaptation information services for cities.

Key words: climate change adaptation, adaptation plan, small municipality, France, United States, climate services, information

1. Introduction and background

This study contributes to the growing international body of knowledge on climate services and data intended for climate change adaptation planning at a local scale. Climate

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

services for adaptation have been defined as all public and private sector services supporting adaptation to climate change [1, 2]. Based on [3] "the aim of climate services is to provide people and organizations with timely, tailored climate-related knowledge and information that they can use to reduce climate-related losses and enhance benefits, including the protection of lives, livelihoods, and property" (p. 588)." The European Union further defines climate services as a process of "transforming climate-related data and other information into customized products such as projections, trends, economic analyses, advice on best practices, the development and evaluation of solutions, and any other climate-related services liable to benefit that may be of use for society" [4]. There has been significant progress towards improved climate change scenarios downscaling, theoretical and methodological development, and production of applied tool-kits and online clearinghouses intended to support climate adaptation planning at a city scale, produced collaboratively by national and international governmental entities and research institutions [5], [6]; [7]; [8]. There is also a growing recognition that effective climate adaptation planning requires analysis of multidisciplinary data, which is not limited to climate change trends and scenarios alone. Integration of climate and weather data with social, economic, cultural, and environmental data is paramount to evaluate the present and future human vulnerability to climate change, addressing disproportionate socioeconomic risk to climate impacts and engaging overburdened communities in the planning process [9]; [10]; [11]. A growing number of organizations have developed various services to assist local governments and communities with climate adaptation planning. Examples of international platforms include Global Framework for Climate Services (GFCS) of the World Meteorological Organization [12] and the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3), the EUMETSAT (European Organization for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites) and Climate-ADAPT [13] of the European Union [14]. National and regional instruments, such as the U.S. Climate Resilience Toolkit [15], Climate Adaptation Knowledge Exchange (CAKEX) by Eco-Adapt [16], Adapt West [17], and the Great Lakes Integrated Science and Assessment (GLISA) [18], the French National Observatory on the Effects of Global Warming (ONERC), the National Ecological Transition Agency (ADEME), and the platform ClimatHD by Meteo France also offer more specific country-wide or region-wide data coverage. Some U.S. states, such as California provide state-level open access peer-reviewed cross-disciplinary data and collaboration opportunities to stakeholders, including infrastructure managers, municipal planners, community-based

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

organizations, state agencies, scientists and climate experts, educators, and the public via Cal Adapt [7]. These databases provide state-wide data on temperature, rainfall, wind, soil moisture and ocean conditions, as well as maps, risk and vulnerability analyses, assessments, and long-term projections and scenarios. They can be combined with socio-economic variables and non-meteorological data such as agricultural production, health trends, human settlement in high-risk areas, and road and infrastructure maps for the delivery of goods, depending on user needs, and other relevant information.

In France, since 2011, ten regional working groups of independent experts have been created to support regional climate change monitoring efforts. These include five existing groups - AcclimaTerra in Nouvelle-Aquitaine, GREC-SUD in Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur, Ouranos-AuRA in Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, RECO in Occitanie, GREC Guadeloupe and five more groups still being formed in Brittany, Normandy, Pays de La Loire, Ile-de-France, and Hauts-de-France). These multidisciplinary committees are modeled after the IPCC Working Groups and are positioned at the interface between the academic and non-academic spheres, constituting a catalyst for action in response to the impacts of climate change. The Nouvelle-Aquitaine region, which currently holds the most climate adaptation plans in the country, is home of AcclimaTerra [19], the precursor group that pioneered this initiative in 2018 [20]. Similar regionalization of metropolitan climate adaptation planning has been observed in the United States for many years with Regional Adaptation Planning (RAP) initiatives evolving around major cities and involving municipalities of various sizes around. Metropolitan RAP assumes diverse organizational arrangements and operates in many geophysical, political, and development contexts including development of their own climate services. Their geographic scale varies from parts of urban agglomerations to bioregional watersheds, but in the United States they most commonly reflect the boundaries of existing “metropolitan regional” entities, such as counties and regional planning organizations [21].

Since the purpose of climate adaptations is the reduction of vulnerability to adverse climate impacts, any climate adaptation plan should be based on an objective assessment of human vulnerability and principles of climate justice. Therefore, climate services for climate adaptation planning are inherently multidisciplinary and must include demographic, social, economic, and environmental justice data and tools as well. Justice is a legal term closely related

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

to the social concept of equity, offering a human rights perspective on the climate crisis, and acknowledging that climate change has differing social, economic, public health, and other adverse impacts on the underprivileged population [22]. Developing transparent planning strategies that eliminate disparities would be impossible without reliable social and economic data about race, class, gender, and other dimensions of diversity. To address this need several U.S. states, e.g. Michigan [23], are currently developing Environmental Justice Screens – online platforms providing environmental justice spatial data at a much higher spatial resolution than the already existing U.S. EPA EJ Screen [24]. In France, the discourse on environmental justice is still mostly remains confined to the academia. While focus on racial discrimination has been at the heart of the U.S. environmental justice movement [25]; [26], the concept of "race" as a major factor of environmental injustices is still barely acknowledged in France, mostly due to the effort of its republican ideology to erase any recognition of racial inequalities [27].

Despite the progress in development of climate services for adaptation planning, including some non-climatologic data, there is still a significant gap between the actual data needs and existing products and services offered by various organizations. This gap is particularly problematic for small municipalities, who have limited capacity to locate, access, and interpret adequate information, compared to large high-capacity cities. Cross-national peer-learning experience is rarely available to smaller communities [28] and very few scholarly studies have compared provision of climate services for local climate adaptation planning between different countries [29]; [30]. One significant challenge is that climate services largely develop through the interaction between the scientific and non-scientific communities, whereas scientific literature is built mostly through the exchange confined to the academic sphere. As Vaughan et all point out "While several outlets allow members of specific research communities to communicate with each other, there are far fewer mechanisms that allow operational climate service providers and consumers to engage in two-way dialog on the questions they would like addressed by the research community. This two-way communication is essential given the overwhelming evidence that climate services are most useful when they are developed as part of an iterative process of ''co-discovery," ''co-development," and ''co-evaluation" involving the producers and users of climate information" [31]. Thus, an analysis driven by the users'

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

perspective is necessarily to go beyond the academic discussions and incorporate knowledge and data generated by communities themselves.

Our study examines climate adaptation data needs from the perspective of small municipalities (defined here as urban areas with population less than 300,000) in the United States and in France. The U.S. and France provide especially interesting case due to fundamental differences in their approaches to local climate adaptation planning and provision of climate services with French system being highly centralized and a variety of community-driven approaches across the United States. Our goal is to investigate what information, methods, and tools have been used in local vulnerability assessments and climate adaptation plans in both countries, what are some major gaps, and what can we learn from these two different national models of local climate adaptation planning. We do not aim here to compare different national approaches. Instead, our objective is to use cross-national case studies to offer some insights on common challenges faced by small municipalities and emerging solutions in both countries.

2. Methodology

2.1 Climate adaptation plans

This inquiry on the role of multidisciplinary data and tools available for municipal climate adaptation planning is informed by the analysis of climate adaptation plans and vulnerability assessment reports urban and rural municipalities with populations less than 300 thousand people in the U.S. and France. To investigate the content, sources, and scale of climatic and non-climatic tools, services, and data used by the local communities we examined 40 published climate adaptation plans (23 in the U.S. and 17 in France) of small cities, towns, and counties. The selection of planning documents for the U.S. part of the dataset is described in detail in [32], while the selection of both U.S. adaptation plans and French PCAETs is the most recent update of our earlier dataset published in [33] and [34]. The sample of municipalities is not meant to be exhaustive and aims to reflect the geographic diversity of both countries. These cities, towns, and counties are listed in Table 1, with a summary of climate change impacts addressed in their climate adaptation plans.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

Table 1 Local climate adaptation plans.

