

---

Article

# Application of Virtual Reality in Higher Education

Igor Cicek<sup>1</sup>, Andrija Bernik<sup>2</sup> \*and Igor Tomicic<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University North Varazdin, Croatia; icicek@unin.hr

<sup>2</sup> University North Varazdin, Croatia; abernik@unin.hr

<sup>3</sup> University of Zagreb, FOI, Croatia; itomicic@foi.unizg.hr

\* Correspondence: abernik@unin.hr

**Abstract:** This paper explores benefits of using VR technologies in higher education. Theoretical part investigates classical education system and its features in order to compare advantages of using VR systems in education. VR technologies and its current state in industry and in education were explored as well as which branches of higher education uses these systems. Survey was conducted through online questionnaire where respondents (N = 55) gave their opinion on VR and the implementation of VR technologies in education. Three hypotheses related to the use of VR technology, student interest, and learning outcomes as well as the effectiveness, immersiveness and the effect of VR systems on the users were tested through 27 questions.

**Keywords:** Virtual reality; higher education; technology; immersion

---

## 1. Introduction

The use of digital devices for learning and education purposes is increasingly widespread. This is particularly noticeable in the period from 1997 to 2006, when networked computers were extensively used for shared learning, and in the period from 2007 to 2016, when the so-called online digital learning became widespread. During these two periods, people were questioning the potential to leverage new technologies such as virtual learning environments and mobile devices. Lately, virtual reality (VR) technologies have actively been used in education, teaching, and training in various implementation domains [1]. Even though VR is not new, the development of immersive technologies in the last ten years – in terms of visualization and interaction – has made VR more appealing to scientists. The latest VR screens, such as HTC Vive or Oculus Rift, allow users to experience a high degree of immersion. The term immersion describes a user's participation in a virtual environment during which their real-time awareness of time often becomes incoherent. It is projected that the HMD market will be estimated at USD 25 billion by 2022, growing at an annual growth rate of 39.52% between 2019 and 2025. Therefore, this is the perfect time to explore immersive VR, primarily due to the increased capabilities of VR technology, as well as increasingly affordable prices [1].

There are 3,300 higher education institutions in the European Union, and compared to the US system, the European system is much more complex since it is primarily organized at national and regional levels, each with its own legal requirements, cultural and historical frameworks, and many different languages [2]. In order to allow for a uniform approach, three key dimensions from the literature can be singled out: "stratification", "specificity/orientation", and "standardization". These key dimensions are simplifications of the design multiplicity of education systems, which are primarily used to imply specific characteristics of a wider range of systems [3]. The terms virtual reality (VR) and virtual environment (VE) are used interchangeably in the computing community, and they are the most popular terms to describe virtual reality technology, but there are many other terms used to describe virtual reality: Synthetic Experience, Virtual Worlds, Artificial Worlds, or Artificial Reality [4].

**Citation:** Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Information* 2021, 12, x. <https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

All of the said terms denote the same type of technology: Interactive real-time graphics with three-dimensional models combined with stereoscopic display technology, which allows users to immerse themselves in the virtual world and directly manipulate it [5]. It is about an illusion of participating in a synthetic environment, not about an external observation of such an environment. VR relies on a three-dimensional stereoscopic screen that monitors head, arm and body movements, and binaural audio. VR is an immersive, multi-sensory experience [6]. Virtual reality refers to an immersive, interactive, multi-sensory, three-dimensional environment generated on a computer and combined with technology needed to build such environments [7].

The first idea of virtual reality was conceptualized in 1935, when Stanley G. Weinbaum described glasses that allow the user to watch a film from a first-person perspective in which the viewer is the main protagonist, and interacts fully with the film through image, sound, smell, taste, and tactile perception. When we compare modern VR systems and Weinbaum's idea, it is impossible to ignore striking similarities between his original idea and the current state of technology [8].

