
Article

Hand hygiene during the early neonatal period: a mixed-methods observational study in healthcare facilities and households in rural Cambodia.

Yolisa Nalule^{1,*}, Helen Buxton², Alison Macintyre³, Por Ir⁴, Ponnary Pors⁵, Channa Samol⁵, Supheap Leang⁴, Robert Dreibelbis¹

¹ Disease Control Department, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London WC1E 7HT, UK; Yolisa.Nalule@lshtm.ac.uk (YN); Robert.Dreibelbis@lshtm.ac.uk (RD)

² Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, London W1T 7BN helen.buxton.20@ucl.ac.uk (HB)

³ WaterAid Australia, Melbourne, Australia; alison.macintyre@wateraid.org.au (AM)

⁴ National Institute of Public Health, Phnom Penh, Cambodia; ipor@niph.org.kh (PI), leangsupheap@yahoo.com (SL)

⁵ WaterAid Cambodia, Phnom Penh, Cambodia; porsponnary@gmail.com (PP), samolchanna@yahoo.com (CS)

* Correspondence: Yolisa.Nalule@lshtm.ac.uk

Abstract: Background: Globally, infections are the third leading cause of neonatal mortality. Pre-dominant risk factors for facility-born newborns are poor hygiene practices that span both the facility and home environments. Current improvement interventions focus on only one environment and target limited caregivers, primarily birth attendants and mothers. To inform the design of a hand hygiene behaviour change intervention in rural Cambodia, a formative mixed-methods research study was conducted to investigate the context specific behaviours and determinants of handwashing among healthcare workers, maternal and non-maternal caregivers along the early newborn care continuum. **Methods:** Direct observations of hygiene practices of all individuals providing care to 46 newborns across eight facilities and associated communities were completed and hand hygiene compliance assessed in analysis. Semi structured interactive interviews were subsequently conducted with 35 midwives and household members to explore the corresponding cognitive, emotional, and environmental factors influencing the observed key hand hygiene behaviours. **Results:** Hand hygiene opportunities during newborn care were frequent in both settings (n = 1319) and predominantly performed by mothers, fathers and non-parental caregivers. Compliance to hand hygiene protocol across all caregivers, including midwives, was inadequate (0%). Practices were influenced by the lack of accessible physical infrastructure, time, increased workload, low infection risk perception, nurture-related motives, norms and inadequate knowledge. **Conclusion:** Our findings indicate that an effective intervention in this context should be multi-modal to address the different key behaviour determinants and target a wide range of caregivers.

Keywords: Neonatal infection; hand hygiene; behaviour change; Cambodia; post-natal care; newborn care; formative research; intervention design; health facility; household

1. Introduction

An estimated 73% of global newborn deaths occur during the first seven days following birth [1]. Infections are the third leading cause of neonatal mortality and facility-born newborns in particular are susceptible to health care associated infections (HCAI) throughout their first week of life [2-4]. As part of the global action plan to end preventable neonatal deaths by 2030, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends the integrated delivery of essential newborn care along the pregnancy to postnatal continuum of care [5]. The continuum of care for newborns spans the period of pregnancy, childbirth, and post-delivery [6]. Adequate hand hygiene through hygienic births and clean post-

natal care is the cornerstone of the WHO recommended strategies to prevent infection-related newborn death [5, 7]. However, despite the implementation of various hand hygiene promotion strategies in healthcare facilities (HCF) [8, 9], hand hygiene compliance by both health care workers, parental and other caregivers during birth and post-natal care [10, 11] remains low.

Understanding the hand hygiene practices and their determinants along the continuum of care in the early neonatal period (birth through the first seven days of life) is crucial for the design and delivery of comprehensive interventions to improve hand hygiene during this critical window. For facility-based births, the early neonatal period spans multiple environments as the mother-newborn pair transition from the delivery room, to the post-natal care (PNC) room, and discharge to the home environment [5, 12, 13]. Each of these environments constitutes different contexts, with different primary caregivers, and different environmental, social and psychological drivers of hand hygiene practices important for newborn health [11, 14-18]. For example, healthcare worker compliance with hand hygiene protocols are influenced by institutional factors, such as high workload and limited staff, inadequate infrastructure, and poor gloving practices [11, 14, 15, 19] while maternal handwashing in the home environment is influenced by emotions (nurture, disgust), availability and convenience to handwashing materials, handwashing norms, prohibitive gender norms and roles, and the level of extended family support [20-23]. As hygiene behaviours are critical at both the HCF and home environment during this critical period, there is a need for innovative, contextualized behaviour-centered approaches that can effectively target both settings.

There are knowledge gaps along the continuum of care that undermine the development of comprehensive improvement strategies. The WHO recommendation for newborns to stay at least 24 hours after birth within HCF prior to discharge to the home environment [12] places the PNC room as a critical point along the care continuum. However hand hygiene behaviour in this environment is under investigated as most studies focus on the immediate childbirth period [14, 24, 25], the neonatal period in the home environment [21, 26-29] or in the intensive care environment for sick and small newborns [30-32]. Studies in resource-limited settings show that newborn care is often conducted by both healthcare workers and family members in the HCF [11, 33, 34], and by a range of household members in the home environment [11, 20, 21, 35]; however, there is limited attention given to understanding and targeting non-maternal caregivers' behaviours in improvement strategies.

Reducing neonatal mortality is a priority for the Royal Government of Cambodia (RGC). Between 2000 and 2014, maternal, child and infant mortality rates declined by over 80% in Cambodia, yet neonatal mortality rates declined by less than 50%, in part due to persistent burden of neonatal infections [36, 37]. Infections are the country's 3rd leading cause of neonatal mortality and accounted for 16% of all neonatal deaths in 2018 [38]. Over 80% of births in Cambodia are institutional deliveries [36] and the Cambodia's Safe Motherhood Clinical Management Protocol for Health Centres recommends stays of at least 48 hours following birth to ensure that the new mother and newborn receive adequate post-natal care in the facility [39]. Addressing infection risk factors in facilities and for facility-born neonates is therefore critical to reducing overall neonatal infection rates. Multiple studies have also highlighted gaps in water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) conditions and behaviours and infection prevention and control (IPC) practices at the institutional and household level that place newborns at risk of infections [22, 23, 40, 41].

To inform an intervention targeting hand hygiene during childbirth and the early post-natal period in Kampong Chhnang Province, Cambodia, we carried out a mixed-methods, theory-driven formative research study. The purposes of the study were to investigate hand hygiene practices and determinants among healthcare workers, mothers and family members along the newborn's entire care continuum. This manuscript focuses on the findings for the PNC room and the home environment. Findings related to hygiene during childbirth have been reported in an earlier publication [19].