Municipality	Source	Impacts of climate change addressed							
		Coastal changes	Severe storms	Extreme heat	Extreme cold	Flooding	Drought	Wildfires	Seasonal shifts
American climate adaptation plans									
Albany, NY	[35]		X	X	X	X			X
Alger County, MI	[36]	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Boulder County, CO	[37]			X	X	X	X	X	
Chula Vista, CA	[38]	X	X	X		X	X	X	
Corte Madera, CA	[39]	X	X	X		X	X	X	
Flagstaff, AZ	[40]; [41]		X	X		X	X	X	X
Georgetown, ME	[42]	X	X			X			
Groton, CT	[43]	X	X	X		X	X		X
Iowa City, IA	[44], [45]		X	X		X	X		X
Keene, NH	[46]; [47]		X	X	X	X	X		X
Laguna Woods, CA	[48]		X	X		X	X	X	
Marquette, MI	[49]	X	X	X		X	X		X
Marquette County, MI	[50]	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Marshfield, MA	[51]	X	X	X	X	X			X
North Kingston, RI	[52]	X	X	X	X	X			X
Punta Gorda, FL	[53]; [54]	X	X	X		X			
Salem, MA	[55]	X	X	X		X			
Santa Cruz, CA	[56]; [57]	X	X	X		X	X	X	
Sarasota, FL	[58]; [59]	X	X	X		X			
Taos County, NM	[60]		X	X		X	X	X	X
Tybee Island, GA	[61]	X	X			X			
Tompkins County, NY	[62]		X	X		X	X		

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

Watsonville, CA	[63]	X	X	X		X	X	X	
French climate adaptation plans									
Municipality	Source	Coastal changes	Severe storms	Extreme heat	Extreme cold	Flooding	Drought	Wildfires	Seasonal shifts
Brest métropole, Bretagne	[64]; [65]	X	X	X		X	X		
Clermont Auvergne Métropole, Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes	[66] ; [67] ; [68] ; [69]			X		X	X	X	
Cordais et Causse (4 C), Occitanie	[70] [71] ; [72]					X		X	
Golfe du Morbihan, Bretagne	[73]	X	X			X			
La rivière du Levant, Guadeloupe	[74]	X		X					X
Le Grand Chalon, Bourgogne-Franche-Comté	[75] ; [76]			X		X			X
Niortais, Nouvelle-Aquitaine	[77] ; [78]			X		X	X		
Pays de Barr, Grand Est	[79] ; [80] ; [81]			X		X	X		X
Pays Dieppois - Terroir de Caux (PDTC), Normandie	[82]; [83] ; [84] ; [85]	X		X		X			
Pays Voironnais, Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes	[86]			X		X		X	X
Perpignan Méditerranée Métropole, Occitanie	[87]	X		X		X	X		
Saint Omer – CAPSO, Hauts-de-France	[88], [89], [90]			X		X	X		

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

St-Quentin-en-Yvelines – CASQY, Ile de France	[91], [92]			X					
Sud-Estuaire, Pays de la Loire	[93], [94], [95], [96]					X			
Sundgau, Grand Est	[97], [98], [99]					X	X		X
Vallée de Chamonix-Mont-Blanc, Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes	[100], [101]								X
Var Esterel Méditerranée, Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur	[102], [103]	X	X	X		X			

2.2 Theoretical framework

An adaptation plan is a road map to reducing human vulnerability to the current and future impacts of climate change. Adaptations seek to adjust human-environmental systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli to minimize their harms or exploit beneficial opportunities [104]. Therefore, adaptation planning always starts with an assessment of existing and projected vulnerabilities to climate impacts. The need to assess vulnerability to climate change is based on the acknowledgement that actual losses caused by hazard events such as storms, floods or droughts are not solely a result of climate change, but also determined by societal and economic preconditions that shape the way in which people are prepared for or respond to such events [105]. How vulnerability is defined and assessed largely shapes the agenda and priorities of adaptation planning and provides an essential baseline for measurable goals. It also determines the content of information and tools necessary to set the present and future climate adaptation goals. The discourse about vulnerability within climate change adaptation and climate risk scholarly literature encompasses various interpretations of the concept of vulnerability. Since the IPCC SREX report [106] and within the newer conceptualization of climate risks in the IPCC Assessment Reports Five [104] and Six [107], there is an emerging consensus that vulnerability is better framed as a starting point, rather than an outcome. Approaches that conceptualize vulnerability as an outcome often include hazard information and therewith do not sufficiently differentiate between vulnerability and risk [105].

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

In the pre-SREX conceptual framework of the IPCC vulnerability was considered as "a function of the character, magnitude, and rate of climate change and variation to which a system is exposed, its sensitivity, and its adaptive capacity" [108], while under the newer framework, sensitivity and adaptive capacity are considered internal parts of vulnerability, as opposed to exposure, conceptualized as an external factor [104], [109]. This paradigm shift reflects the reconceptualization of vulnerability as a socioeconomic variable. In practice, both frameworks have been operationalized by scholarly climate adaptation studies and agencies have continued to follow the IPCC AR4 definition of vulnerability [110], [32]. In place-based community-scale assessments [111], [112], [113] vulnerability is most commonly conceptualized as a composite variable defined by both biophysical and socioeconomic factors of exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity as a combination of geographical, demographic, and socioeconomic indicators [114].

In the absence of national or international climate adaptation and vulnerability assessment standards, it is inevitable for different municipalities to adopt diverse approaches to their vulnerability assessments. In theory, vulnerability assessment is meant to be objective to provide a reliable baseline for adaptation planning. In practice, however, vulnerability assessments are highly subjective because they depend on philosophies of organizations and stakeholders, who conduct them [32]. This is why we use here the term "perceived vulnerability", commonly used in social and clinical psychology [115], [116] as a measure of subjective perception of vulnerability by groups of population. In health behavior theories perceived vulnerability reflects a belief about the likelihood of a health threat's occurrence or the likelihood of developing a health problem or being exposed to infections or natural disasters [116], [117]. We find this concept highly relevant for describing collective believes of communities about the likelihoods of being vulnerable to climate change.

Consideration of climate justice is fundamental to reducing human vulnerability and providing adaptation benefits for all residents and neighborhoods. Climate justice can have distributive and procedural forms [118], where the former relates to the distribution of adverse impacts of climate change and the latter to how and by whom adaptation planning decisions should be made [22]. In climate adaptation planning, equity and justice imply planning strategies to eliminate disparities and create physical and social environments that aim to ensure a fairer

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

distribution of community resources along race, class, gender, and other dimensions of diversity [119]. Municipalities that examine their vulnerability beyond biophysical climate impacts and consider the demographic, social, and economic characteristics of their populations appear to be more likely to develop specific measures focusing on vulnerable groups [32]. To identify cities' information needs for equitable planning, we consider twelve (12) climate adaptation domains frequently addressed in climate adaptation plans: *green and blue infrastructure, housing, energy security, public transportation, utilities, emergency services, food security, water quality, air quality, community education, insurance,* and *community health*.

There is also growing consensus that transparent, actionable, and equitable adaptation planning requires inclusivity [120], engagement of diverse stakeholders, especially vulnerable groups, and integration of scientific and community knowledge [121], including traditional and indigenous knowledge in the process of climate service co-production [122], [123]. In this study, we examine participation of nine (9) types of stakeholders, directly and indirectly, in co-production of information used in vulnerability assessment and co-development of local climate adaptation plans. These are *local citizens, environmental and climate advocacy groups, social justice advocacy groups, elected officials, planners, members of state, federal/national agencies, academic institutions, local businesses,* and *external environmental engineering firms*.

2.3 Data analysis

Each climate adaptation plan including its bibliographic sources and metadata was screened for information about the content and sources of methodologies and data used in vulnerability assessment and formulation of adaptation goals. The qualitative assessment includes three components driven by the following questions:

- *How is the concept of human vulnerability defined and what information is used to assess it?*
- *How climate justice is addressed in climate adaptation goals across various sectors, and what information is used to formulate the goals?*
- *What groups of stakeholders are involved in co-production of information used in vulnerability assessment and co-development of local climate adaptation plans?*

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

Table 2 Information used in climate adaptation plans

Area of interest	Information used in climate adaptation plans
a) Conceptualization and assessment of vulnerability	
- as a synonym of exposure (omit sensitivity and adaptive capacity)	Climate change trends, climate change scenarios, risk analysis
- as a combination of exposure, sensitivity, and adaptative capacity (pre-IPCC-SREX)	Climate change trends, climate change scenarios, risk analysis, demographic, health, and socio-economic data
- as a combination of sensitivity and adaptive capacity to projected climate risks (post-IPCC-SREX)	Demographic, socio-economic, health statistics and risk analysis based on climate change trends and scenarios
- as a combination of exposure and sensitivity (omit adaptive capacity)	Climate change trends, climate change scenarios, risk analysis, demographic data
- as a combination of exposure and adaptive capacity (omit sensitivity)	Climate change trends, climate change scenarios, risk analysis, socio-economic data
b) **Consideration of climate justice in adaptation goals related to:**	
- green and blue infrastructure	Climate, ecological and environmental data
- housing	Housing inventory and plans
- energy security	Energy access and cost and their projections
- public transportation	Transportation networks and plans
- utilities	Utilities infrastructure and plans
- emergency services	Emergency infrastructure and plans
- food security	Food access, safety, and security data and projections
- water quality	Water quality data and scenarios
- air quality	Air quality data and scenarios
- community education	Information about education attainment and community education resources
- insurance	Insurance access data and scenarios
- community health	Health statistics trends, data about access to health care and projections
c) Groups of stakeholders involved in data co-production and planning	
- Local citizens	Stories, survey and focus groups input, art, traditional knowledge, citizens science
- environmental and climate advocacy groups	Environmental and climate data, case studies, stories, non-scientific articles, blogs
- social justice advocacy groups	Environmental and climate data, case studies, stories, non-scientific articles, blogs

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

- local government officials	Policy connection, litigations, public mobilization, public funding
- city planners	Urban, land-use, environmental spatial data, case studies, ordinances, litigations
- members of state or federal/national agencies	Guidelines, toolkits, case studies, science/policy connection, public funding, training materials
- academic institutions	Guidelines, toolkits, scholarly literature, spatial data, scenarios, public lectures
- local businesses	Surveys and focus group input, private funding
- external consulting firms	Climate, geoscience, and environmental data, risk analysis, impacts scenarios

3.Results and discussion

Table 3 provides a summary of our findings about vulnerability assessment, used as a basis for adaptation planning, consideration of justice, and participation of stakeholders and co-production of information and climate adaptation plans.