The key issue in the use of new technologies in education is still the ability of educators to conceptualize how to best integrate technology into the curriculum. The concept of active VR is aligned with the goal of designing pedagogical systems that focus on networked and authentic interactive experiences with the student in their center. Networked learning places great emphasis on collaboration, determination, trust, and organization in the learning process. Examples of networked student-centered pedagogies include social constructivism, branched learning, and heutagogy [10]. Even though the development of VR for educational purposes is noticeable, most people still use technology to advance and strengthen traditional teaching methods using the new platform without changing the pedagogy of learning and teaching. Modern technologies, including VR, should be applied in STEM education in order to increase efficiency and interest in learning and research [11]. Probably the most well known application of VR is the one used for military purposes, which includes combat simulators in all defense departments (air force, navy, and army) [12].

Following a survey conducted in 2018 on 25 students in the field of education on the topic "Analysis and Use of VR for Educational Purposes in the Field of History", it was concluded that the available content, with the help of VR, increased long-term acquired knowledge. Through the use of VR, participants stated that they could be much more immersed in the content which was a lot more exciting and interesting than traditional educational techniques, which then increased interest and motivation for the content, and consequently contributed to better and longer-lasting mastery of teaching content [13]. The disadvantages of using virtual reality in education primarily relate to the fact that this is a relatively new type of technology that has not yet been sufficiently analyzed. Disadvantages are mostly related to costs, time required to learn to use hardware and software, possible health and safety effects on the user, and slow integration of technology into the curriculum. As with all new technologies, each of these issues can gradually be solved by conducting additional research, as well as by general acceptance of technology outside of teaching processes [14]. There is compelling evidence that students can improve their learning process through VR systems. However, there is still a number of unresolved issues regarding the efficiency of such systems. Immersive (HMD) versus non-immersive (traditional desktop screens) VR systems, collaboration in educational VR systems, the level of realism in VR systems are just some of the issues that arise in debates when it comes to the implementation of VR systems for educational purposes [15].

## 2. Defining Research

Defining the problem – Although it is obvious that virtual reality can greatly contribute to the educational process, this type of technology is still not implemented in most areas of the education system, and in cases in which it is used, it is still considered a novelty instead of a fundamental tool for improving the educational process. The question is

whether interest in this type of technology is significant enough to justify costs of the state budget and changes in the education system in order for the VR technology to be used effectively in education.

Purpose and goal of the research – Since the use of new technologies is perceived as a step towards progress in all aspects of human life, the education system is looking for new ways to improve the use of new technologies as well. VR has experienced rapid development in the last decade, and has become increasingly present in everyday life. All previous research point to a large number of advantages that VR can offer in education, and a very small number of disadvantages. Based on previous research, the aim of this one is to examine the acceptability and general attitudes of people towards the introduction of VR systems in educational institutions.

#### Hypotheses

- H1: Respondents prefer to use an HMD VR over a 2D display.
- H2: Respondents believe that the use of VR systems would increase interest in certain teaching content.
- H3: Respondents believe that the introduction of interactive media (in this case, VR systems) into the curriculum would improve learning outcomes.

### 3. Methodology

Respondents – The survey was conducted on respondents who voluntarily completed the questionnaire. Fifty-five respondents, of whom 30 were men, and 25 women, completed the questionnaire. Respondents have different levels of education; the largest percentage of participants (38.2%) has some form of higher education (college, university, college etc.). The majority of respondents (52.7%) stated that they had tried to use a VR system more than once.

Measuring instruments – Attitudes of respondents and the acceptability of the introduction of VR systems in education were examined with the aid of the Likert scale. The statements used in the scale were selected based on a study of literature related to the effectiveness of VR systems in education, and to the immersiveness and impact of VR systems on the user. The questionnaire was conducted in English in order to be able to include as large of a sample of people with different demographic backgrounds as possible. An example of how the questionnaire was made available to the respondents can be found in the “Attachments” of this paper.

Procedure – The survey was conducted in the period from August 23rd to August 29th, 2020. The survey was created with the help of the “Google Forms” application, and was distributed on various internet forums. Participants volunteered to fill out a questionnaire, which was anonymous. The questionnaire was based entirely on the opinions of the participants. At the beginning of the questionnaire, its context and purpose were briefly described. In the first part of the questionnaire, the respondents were asked general demographic questions. In its longer part, the questionnaire was based on the Likert scale. The statements were related to the assumed hypotheses, and control questions were included. The average time required to fill out the questionnaire was six minutes.