2. Materials and Methods

The formative research was conducted in Kampong Chhnang province, Cambodia between February 2019 and September 2019 across 8 HCF – 6 primary health centres (PHC) and 2 referral hospitals (RH). The study followed an explanatory sequential design where quantitative direct observations data informed subsequent in-depth qualitative data collection. The overall study context, aims and study site selection and sampling have been described in an earlier publication [19].

Quantitative methods

Data collection

Enrolment procedures and sample size for the observation data collection are described in Nalule et al. [19]. Hand hygiene specific to newborn care was assessed through structured observations. Observations began when the mother-newborn pair was transferred to the PNC room of participating facilities. Over a period of four continuous hours, data collectors chronologically recorded newborn care practices (diaper changes, cord care, breastfeeding, and general newborn handling), and any corresponding hand hygiene and gloving practices of all caregivers, defined as any individual providing newborn care during the observation period. Following the end of each post-natal observation, consenting women provided their contact details and home address and agreed to a convenient time and day for a home visit within 72 hours after observation at HCF. Home observations were conducted only for the women recruited in the six PHC and lasted six continuous hours from the time of the data collector's arrival at the home. Similar to the PNC, home observations included newborn care practices and hand hygiene practices of all caregivers.

In addition to the direct observations, facility-level and household structured assessments were conducted to assess water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) conditions of the HCF and the household. The facility-level tools have been described in the earlier publication [19]. Structured household assessments were conducted by the data collectors either upon arrival or at the end of the home observation.

The data collectors were made up of six female health care workers, divided into two teams. Prior to data collection, all observation tools were piloted and iteratively refined during a one-week training period in two HCFs in the same province which were not part of the study sample. Refresher training was conducted prior to the data collection at the referral hospital. Each team was assigned to a single facility and completed observations over three different shifts, covering a full 24-hour period.

Data analysis

All observation data were analysed using StataSE 15 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). Any qualitative text entries were reviewed, and where applicable, recoded quantitatively, and analysed as structured observation data. Data analysis was descriptive and focused on the frequency and sequence of hand hygiene opportunities and associated hand hygiene actions. Newborn care-related hand hygiene opportunities were based on WHO's Five Moments for Hand hygiene [42] and the three moments adapted for neonatal hand hygiene in the community described by Dital et al. [27]. Hand hygiene opportunities included prior to newborn contact, prior to clean/aseptic procedure (cord care, injection/immunisation) and after cleaning the newborn's bottom following defecation.

For each caregiver, hand hygiene actions around each hand hygiene opportunity were coded into two categories for the analysis: *adequate* (handwashing with soap and water, handwashing with soap and water plus glove use for any aseptic/clean procedures) and *inadequate* (handwashing with water only, wearing gloves without intermediate handwashing with soap or no observed hand hygiene action taken). A caregiver's hand

hygiene's category could vary throughout the course of the observation, depending on hand hygiene opportunities observed and actions taken at a particular point in time.

In our analysis, caregivers of the newborns were categorised into four groups; mothers, fathers, healthcare workers (midwives, nurses, doctors, and interns) and *non-parental caregivers* (all other individuals observed providing care to the newborn). Newborn care was further categorised into two groups for analysis; *observed cord contact* and *other newborn contact*. "Other newborn contact" included any other hand hygiene opportunity where the individual made contact with the newborn outside observed contact with the umbilical cord.

Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the frequency and proportion of hand hygiene opportunities that were conducted under each hand hygiene category; by caregiver and type of newborn care. Data from the home and facility level assessments were analysed descriptively and triangulated to provide context to the structured observations and insights to the subsequent qualitative data findings.

Qualitative methods

Data collection

Findings from the quantitative observations were reviewed by project stakeholders during a 2-day framing workshop (22 – 23 August, 2019, Phnom Penh). The identified key behaviours of interest for in-depth qualitative investigation were hand hygiene around newborn care in the PNC room and the home environment. The identified key targets for behaviour change were midwives, parents and non-parental caregivers.

The data collection took place over a period of 2 weeks in September 2019. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with midwives at the HCF and with parental and non-parental caregivers in their home environment. The sample size was based on the anticipated number required to reach theoretical saturation. Semi-structured interview (SSI) tools were designed to further investigate barriers and opportunities for target behaviour uptake and inform a gender analysis of individual and household domains. Within a single SSI, additional formative research tools were completed [43] to actively engage the participants. Details on the specific tools are described by Nalule et. al [44]. The formative research tools used depended on the specific respondent and the time available for the interviews.

Mothers were recruited for the qualitative component of the study as they waited for discharge in the PNC room. Eligible participants were women who had a vaginal birth at the HCF, with no maternal or newborn complications, were waiting for discharge, and lived within one-hour travel time of the HCF. Consenting mothers were interviewed at the household, approximately one week after discharge. At the household, the data collector could recruit up to 2 more participants (father and a non-parental caregiver) for additional interviews.

All interviews were conducted in Khmer by two teams of two female enumerators who had prior experience in qualitative data collection. Qualitative tools were tested and refined over a three-day training period. All interviews were audio recorded and free form notes and pictures of completed activities taken. Summaries were recorded on a semi-structured data capture form following data collection and daily debriefing session were conducted.

Data Analysis

All qualitative data analysis was done using Microsoft Word and Excel (Redmond, Washington). Initial analysis of the preliminary data (all field notes, written response summaries and any salient findings from daily debriefs) were entered into a spreadsheet and organised by data collection tool and activity. Study team members verified data entry and audio recordings were consulted for clarity or further exploration. All data

(spreadsheet and audio recordings) were coded, organised and analysed against pre-defined categories of behavioural determinants based on the Behaviour Change Design (BCD) checklist adapted for handwashing behaviour [45]. See **Supplementary Materials Table S1** for more details.

3. Results

3.1. Maternity setting hand hygiene conditions

None of the maternity settings in the eight HCF had functional hand hygiene facilities (with water and soap and/or alcohol-based hand rub) at all points of care (delivery room, post-natal care room, waiting area and toilets) (Table 1).

Table 1. Maternity setting hygiene conditions

	Primary health centre (N = 6)	Referral hospital (N = 2)
Functional hand hygiene facilities at all points of care in maternity setting	0	0
Handwashing facilities within maternity setting (not staff-restricted)		
Available	2	2
Soap and water/ABHR available	0	1
Handwashing station at toilet		
Available	6	2
Soap and water/Alcohol based rub available	4	2
Handwashing stations in delivery room		
Available	6	2
Soap and water/Alcohol based rub available	6	2
Handwashing facilities inside PNC room		
Available	0	1
Soap and water/Alcohol based rub available	0	0

Handwashing stations were located at the toilets of all eight maternity settings and 75% (6/8) had soap present at the time of observation. All eight delivery rooms had functional handwashing facilities, all of which were restricted for staff members' use. Half (4/8) of the HCF had a handwashing station for use by mothers, fathers and non-parental caregivers within the maternity setting but only one had soap available. Only one facility had a handwashing station located inside the PNC room. No hand hygiene alternatives (e.g. alcohol based hand rub (ABHR)), hand drying materials or hand hygiene posters were available for mothers, fathers and non-parental caregivers across the eight HCF.