Table 3 Areas of interest and information addresses in climate adaptation plans

Area of interest	U.S. plans	French plans
1. Conceptualization and assessment of vulnerability		
as a synonym of exposure (omit sensitivity and adaptive capacity)	5 (22%)	6 (35%)
as a combination of exposure, sensitivity, and adaptation capacity(pre-IPCC-SREX)	9 (38%)	3 (18%)
as a combination of sensitivity and adaptive capacity to projected climate risks (post-IPCC-SREX)	2 (9%)	0 (0%)
as a combination of exposure and sensitivity (omit adaptive capacity)	2 (9%)	0 (0%)
as a combination of exposure and adaptive capacity (omit sensitivity)	5 (22%)	8 (47%)
2. Consideration of justice in climate adaptation goals related to:		
- green and blue infrastructure	11 (48%)	4 (24%)
- housing	8 (35%)	14 (82%)
- energy security	6 (20%)	10 (59%)
- public transportation	8 (35%)	6 (35%)
- utilities	5 (22%)	2 (12%)
- emergency services	12 (52%)	7 (41%)
- food security	4 (17%)	13 (76%)

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

- water quality	4 (17%)	5 (29%)
- air quality	3 (13%)	1 (6%)
- community education	11 (48%)	9 (53%)
- insurance	2 (9%)	0 (0%)
- community health	7 (30%)	7 (41%)
3. Groups of stakeholders involved in data co-production and planning		
- Local citizens	18 (78%)	9 (53%)
- environmental and climate advocacy groups	16 (70%)	8 (47%)
- social justice advocacy groups	6 (26%)	2 (12%)
- local government officials	22 (96%)	16 (94%)
- city planners	21 (91%)	17(100%)
- members of state or federal/national agencies	12 (52%)	8 (47%)
- academic institutions	15 (65%)	3 (18%)
- local businesses	14 (61%)	13 (76%)
- external consulting firms	13 (57%)	5 (29%)

3.1 Conceptualization and assessment of human vulnerability

All municipalities examined in this study conducted their vulnerability assessments, either prior or as a part of their climate adaptation process. However, using different guidelines from various sources based on different schools of thought, they define and interpret their vulnerability in a variety of ways.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1



Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1



Figure 1 a and b

a) **American climate adaptation plans**
b) **French climate adaptation plans**

Figure 1 illustrates how definition of vulnerability chosen by municipalities pre-determines their focus on different dimensions of vulnerability and, consequently, different types of information used as a basis for their adaptation strategies. Out of 23 American municipalities (Figure 1 a) only two recently revised plans (9% of the sample) were following the post-SREX IPCC framework differentiating between social vulnerability (sensitivity and adaptive capacity) and external hazard exposure. Nine plans (38%) adopted the pre-SREX IPCC definition combining metrics of exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity. The older conceptual framework appears to be by far the most popular in climate adaptation guidelines and municipal plans informed by them. Likewise, in the scholarly literature on adaptation planning

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

the newer IPCC framework was not quite well accepted and a vast majority of research articles published after SREX and the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report adopted earlier conceptualization [124], [110].

Interestingly, five more U.S. plans (22%) refer to the older IPCC framework in their methodologies but in practice address only exposure and adaptive capacity metrics and entirely omit sensitivity variables (such as age, gender, race, disability status, and wellness). In addition, two U.S. plans (9%), which refer to the same definition, address only exposure and sensitivity metrics and omit adaptive capacity. Finally, five remaining American plans (22%) omit social and economic factors all together, assessing vulnerability as *exposure* to various biophysical climate-change related hazards. Four of these five were among the very first climate adaptation plans in the country, developed in 2000s, reflecting the interpretation of this concept in the scholarly literature prior to the Third Assessment Report of the IPCC [125] but one of these plans was published in 2017.

French climate adaptation plans follow more uniform national guidelines and adopt only three versions of vulnerability assessment frameworks (Figure 1 b). Almost half of them (47%) interpret vulnerability as a combination of biophysical factors of exposure to climate impacts and economic factors of adaptive capacity. While IPCC reports are routinely cited in plans' introduction, none of them follows the post-SREX IPCC framework and only three French plans (18%) adopted the pre-SREX IPCC framework. Six French plans (37%) equate vulnerability with exposure. Although the term *sensibilité* is frequently used in all plans, which can be literally translated into English as *sensitivity*, it is understood and assessed solely as biophysical exposure. For example, "sensitivity" of a city's population to flooding risk is discussed and assessed based on precipitation scenarios rather than differentiated analysis of population demographics as it could be expected in the English-language climate adaptation literature.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

3.2 Consideration of justice in climate adaptation goals



Figure 2 Justice-related goals in various domains of climate adaptation plans in the U.S. and French plans.

Adaptation plans must be equitable and fairly protect all residents, especially the most vulnerable groups. However, it is apparent that many adaptation plans do not set justice-centered priorities (Figure 2). Equitable access to emergency services and community climate education come up as the top sectors addressed in both countries, yet with only about half of all plans setting such goals. French plans are more frequently concerned with equity in housing (82%), food security (76%), and energy security (59%). 48% of U.S. plans in our sample set objectives for more equitable access to green infrastructure and ecosystem services.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

To monitor implementation of these and other climate adaptation objectives, planners need accurate local data and tools to assess patterns of the existing vulnerabilities and develop plausible scenarios and equitable adaptation strategies. For example, goals for equable access to emergency response services related to weather extremes and hazards appear in 52% of American and 41% of French plans. Yet, in both countries it is apparent that factors such as race and ethnicity matters when it comes to governmental assistance [26], [126] .” Years after Katrina, the slow and incompetent emergency response was disaster that overshadowed the deadly storm itself, and while Katrina brought governmental racial injustice to the forefront, this disparity has been affecting African American communities long before… and after... the storm. For decades, African Americans and other people of color have borne disproportionate environmental burdens – from pollution and poorly maintained neighborhoods to unsafe drug testing and lead poisoning – and for decades government regulators have largely ignored these injustices” [25].To address these injustices block by block and neighborhood by neighborhood, climate adaptation strategies must rely on accurate information and be driven by fair planning policies [127], [128].

Although 52% and 48% of French and U.S. plans respectively formulate adaptation goals related to inclusive community education and access to climate change information, they rarely contain specific metrics which could help to track their implementation. For example, such goals may include communication of climate data in more diverse and accessible formats, such as community workshops, flyers and brochures translated into Spanish and other languages of predominant immigrant communities (in the U.S.), climate festivals, informal education and citizens science projects, and other community education programs and events.

The majority (76 %) of French plans offer address justice in their food security adaptation goals, focusing on support of local agriculture, especially sustainably grown and organic, and local food sourcing for school cafeterias and pre-schools. Such approach is multifaceted and pragmatic – to reduce carbon emissions from agriculture and food transportation, to support local agricultural markets, and provide children with nutritious local sustainably grown food.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

Justice-centered adaptation strategies related to housing focus on energy efficiency and affordability of residential heating and cooling (in the U.S.) for low-income households and energy conservation with more efficient building materials, insolation, and sustainable design. Bridging climate change adaptation, community resilience, and GHG mitigation goals, 82 % of French plans and 35% of American plans set specific goals for housing sector aiming to reduce the share of energy expenditure in household budgets and improve energy conservation. 59% of French and 30% of American plans also mention specific energy security measures, such as for example, development of community solar projects and local microgrids. There is some inevitable overlap between equity-related objectives in housing, utilities infrastructure, and housing sectors, causing double counting of adaptation measures in these domains.