### 4. Results

Upon completion of the analysis of individual items, taking into account control and inverse questions, which must be transformed in the same way, the calculation was made as to indicate a positive attitude towards a statement/hypothesis. Hypotheses were evaluated according to the average percentage of respondents who expressed positive attitudes with regards to the statements related to a particular hypothesis, and based on mode and median. On a scale of 1 to 5, answers 4 and 5 are considered positive, answers 3 are considered neutral, while answers 1 and 2 are considered negative. In order for a hypothesis to be confirmed with certainty, the percentage of positive answers would have to exceed 51%, while mode and median should exceed the limit of 3.75.

Fifty-five respondents, of whom 30 were men, and 25 women, participated in the research. Most of the participants (30 of them) are part of the education system, while 21 respondents have completed higher education, and 4 respondents have not completed any form of higher education. Forty-six respondents had the opportunity to test a VR system, and 9 respondents never had an experience with a VR system. Of the 55 respondents, 25 of them have a VR system at home.

**Hypothesis 1** examines whether users prefer to use an HMD VR system over a 2D display. This applies to all types of content; interactive content such as video games, use of 3D applications, and passive content such as video on demand and the like. Respondents were presented with a series of statements that question respondents' interest in certain elements of the VR system such as the sense of passage of time (T1), the sense of presence in the virtual world (immersion) (T2, T3), and opinion on VR technology (T4, T5, T6). A control question (T3) was posed as well. When it comes to the second statement (T2), 60% of the respondents reacted positively, and 52% of them reacted positively to the control question (T3). Data analysis found that the respondents were not paying close attention or did not fully understand the control question. Due to the inconsistency of the data, statement two (T2) and statement three (T3) will not be taken into account when evaluating the hypothesis. The statement "Complete immersion in the virtual world frightens me" is an inversion, and it is necessary to inversely recalculate the mode and median accordingly, while the percentage of respondents who do not agree with this statement was taken into account as a percentage. When we exclude the above statements (T2, T3) from the evaluation, the average percentage of respondents who agree with the statements related to Hypothesis 1 is 68.25%, while the average mode value is 4.5, and the average median value is 4.00. According to all indicators, the hypothesis "Respondents prefer to use an HMD VR over a 2D screen" can be confirmed, but it is necessary to take into account the inconsistency of answers to certain statements, and after the elimination of inconsistent statements, this hypothesis was confirmed on a small number of statements.

**Table 1.** Results of the questionnaire for Hypothesis 1.

Statement	Respondents prefer to use HMD VR over 2D display.							
	positiv		neutr		negati		mo	media
	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Time passes faster for me while I consume content via VR system compared to consuming content via regular 2D displays.	22	40	21	38	12	22	3	3.00
While I use VR system, I feel like I am present in a virtual world.	33	60	16	29	6	11	4	4.00
While I use a VR system, I am always aware that I'm in virtual world and that none of it is real.*	29	52	18	33	8	15	3**	2.00**
With VR, I'm not limited to passively consuming information and images displayed on the screen.	42	77	8	14	5	9	5	4.00
Complete immersion in the virtual world frightens me.*	9	16	13	24	33	60	5**	4.00**
The visual stimuli provided by VR systems is fascinating to the users.	53	96	1	2	1	2	5	5.00

\* negatively formulated statement; \*\* value calculated using inverse data

**Hypothesis 2** examines whether respondents believe that the use of VR systems would increase interest in certain teaching content. The participants expressed their personal opinion on the interest in using VR in classrooms and outside of classrooms for educational purposes (T8, T12, T13, T14, T16), the importance of the social aspect in the educational process (T9, T11), and the improvement and better understanding of teaching

content (T7, T10, T15). From the available data, it is possible to conclude that there is a great interest when it comes to the use new technologies – in this case, VR for teaching purposes – in order to increase interest. However, social interaction provided by educational institutions is still extremely important for the respondents, and the “learning from home” model through VoD content is not desirable, while social interaction in a virtual environment is acceptable. Respondents also believe that the use of VR in education would not distract a student from their teacher’s content. The control question (T15) is consistent when compared to the other answers. The average percentage of positive opinions about the statements related to this hypothesis is 66.1, while the average mode of statements is 4.3, and the median is 3.90. According to all indicators, the hypothesis “Respondents believe that the use of VR systems would increase interest in certain teaching content” was confirmed.