3.2. Structured observations (PNC room)

3.2.1. Participant characteristics

A total of 46 mothers and newborns were enrolled in PNC room observations, 22 from the primary health centres and 24 from the referral hospital (Table 2).

Table 2. Participant characteristics

Facility type	Primary health centre (n=22)	Referral hospital (n = 24)
Post-natal care room	Mean (range)	Mean (range)
Age	28 (21 – 40)	28 (21 – 38)
Previous live births	1.4 (0 – 6)	1.6 (0 – 6)
Time travelled to HCF (min)	17 (5 – 40)	22 (5 – 40)
Time elapsed since birth (hours)	1.4 (1 – 3)	1.5 (1 – 5)
Number of PNC visitors	4 (1 – 8)	4 (2 – 7)
Number of health care workers	1 (0 – 4)	1 (0 – 3)
Home	Mean (range)	Mean (range)
Days elapsed since birth	2 (1 – 3)	n/a
Days spent at home since discharge	0 (0 – 1)	
Number of visitors present	7 (2 – 13)	

Observations in the PNC room occurred an average of 1.4 hours after birth (range: 1 – 5); 45 of these mothers had been previously observed during labour and delivery and one additional mother was recruited while in the PNC room at the referral hospital. Mothers had similar characteristics across the observations with a mean age of 28 (range: 21 – 40) and had an average of 2 (range: 0 – 6) previous live births.

3.2.2. Hygiene opportunities and actions

On average, one healthcare worker (range: 0 – 4), usually a midwife, provided care to the mother and newborn in the PNC room during observation periods (Table 2). In 7% (3/46) of observations, no health care worker visited the mother-newborn pair during the observation period. Mothers and newborns were visited by an average of four people (range: 1 – 8). Across the observations, fathers were the most common non-maternal caregiver, present in 82% (38/46) of observations. Among the non-parental caregivers, the grandmother was the most commonly present (32/46).

Newborn care activities resulted in a total of 811 hand hygiene opportunities observed in the PNC room (Table 3).

Table 3. Observed hand hygiene opportunities and hand hygiene actions in the post-natal care room

	Hand hygiene opportunities (N)	Hand hygiene category N (%)	
		Adequate ¹	Inadequate ²
All newborn care			
Healthcare workers	22 (3%)	0 (0%)	22 (100%)
Mothers	251 (31%)	0 (0%)	251 (100%)
Fathers	73 (9%)	0 (0%)	73 (100%)
Non-parental caregivers	464 (57%)	0 (0%)	465 (100%)
Total	811	0 (0%)	811 (100%)
Newborn care (cord contact)⁴			
Non-parental caregivers	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)
Total	3	0 (0%)	3 (100%)

¹Adequate hand hygiene category includes washing hands with soap/washing hands with soap and wearing gloves for aseptic procedures

²Inadequate hand hygiene category includes no hand hygiene action, rinsing hands without using soap and wearing gloves without handwashing with soap prior to donning gloves

³Cord contact includes direct cord contact via cord cleaning or cord inspection

⁴Other newborn care includes newborn handling outside cord care (changing newborn's diapers, cleaning newborn's bottom following defecation, picking up and putting newborn down, cleaning newborn's eyes, changing newborn's clothes, touching newborn's face

Non-parental caregivers accounted for over half (58%) of hand hygiene opportunities. The remaining hand hygiene opportunities during newborn care were among mothers (31%), fathers (9%) and healthcare workers (3%). When disaggregated by type of newborn care, non-parental caregivers were responsible for 100% of the hand hygiene opportunities related to direct cord contact events.

In none of the newborn care activities was hand hygiene practiced adequately across all the caregiver groups.

3.3. Structured observations (Home)

3.3.1. Home characteristics

Of the 22 households observed, 17 had a designated place for handwashing within the compound, and 11 of households had soap present at the handwashing site (Table 4).

Table 4. Home WASH conditions

	N (N = 22)	%
Hand hygiene items available in household		
Soap	21	95.5
Detergent	21	95.5
Handwashing facility		
Available	17	77.3
Soap/Detergent available at site	11	64.7
Has hand drying materials	1	5.88
Handwashing type		
Sink with tap	2	11.8
Bucket to pour over hands	13	76.5
Bowl to wash hands in	2	11.8

Of the 17 observed handwashing facilities, 13 (77%) were buckets to pour over hands, 2 (12%) were sinks with a tap and 2 (12%) were a bowl for handwashing. The handwashing facilities were located an average of five metres (range 5 – 20m) away from the mother-newborn pair location.

3.3.2. Participant characteristics

Only mothers observed in the PHC (n = 22) were observed at home. All mothers consented to the home observations and there was no loss to follow up. Over half (55%) of the home observations took place on the day of the discharge from the facility and the remainder (45%), one day after discharge (Table 2).

3.3.3. Hygiene opportunities and actions

Over the six-hour observation period, there were on average seven people (range: 2 – 13) present with the mother-newborn pair (Table 2), many who participated in at least one newborn care activity. Similar to the PNC observations, the father (20/22) was the most common non-maternal caregiver present during the home observations.

Of the 508 hand hygiene opportunities related to newborn observed during the observation period, mothers (50%) and non-parental caregivers (46%) accounted for the majority of these opportunities (Table 5).

Table 5. Observed hand hygiene opportunities and hand hygiene actions in the household

	Hand hygiene opportunities N	Hand hygiene category N(%)	
		Adequate ¹	Inadequate ³
All newborn care			
Mothers	246	0 (0%)	246 (100%)
Fathers	35	0 (0%)	35 (100%)
Non parental care-givers	227	0 (0%)	227 (100%)
Total	508	0 (0%)	508 (100%)
New born care (cord contact)			
Mothers	1	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
Non parental caregivers	11	0 (0%)	11 (100%)
Total	12	0 (0%)	12 (100%)

Similar to the observations in the PNC room, most of the direct cord contact activities (92%) were conducted by non-parental caregivers. No hand hygiene was conducted by any newborn caregivers at any of the hand hygiene opportunities.

3.4. Qualitative results

3.4.1. Participant information

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 13 midwives across four of the observed HCF (three primary health centres and one referral hospital). Two households were interviewed per HCF for a total of eight households. Within each household, three household members; a mother, father and grandmother were interviewed. Two grandmothers did not consent and were not interviewed. In total, eight mothers and fathers and six grandmothers were interviewed.

Interviews provided insights into behavioural determinants that influence hand hygiene practices in PNC room and the home. Findings are summarised against the key BCD components. See **Supplementary Materials Table S1** for more details.