Institutional studies about social justice in the housing sector are also linked to cost of public transportation, particularly in urban area [129]. However, very few plans, state objectives for free or otherwise subsidized transportation to improve mobility options for their less well-off populations. Adaptation objectives calling for equitable access to green and blue infrastructure and ecosystem benefits appear in 48% and 24% and French plans respectively. Examples of such strategies in the U.S. plans include urban afforestation and wildfire management measures, flood risk management through river valley and coastal restoration; green infrastructure development, such as green roofs, green walls, rain gardens, and bioswales, collectively known as Nature-Based Solutions (NBS). In French climate plans, ecosystem-based adaptation strategies are mostly limited to the preservation of or creation of green spaces in urban areas. Nevertheless, the new National Strategy for Climate Adaptation Planning in France has prioritized nature-based climate solutions. Implementation and monitoring of NBS requires local-scale ecological data (such as soils, hydrology, microclimate, indigenous, endangered, culturally significant species), integrated with climate services and tools.

Only 41% of French and 30% of American plans in our sample set goals related to climate adaptation measures supporting community health, such as extreme-weather preparedness, extreme heat preparedness, and prevention of water-borne, and vector-borne

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

infections. Clearly, adequate planning tools. data integration, and collaboration between local health departments and planners are urgently needed to address impacts of climate change on community health. Insufficient attention to public health in municipal climate adaptation planning has been reported in other studies. For example, the recent analysis of climate adaptation plans of 22 large cities in 14 countries, including 16 in high-income countries by [130] indicated that even "highly health-adaptive large cities report fairly modest public health engagement in climate adaptation plans, and very few seem to have integrated a health perspective across thematic or sectoral climate adaptation priorities" (p.14).

Air quality is a one of the key factors of community health and is directly linked to temperature changes. Yet only 13% U.S. and 6% French plans set any justice-focused targets for air quality. Numerous studies indicate that racial and ethnic minorities, and low-income people both in the United States [131] and in France [126] are being already disproportionally exposed to higher levels of air pollution then other groups. Ozone- and fine particle-related mortalities are expected to increase due to climate change, especially affecting vulnerable populations [132]. One of the key challenges for equitable planning is the lack of readily available large-scale monitoring data raising public awareness about glaring spatial correlations between environmental pollution, health, income, and race. Climate services need to be designed to uncover these existing spatial relationships between climate vulnerability and institutional racism, which continue to be rooted in unfair practices in urban planning. But government regulatory agencies, such as the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the European Environment Agency (EEA), and the French Central Laboratory for Air Quality Monitoring (LCSQA), operate air quality monitoring networks of fixed monitoring stations that focus on assessing background levels in relatively large regions, grossly neglecting variabilities at a higher spatial resolution. Air pollution can be as much as eight times higher at one end of a city block that the other, according to Environmental Defense Fund [133]. Local action requires local-scale data, integrating micro-level community-operated air monitoring networks, such as, for instance, Just Air Solutions, who, in partnership with University of Michigan, is working directly with low-income communities in Detroit and Grand Rapids, MI on the neighborhood-scale mapping, monitoring and data visualization using ground sensors and GIS [134]. Another

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

example of monitoring spatial inequalities in air quality at a high spatial resolution include mapping projects by Institut Ecocitoyen Pour La Connaissance de Pollution [135] based in Fos-sur-Mer in France, monitoring communities exposed to air, water, and ecosystem pollution associated with industrial zones [136].

Similarly, only a handful of plans in our sample adopt justice lens in addressing vulnerability of their water resources. Adaptation goals targeting water shortage and water quality are typically generalized for the entire municipality. Although water supply in both countries is generally considered well managed and safe, it presents problems associated with inequality in the distribution of water resources across different regions and unhealthy drinking water quality, which are likely to be exacerbated by climate change. Water quality problems are more likely in smaller, minority, and low-income communities that are socially, economically, and politically disempowered [137]. The recent drought episodes in France have prompted the government to develop guidelines for water prioritization [138], such as irrigation, swimming pools, and other, which also raise many questions about equity, for example, irrigation of private golf courses at the expense of public green spaces in underprivileged communities [139]. Planning decisions based on transparent data would also require improved mapping and monitoring systems integrating water quality and allocation data.

As the risks to hazards caused by the effects of climate change continues to increase, the current approaches to spreading financial responsibility need to be re-evaluated. Equitable access to home insurance appears to be the least represented sector in our sample of plans. Public-private insurance programs, however, could play an important role in managing cost of adaptation and hazard mitigation measures. This would also require more sophisticated climate services for insurance companies to anticipate how their market will evolve in response to climate change, and specifically provides risk modeling expertise, capital market solutions, actuarial services, and reinsurance design [140]. The U.S. National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) managed by FEMA and delivered to the public by a network of more than 50 insurance companies and the NFIP Direct [141] plays an important role in reducing climate-related losses. Some increasingly important strategies used by the NFIP include mandatory flood insurance,

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

insurance rate subsidization, and public-private cooperation to prevent withdrawal of private insurers from high-risk areas.

3.3 Stakeholders role in knowledge development



Figure 3 Categories of stakeholders involved in development of climate adaptation plans in the U.S. and France.

While professional city planners and governmental officials lead local climate adaptation planning in both countries, many other groups of stakeholders participate in various stages of climate adaptation planning and co-creation of relevant information, methodologies, and tools. American municipalities in our sample appear to involve broader coalitions of stakeholders with residents (78%), environmental and climate advocacy groups (70%), academic institutions

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

(65%), local businesses (61%), and private consulting firms (57%) being the most prominent groups involved. French plans more frequently involve local businesses (76%), followed by local citizens (53%), and environmental and climate advocacy groups (47%). The degree of stakeholder's participation varies from attending community workshops and responding to local surveys to active engagement in data collection, community-based research, and other forms of direct and in-direct contribution to adaptation plans and, increasingly, co-production and dissemination of information.

Although most climate adaptation planning methodologies recommend municipalities to engage community members in their vulnerability assessments and climate adaptation planning, opportunities for meaningful engagement of local citizens and especially vulnerable groups are quite low. One possible reason of this may be the lack of inclusive user-friendly collaborative engines tailored to non-expert participants, connecting local communities with relevant climate services and tools. An interesting promising example of such platform outside of our study areas include ClimateJust platform [142], connecting users and producers through high-resolution mapping of community vulnerability to climate change. Involvement of broad coalitions of various groups of stakeholders including citizens, schools, universities, environmental organizations, and private firms in the co-creation and analysis of knowledge is possibly the only realistic way to bridge the gap between the national and state-scale data providers and the city-scale and neighborhood-scale data needs. In this context, citizen science and collaborative crowdsourcing platforms have a great potential for data collection, dissemination, and social participation [143], [144]. By being involved in local citizen science projects, people value their role being a part of the solution and become active contributors to climate services. Studies in the United State, France, and other countries suggest that data co-production not only can provide the local-scale information for early warning and climate adaptation planning but also build community trust and support for climate policies [145], [121].

Our analysis is based on a limited sample of cities and is meant to provide examples of information produced and used in climate adaptation planning, not to extrapolate general

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

patterns of to compare strengths and weaknesses of the two national approaches. It should be noted that, a priori, French plans in our sample are more representative than their American counterparts respectively to each country. Climate plans, including both climate change mitigation and adaptation components are now required in France for all municipalities with populations less than 20,000 people. There are now 307 urban and rural municipalities of various sizes in France, who are following this national requirement, representing about one quarter of French municipalities, with most of them being relatively large cities [146]. All American adaptation plans, on the other hand, are voluntary initiatives, representing the most progressive communities concerned with impacts of climate change and are less typical for the entire nation.

d) Conclusions

Planning, implementation, and monitoring on climate adaptation strategies rely on a broad range of constantly evolving multidisciplinary spatial data, generated at various scales. While traditional climate services provide useful background information for generalized long-term climate preparedness, they still offer minimal, if any, social, economic, and environmental data, typically being limited to climate data trends and scenarios.

Municipalities face numerous challenges in developing relevant methodologies, keeping up with scholarly literature, and obtaining adequate information for their climate adaptation planning efforts, which may result in low quality of plans and mediocre implementation. Small municipalities have especially limited technological, human, and financial capacity. In France municipalities receive significant support from the national agency overseeing local climate adaptation planning – ADEME, while in the U.S. many climate adaptation plans of small cities have been developed in partnership with local university partners through various grants. Despite these major differences, we have identified several major challenges hindering effective local climate adaptation planning in both countries and possibly worldwide.

Methodological challenges. Although numerous methodological resources for local governments have evolved during the past ten years, including brochures, toolkits, and

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 14 November 2022 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

clearinghouses featuring examples of existing adaptation plans, sorting through them in search of clear guidelines could be an insurmountable task of its own. In the absence of national and international standards for vulnerability assessment, municipalities adopt very different methodological frameworks, definitions, and protocols, or skip it all together. Such conceptual fragmentation presents a major challenge for long-term monitoring, comparison, and data sharing among the cities. In many ways such methodological ambiguity mirrors the continuous rift between the adaptation planning and risk assessment communities in the scholarly literature. The re-conceptualization of "vulnerability", introduced in the IPCC SREX and the Working Group Two Fifth Assessment Report was not well received and provoked a split in the scientific community [109]. The most recent IPCC Sixth Assessment Report [107] further uses the concept of risk of the potential adverse impacts of, and response options to, climate change, treating exposure as a precondition rather that a dimension of vulnerability. Many vulnerability researchers, however, argue that treating exposure as a precondition of vulnerability or completely disassociating of biophysical contexts from vulnerability limits the analysis of differential vulnerability caused by differences in biophysical components associated with geographic location, which can influence both the sensitivity and adaptive capacity of a system [124]. Most institutional guidelines including the ADEME methodologies used in France are mostly based on the over twenty-year-old framework of the IPCC Third Assessment Report [125]. The simplicity and applicability of this framework made it popular with climate adaptation practitioners.