**Table 2.** Results of the questionnaire for Hypothesis 2.

**Respondents believe that using a VR system would increase interest in certain teacher content.**

Statement	positiv		neutr		negativ		mo	media
	n	%	n	%	n	%		
It’s difficult for me to understand abstract contents and concepts (e.g. energy transfer, and similar) without a visual representation of the same.	34	62	11	20	10	28	5	4.00
I think that my interest in courses and educational content would be higher if interactive content and VR systems were used.	38	69	9	17	8	14	5	4.00
The group’s shared experiences in a shared environment are important.	41	74	10	18	4	8	4	4.00
Stimulation of multiple senses leads to a better understanding of educational content.	46	83	6	11	3	6	5	4.00
Interaction with the real people in the real world, whether they are lecturers or students, is necessary.*	37	67	4	7	14	26	2**	2.00**
While using VR systems, students can actively learn and participate, instead of passively looking at 2D displays.	41	74	7	13	7	13	5	4.00
Being able to see and experience the various locations around the world within the classroom provided by VR can inspire and intrigue students.	51	93	4	7	0	0	5	5.00
Introducing virtual reality into the classrooms turns learning into entertainment.	39	71	9	16	2	4	4	4.00
Using a VR system would distract students from the educational content.*	16	29	11	20	28	51	4**	4.00**
Due to the simulation and experience provided by VR, students will continue to explore and research the educational content.	32	58	15	27	8	25	4	4.00

**Hypothesis 3** examines the opinion of the respondents with regards to the belief that the introduction of VR in education and in the curriculum would improve learning outcomes. Many studies to date point to potential advances in learning outcomes when using VR systems. Through statements related to this hypothesis, the respondents’ opinion on this topic was examined. Respondents were offered statements expressing the efficiency of the learning process (T17, T18), statements related to the education system, the way information is transmitted, the way students are evaluated (T19, T20, T21, T22, T23), and statements related to the integration of VR into education (T24, T25, T26, T27). The T22

control question, which was based on the T21 statement, indicates that the respondents carefully read and understood the statements. From the presented data, it is possible to conclude that most people agree with the statement that the current evaluation system (e.g. exams) does not reflect real knowledge, but that it would instead be necessary to find an alternative tailored to each individual. When it comes to the statement that a professor should be the main source of information and interaction in the classrooms (T19), as opposed to the statement that the majority of interaction should take place among students, where a professor would only serve as a “guide” (T20), the opinions of the respondents were divided. When it comes to the first statement (T19), 56% of the respondents had positive opinions, while 44% of them had positive opinions with regards to the second statement (T20). The average percentage of people who reacted positively to the statements related to Hypothesis 3 is 63.27%, the average mode is 3.9, and the median is 4.00. Based on the presented data, the hypothesis “Respondents believe that the introduction of interactive media (in this case, VR systems) in the curriculum would improve learning outcomes” can be confirmed.