3.4.2. Behaviour setting for newborn care

Stage: In the PNC room, newborn care typically took place around the mother's bed where the newborn was always located. The mother spent most of the time at the facility lying in bed, getting up primarily to breastfeed and to attend to her own personal hygiene. During the first week in the home environment following discharge from the HCF, the mother-newborn pair spent most of their time either outside on the veranda of the house or in the bed that was located on the ground floor of the house. The majority of the newborn care also took place at that location.

Roles & Norms: Midwives reported their main responsibility in the PNC room to be monitoring the mother-newborn pair, supervising cleaning staff and, when necessary, supervising the father and non-parental caregivers. Midwives regarded their position as the most authoritative and highly respected in the maternity ward and therefore did not find it difficult or uncomfortable to immediately correct all other caregivers' behaviours following observed non-compliance to hygiene practices.

Fathers and non-parental caregivers providing direct care for the mother-newborn pair during their stay in the PNC room was the norm. Fathers and non-parental caregivers, primarily the grandmother, assisted with the majority of the maternal and newborn-caregiving activities, typically staying with the mother-newborn pair at the bedside until discharge. Mothers, fathers, and non-parental caregivers reported trusting the midwives' advice and guidance around best newborn care practices over any contradicting advice given by other family members. Some grandmothers reported that they would follow a midwife's hand hygiene advice even when they did not agree with it. All household members expressed discomfort and unwillingness to correct the midwives in scenarios where the inadequate hygiene practices by the midwives were observed.

Following discharge, mothers reported taking a more active role in newborn care activities. In line with the direct observations, the grandmother and father reported that they were also involved in newborn care at the home including cord care, diaper changing and other household tasks whenever the mother was unable. Mothers expressed difficulty enforcing adequate hand hygiene practices with non-parental caregivers due to existing family hierarchy and dynamics. However, mothers reported willingness to risk upsetting this dynamic and correct family members if the advice was given to them by the midwives. Unlike the new mothers, grandmothers and fathers reported not experiencing any difficulty or discomfort in correcting any observed noncompliant behaviour by other non-parental caregivers in the home environment or in the PNC room.

The participation of fathers in newborn care activities reflected a temporary shift in normative behaviour around housework. Household tasks in our setting were typically divided according to the prevalent descriptive gender norms. All respondents described child care responsibilities and daily household tasks such as cooking, house cleaning and laundry as activities only women did in the household. The early neonatal period was highlighted as the exceptional circumstance when men would take on 'women-only' roles and participate in newborn care activities including diaper changing, cord care, bottle feeding and newborn bathing. Other exceptional circumstances included when the woman was ill or away from home for an extended period of time. This prevalent normative practice of gendered division of household tasks however did not align with the respondents' personal beliefs. All household respondents described their expectation of a man to be one who participated equally in all household activities on a regular basis.

Props and infrastructure: The lack of accessible handwashing infrastructure and related materials was the most common barrier faced by mothers and other caregivers to practicing good hygiene at the health facility. All household respondents found handwashing facilities at HCF inaccessible and inconvenient relative to the location of newborn care. The location of handwashing facilities, including those that were located outside by the PNC entrance, was particularly challenging for mothers and caregivers providing continuous bedside care. Mothers reported mobility difficulty due to pain, discomfort and fatigue following recent delivery, limiting their ability to access distant handwashing facilities. Other reported handwashing challenges by household respondents included the unavailability of soap at sinks, the lack of running water due to broken taps, and crowded conditions within the PNC room.

3.4.3. Brain and Body factors

Knowledge: Knowledge around adequate hand hygiene differed between midwives and the household respondents. Midwives viewed hand hygiene as requiring both soap and water to be effective. In contrast, most mothers, fathers and non-parental caregivers considered hand rinsing (using water only) as sufficient hand hygiene practice for newborn care. Both the midwives and household respondents stressed the importance of hand hygiene prior to cord contact and prior to breastfeeding but handwashing prior to newborn contact or following diaper changes was rarely mentioned.

Midwives reported relaying hand hygiene information to mothers and other caregivers during two moments in post-natal care: shortly after birth and during facility discharge. Midwives did not actively communicate hand hygiene information during a family's stay in the PNC room, reporting instead that hand hygiene promotion materials were sufficient for non-parental caregivers during that time. However, no hand hygiene promotion materials were observed in PNC rooms (Table 1) and mothers, fathers, and other non-parental caregivers did not recall seeing any hand hygiene educational materials at the facility. Mothers reported being given advice about hand hygiene at the time of discharge; however, fathers and other non-parental caregivers were either not present for discharge instruction or reported not paying attention. The most recalled hand hygiene information by the mother was around cord care, breast cleaning prior to feeding, and perineum care.

Risk perception: All respondents perceived the risk of infection in the PNC room to be very low. Activities such as entry to the PNC room from outside the HCF or the delivery room and newborn handling were considered low risk to the newborn, particularly when the baby was wrapped. Umbilical cord care was the only newborn activity that was considered by both midwives and household respondents as a high risk caregiving activity that could result in infection to the newborn.

Discounts: Midwives reported having no time for frequent follow-up discussions with mothers and other caregivers and limited time to supervise hygiene practices in the PNC area. This lack of time was most acute when staff were limited, particularly at night. More urgent labour and delivery activities, providing antenatal services and doing administrative work were prioritised over PNC room oversight responsibilities. Midwives would only prioritise roles related to the PNC room during post-birth complications, discharge periods, and at the end or beginning of their shift.

The lack of time available for hand hygiene and prioritising other activities extended to the household setting. Mothers, fathers, and non-parental caregivers reported being too busy to wash their hands at all recommended times due to increased workloads and responsibilities around childcare in both the PNC and at home. In addition to caring for the newborn, the respondents reported simultaneously performing other caregiving or household-related tasks such as caring for the new mother, cleaning the PNC room and home, food preparation, laundry activities and caring for other children.

Motives: Nurture was both a facilitator and barrier to practicing good hand hygiene among household respondents. All household respondents commonly cited having a happy and healthy baby (nurture) as their reason to practice good hygiene. Conversely, household respondents commonly pointed to nurture-associated emotions superseding their practice of proper hand hygiene practices. Feeling worried or concerned, caregivers prioritised immediately alleviating the newborn's perceived distress and would either skip or forget handwashing steps in order to quickly pacify the crying newborn. Fathers and non-parental caregivers both in the PNC room and home would forget to wash hands in a rush to make contact with the newborn because of the joy and excitement at seeing their new born relative.

Senses: Household members typically relied on visibility contamination to cue hand washing behaviours during newborn care. The absence of dirt on hands signified cleanliness and household members did not feel the need to wash visibly clean hands before holding the newborn, even when coming from the outside.