***Ideological challenges*.** In the absence of methodological requirements to formulate adaptation objectives targeting climate justice, municipalities rarely do so. Many sectors of adaptation planning, such as community health, transportation, air quality, water qualities, and many other are systematically overlooked in both countries. Even disaster emergency planning, where focus on equity comes most frequently as a top priority, is absent in 48% of the US and 69% of French plans. Equity in housing and food security adaptation is grossly overlooked in the U.S. plans. Equitable access to green infrastructure and ecosystem services is mentioned in less than a quarter of French plans and only 48% of the U.S. plans. Even when such strategies are formulated, implementation strategies are often vague, lacking quantitative metrics for

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

monitoring and evaluation. Further research is necessary to understand if these shortcomings are caused by the local political ideologies, outdated methodologies, lack of adequate data, lack of involvement of vulnerable stakeholders, or all the above.

***Data quality challenges*.** Adaptation planning and implementation monitoring require acquisition, analyses, and timely interpretation of high-quality multi-disciplinary data of relevant spatial and temporal resolutions, integrated in user-friendly formats, understandable for planners and the public. This includes not only macro-, meso- and micro-climatological data but also agroecological, hydrological, demographic, cultural, economic, community health, zoning, land-use, and other information. While many interesting high-quality products have been developed by academic and private data providers, they are not typically integrated with each other, often hard to locate, and are rarely directly accessible for local planning departments, especially in small municipalities. Local air-pollution, water-quality, soil-contamination, food and services deserts, community health, socio-economic, and demographic data, necessary to reveal their spatial correlations, are rarely available at the neighborhood and census-block scale.

Community connection challenges. Collection, analysis, and timely interpretation of relevant information, requires active community participation, especially at the scale of municipalities. National agencies and large for-profit data providers are unlikely to be able to fulfill these needs. Adaptation planning requires information, which is constantly evolving, relevant, local, transparent, open-access, and collected at the block or even household scale. We need active, truly diverse and inclusive networks of local stakeholders engaging schools, universities, private and public organizations, community groups, and volunteers in the co-production of data, including local stories and indigenous knowledge, to inform collective co-construction of climate adaptation strategies.

We draw several recommendations for climate adaptation researchers and decision makers:

a) Municipalities need flexible, user-friendly, and reliable tools for comprehensive vulnerability assessment, mapping, and monitoring, informed by the up-to-date body

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

of knowledge and best practices around the world, and relevant for their geographical context. Many currently existing products are based on the outdated literature and offer rigid step-by-step guidelines, rather than interactive analytical tools. Cities need the best common standards, which are currently lacking, but not necessarily common data sources or guidelines.

b) Centralized approaches to data monitoring for climate adaptation planning often fail to provide information at relevant temporal and spatial scales. Produced by different agencies and groups of experts, these databases are often hard to integrate and downscale. Decentralized interdisciplinary monitoring networks equipped with digital applications allowing local citizens to engage in knowledge production may offer promising alternatives.

c) Climate-adaptation design tools for local governments should prioritize climate justice in all adaptation sectors. GIS-based online mapping tools and mobile applications are very helpful in visualization and analysis of spatial correlations between income, race, environmental justice issues, and various dimensions of vulnerability to climate impacts, helping to objectify difficult conversations about resource allocations in climate adaptation planning.

d) Long-term funding programs are necessary to provide financial and other resources and incentives for stakeholders' collaboration and community engagement in local knowledge co-production. Funding agencies should prioritize active local and regional partnerships involving academic institutions, schools, advocacy groups, local businesses, and especially citizens and organizations representing the most vulnerable communities. Funding programs that prioritize mainstreaming climate adaptations into neighborhood revitalization, food-security, community wellness, environmental education, and citizen-science projects should be designed to support long-term partnerships among all local actors.

e) Climate education networks, local working groups, and other boundary organizations connecting experts and non-experts would play increasingly important role in merging

community-based education, scientific research, climate action, and co-design of digital technologies, tools, and data for local climate adaptation planning.

References

[1] R. Cavelier, C. Borel, V. Charreyron, M. Chaussade, G. Le Cozannet, D. Morin and D. Ritti, "Conditions for a market uptake of climate services for adaptation in," *Climate Services,* vol. 36, pp. 34-40, 2017.

[2] C. Hewitt, S. Mason and D. Walland, "The Global Framework for Climate Services," *Nature Climate Change,* vol. 2, pp. 831-832, 2012.

[3] C. Vaughan and S. Dessai, "Climate services for society: origins, institutional arrangements, and design elements for an evaluation framework," *WIREs Climate Change,* vol. 5, pp. 587-603, 2014.

[4] A. Panenko, E. George and C. Lutoff, "Towards the development of climate adaptation knowledge-action systems in the European Union: An institutional approach to climate service analysis," *Climate Services,* vol. 24, p. 100265, 2021.

[5] United States Environmental Protection Agency, "Climate Adaptation," 19 06 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.epa.gov/climate-adaptation.

[6] European Commission and the European Environment Agency, "Climate-ADAPT," 19 6 2022. [Online]. Available: https://climate-adapt.eea.europa.eu/.

[7] California Energy Commission, State of California, "Cal-Adapt," 2021. [Online]. Available: https://cal-adapt.org/. [Accessed 5 7 2022].

[8] NOAA Climate Program Office, "The Adaptation Science Program," 2020. [Online]. Available: https://cpo.noaa.gov/Divisions-Programs/Climate-and-Societal-Interactions/The-Adaptation-Sciences-Program. [Accessed 19 6 2022].

[9] S. Webber, "Putting climate services in contexts: advancing multi-disciplinary understandings: introduction to the special issue," *Climatic Change,* vol. 157, pp. 1-8, 2019.

[10] A. Steynor, J. Lee and A. Davison, "Transdisciplinary co-production of climate services: a focus on process," *Social Dynamics,* vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 414-433, 2020.

[11] T.-S. Neset, J. Wilk, S. Cruz, M. Graca, J. K. Rod, M. J. Maarse, M. J. Wallin and L. Andersson, "Co-designing a citizen science climate service," *Climate Services,* p. 100273, 2021.

[12] WMO, "What are climate services," 2022. [Online]. Available: https://gfcs.wmo.int/what-are-climate-services. [Accessed 5 July 2022].

[13] European Commission, European Environmental Agency, "Climate-ADAPT- Home," 2022. [Online]. Available: https://climate-adapt.eea.europa.eu/. [Accessed 13 July 2022].

[14] Copernicus Earth Observation Programme, "Copernicus Climate Change Services," 2022. [Online]. Available: https://climate.copernicus.eu/. [Accessed July 2022].

[15] U.S. Climate Resilience Toolkit, "Meet the Challenges of a Changing Climate," 2021. [Online]. [Accessed 5 July 2022].

[16] Eco-Adapt, "About the Climate Adaptation Knowledge Exchange," 2022. [Online]. [Accessed 13 July 2022].

[17] Conservation Biology Institute, "Adapt West - The product: A bi-national database and synthesis," 2022. [Online]. Available: Adapt West - A Climate Adaptation Conservation Planning Database for North America. [Accessed 5 July 2022].

[18] Great Lakes Integrated Sciences and Assessments, "The Great Lakes Adaptation Data Suite (GLADS)," 5 July 2022. [Online]. [Accessed 2022].

[19] AcclimaTerra, "AcclimaTerra," 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.acclimaterra.fr/en/. [Accessed 09 09 2022].

[20] AcclimaTerra, Regional Scientific Committee, "Anticipating Climate Change in Nouvelle-Aquitaine to Guide Policy at Locla Level," Edition AcclimaTerra, Impremerie LaPlante, 2020.

[21] L. Shi, "Promise and paradox of metropolitan regional climate adaptation," *Environmental Science and Policy,* vol. 92, pp. 262-274, 2019.

[22] D. S. Thomas and C. Twyman, "Equity and justice in climate change adaptation amongst natural-resource-dependent societies," *Global Environmental Change,* no. 15, pp. 115-124, 2005.

[23] Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy, "MiEJscreen: Environmental Justice Screening Tool (DRAFT)," 5 July 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.michigan.gov/egle/maps-data/miejscreen. [Accessed 2022].