**Table 3.** Results of the questionnaire for Hypothesis 3.

Statement	Respondents believe that the introduction of interactive media (in this case VR systems) into the curriculum would improve learning outcomes.							
	pozitiv		neutral		negativ		mod	media
	n	%	n	%	n	%		
People learn better through interaction.	50	91	4	7	1	2	5	5.00
Through learning process, it's necessary to apply theoretical knowledge to practical examples in order to master a new skill.	49	89	5	9	1	2	5	5.00
In the classrooms, there should be mostly interaction between students (the professor only serves as a “guide” to the conversation).	31	56	13	24	11	20	4	4.00
In classrooms, professor should lead the keynote, i.e. the professor is the main source of information and interaction.*	24	44	12	22	19	34	2**	3.00**
The classical evaluation system in education (e.g. exams) does not reflect the real knowledge of the respondents.	38	69	11	6	11	20	4	4.00
The classical evaluation system in education (e.g. exams) reflects the real knowledge of the respondents.*	9	16	9	16	37	68	4**	4.00**
Evaluation tailored to the individual, where certain parameters of the respondents are monitored with the help of VR system represents a better evaluation system.	33	60	15	27	7	13	4	4.00
Virtual environment models teach and train with the same efficiency as reality	16	29	19	35	20	36	3	3.00
Unlike VR, which can provide an interactive experience, classical learning boils down to providing facts only.	29	53	11	20	15	27	4	4.00
Virtual reality develops students' creativity.	36	65	11	20	8	15	4	4.00
With the help of virtual reality, a student can learn how to react in certain (unknown, dangerous) situations.	45	82	8	14	2	4	4	4.00

\* negatively formulated statement; \*\* value calculated using inverse data

## 5. Conclusions

The research was based on the assumptions that respondents prefer to use an HMD VR over a 2D screen, in which the use of a VR system as an interactive medium would

increase interest in certain teaching content, and thus improve learning outcomes. The review of previous research involving VR in education indicates that the advantages offered by this interactive medium outweigh its disadvantages. The conducted research, based on the obtained results, is another part of this series that confirms the advantages of using VR systems, especially since the research encompasses mainly young individuals who are still in the education system, and individuals who have left the system and already have experience and opinions on the current state of the education system. However, even though the results suggest that there is interest in using new technologies – in this case, VR systems – it is necessary to take into account that social interaction is still important for respondents, whether in an educational institution or in a virtual environment.

Even though unresolved issues regarding the introduction of this interactive medium in education systems still need to be addressed, all of the data that were studied suggest that the benefits of this kind of technology are great, which only conclusively confirms the fact that ways to introduce VR systems in education systems need to be seriously considered in order to provide students with an alternative to traditional education, which would consequently improve the learning process.

## Appendix A

### VR systems in education

The survey is anonymous and opinion-based. Data will be solely used for the purposes of making the final thesis on the topic: VR systems in education.

The first part of the survey is general questions about examinees used to determine data blocks. The second part are questions about the viability of VR systems in education.

In the context of this survey, VR refers to head-mounted display type of VR system (e.g. Oculus Rift, HTC Vive, PlayStation VR, Samsung Gear VR, Google Daydream, etc.)

#### General information about examinee

1. Gender?
  - Male
  - Female
2. Did you have the opportunity to try any type of VR system (mobile, desktop, etc.)?
  - No
  - Yes, once
  - Yes, more than once
3. Do you have any type of VR system (mobile, desktop, etc.) at home?
  - Yes
  - No
4. Select what is true for you:
  - I attend elementary school
  - I attend high school
  - I attend any form of high education (college, university, etc.)
  - I graduated any form of high education (college, university, etc.)
  - I didn't finish any form of high education (college, university, etc.)

#### Hypothesis based questions

In this part of the survey questions are directly connected to the hypotheses to determine viability of VR systems in education. All questions are on scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is completely disagree and 5 completely agree with the statement.

**Table 4.** Hypotheses related survey questions.

1. Interaction with the real people in the real world, whether they are lecturers or students, is necessary.	1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5
2. The visual stimuli provided by VR systems is fascinating to the users.	1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5