4. Discussion

This study was designed to explore hand hygiene practices around early newborn care and its behavioural determinants in the PNC room and at the home. Our findings

show a high frequency of hand hygiene opportunities by a wide range of caregivers with minimal hand hygiene compliance. Among all the groups involved in caregiving, non-parental caregivers accounted for the majority of hand hygiene opportunities. The hand hygiene practices of midwives and other caregivers during newborn care in the PNC room and home were influenced by a range of factors including lack of physical infrastructure and supplies for hand hygiene, inadequate knowledge, low infection risk perception, nurture based motivations, norms, absence of hand hygiene reminders, and limited time and high workload.

The lack of physical opportunity was a significant hand hygiene barrier faced by new mothers, fathers and non-parental caregivers in the PNC room. Facility-based studies in Cambodia have similarly highlighted the lack of functioning and accessible handwashing facilities as a major barrier to improved hand hygiene in health facility settings [41], and more specifically during newborn care in the maternity units following birth [22, 23]. Formative research findings of ten HCF in Cambodia by Bazzano et al. [22] found post-partum women and their families had no access to handwashing stations for newborn care in 90% of the surveyed facilities. The consistent provision of alcohol based hand rubs (ABHR) is a convenient, economical and effective alternative strategy that could be employed to improve hand hygiene compliance for new mothers and other caregivers [46-48]. Facility-based studies looking at the relationship between increased physical opportunities and use among visitors and patients in low resource settings are limited. However, studies in high-income settings have found ABHR to be associated with improved hand hygiene when conspicuously placed at point of care such as at the bed, at the entrance inside the room, and using mobile dispensers. [46, 49-51]. Strategic placements of ABHR in our settings would not only increase the convenience of hand hygiene practice for the mobility restricted mother but also would address handwashing barriers faced by the paternal and non-parental caregivers such as restricted movement due to overcrowding in the PNC room and time pressure from the urgent newborn caretaking needs and increased workload.

Combining the availability and accessibility of physical opportunity with strategically placed cues in the environment to trigger timely handwashing has a more sustained effect on hand hygiene compliance in institutional settings [52-56]. Attention-grabbing visual [57] or auditory cues [58] placed around the handwashing facilities and location of mother-newborn pair in our setting could serve as both guidance and reminders on how and when to correctly practice hand hygiene during newborn care. Nurture was a strong motive for handwashing behaviour in our study and could be utilised in the development of these reminders as well as broader messaging and educational strategies. The nurture emotion has previously been identified as an important driver of caretakers' handwashing behaviours [20, 59, 60] and has been leveraged as part of effective hygiene interventions in India [61] and Nepal [62]. Designing the cues to evoke and associate positive nurturing emotions with hand hygiene practice could motivate and further enhance the practice of the desired behaviours [61, 63].

Paternal and non-parental caregivers played a significant role in early newborn care both in the HCF and at home in our study. The frequent involvement of a wide range of caregivers in patient care activities is consistent with other facility-based studies in countries in Asia and Africa [11, 33, 34, 55, 64]. Influenced by social, religious, cultural and institutional factors, caregivers spend long periods of time in the HCF engaging in invasive and non-invasive patient contact and often with inadequate hand hygiene [11, 33, 34, 55, 64]. Family members providing in-patient care in a hospital in Bangladesh accounted for 54% of all hand hygiene opportunities with a 2% hand hygiene compliance rate [55]. In Nigeria, during newborn care in PNC rooms across three HCF, non-maternal caregivers accounted for 64% of all hand hygiene opportunities with a 0% hand hygiene compliance rate [11]. There is a limited understanding of the role of paternal and non-parental caregivers' hands in the carriage and transmission of HCAI to neonates [55, 65, 66], and these groups continue to be overlooked by IPC guidelines and strategies. A review by Park et

al. [33] found that despite family caregiving being the norm in South Korea, Indonesia and Bangladesh, only six out of 92 HCAI policies and guidelines across the three countries acknowledged the role of family caregivers and only one guideline recommended their inclusion in the IPC strategies.

In addition to their engagement in newborn care, fathers and non-parental caregivers in our study also played a key role in influencing the handwashing behaviours of other caregivers depending on their position along the family hierarchical structure. Similar handwashing studies in Bangladesh and Indonesia have highlighted the role of existing family hierarchies and social norms in supporting [21] or hindering [20] handwashing behaviours in the home environment, particularly among new mothers. In Bangladesh, Parveen et al. [20] found that new mothers' handwashing practices were hindered primarily by a lack of support of the more influential family members to change existing handwashing norms or create an enabling physical handwashing environments. In Cambodia, mothers in the domestic sphere typically occupy limited influential roles within their own families and households [67] and may not be in the position, however willing, to motivate handwashing behaviour change among other family members. In addition to targeting caregivers to practice the recommended hand hygiene practices, a more effective intervention would further engage specific family members such as the father and grandmother as support and authority to inform and influence these hygienic practices among other relatives and visitors.

The level of inadequate hand hygiene at the household indicates the continued potential risk of pathogen transmission to newborns following facility discharge. Hand hygiene improvement strategies need to ensure that the behaviours introduced in the facility are maintained following the transition to the home environment. Intervention studies targeting household behaviour change are typically designed with some or all of the intervention components delivered at the community level [26, 61, 62, 68, 69]. Facility-level interventions; however, have shown promising results as an alternative approach to improving and sustaining WASH related behaviour and health outcomes at the household level [70-73]. Interventions at HCF target household members at a critical time when they are much more receptive and motivated to change behaviour due to experiencing heightened perception of health risk and the perceived benefits of preventive health behaviours [74]. Pregnancy and new parenthood is a similar period of increased receptivity to changing behaviours due to increased risk perception, changes in self-definition and society role and increased nurture-based responses [20, 21, 74, 75] and has been successfully utilised in facility-level interventions to improve WASH behaviours in households of both participants and non-participant neighbours and friends [71-73]. Integrating intervention delivery along the health-care continuum provides multiple touchpoints for contact with a wide range of caregivers and opportunities to repeat and reinforce messaging strategies without creating additional responsibilities for low staffed HCW with high workloads.

Our study found that despite men's increased participation in caregiving and household tasks in the period following childbirth, the hand hygiene information given at the HCF maintained the prevalent gender norms, targeting primarily the mother as the assumed sole primary caregiver and overlooking the involvement and engagement of the father during newborn care. Engaging all caregivers provides an opportunity to challenge existing gender stereotypes of women as sole caregivers with responsibility for household duties and child care. Behaviour change communication and promotion in our setting should be responsive to the shifting gendered responsibilities that occur during this time and intentionally include fathers alongside other identified family members as primary newborn caregivers for more effective outcomes [76]. Midwives were the most respected and trusted source of health information and had the most frequent contact with mothers and other caregivers and should be trained as the key healthcare workers for these behaviour change communications.