[24] United States Environmental Protection Agency, "EJScreen: Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping Tool," 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen. [Accessed 5 July 2022].

[25] R. D. Bullard and B. Wright, The Wrong Complexion for Protection. How the Government Response to Disaster Endangers African American Communities., New York: New York University Press, 2012, p. 304 p..

[26] R. D. Bullard, G. S. Johnson and A. O. Torres, Environmental Health and Racial Equity in the United States: Building Environmentally Just, Sustainable, and Livable Communities, American Public Health Association, 2011.

[27] V. Deldrève, N. Lewis, S. Moreau and K. Reynolds, "Les nouveaux chantiers de la justice environnementale," *Vertigo,* vol. 19, no. 1, p. en ligne, 2019.

[28] E. Lioubimtseva and C. da Cunha, "Local climate change adaptation plans in the US and France:Comparison and lessons learned in 2007-2017," *Urban Climate,* vol. 31, p. 10577, 2020.

[29] Z. W. Kundzewicz, E. J. Førland and M. Piniewski, "Challenges for developing national climate services – Poland and Norway.," *Climate Services,* vol. 8, pp. 17-25, 2017.

[30] A. Baklanov, B. Cárdenas, T.-c. Lee, S. Leroyer, V. Masson, L. T. Molina, T. Müller, C. Ren, F. R. Vogel and J. A. Voogt, "Integrated urban services: Experience from four cities on different continents," *Urban Climate,* vol. 32, p. 100610, 2020.

[31] C. Vaughan, L. Buja, A. Kruczkiewicz and L. Goddard, "Identifying research priorities to advance climate services," *Climate Services,* vol. 4, pp. 65-74, 2016.

[32] E. Lioubimtseva, "The role of inclusion in climate vulnerability assessment and equitable adaptation goals in small American municipalities," *Discover Sustainability,* vol. 3, no. 3, 2022.

[33] E. Lioubimtseva and C. Da Cunha, "Local climate change adaptation plans in the US and France: Comparison and lessons learned in 2007-2017," *Urban Climate,* vol. 31, p. 100577, 2020.

[34] C. da Cunha and E. Lioubimtseva, "Metadata for climate change adaptation plans of small and mid-size French and American cities," *Data Brief,* p. 106981, 2021.

[35] New York State Department of State, "Albany Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment and Adaptation Plan," Mayor's Office of Energy and Sustainability, Albany, NY, 2013.

[36] J. Klipp, T. Thaler, G. Griffith and T. Crossett, "Climate Adaptation and Mitigation Plan for Alger County, Michigan. Preparing Communities, Forests and Water Resources for a Changing Climate," Model Forest Policy Program, Sagle, ID, 2011.

[37] Stratus Consulting, "Boulder County Climate Change," Boulder County , Boulder County, CO, 2012.

[38] City of Chula Vista, "Climate Adaptation Strategies Final Implementation Plans," City of Chula Vista, Chula Vista, CA, 2011.

[39] Town of Corte Madera, "Town of Corte Madera Climate Adaptation Assessment: A Roadmap to Resilience," Town of Corte Madera, Corte Madera, CA, 2021.

[40] City of Flagstaff, "City of Flagstaff Resiliency and Preparedness Study," City of Flagstaff, Flafstaff, AZ, 2012.

[41] City of Flagstaff, "Flagstaff Climate Action and Adaptation PLan," City of Flagstaff, Flagstaff, 2018, 2018.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

[42] Georgetown Conservation Commission, "Climate Change Adaptation Report: Georgetown, Maine. A special publication by the Georgetown Conservation Commission.," Georgetown Conservation Commission, Georgetown, ME, 2015.

[43] M. Stults and J. Pagach, "Preparing for Climate Change in Groton, Connecticut: A Model Process for Communities in the Northeast," ICLEI-Local Governments for Sustainability and Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection, Groton, CT, 2011.

[44] City of Iowa City, "Iowa City Climate Action and Adaptation Plan," City of Iowa City, Iowa City, IA, 2018.

[45] Z. Chen, M. Delp, O. Felber, U. Ferrier, H. Freund, A. Grant, J. Harris, N. Loring, H. Neel, M. Ribikawskis, L. Laurian, S. Spak and B. Nations, "Iowa City Climate Action and Adaptation Implementation Strategies," The University of Iowa Office of Outreach and Engagement, Iowa, IA, 2019.

[46] City of Keene, "Adapting to Climate Change: Planning a Climate Resilient Community," City of Keene & ICLEI Local Governments for Sustainability, Keene, NH, 2007.

[47] City of Keene, "Keene, NH Climate Adaptation Action Plan Final Report," City of Keene & ICLEI Local Governments for Sustainability, Keene, NH, 2010.

[48] City of Laguna Woods City Council, "City of Laguna Woods Climate Adaptation PLan," City of Laguna Woods, Laguna Woods, CA, 2014.

[49] Michigan State University, "Adapting to Climate Change and Variability. Marquette, Michigan.," Michigan State University, City of Marquette, Superior Watershed Partnership and Land Trust, Marquette, MI, 2013.

[50] H. King, T. Thaler, G. Griffith, Crossett, T. and R. Rasker, "Forest and Water Climate Adaptation: A Plan for Marquette County, Michigan.," Model Forest Policy Program, Sagle, ID, 2013.

[51] J. H. Chase, J. G. Cooper, R. E. Fitzgerald, F. A. Lima, S. R. Miller and T. M. and Pignatelli, "Climate Change Adaptation Chapter: Marshfield, Massachusetts," ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst, Amherst, MA, 2012.

[52] Rhode Island Sea Grant & University of Rhode Island Coastal Resources Center, "Adaptation to Natural Hazards and Climate Change in North Kingstown, Rhode Island," Rhode Island Statewide Planning Program, Providence, RI, 2015.

[53] J. Beever III, W. Gray, D. Trescott, D. Cobb, J. Utley and D. Hutchinson, "City of Punta Gorda Adaptation Plan," Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council, Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program, Fort Myers, FL, 2009.

[54] I. Taylor Engineering, "City of Punta Gorda Adaptation Plan Update," City of Punta Gorda, Punta Gorda, FL, 2019.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

[55] City of Salem Department of Planning & Community Development, "Ready for Tomorrow: The City of Salem Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment and Adaptation Plan," City of Salem, Salem, MA, 2014.

[56] City of Santa Cruz, "City of Santa Cruz Climate Adaptation Plan," City of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, 2011.

[57] City of Santa Cruz Climate Action Program, "City of Santa Cruz 2018 Climate Adaptation Plan Update," City of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, 2018.

[58] City of Sarasota, "City of Sarasota Climate Change Vulnerability and Adaptation Plan. Technical Memo," City of Sarasota, Sarasota, FL, 2016.

[59] City of Sarasota, "City of Sarasota Climate Adaptation PLan. Final Report," City of Sarasota, Sarasota, FL, 2017.

[60] M. Foster, R. Williams, T. Thaler and G. Griffith, "Forest and Water Climate Adaptation: A Plan for Taos County, New Mexico," Model Forest Policy Program, Sagle, ID, 2010.

[61] J. Evans, J. Gambill and R. McDowell, "Tybee Island Sea Level Rise Adaptation Plan. Final Report.," National Sea Grant College Program, Tybee Island, GA, 2013.

[62] Tompkins County Planning Department, "Tompkins County Comprehensive Plan. Planning for Our Future: Adaptation Chapter.," Tompkins County, Ithaca, NY, 2015.

[63] Harris & Associates, "City of Watsonville Climate Action and Adaptation Plan," City of Watsonville Public Works & Utilities, Watsonville, CA, 2021.

[64] Brest Métropole, "Diagnostic de vulnérabilité au changement climatique de Brest Métropole.," BMO, Brest, 2019.

[65] Brest Métropole, "Brest Métropole - Plan climat 2019-2025 - Programme d'actions.," BMO, Brest, 2020.

[66] Clermont Auvergne Métropole, & Ville de Clermont-Ferrand, "Schéma de transition énergétique et écologique de Clermont Auvergne Métropole et de la Ville de Clermont-Ferrand. Diagnostic Air, Énergie, Climat, Écologie.," Clermont-Ferrand, 2017.

[67] Clermont Auvergne Métropole, & Ville de Clermont-Ferrand, "Schéma de transition énergétique et écologique de Clermont Auvergne Métropole et de la Ville de Clermont-Ferrand. Bilan de la Concertation.," Ville de Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, 2018.

[68] Clermont Auvergne Métropole, & Ville de Clermont-Ferrand., "Schéma de transition énergétique et écologique de Clermont Auvergne Métropole et de la Ville de Clermont-Ferrand. Fiche actions.," Ville de Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand., 2019.

[69] Clermont Auvergne Métropole, & Ville de Clermont-Ferrand, "Schéma de transition énergétique et écologique de Clermont Auvergne Métropole et de la Ville de Clermont-Ferrand. Plan d'actions.," Ville de Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, 2019.