3. Stimulation of multiple senses leads to a better understanding of educational content (positive stimulation to the senses consequently lead to more impactful experiences and understanding of educational content).	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
4. The classical evaluation system in education (e.g. exams) does not reflect the real knowledge of the respondents.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
5. People learn better through interaction.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
6. Complete immersion in the virtual world frightens me.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
7. Time passes faster for me while I consume content via VR system compared to consuming content via regular 2D displays.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
8. Introducing virtual reality into the classrooms turns learning into entertainment.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
9. Through learning process, it's necessary to apply theoretical knowledge to practical examples in order to master a new skill.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
10. Due to the simulation and experience provided by VR, students will continue to explore and research the educational content.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
11. Virtual reality develops students' creativity.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
12. Unlike VR, which can provide an interactive experience, classical learning boils down to providing facts only.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
13. While I use a VR system, I am always aware that I'm in virtual world and that none of it is real.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
14. The group's shared experiences in a shared environment are important.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
15. The classical evaluation system in education (e.g. exams) reflects the real knowledge of the respondents.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
16. With the help of virtual reality, a student can learn how to react in certain (unknown, dangerous) situations.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
17. With VR, I'm not limited to passively consuming information and images displayed on the screen.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
18. Being able to see and experience the various locations around the world within the classroom provided by VR can inspire and intrigue students.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
19. Virtual environment models teach and train with the same efficiency as reality.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
20. While I use VR system, I feel like I am present in a virtual world.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
21. Using a VR system would distract students from the educational content.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
22. In the classrooms, there should be mostly interaction between students (the professor only serves as a "guide" to the conversation).	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
23. It's difficult for me to understand abstract contents and concepts (e.g. energy transfer, and similar) without a visual representation of the same.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5
24. Evaluation tailored to the individual, where certain parameters of the respondents are monitored with the help of VR system represents a better evaluation system.	1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5

25. I think that my interest in courses and educational content would be higher if interactive content and VR systems were used.	1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5
26. In classrooms, professor should lead the keynote, i.e. the professor is the main source of information and interaction.	1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5
27. While using VR systems, students can actively learn and participate, instead of passively looking at 2D displays.	1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5

## References

1. Radianti, J.; Majchrzak, T. A.; Fromm, J.; Wohlgenannt, I. A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103778., 2020.
2. Bartelse, J.; Van Vught, F. Institutional Profiles: Towards a Typology of Higher Education Institutions in Europe. *IAU horizons*, 13(2-3), 2007.
3. Lavrijsen, J. Characteristics of educational systems. How they influence outcomes in the short and the long run, 2013.
4. Mazuryk, T.; Gervautz, M. *Virtual Reality History, Applications, Technology and Future*, Institute of Computer Graphics, Vienna University of Technology, Austria, 1999.
5. Fuch, H; Bishop, G. *Research Directions in Virtual Environments*, NFS Invitational Workshop, Univ. North Carolina, 1992.
6. Gigante, M. *Virtual Reality: Definitions, History and Applications*. "Virtual Reality Systems", Academic-Press, ISBN 0-12-22-77-48-1, pp. 3-14, 1993.
7. Cruz-Neira, C. *Virtual Reality Overview*. SIGGRAPH'93 Course, No. 23, pp. 1.1-1.18, 1993.
8. Mealy, P. *Virtual & Augmented Reality for dummies*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New Jersey, 2018.
9. Mykhailovska, O.V.; Chervynskiy, A.I.; Fokin, D.P. The Prospects of Virtual Reality (VR) Technology in Educational Process and Business, *Scientific bulletin of Polissia* no 1 (17), 2019.
10. Cochrane, T. Mobile VR in Education: From the Fringe to the Mainstream, *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, Volume 8, Issue 4, 2016.
11. Shumaker, R., Lackey, S. *Virtual. Augmented and Mixed Reality – Applications of Virtual and Augmented Reality*, 5th International Conference, VAMR 2014, Part II, HCI International 2014, Heraklion, Crete, Greece, June 22 – 27, 2014.
12. Haar, R. *Virtual Reality in the Military: Present and Future*, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Twente, Netherlands, 2015.
13. Yildirim, G.; Elban, M.; Yildirim, S. Analysis of Use of Virtual Reality Technologies in History Education: A Case Study, *Asian Journal of Education and Training* 4(4): 62-69, 2018.
14. Pantelidis, V.S. *Reasons to Use Virtual Reality in Education and Training Courses and a Model to Determine When to Use Virtual Reality*, Department of Library Science, College of Education, East Carolina University, Greenville, North Carolina, USA, 2009.
15. Taxén, G.; Naeve, A. *CyberMath: A System for Exploring Open Issues in VR-based Education*, Department of Numerical Analysis and Computer Science, KTH, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden, 2001.