This study had several limitations. The small number of facilities for this observational study limit the generalisability of our findings to beyond these study sites. The use

of the BCD theoretical framework to inform the formative research; however, allows for generalisable lessons to be taken from context specific settings. Our observation periods were limited in duration and despite observing several hundred hand hygiene opportunities, we only observed a limited number of very high risk events, such as cord contact or cord cleaning. Our home observations were limited to mothers who attended the primary health centres and while our data suggests that these mothers had similar characteristics, there may be some unexplored systematic differences between mothers' in referral hospitals and primary health centres that may have introduced bias into our study. Participant reactivity during the observations may have led to an overestimation of hand hygiene compliance. We attempted to minimise this by avoiding any explicit mention of measuring hand hygiene compliance during enrolment and carrying out the qualitative interviews after the all structured observations were completed. The complete lack of observed hand hygiene during the observation period suggests that any reactivity on the part of study participants was minimal.

5. Conclusions

Our formative study provides a comprehensive picture of hand hygiene practices and potential infection risk faced by the newborn during the early neonatal period. Combined with our previous findings of low hand hygiene compliance of newborn care immediately after birth in the delivery room [19], newborns are at high infection risk and multi-component interventions along the entire continuum of care are essential to address hand hygiene practices and the key determinants of a wide range of caregivers. Our findings indicate that using a facility-based intervention with components that create an enabling physical and social environment to facilitate the performance of the desired behaviour and incorporate cues (environment and verbal) to guide, remind and reinforce practice during this teachable moment for a wide range of caregivers may be sufficient to change behaviours in both the HCF and at the home.

Supplementary Materials: Table S1: BCD checklist of handwashing behavioural components and determinants.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.M., C.S., P.I. and R.D.; methodology, H.B. and R.D.; formal analysis, P.P. and Y.N.; investigation, H.B., P.P., S.L. and Y.N.; resources, C.S., P.I., and S.L.; data curation, P.P. and Y.N.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.N.; writing—review and editing, A.M., C.S., H.B., P.I., P.P., R.D., S.L. and Y.N.; supervision, R.D.; project administration, Y.N. and C.S.; funding acquisition, C.S. and R.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Australian Government's Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT) under Water for Women fund, grant number WRA089.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (Ref: 16128, 21/11/2018, 22/05/2019 - Amendment) and the Cambodia National Ethics Committee for Health research (NECHR No 13, 28/01/2019, NECHR No 134, 24/05/2019 - Amendment).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study. All participants provided written informed consent prior to participation.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets used and/or analysed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: We would like to give a very special thanks to the women who agreed to participate in this study without benefit to themselves as well as the staff and management of participating HCF. Special thanks go to the following institutions and individuals for their participation and support: MoH – Cambodia, National Institute of Public Health (NIPH), National Centre for Maternal and Child Health, Provincial Health Department and Operational District - Kampong

Chhnang, WaterAid Cambodia, WaterAid Australia and other development partners. A very special thanks to the WaterAid Cambodia and NIPH team for coordinating the study, and the special contributions of Dr Saray Vannat, Bouth Seyha, Dip Bopha, Dr Hem Navy, OI Sokhoeun, UI Pisey, Senghort Ret and Rotha Prum. All views expressed in this manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the funding organizations or affiliated institutions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Lawn, J.E., et al., *Every Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival*. The Lancet, 2014. **384**(9938): p. 189-205 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60496-7.
2. Zaidi, A.K.M., et al., *Pathogens Associated With Sepsis in Newborns and Young Infants in Developing Countries*. The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal, 2009. **28**(1): p. S10-S18 DOI: 10.1097/INF.0b013e3181958769.
3. Zaidi, A.K., et al., *Hospital-acquired neonatal infections in developing countries*. Lancet, 2005. **365**(9465): p. 1175-88 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71881-x.
4. Lawn, J.E., S. Cousens, and J. Zupan, *4 million neonatal deaths: when? Where? Why?* Lancet, 2005. **365**(9462): p. 891-900 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71048-5.
5. World Health Organization, *Every newborn: an action plan to end preventable deaths*. 2014.
6. Kerber, K.J., et al., *Continuum of care for maternal, newborn, and child health: from slogan to service delivery*. The Lancet, 2007. **370**(9595): p. 1358-1369 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61578-5.
7. Blencowe, H., et al., *Clean birth and postnatal care practices to reduce neonatal deaths from sepsis and tetanus: a systematic review and Delphi estimation of mortality effect*. BMC Public Health, 2011. **11**(Suppl 3): p. S11 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-11-s3-s11.
8. Gould, D.J., et al., *Interventions to improve hand hygiene compliance in patient care*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2017(9) DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005186.pub4.
9. Price, L., et al., *Interventions to improve healthcare workers' hand hygiene compliance: A systematic review of systematic reviews*. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol, 2018. **39**(12): p. 1449-1456 DOI: 10.1017/ice.2018.262.
10. Gon, G., et al., *Birth attendants' hand hygiene compliance in healthcare facilities in low and middle-income countries: a systematic review*. BMC Health Services Research, 2020. **20**(1): p. 1116 DOI: 10.1186/s12913-020-05925-9.
11. Nalule, Y., et al., *Hygiene along the continuum of care in the early post-natal period: an observational study in Nigeria*. BMC pregnancy and childbirth, 2020. **20**(1): p. 1-11.
12. Organization, W.H., *WHO recommendations on postnatal care of the mother and newborn*. 2014: World Health Organization.
13. WHO, UNICEF, and UNFPA, *Pregnancy, childbirth, postpartum, and newborn care: a guide for essential practice*. 2003: World Health Organization.
14. Buxton, H., et al., *Hygiene During Childbirth: An Observational Study to Understand Infection Risk in Healthcare Facilities in Kogi and Ebonyi States, Nigeria*. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2019. **16**(7) DOI: 10.3390/ijerph16071301.
15. Buxton, H., et al., *Barriers and opportunities experienced by staff when implementing infection prevention and control guidelines during labour and delivery in healthcare facilities in Nigeria*. J Hosp Infect, 2019. **103**(4): p. 428-434 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2019.07.018.
16. Engmann, C.M., et al., *Addressing the continuum of maternal and newborn care in Ghana: implications for policy and practice*. Health Policy and Planning, 2016. **31**(10): p. 1355-1363 DOI: 10.1093/heapol/czw072.
17. Aunger, R. and V. Curtis, *Behaviour Centred Design: towards an applied science of behaviour change*. Health Psychol Rev, 2016. **10**(4): p. 425-446. doi:10.1080/17437199.2016.1219673 DOI: 10.1080/17437199.2016.1219673.
18. Curtis, V., et al., *Behaviour settings theory applied to domestic water use in Nigeria: A new conceptual tool for the study of routine behaviour*. Social Science & Medicine, 2019. **235**: p. 112398 DOI: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.112398.