[70] Pôle Territorial de l'Albigeois et des Bastides., "Concertation – Stratégie - Plans d'actions.," Pôle Territorial de l'Albigeois et des Bastides., Pôle Territorial de l'Albigeois et des Bastides., 2019 a.

[71] Pôle Territorial de l'Albigeois et des Bastides, "Diagnostics Climat Air Energie.," Pôle Territorial de l'Albigeois et des Bastide, 2019 b.

[72] Pôle Territorial de l'Albigeois et des Bastides, "Tableau de bord des actions PCAET de la Communauté de communes du Cordais et du Causse. http://4c81.fr/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/TDB_ACTIONS_PCAET_4C_vf.pdf," Pôle Territorial de l'Albigeois et des Bastides, Pôle Territorial de l'Albigeois et des Bastides, 2019 c.

[73] Golfe du Morbihan Vannes Agglomération, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial - Diagnostic, stratégie et plan d'actions," Golfe du Morbihan Vannes Agglomération, Vannes, 2020.

[74] Communaute d'Agglomeration la Riviera du Levant, "Plan climat air energie territorial (pcaet) de la Communaute d'Agglomeration la Riviera du Levant.," Communaute d'Agglomeration la Riviera du Levant, 2019.

[75] Le Grand Chalon, "Diagnostic / Stratégie," Le Grand Chalon, 2018 a.

[76] Le Grand Chalon, "Programme d'actions.," Le Grand Chalon, Le Grand Chalon, 2018 b.

[77] Agglomération du Niortais, "Diagnostic Territorial Climat Air Energie," Agglomération du Niortais, Agglomération du Niortais, 2018.

[78] Agglomération du Niortais, "Plan Climat Air Énergie Territorial (PCAET) 2018-2024," Agglomération du Niortais, Agglomération du Niortais, 2019.

[79] Communauté de Communes du Pays de Barr, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. Diagnostic territorial - Cahier 1 : Analyse de la vulnérabilité climatique.," Communauté de Communes du Pays de Barr, Communauté de Communes du Pays de Barr, 2019 a.

[80] Communauté de Communes du Pays de Barr, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. Plan d'actions," Communauté de Communes du Pays de Barr, Communauté de Communes du Pays de Barr, 2019 b.

[81] Communauté de Communes du Pays de Barr, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. Stratégie - Cahier 3 : Articulation des objectifs," Communauté de Communes du Pays de Barr, Communauté de Communes du Pays de Barr, 2019 c.

[82] Dieppe Pays Normand, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial," Dieppe Pays Normand, Dieppe Pays Normand, 2019 a.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

[83] Dieppe Pays Normand, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. Plans d'actions," Dieppe Pays Normand, Dieppe Pays Normand, 2019 b.

[84] Dieppe Pays Normand, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. Stratégie territorial," Dieppe Pays Normand, Dieppe Pays Normand, 2019 c.

[85] Dieppe Pays Normand, "Une démarche participative," Dieppe Pays Normand, Dieppe Pays Normand, 2019 d.

[86] Communauté du Pays Voironnais, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial 2019-2025," Communauté du Pays Voironnais, Communauté du Pays Voironnais, 2019.

[87] Perpignan Méditerranée Métropole, "Programme ODD - Plan Climat-Air-Energie Territorial - 2018-2024," Perpignan Méditerranée Métropole, Perpignan Méditerranée Métropole, 2019.

[88] CAPSO, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial (PCAET) de la Communauté d'Agglomération du Pays de Saint-Omer (CAPSO) - 2020-2026," Communauté d'Agglomération du Pays de Saint-Omer:, Communauté d'Agglomération du Pays de Saint-Omer:, 2020 a.

[89] CAPSO, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial (PCAET) - 2020-2026. Diagnostic territorial.," Communauté d'Agglomération du Pays de Saint-Omer, Communauté d'Agglomération du Pays de Saint-Omer, 2020 b.

[90] CAPSO, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial (PCAET) - 2020-2026. Plan d'actions. 98," Communauté d'Agglomération du Pays de Saint-Omer, Communauté d'Agglomération du Pays de Saint-Omer:, 2020 c.

[91] CASQY , "Diagnostic du Plan Climat Air Energie de Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines," Communauté d'Aglomération de Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, 2018.

[92] CASQY, "Elaboration du Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial de Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines," Communauté d'Aglomération de Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, 2020.

[93] CCSE, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. Diagnostic, Communauté de Communes Sud Estuaire," Communauté de Communes Sud Estuaire, Communauté de Communes Sud Estuaire, 2019 a.

[94] CCSE, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. Programme d'actions adoptée par Sud Estuaire," Communauté de Communes Sud Estuaire, Communauté de Communes Sud Estuaire, 2019 b.

[95] CCSE, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. Stratégie adoptée par Sud Estuaire," Communauté de Communes Sud Estuaire, Communauté de Communes Sud Estuaire, 2019 c.

[96] CCSE, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. Fiches actions," Communauté de Communes Sud Estuaire, Communauté de Communes Sud Estuaire, 2020.

[97] CCS, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. PARTIE II – Synthèse de la démarche," Communauté de communes Sundgau, Communauté de communes Sundgau, 2017 a.

[98] CCS, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. PARTIE IV - Diagnostic Climat-Air-Energie," Communauté de communes Sundgau, Communauté de communes Sundgau, 2017 b.

[99] CCS, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial. PARTIE V - Stratégie et programme d'actions," Communauté de communes Sundgau, Communauté de communes Sundgau, 2017 c.

[100] Vallée de Chamonix-Mont-Blanc, "Plan Climat Energie Territorial de la Vallée de Chamonix-Mont-Blanc. Plan d'action," Vallée de Chamonix-Mont-Blanc , Vallée de Chamonix-Mont-Blanc , 2012.

[101] Vallée de Chamonix-Mont-Blanc, "Plan climat haute montagne," Vallée de Chamonix-Mont-Blanc, Vallée de Chamonix-Mont-Blanc, 2019.

[102] CAVEM , "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial (PCAET) - Document 1. Diagnostic territorial," Communauté d'Agglomération Var Estérel Méditerranée, Var Estérel Méditerranée, 2019 a.

[103] CAVEM, "Plan Climat Air Energie Territorial (PCAET) - Document 2 : Stratégie territoriale," Communauté d'Agglomération Var Estérel Méditerranée, Var Estérel Méditerranée, 2019 b.

[104] IPCC WGII, "Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability, Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change," IPCC, 2014.

[105] J. Birkmann, A. Jamshed, J. M. McMillan, D. Feldmeyer, E. Totin, W. Solecki, Z. Zaiton Ibrahim, D. Roberts, R. Bezner Kerr, H.-O. Poertner, M. Pelling, R. Djalante, M. Garschagen, W. L. Filho, D. Guha-Sapir and A. Alegría, "Understanding human vulnerability to climate change: A global perspective on index validation for adaptation planning.," *Science of the Total Environment,* vol. 803, p. 150065, 2022.

[106] IPCC, "Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2012.

[107] IPCC, "Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2022.

[108] IPCC, "Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007.

[109] J. Sharma and N. Ravindranath, "Applying IPCC 2014 framework for hazard-specific vulnerability assessment under climate change.," *Environmental Research Communications,* p. 051004, 2019.

[110] A. A. Zuniga-Teran, P. C. Mussetta, A. N. Lutz Ley, R. E. Díaz-Caravantes and A. K. Gerlak, "Analyzing water policy impacts on vulnerability: Cases across the rural-urban continuum in the arid Americas.," *Environmental Development,* vol. 38, p. 100552, 2021.

[111] L. Inostroza, M. Palme and F. de la Barrera, "A Heat Vulnerability Index: Spatial Patterns of Exposure, Sensitivity, and Adaptive Capacity of Santiago de Chile.," *PLoS One,* vol. 11, no. 19, p. e0162464.

[112] S. W. M. Weis, V. N. Agostini and L. M. Roth, "Assessing vulnerability: an integrated approach for mapping adaptive capacity, sensitivity, and exposure.," *Climatic Change,* vol. 136, p. 615–629, 2016.

[113] D. Swami and D. Parthasarathy, "Dynamics of exposure, sensitivity, adaptive capacity and agricultural vulnerability at district scale for Maharashtra, India.," *Ecological Indicators,* vol. 121, p. 107206, 2021.

[114] P. D. Howe, B. Yarnal, A. Coletti and N. J. Wood, "The participatory vulnerability scoping diagram - deliberative risk ranking for community water systems."," *Annals of the Association of American Geographers,* vol. 2, no. 103, pp. 343-352, 2013.

[115] L. A. Duncan, M. Schaller and J. H. Park, "Perceived vulnerability to disease: Development and validation," *Personality and Individual Differences,* vol. 47, pp. 541-546, 2009.