19. Nalule, Y., et al., *Hand Hygiene During Labour And Delivery In Health Care Facilities In Kampong Chhnang, Cambodia: A Theory-Driven Formative Research Study* BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2021 DOI: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-233937/v1.
20. Parveen, S., et al., *Barriers to and motivators of handwashing behavior among mothers of neonates in rural Bangladesh*. BMC public health, 2018. **18**(1): p. 483.
21. Greenland, K., et al., *The context and practice of handwashing among new mothers in Serang, Indonesia: a formative research study*. BMC public health, 2013. **13**(1): p. 830.
22. Bazzano, A.N., et al., *Environmental factors and WASH practices in the perinatal period in Cambodia: implications for newborn health*. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2015. **12**(3): p. 2392-410 DOI: 10.3390/ijerph120302392.
23. Bazzano, A., et al., *Newborn Care in the Home and Health Facility: Formative Findings for Intervention Research in Cambodia*. Healthcare, 2016. **4**(4): p. 94.
24. Gon, G., et al., *Hands washing, glove use, and avoiding recontamination before aseptic procedures at birth: A multicenter time-and-motion study conducted in Zanzibar*. American Journal of Infection Control, 2019. **47**(2): p. 149-156 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2018.07.021>.
25. de Barra, M., et al., *Understanding infection prevention behaviour in maternity wards: A mixed-methods analysis of hand hygiene in Zanzibar*. Social Science & Medicine, 2021. **272**: p. 113543 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113543>.
26. Ram, P.K., et al., *Impact of an intensive perinatal handwashing promotion intervention on maternal handwashing behavior in the neonatal period: findings from a randomized controlled trial in rural Bangladesh*. BioMed research international, 2017. **2017**.
27. Ditai, J., et al., *BabyGel pilot: a pilot cluster randomised trial of the provision of alcohol handgel to postpartum mothers to prevent neonatal and young infant infection-related morbidity in the community*. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2019. **5**(1): p. 49 DOI: 10.1186/s40814-019-0432-7.
28. Darmstadt, G.L., et al., *Review of domiciliary newborn-care practices in Bangladesh*. Journal of health, population, and nutrition, 2006. **24**(4): p. 380-393.
29. Rhee, V., et al., *Maternal and Birth Attendant Hand Washing and Neonatal Mortality in Southern Nepal*. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 2008. **162**(7): p. 603-608 DOI: 10.1001/archpedi.162.7.603.
30. Tyagi, M., et al., *Hand hygiene in hospitals: an observational study in hospitals from two southern states of India*. BMC public health, 2018. **18**(1): p. 1299-1299 DOI: 10.1186/s12889-018-6219-6.
31. Picheansathian, W., A. Pearson, and P. Suchaxaya, *The effectiveness of a promotion programme on hand hygiene compliance and nosocomial infections in a neonatal intensive care unit*. International journal of nursing practice, 2008. **14**(4): p. 315-321.
32. Cohen, B., et al., *Factors associated with hand hygiene practices in two neonatal intensive care units*. Pediatr Infect Dis J, 2003. **22**(6): p. 494-9 DOI: 10.1097/01.inf.0000069766.86901.91.
33. Park, J.Y., J.F. Pardosi, and H. Seale, *Examining the inclusion of patients and their family members in infection prevention and control policies and guidelines across Bangladesh, Indonesia, and South Korea*. American journal of infection control, 2020. **48**(6): p. 599-608 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajic.2019.10.001.
34. Islam, M.S., et al., *Family caregivers in public tertiary care hospitals in Bangladesh: risks and opportunities for infection control*. American journal of infection control, 2014. **42**(3): p. 305-310 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajic.2013.09.012.
35. Darmstadt, G.L., et al., *Practices of rural Egyptian birth attendants during the antenatal, intrapartum and early neonatal periods*. Journal of health, population, and nutrition, 2008. **26**(1): p. 36-45.
36. National Institute of Statistics/Cambodia, Directorate General for Health/Cambodia, and ICF International, *Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2014*. 2015, National Institute of Statistics/Cambodia, Directorate General for Health/Cambodia, and ICF International: Phnom Penh, Cambodia.
37. Hong, R., et al., *The unfinished health agenda: Neonatal mortality in Cambodia*. PloS one, 2017. **12**(3): p. e0173763-e0173763 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173763.

38. Health NewBorn Network. *Leading Causes of Neonatal Deaths in Cambodia*. 2018 [cited 2021; Available from: <https://www.healthynewbornnetwork.org/country/cambodia/>].
39. Ministry of Health Cambodia, *Safe Motherhood Clinical Management National Protocol - Health Centres*, M.o. Health, Editor. 2016: Phnom Penh.
40. Ith, P., A. Dawson, and C. Homer, *Quality of maternity care practices of skilled birth attendants in Cambodia*. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*, 2012. **10**(1): p. 60-67 DOI: 10.1111/j.1744-1609.2012.00254.x.
41. Por, I., *Public health care facilities assessment of water, sanitation and hygiene of five provinces in Cambodia*. 2016, National Institute of Public Health, Cambodia.
42. Sax, H., et al., 'My five moments for hand hygiene': a user-centred design approach to understand, train, monitor and report hand hygiene. *J Hosp Infect*, 2007. **67**(1): p. 9-21 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2007.06.004.
43. Aunger, R. and V. Curtis, *BCD Checklist*. Behaviour Centred Design Resources Website: LSHTM, 2019.
44. Nalule, Y., et al., *Hand Hygiene During Labour And Delivery In Health Care Facilities In Kampong Chhnang, Cambodia: A Theory-Driven Formative Research Study* In review 2021.
45. White, S., et al., *The determinants of handwashing behaviour in domestic settings: An integrative systematic review*. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*, 2020. **227**: p. 113512 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2020.113512.
46. Uneke, C.J., et al., *Promotion of hand hygiene strengthening initiative in a Nigerian teaching hospital: implication for improved patient safety in low-income health facilities*. *The Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 2014. **18**(1): p. 21-27 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2013.04.006>.
47. Allegranzi, B., et al., *Successful implementation of the World Health Organization hand hygiene improvement strategy in a referral hospital in Mali, Africa*. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*, 2010. **31**(2): p. 133-41. doi: 10.1086/649796 DOI: 10.1086/649796.
48. WHO, *Hand Hygiene technical reference manual*, WHO, Editor. 2009, WHO Geneva Switzerland
49. Thomas, B.W., et al., *Conspicuous vs Customary Location of Hand Hygiene Agent Dispensers on Alcohol-Based Hand Hygiene Product Usage in an Intensive Care Unit*. *The Journal of the American Osteopathic Association*, 2009. **109**(5): p. 263-267 DOI: 10.7556/jaoa.2009.109.5.263.
50. Hobbs, M.A., et al., *Visitor characteristics and alcohol-based hand sanitizer dispenser locations at the hospital entrance: Effect on visitor use rates*. *Am J Infect Control*, 2016. **44**(3): p. 258-62 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajic.2015.10.041.
51. Cure, L., R. Van Enk, and E. Tiong, *A systematic approach for the location of hand sanitizer dispensers in hospitals*. *Health Care Management Science*, 2014. **17**(3): p. 245-258 DOI: 10.1007/s10729-013-9254-y.
52. Naikoba, S. and A. Hayward, *The effectiveness of interventions aimed at increasing handwashing in healthcare workers - a systematic review*. *J Hosp Infect*, 2001. **47**(3): p. 173-80 DOI: 10.1053/jhin.2000.0882.
53. Dreibelbis, R., et al., *Behavior Change without Behavior Change Communication: Nudging Handwashing among Primary School Students in Bangladesh*. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 2016. **13**(1) DOI: 10.3390/ijerph13010129.
54. Yoong, S.L., et al., *Nudge strategies to improve healthcare providers' implementation of evidence-based guidelines, policies and practices: a systematic review of trials included within Cochrane systematic reviews*. *Implementation Science*, 2020. **15**(1): p. 50 DOI: 10.1186/s13012-020-01011-0.
55. Horng, L.M., et al., *Healthcare worker and family caregiver hand hygiene in Bangladeshi healthcare facilities: results from the Bangladesh National Hygiene Baseline Survey*. *The Journal of hospital infection*, 2016. **94**(3): p. 286-294 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2016.08.016.
56. Gaube, S., P. Fischer, and E. Lerner, *Hand(y) hygiene insights: Applying three theoretical models to investigate hospital patients' and visitors' hand hygiene behavior*. *PLOS ONE*, 2021. **16**(1): p. e0245543 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0245543.
57. Gaube, S., et al., *How a smiley protects health: A pilot intervention to improve hand hygiene in hospitals by activating injunctive norms through emoticons*. *PLOS ONE*, 2018. **13**(5): p. e0197465 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0197465.