[116] N. D. Weinstein, J. E. Lyon, A. J. Rothman and C. L. Cuite, "Changes in pereceived vulnerability following natural disaster," *lournal of Social and Clinical Psychology,* vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 372-395, 2000.

[117] M. Gerard, F. X. Gibbons and B. J. Bushman, "Relation Between Perceived Vulnerability to HIV and Precautionary Sexual Behavior," *Psychological Bulletin,* vol. 119, no. 3, pp. 390-409, 1996.

[118] H. Bulkeley, J. Carmin, V. Castan Broto, G. A. Edwards and S. Fuller, "Climate justice and global cities : mapping the emerging discourses," *Global Environmental Change,* vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 914-925, 2013.

[119] M. Northridge and L. Freeman, "Urban planning and health equity," *Journal of Urban Health,* vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 582-597, 2011.

[120] C. Uittenbroek, H. Mees, D. Hegger and P. Driesses, "The design of public participation: who participates, when and how? Insights in climate adaptation planning from the Netherlands," *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management,* vol. 62, no. 14, pp. 2529-2547, 2019.

[121] J. Baztan, J.-P. Vanderlinden, L. Jaffrès, B. Jorgensen and Z. Zhu, "Facing climate injustices: Community trust-building for climate services through arts and sciences narrative co-production," *Climate Risk Management,* vol. 30, p. 100253, 2020.

[122] J. Petzold, N. Andrews, J. D. Ford, C. Hedemann and J. C. Postigo, "Indigenous knowledge on climate change adaptation: a global evidence map of academic literature," *Environmental Research Letters,* vol. 15, p. 113007, 2020.

[123] C. da Cunha, I. Nikulkina, J.-P. Vanderlinden, V. Shadrin, N. Doloisio and D. Salakhova, "Adaptive capacity for climate change: local initiatives and federal planning. The case of Tiksi, Sakha Republic, Russia," *Polar Science,* no. 31, pp. 1-9, 2022.

[124] A. Ishtique, R. Estoque, H. Eakin, J. Parajuli and Y. W. Rabby, "IPCC's current conceptualization of 'vulnerability' needs more clarification for climate change vulnerability assessments.," *Journal of Environmental Management,* vol. 303, p. 114246, 2022.

[125] IPCC, "Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group Two to the Third Assessment Report of the IPCC," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001.

[126] L. Charles, C. Emelianoff and C. Ghorra, "Les multiples facettes des inégalités écologique," *Développement durable et territoires,* vol. 11, no. 2, 2020.

[127] M.-L. Lambert, A. Arnaud and C. Claeys, "Justice climatique et démocratie environnementale - Les inégalités d'accès au droit des populations vulnérables aux risques littoraux – quelques éléments de comparaison ," *ertigO - la revue électronique en sciences de l'environnement,* vol. 19, no. 1, 2022.

[128] P. S. Larson, C. Gronlund, L. Thompson, L. Sampson, R. Washington, J. Steis Thorsby, N. Lyon and C. Miller, "Recurrent Home Flooding in Detroit, MI 2012-2020: Results of a Household Survey," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,* vol. 18, no. 14, p. 7659, 2021.

[129] Institut d'aménagement et d'urbanisme de la région d'Île-de-France, "Vulnérabilité énergétique : les pavillonnaires modestes sous tension.," Institut d'aménagement et d'urbanisme de la région d'Île-de-France, 2015.

[130] M. C. Sheehan, F. Khudairi, G. S. Swaich, W. Hines and S. Mehta, "Urban climate-health governance: Charting the role of public health in large global city adaptation plans," *PLOS Climate,* vol. 1, no. 3, p. e0000012, 2022.

[131] A. Jbaily, X. Zhou, J. Liu, T.-H. Lee, L. Kamareddine, S. Verguet and F. Dominici, "Air pollution exposure disparities across US population and income groups," *Nature,* vol. 601, p. 228–233, 2022.

[132] H. Orru, K. Ebi and B. Forsberg, "The Interplay of Climate Change and Air Pollution on Health," *Current Environmental Health Reports,* vol. 4, pp. 504-513, 2017.

[133] Environmental Defense Fund, "Impact Through Innovation. Annual Report 2018," EDF, New York, 2018.

[134] JustAir, "The Data We Need To Craft The Future We Deserve," 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.justair.co/.

[135] Institut Ecocitoyen, "Institut Ecocitoyen pour la Connaissance des Pollutions," 03 11 2022. [Online]. Available: http://www.institut-ecocitoyen.fr/. [Accessed 2022].

[136] J. Dron, P. Chamaret, N. Marchand, B. Temime-Roussel, S. Ravier, A. Sylvestre and H. Wortham, "Variabilité physico-chimique des épisodes de pollution atmosphérique à proximité de la zone industrialo-portuaire de Fos-sur-Mer," *Pollution Atmosphérique,* no. 233, 2017.

[137] J. Bae and M. J. Lynch, "Ethnicity, Poverty, Race, and the Unequal Distribution of US Safe Drinking Water Act Violations, 2016-2018," *The Sociological Quaterly,* 2022.

[138] Ministère de la Transition écologique et de la Cohésion des territoires, "Origine et gestion de la sécheresse.," 2022.

[139] G. d'Allens, "Arrosage des golfs : malgré la sécheresse, les dérogations pleuvent," *Reporterre,* 8 8 2022.

[140] G. B. Brasseur and L. Gallardo, "Climate services: Lessons learned and future prospects.," *Earth's Future,* vol. 4, pp. 79-89, 2016.

[141] The Federal Emergency Management Agency, "FEMA," 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.fema.gov/flood-insurance.

[142] The University of Machester, "Map Tool," 3 11 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.climatejust.org.uk/map. [Accessed 2022].

[143] T.-S. Neset, J. Wilk, S. Cruz, M. Graca, J. K. Rod, M. J. Maarse, P. Wallin and P. Andersson, "Co-designing a citizen science climate service," *Climate Services,* vol. 24, pp. 1-10, 2021.

[144] S. Albagli and A. Y. Iwama, "Citizen science and the right to research: building local knowledge of climate change impacts," *Humanit Soc Sci Commun,* vol. 9, no. 32, pp. 1-13, 2022.

[145] L. See, "A Review of Citizen Science and Crowdsourcing in Applications of Pluvial Flooding," *Frontiers in Earth Science,* vol. 7, no. 44, pp. 1-7, 2019.

[146] ADEME — Agence de la transition écologique, "Observatoire Territoires et Climat," 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.territoires-climat.ademe.fr/observatoire.

[147] E. Lioubimtseva and C. da Cunha, "Community Engagement and Equity in Climate Adaptation Planning: Experience of Small- and Mid-Sized Cities in the United States and in France.," in *Justice in Climate Action Planning. Strategies for Sustainability.*, D. H. Petersen B., Ed., Springer, 2022, pp. 257-276.

[148] E. Lioubimtseva, "A multi-scale assessment of human vulnerability to climate change in the Aral Sea basin.," *Environmental Earth Sciences,* vol. 73, no. 2, p. 719–729, 2015.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202211.0257.v1

[149] I. Tessema and B. Simane, "Vulnerability analysis of smallholder farmers to climate variability and change: an agro-ecological system-based approach in the Fincha'a sub-basin of the upper Blue Nile Basin of Ethiopia.," *Ecological Processes,* vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1-18, 2019.

[150] C. Polsky, R. Neff and B. Yarnal, "Building comparable global change vulnerability assessment: The vulnerability scoping diagram.," *Global Environmental Change,* vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 472-485, 2007.

[151] A. Coletti, P. D. Howe, B. Yarnal and N. J. Wood, *Natural Hazards,* vol. 65, no. 1, p. 10, 2013.

[152] B. Holland, "Procedural justice in local climate adaptation: political capabilities and transformational change," *Environmental Politics,* vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 391-412, 2017.

[153] E. K. Chu and C. E. Cannon, "Equity, inclusion, and justice as criteria for decision-making on climate adaptation in cities.," *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability,* vol. 51, pp. 85-94, 2021.

[154] C. Flavelle, "A Climate Plan in Texas Focuses on Minorities. Not Everyone Likes It.," *New York Times.,* 24 July 2020.

[155] P. Mohai, "Environmental Justice and the Flint Water Crisis," *Michigan Sociological Review,* vol. 32, pp. 1-41, 2018.

[156] M.-H. Bacqué and M. Mechmache, "Pour une réforme radicale de la politique de la ville," .Rapport official du ministère de la ville. 97p., 2013.

[157] The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank, "Guide to Climate Change Adaptation in Cities," The World Bank, 2011.

[158] ICLEI Local Governments for Sustainability, "Changing Climate Changing Communities: Guide and Workbook for Municipal Change Adaptation," ICLEI-Canada, 2019.

[159]