58. Fakhry, M., et al., *Effectiveness of an audible reminder on hand hygiene adherence*. Am J Infect Control, 2012. **40**(4): p. 320-3 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajic.2011.05.023.
59. Curtis, V.A., L.O. Danquah, and R.V. Aunger, *Planned, motivated and habitual hygiene behaviour: an eleven country review*. Health education research, 2009. **24**(4): p. 655-673.
60. Greenland, K., et al., *Theory-based formative research on oral rehydration salts and zinc use in Lusaka, Zambia*. BMC Public Health, 2016. **16**: p. 312 DOI: 10.1186/s12889-016-2984-2.
61. Biran, A., et al., *Effect of a behaviour-change intervention on handwashing with soap in India (SuperAmma): a cluster-randomised trial*. The Lancet Global Health, 2014. **2**(3): p. e145-e154 DOI: 10.1016/S2214-109X(13)70160-8.
62. Gautam, O.P., et al., *Trial of a Novel Intervention to Improve Multiple Food Hygiene Behaviors in Nepal*. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene, 2017. **96**(6): p. 1415-1426 DOI: 10.4269/ajtmh.16-0526.
63. Caris, M.G., et al., *Nudging to improve hand hygiene*. Journal of Hospital Infection, 2018. **98**(4): p. 352-358 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2017.09.023>.
64. Biswal, M., et al., *Hand hygiene compliance of patients' family members in India: importance of educating the unofficial 'fourth category' of healthcare personnel*. Journal of Hospital Infection, 2020. **104**(4): p. 425-429 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2019.09.013>.
65. Islam, M.S., et al., *Family caregivers in public tertiary care hospitals in Bangladesh: risks and opportunities for infection control*. Am J Infect Control, 2014. **42**(3): p. 305-10 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajic.2013.09.012.
66. Park, J.Y., J.F. Pardosi, and H. Seale, *Examining the inclusion of patients and their family members in infection prevention and control policies and guidelines across Bangladesh, Indonesia, and South Korea*. Am J Infect Control, 2020. **48**(6): p. 599-608 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajic.2019.10.001.
67. Brickell, K. and S. Chant, *'The unbearable heaviness of being': reflections on female altruism in Cambodia, Philippines, The Gambia and Costa Rica*. Progress in Development Studies, 2010. **10**(2): p. 145-159 DOI: 10.1177/146499340901000204.
68. Greenland, K., et al., *Multiple behaviour change intervention for diarrhoea control in Lusaka, Zambia: a cluster randomised trial*. Lancet Glob Health, 2016. **4**(12): p. e966-e977 DOI: 10.1016/s2214-109x(16)30262-5.
69. Var, C., et al., *A Linked Community and Health Facility Intervention to Improve Newborn Health in Cambodia: the NICCI Stepped-Wedge Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial*. International journal of environmental research and public health, 2020. **17**(5): p. 1559 DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17051559.
70. George, C.M., et al., *Randomized Controlled Trial of Hospital-Based Hygiene and Water Treatment Intervention (CHoBI7) to Reduce Cholera*. Emerging infectious diseases, 2016. **22**(2): p. 233-241 DOI: 10.3201/eid2202.151175.
71. Loharikar, A., et al., *Long-term impact of integration of household water treatment and hygiene promotion with antenatal services on maternal water treatment and hygiene practices in Malawi*. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene, 2013. **88**(2): p. 267-274 DOI: 10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0375.
72. Briere, E.C., et al., *Impact of Integration of Hygiene Kit Distribution With Routine Immunizations on Infant Vaccine Coverage and Water Treatment and Handwashing Practices of Kenyan Mothers*. The Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2012. **205**(suppl_1): p. S56-S64 DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jir779.
73. Parker, A.A., et al., *Sustained high levels of stored drinking water treatment and retention of hand-washing knowledge in rural Kenyan households following a clinic-based intervention*. Epidemiology and infection, 2006. **134**(5): p. 1029-1036 DOI: 10.1017/S0950268806005954.
74. McBride, C.M., K.M. Emmons, and I.M. Lipkus, *Understanding the potential of teachable moments: the case of smoking cessation*. Health Educ Res, 2003. **18**(2): p. 156-70 DOI: 10.1093/her/18.2.156.
75. Phelan, S., *Pregnancy: a "teachable moment" for weight control and obesity prevention*. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2010. **202**(2): p. 135.e1-135.e8 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2009.06.008>.

76. Tokhi, M., et al., *Involving men to improve maternal and newborn health: A systematic review of the effectiveness of interventions*. PloS one, 2018. **13**(1): p. e0191620-e0191620 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0191620.